Commercial aquaculture and economic growth, poverty alleviation and food security

Assessment framework





Cover photographs: Clockwise from top left: A crocodile seeks haven in the waters below Murchison Falls on the Victoria Nile in northern Uganda. Credit: © FAO/17388/K. Dunn; In-house training ensures a consistent quality tilapia product for this manufacturer. Credit: courtesy of Lake Harvest Aquaculture (Pvt) Ltd; Correct handling and processing are vital to having quality products. Here, processing of tilapia takes less than 90 minutes, from live fish to chilled and ready for packing. Credit: courtesy of Lake Harvest Aquaculture (Pvt) Ltd; Farmed tilapia. Credit: courtesy of Lake Harvest Aquaculture (Pvt) Ltd

Commercial aquaculture and economic growth, poverty alleviation and food security

FAO FISHERIES AND AQUACULTURE TECHNICAL

512

Assessment framework

by

Nathanael Hishamunda

Fishery Planning Officer Fishery and Aquaculture Economics and Policy Division FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department Rome, Italy

Junning Cai

Assistant Professor Chinese Academy of Finance and Development Central University of Finance and Economics Beijing, China

PingSun Leung

Professor College of Tropical Agriculture and Human Resources University of Hawaii, Manoa Honolulu, Hawaii, United States of America

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of FAO.

ISBN 978-92-5-106337-8

All rights reserved. Reproduction and dissemination of material in this information product for educational or other non-commercial purposes are authorized without any prior written permission from the copyright holders provided the source is fully acknowledged. Reproduction of material in this information product for resale or other commercial purposes is prohibited without written permission of the copyright holders. Applications for such permission should be addressed to:

Chief
Electronic Publishing Policy and Support Branch
Communication Division
FAO
Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy
or by e-mail to:
copyright@fao.org

Preparation of this document

Within the framework of its continued efforts to reduce food insecurity and alleviate poverty, the FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department encourages commercial or business-oriented aquaculture as a means of increasing food availability and accessibility, employment and income, and improving national economies, especially in developing countries. An issue for policy-makers is how to measure and compare the contribution of projects, including aquaculture, to their national economies, their poverty reduction efforts and to food security. This paper aims to help solve this problem by providing quantitative measures through an assessment framework and a useful methodology the multiplier method. By estimating multipliers, a project's contribution to economic growth and therefore poverty alleviation can be measured; the method can also quantify all aspects of food security. It is a versatile tool and can be used with limited data. However, caution should be exercised because, as with all quantitative measures, reliability of results depends on the quality of data and underlying assumptions. Nonetheless, the multiplier is a valuable means of assessment and can be used as a first step if more sophisticated techniques are unavailable or are too costly. It is hoped that this tool will help policy-makers and development agents in their efforts to promote aquaculture. Although the focus of the document is on developing countries, where most aquaculture occurs, the analysis and methods are applicable everywhere.

This paper was jointly funded by the Development and Planning Service and the Aquaculture Management and Conservation Service of the FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department.

Abstract

This paper proposes some methods for quantifying the contribution of aquaculture to national economies, poverty alleviation and food security so as to improve the much needed political and financial support to the sector for its adequate development. Aquaculture's contribution to a country's economy can be measured by "aquaculture value-added multiplier", an indicator that represents the "increase in gross domestic product corresponding to a one-unit increase in aquaculture value-added. As alleviating poverty occurs by creating well paying jobs, evaluation of the contribution of aquaculture to poverty alleviation can be done through "aquaculture employment multiplier", the increase in the total employment for the entire economy corresponding to one extra job created in aquaculture. The contribution to food availability, one of the three dimensions of food security, can be assessed through the "net sum of protein-equivalent" (direct contribution) and the "ratio between the aquaculture net foreign exchange earning and the total value of food imports" (indirect contribution). "Aquaculture labour-income and employment multipliers" can be used to quantify aquaculture's contribution to food access, the second dimension of food security. Aquaculture tax multiplier and the "aquaculture ratio between the net foreign exchange earning" and the "whole economy net foreign exchange earning" can be used to estimate the sector's contribution to food utilization, the third dimension of food security.

Cai, J.; Leung, P.; Hishamunda, N.

Commercial aquaculture and economic growth, poverty alleviation and food security: assessment framework.

FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper. No. 512. Rome, FAO. 2009. 58p.

Contents

Preparation of this document Abstract						
	stract eword		iv vii			
гоі	reword		V11			
1.	Introduction					
		ground and purpose	1 1			
		conjectures	1			
		cture of the report	2			
2.	Contribution of commercial aquaculture to economic growth:					
	an assessment framework					
	2.1 Conceptual framework					
	2.1.1	Direct contribution	3			
	2.1.2	! Indirect contribution	4			
	2.2 Empirical framework					
	2.2.1	Contribution to gross domestic product (GDP)	7			
	2.2.2	Contribution to employment	15			
	2.2.3	Contribution to labour income	17			
	2.2.4	Contribution to tax revenues	18			
	2.2.5	Other contributions	18			
3.	Contribution of commercial aquaculture to poverty alleviation					
	and food security: an assessment framework					
		concepts and background	21			
		Poverty alleviation	21			
		Prood security	21			
		Food insecurity in sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America	21			
		Aquaculture's contribution to food security	22			
		Research on aquaculture's contribution to food security	22			
	3.2 Assessing the contribution of commercial aquaculture to					
		security	23			
		A conceptual framework	23			
	3.2.2	! Indicators	25			
4.		ent of commercial aquaculture's contribution to economic	31			
	growth and food security: examples					
	4.1 Assessing commercial aquaculture's contribution to economic					
	grov		31			
		Commercial tilapia culture in Honduras	31			
		Commercial shrimp culture in Honduras	32			
		Commercial salmon culture in Chile	34			
		Commercial tilapia culture in sub-Saharan Africa	35			
		Commercial catfish culture in sub-Saharan Africa	35			
		Commercial shrimp culture in Madagascar	35			
	4.1.7	Commercial aquaculture's contribution to GDP in	- -			
		14 sub-Saharan countries	36			

		4.1.8	Total economic contribution of fishing and fish farming in Tanzania	40			
	4 2	Fyam	ples of contribution to food security	42			
	7.2		Contribution to food availability (protein supply)	42			
			Contribution to food access	43			
			Contribution to short-term food security	44			
5.	Sun	nmary		47			
Ref	erer	ices		49			
Αp	pend	lixes					
		1	Derivation of the value added multiplier M_v	53			
		2	Derivation of the employment multiplier $M_{\scriptscriptstyle e}$	54			
		3	Derivation of the labour income multiplier $M_{\scriptscriptstyle w}$	55			
		4	Derivation of the tax multiplier M_t	56			
		5	Data template	57			
Tab	les						
1	Pro	ductio	n revenues and costs	10			
2	An	nual p	roduction, revenues, costs, and value added for tilapia				
	pro	production in Honduras					
3	An	Annual production, revenues, costs, value added, labour income					
	and	d empl	oyment (per ha) for shrimp culture in Honduras (1997)	33			
4 Production, revenues, costs, value added, labour income							
	and	d empl	oyment for Atlantic salmon culture in Chile (2000).	35			
5	An	nual p	roduction, revenues, costs, value added, labour income				
	and	and employment for tilapia					
6	Pro	ductio	n, revenues, costs, value added, labour income				
	and	d empl	oyment for catfish culture in SSA	37			
7	An	nual p	roduction, revenues, costs, value added, labour income				
	and	d empl	oyment for shrimp culture in Madagascar	38			
8	Coi	mmerc	ial aquaculture's value-added as a percentage of GDP:				
	14	SSA Co	ountries (1984-2000)	39			
9	Ecc	nomic	Contribution of Fish and Fish Farming in Tanzania (1998-2001)	41			
10			nd protein contents of several aquatic products	42			
11	Aquaculture's share of fish and animal protein						
12	-		ur income as an indicator of aquaculture's contribution				
		food a	·	44			
13			ure's contribution to transitory food security (1990-2000)	45			
14			for commercial aquaculture's economic contribution	48			
	ures		tual frame quark for some provided according to the same of the sa				
1			tual framework for commercial aquaculture's contribution	_			
2			mic growth	8			
2		-	tual framework for commercial aquaculture's contribution	25			
2			ecurity	25			
3		_	commercial aquaculture's VAD/GDP (output/GDP) ratios for untries (1984–2000)	40			

Foreword

This report aims at assisting countries to identify and quantify, where possible, the contribution of commercial aquaculture to economic growth, poverty alleviation and food security. Knowledge of this information is often needed by policy-makers when defining programmes for their national development agendas. We would like to acknowledge the invaluable contribution of Dr Junning Cai and Professor PingSun Leung, consultants for this project, and Nathanael Hishamunda of the FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Economics and Policy Division, Development and Planning Service, who prepared this report. Professor Neil Ridler and Dr Jean Calvin N'Jock reviewed the manuscript while Rolf Willmann provided useful comments on an early draft.

Jean François Pulvenis de Séligny

Director, Fisheries and Aquaculture Economics and Policy Division FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department

and

Jiansan Jia

Chief, Aquaculture Management and Conservation Service FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department

1. Introduction

1.1 BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

Aquaculture has failed to develop adequately in many parts of the developing world, producing unsatisfactory and often ephemeral results. Experts agree that limited or lacking economic incentives for aquaculture activities has been one of the major causes of its poor, sluggish and short-lived performance. The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) believes that promoting aquaculture as a business could yield adequate and solid benefits from the sector, thereby leading to its sustainable development.

In 1999-2000, the FAO's Fisheries and Aquaculture Department, through its Development and Planning Service (FIEP), initiated the promotion of aquaculture as a self-sustained business, referring to it as sustainable commercial aquaculture. The primary targets were developing countries, especially from sub-Saharan Africa. A series of studies were conducted to understand the necessary conditions for commercial aquaculture to emerge and develop in a sustainable manner. Specifically, policies for the promotion of this type of aquaculture, economic feasibility and investment conditions as well as legal, regulatory and institutional frameworks were identified and made available to the targeted audience through a number of publications.

One of the lessons learned in this process is that promoting aquaculture as a business invariably calls for political support. Governments and funding institutions' will to support aquaculture is often a function of how they value the sector in terms of its contribution, real or potential, to food security and poverty alleviation. Both government and funding agencies make decisions on what level of support is provided to a sector based on its potential contribution to a nation's economy.

Unfortunately, more often than not, objective evaluation of the impact of aquaculture in general, and commercial aquaculture in particular, on countries' economies, poverty alleviation and food security, is sorely lacking. Where available, evaluation of the impact of aquaculture on these factors remains qualitative (Kennedy, 2003). Qualitative assessments are not always viewed by policy-makers as acceptable measures of a programme's relevance to the national development agenda, which may help explain the limited support provided to aquaculture in many countries. The objective of this study is to provide policy-makers with the necessary tools for the quantitative appraisal of the impact of aquaculture.

1.2 BASIC CONJECTURES

This study relies on several assumptions, including the definition and benefits of commercial aquaculture. These benefits represent the backbone of the models developed herein.

In this report, commercial aquaculture refers to "fish farming operations whose goal is to maximize profits, where profits are defined as revenues minus costs (perhaps discounted)". The distinction between commercial and noncommercial aquaculture as used in this document does not hinge on whether fish is sold or not. It relies primarily on the existence or absence of a business orientation, and on how factors of production such as labour will be paid (Ridler and Hishamunda, 2001).

Commercial aquaculture supplies aquatic products for consumption, generates business profits, creates jobs, pays labour incomes, including wages and salaries, and provides tax revenues.

Business profits, wages, salaries and taxes, which represent different levels of income from commercial aquaculture and related industries, contribute to the gross domestic product (GDP), which is a basic measure of economic performance. Business profits from commercial aquaculture provide funds for investments and hence stimulate economic growth. So do savings from commercial aquaculture employees.

By creating jobs and providing wages and salaries, commercial aquaculture helps alleviate poverty in general. Because this income can be used to purchase food items which would otherwise be inaccessible, commercial aquaculture can improve food security in particular. A significant contribution of commercial aquaculture to food security is its supply of nutritious aquatic food products. Seafood is an excellent source of high-quality protein. A 150 g single serving of seafood provides 50–60 percent of the daily protein needs for an adult. Seafood also contains various vitamins and minerals. It is typically low in saturated fats, carbohydrates and cholesterol (with the exception of prawns and squid). Evidence indicates that the consumption of two or more servings of seafood per week is associated with a lower prevalence of heart disease. Other health benefits of seafood include lowering blood pressure, possible improvement of symptoms of rheumatoid arthritis, improvement of eczema because of fish omega-3s and decreased incidence of depression (Seafood and Health Alliance, 2008).

Through employment creation an income generation, commercial aquaculture enables more people, especially those in rural areas whose employment opportunities are generally limited, to share the benefits of growth. Therefore, it contributes to the well-being of a country by providing intra-society equity. Tax revenues from commercial aquaculture constitute resources for stimulating growth, poverty alleviation and food security.

Despite the widely accepted importance of commercial aquaculture, systematic and quantitative evaluation of the impacts of commercial aquaculture on national economies, poverty reduction and food security is poorly documented, especially in developing countries (Charles et al., 1997). Insufficiency of adequate data is one major cause of the problem. The lack of conceptual and data-amenable empirical frameworks exacerbates the issue. Yet, systematic and quantitative information about the economic and other impacts of commercial aquaculture is essential for governments and development agents to appreciate its merits. A proper assessment of these impacts allows for the formulation of suitable policies to help develop the sector into a mature and sustainable contributor to the economy and societal well-being. In recognition of this need, this study attempts to develop systematic conceptual and operational empirical frameworks for the assessment of commercial aquaculture's impacts on economic growth, poverty alleviation and food security. While these frameworks have been developed with commercial aquaculture in mind, they can also be applied to other forms of aquaculture, provided that adequate records are available.

1.3 STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT

Following the introduction (Chapter 1), the report is organized into three major chapters. Chapter 2 presents conceptual and empirical frameworks for assessing the contribution of commercial aquaculture to economic growth. Chapter 3 discusses conceptual and empirical frameworks for evaluating the contribution of the sector to poverty alleviation and food security. Chapter 4 presents illustrative examples on how these frameworks can be applied to measure the contributions of commercial aquaculture to the economy, poverty alleviation and food security in several selected countries in sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America. A short section recaps the main findings of this study and concludes the report.