































The Second Report on THE STATE OF THE WORLD's

PLANT GENETIC RESOURCES FOR FOOD AND AGRICULTURE

COMMISSION ON GENETIC RESOURCES FOR FOOD AND AGRICULTURE



The Second Report on THE STATE OF THE WORLD's

PLANT GENETIC RESOURCES FOR FOOD AND AGRICULTURE

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

ISBN 978-92-5-106534-1

All rights reserved. FAO encourages reproduction and dissemination of material in this information product. Non-commercial uses will be authorized free of charge upon request. Reproduction for resale or other commercial purposes, including educational purposes, may incur fees. Applications for permission to reproduce or disseminate FAO copyright materials and all other queries on rights and licences, should be addressed by e-mail to copyright@fao.org or to the Chief, Publishing Policy and Support Branch, Office of Knowledge Exchange, Research and Extension, FAO, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy.

© FAO 2010

Citation: FAO 2010. The Second Report on the State of the World's Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture. Rome

Foreword

Plant genetic resources for food and agriculture are playing an ever growing role on world food security and economic development. As an integral component of agricultural biodiversity, these resources are crucial for sustainable agricultural production intensification and ensure the livelihood of a large proportion of women and men who depend on agriculture.

In a world where around one billion people go hungry every day, with an expectation of a world population of nine billion by 2050, countries must make greater efforts to promote the conservation and sustainable use of the plant genetic resources for food and agriculture.

Agriculture has a key role to play in reducing poverty and food insecurity in the world. The effects of longstanding underinvestment in agriculture, food security and rural development, spikes in food prices and the global financial and economic crisis have led to increased hunger and poverty in many developing countries.

In the 21st century agriculture faces a number of challenges. It has to produce more food and fibre to meet the demand of a growing world population, mainly living in urban areas, while relying on a decreasing rural labour force. It has to produce more feedstock for a potentially huge bio-energy market and to contribute to overall development in the many agriculture-dependent developing countries, while adopting more efficient and sustainable production methods. Natural resources are also facing increasing pressure at the global, regional and local levels.

In addition, climate change is threatening to increase the number of hungry people even further in the future, and creating new and difficult challenges for agriculture. While the effects of climate change are only beginning to be felt, there is general agreement that unless appropriate measures are taken, their future impact will be enormous. Plant genetic resources that are also threatened by it, are the raw materials to improve the capacity of crops to respond to climate change and must be protected. An enhanced use of plant genetic diversity is essential to address these and other future challenges.

The Second Report on the State of the World's Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture provides a comprehensive picture of the global situation and trends regarding the conservation and use of plant genetic resources. The report was endorsed by the intergovernmental Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture in 2009 as the authoritative assessment of the sector and a basis for updating the Global Plan of Action for the Conservation and Sustainable Utilization of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture.

The report was prepared with the active participation of member countries as well as the public and private sectors. It describes the most significant changes that have occurred since the publication of the first report in 1998 and focuses on the major gaps and needs which will serve countries and the world community to set future priorities for the conservation and sustainable utilization of plant genetic resources for food and agriculture. The report emphasizes the importance of an integrated approach to the management of plant genetic resources for food and agriculture. It points out the need to secure broad diversity of crop plants, including their wild relatives and underutilized species, in accessible conservation systems, and to increase capacities for plant breeding and seed delivery worldwide in order to tackle the challenges of climate change and food insecurity.

I hope and trust that the information in this report will be used as the basis for policy and technical decisions to strengthen national efforts to conserve and utilize the treasures incorporated in the world's plant genetic resources to address the urgent problems faced by agriculture today and tomorrow.

Jacques Diouf FAO Director-General

Contents

	nowled	gements ummary		xi xiii xvii	
Cł	napte	r 1 Th	e state of diversity		
1.1	Introd	duction		3	
1.2	Divers	sity within	and between plant species	3	
	1.2.1	Changes	in the status of on-farm managed diversity	4	
	1.2.2	Changes	in the status of diversity in ex situ collections	4	
	1.2.3	Changes	in the status of crop wild relatives	9	
		1.2.3.1	Molecular technologies	9	
		1.2.3.2	Geographic information systems	11	
		1.2.3.3	Information and communication technologies	14	
1.3			bility and erosion	15	
	1.3.1		genetic vulnerability and erosion	15	
	1.3.2		s of genetic erosion and vulnerability	17	
1.4		lependenc		17	
1.5 1.6		ges since tr and needs	ne first State of the World report was published	22 22	
	Caps				
Ck	anto	r 2 Th	ne state of <i>in situ</i> management		
Ci	napte	12 111	e state of <i>in situ</i> management		
2.1	Introd	duction		31	
2.2	Conse	rvation an	nd management of PGRFA in wild ecosystems	31	
	2.2.1		y and state of knowledge	31	
	2.2.2	In situ co	nservation of crop wild relatives in protected areas	33	
	2.2.3		nservation of PGRFA outside protected areas	35	
	2.2.4	,	stem for in situ conservation areas	35 36	
2.3	On-farm management of PGRFA in agricultural production systems 2.3.1 Amount and distribution of crop genetic diversity in production				
	2.3.1	systems	and distribution of crop genetic diversity in production	36	
	2.3.2	•	ment practices for diversity maintenance	40	
	2.3.3		as custodians of diversity	41	
	2.3.4		to support the conservation of diversity in agricultural		
			on systems	42	
		2.3.4.1	Adding value through characterizing local materials	42	
		2.3.4.2	Improving local materials through breeding and seed		
			processing	42	
		2.3.4.3	Increasing consumer demand through market		
			incentives and public awareness	42	
		2.3.4.4	Improved access to information and materials	43	
		2.3.4.5	Supportive policies, legislation and incentives	43	

2.4	Global challenges to <i>in situ</i> conservation and management of PGRFA	43	
	2.4.1 Climate change	43	
	2.4.2 Habitat change	44	
	2.4.3 Invasive alien species	44	
	2.4.4 Replacement of traditional with modern varieties	44	
2.5	Changes since the first State of the World report was published	44	
2.6	Gaps and needs	45	
Ch	The state of ex situ conservation		
3.1	Introduction	55	
3.2	Overview of genebanks	55	
3.3	Collecting	55	
	3.3.1 Situation in the regions	57	
3.4	Types and status of collections	60	
	3.4.1 International and national genebanks	60	
	3.4.2 Crop species coverage	61	
	3.4.2.1 Major crops	61	
	3.4.2.2 Minor crops and wild relatives	67	
	3.4.3 Types of material stored	67	
	3.4.4 Source of material in genebanks	67	
	3.4.5 Gaps in collection coverage	69	
	3.4.6 Conservation of deoxyribonucleic acid samples and nucleotide		
	sequence information	70	
3.5	Storage facilities	71	
3.6	Security of stored material	74	
3.7	Regeneration	76	
3.8	Documentation and characterization	77	
	3.8.1 Documentation	77	
	3.8.2 Characterization	80	
3.9	Germplasm movement	83	
3.10	Botanical gardens	85	
	3.10.1 Conservation facilities, statistics and examples	85	
	3.10.2 Documentation and germplasm exchange	86	
3.11	Changes since the first State of the World report was published	87	
	Gaps and needs	87	
Ch	The state of use		
4.1	Introduction	95	
4.2	Germplasm distribution and use	95	
4.3	Characterization and evaluation of PGRFA	96	
4.4			
		98	

4.5	Crops and traits		
4.6	Breed	ing approaches for use of PGRFA	103
	4.6.1	Pre-breeding and base-broadening	104
	4.6.2	Farmers' participation and farmer breeding	104
4.7	Const	raints to improved use of PGRFA	107
	4.7.1	Human resources	107
	4.7.2	Funding	107
	4.7.3	Facilities	107
	4.7.4	Cooperation and linkages	108
	4.7.5	Information access and management	108
4.8	Produ	ction of seeds and planting material	108
4.9	Emerg	ging challenges and opportunities	111
	4.9.1	Use of PGRFA for sustainable agriculture and ecosystem services	111
	4.9.2	Underutilized species	112
	4.9.3	Biofuel crops	113
	4.9.4	Health and dietary diversity	113
	4.9.5	Climate change	114
		ral aspects of PGRFA	115
		ges since the first State of the World report was published	115
4.12	Gaps	and needs	116
Ch	apte		eeds
Ch	apte	The state of national programmes, training nand legislation	eeds
Ch 5.1	Introd	and legislation	eeds
	Introd	and legislation	
5.1	Introd	and legislation luction of national programmes	123
5.1	Introd State 5.2.1	and legislation luction of national programmes	123 123
5.1	Introd State 5.2.1 5.2.2	and legislation luction of national programmes Purpose and functions of national programmes	123 123 123
5.1	Introd State 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4	and legislation Juction of national programmes Purpose and functions of national programmes Types of national programmes Status of development of national programmes National programme funding	123 123 123 123
5.1	Introd State 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3	and legislation Juction of national programmes Purpose and functions of national programmes Types of national programmes Status of development of national programmes National programme funding Role of the private sector, non-governmental organizations and	123 123 123 123 124 125
5.1	Introd State 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4	and legislation luction of national programmes Purpose and functions of national programmes Types of national programmes Status of development of national programmes National programme funding Role of the private sector, non-governmental organizations and educational institutions	123 123 123 123 124 125
5.1	Introd State 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4	and legislation Juction of national programmes Purpose and functions of national programmes Types of national programmes Status of development of national programmes National programme funding Role of the private sector, non-governmental organizations and educational institutions 5.2.5.1 Private sector	123 123 123 123 124 125 125
5.1	Introd State 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4	and legislation Juction of national programmes Purpose and functions of national programmes Types of national programmes Status of development of national programmes National programme funding Role of the private sector, non-governmental organizations and educational institutions 5.2.5.1 Private sector 5.2.5.2 Non-governmental Organizations	123 123 123 123 124 125 125 125
5.1 5.2	Introc State 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5	and legislation Juction of national programmes Purpose and functions of national programmes Types of national programmes Status of development of national programmes National programme funding Role of the private sector, non-governmental organizations and educational institutions 5.2.5.1 Private sector 5.2.5.2 Non-governmental Organizations 5.2.5.3 Universities	123 123 123 123 124 125 125 125 126 126
5.1 5.2	Introd State 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5	and legislation Juction of national programmes Purpose and functions of national programmes Types of national programmes Status of development of national programmes National programme funding Role of the private sector, non-governmental organizations and educational institutions 5.2.5.1 Private sector 5.2.5.2 Non-governmental Organizations 5.2.5.3 Universities Ing and education	123 123 123 123 124 125 125 126 126 126
5.1 5.2	Introd State 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5	and legislation Juction of national programmes Purpose and functions of national programmes Types of national programmes Status of development of national programmes National programme funding Role of the private sector, non-governmental organizations and educational institutions 5.2.5.1 Private sector 5.2.5.2 Non-governmental Organizations 5.2.5.3 Universities Ing and education Inal policy and legislation	123 123 123 124 125 125 126 126 126 129
5.1 5.2	Introd State 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5 Traini Natio 5.4.1	and legislation Juction of national programmes Purpose and functions of national programmes Types of national programmes Status of development of national programmes National programme funding Role of the private sector, non-governmental organizations and educational institutions 5.2.5.1 Private sector 5.2.5.2 Non-governmental Organizations 5.2.5.3 Universities ng and education nal policy and legislation Phytosanitary regulations	123 123 123 124 125 125 126 126 126 129 129
5.1 5.2	Introd State 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5 Traini Natio 5.4.1 5.4.2	and legislation Juction of national programmes Purpose and functions of national programmes Types of national programmes Status of development of national programmes National programme funding Role of the private sector, non-governmental organizations and educational institutions 5.2.5.1 Private sector 5.2.5.2 Non-governmental Organizations 5.2.5.3 Universities ng and education nal policy and legislation Phytosanitary regulations Seed regulations	123 123 123 124 125 125 126 126 126 129 129
5.1 5.2	Introd State 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5 Traini Natio 5.4.1 5.4.2	and legislation Juction of national programmes Purpose and functions of national programmes Types of national programmes Status of development of national programmes National programme funding Role of the private sector, non-governmental organizations and educational institutions 5.2.5.1 Private sector 5.2.5.2 Non-governmental Organizations 5.2.5.3 Universities Ing and education Phytosanitary regulations Seed regulations Intellectual property rights	123 123 123 124 125 125 126 126 126 129 129 129
5.1 5.2	Introd State 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5 Traini Natio 5.4.1 5.4.2	and legislation Juction of national programmes Purpose and functions of national programmes Types of national programmes Status of development of national programmes National programme funding Role of the private sector, non-governmental organizations and educational institutions 5.2.5.1 Private sector 5.2.5.2 Non-governmental Organizations 5.2.5.3 Universities Ing and education Phytosanitary regulations Seed regulations Intellectual property rights 5.4.3.1 Plant breeders' rights	123 123 123 124 125 125 126 126 126 129 129 129 130
5.1 5.2	Introd State 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5 Traini Natio 5.4.1 5.4.2 5.4.3	and legislation Juction of national programmes Purpose and functions of national programmes Types of national programmes Status of development of national programmes National programme funding Role of the private sector, non-governmental organizations and educational institutions 5.2.5.1 Private sector 5.2.5.2 Non-governmental Organizations 5.2.5.3 Universities Ing and education Phytosanitary regulations Seed regulations Intellectual property rights 5.4.3.1 Plant breeders' rights 5.4.3.2 Patents	123 123 123 124 125 125 126 126 129 129 129 130 131
5.1 5.2	Introd State 5.2.1 5.2.2 5.2.3 5.2.4 5.2.5 Traini Natio 5.4.1 5.4.2 5.4.3	and legislation Juction of national programmes Purpose and functions of national programmes Types of national programmes Status of development of national programmes National programme funding Role of the private sector, non-governmental organizations and educational institutions 5.2.5.1 Private sector 5.2.5.2 Non-governmental Organizations 5.2.5.3 Universities Ing and education Phytosanitary regulations Seed regulations Intellectual property rights 5.4.3.1 Plant breeders' rights 5.4.3.2 Patents Farmers' Rights	123 123 123 124 125 125 126 126 126 129 129 129 130

5.5 5.6	Changes since the first State of the World report was published Gaps and needs	135 136
Cł	The state of regional and international collabora	ation
6.1	Introduction	143
6.2	PGRFA networks	143
	6.2.1 Regional multicrop PGRFA networks	144
	6.2.2 Crop-specific networks	149
6.3	6.2.3 Thematic networks International organizations and associations with programmes	150
	on PGRFA	150
	6.3.1 FAO's initiatives on PGRFA	150
	6.3.2 The International Agricultural Research Centres of the Consultative	
	Group on International Agricultural Research	151
	6.3.3 Other international and regional research and development	
	institutions	153
	6.3.4 International and regional fora and associations	154
	6.3.5 Bilateral cooperation	154
<i>-</i> 1	6.3.6 Non-governmental Organizations	154
6.4	International and regional agreements	155
	6.4.1 Regional and international collaboration regarding	156
6.5	phytosanitary issues International funding mechanisms	156
6.6	Changes since the first State of the World report was published	157
6.7	Gaps and needs	159
Cł	Access to Plant Genetic Resources, the sharing of benefits arising out of their utilization and the realization of Farmers' Rights	
7.1	Introduction	165
7.2	Developments in the international legal and policy framework for	
	access and benefit sharing	165
	7.2.1 The International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food	
	and Agriculture	165
	7.2.1.1 Benefit-sharing under the Multilateral System	165
	7.2.1.2 Enforcement of the terms and conditions of the Standard	
	Material Transfer Agreement	166
	7.2.2 The Convention on Biological Diversity	166
	7.2.3 Access and benefit-sharing in relation to WTO, UPOV and WIPO	168
7.3	7.2.4 FAO and access and benefit-sharing Developments in access and benefit-sharing at the national and	168
ι.5	regional levels	169
	regional levels	109

	7.3.1 Accessing germplasm						
	7.3.2	Benefits derived from the conservation and use of PGRFA					
	7.3.3	Developm	ment of access and benefit-sharing arrangements at the				
		national l	national level				
		7.3.3.1	General problems and approaches at the national				
			level	169			
		7.3.3.2	National and regional implementation of access and				
			benefit-sharing under the ITPGRFA	171			
		7.3.3.3	National and regional implementation of access and				
			benefit-sharing under the Convention on Biological				
			Diversity	173			
7.4	Farme	ers' Riahts u	under the ITPGRFA	175			
7.5			ne first State of the World report was published	176			
7.6	•	and needs		176			
	Caps			.,,			
Ch	napte		e contribution of PGRFA to food security a	ınd			
		sus	stainable agricultural development				
8.1	Introd	luction		183			
8.2			culture development and PGRFA	183			
0.2	8.2.1	_	liversity for sustainable agriculture	184			
	8.2.2		n services and PGRFA	185			
8.3		A and food		186			
0.5			duction, yields and PGRFA	186			
			cal and indigenous PGRFA	189			
			hange and PGRFA	189			
			imensions of PGRFA	190			
			, health and PGRFA	190			
0.4			nderutilized and neglected PGRFA	191			
8.4			opment, poverty and PGRFA	192			
	8.4.1		varieties and economic development	193			
			ation and the use of genetic diversity	194			
		Access to		195			
۰.			tion and PGRFA	196			
8.5			ne first State of the World report was published	196			
8.6	Gaps	and needs		198			
Ann	ex 1		List of countries that provided information for the				
			preparation of the Second Report on the State of				
			the World's Plant Genetic Resources for Food and				
			Agriculture	205			
Ann	av 2		Regional distribution of countries	203			
AIII	CA 2		regional distribution of countries	213			
App	endix 1		Status by country of national legislation related				
			to plant genetic resources for food and agriculture	219			
			=				

Appendix 2	Major germplasm collections by crop and institute	243
Appendix 3	The state-of-the-art: methodologies and technologies	
	for the identification, conservation and use of PGRFA	287
Appendix 4	State of diversity of major and minor crops	307

List of figures

1.1	Global priority genetic reserve locations for wild relatives of 12	
	food crops	10
1.2	Gaps in ex situ collections of selected crop genepools	11
1.3	Interdependency illustrated by the example of cocoa genetic resources	18
2.1	Growth in nationally designated protected areas (1928-2008)	33
3.1	Geographic distribution of genebanks with holdings of >10 000	
	accessions in national and regional genebanks (blue); CGIAR centre	
	genebanks (beige); SGSV (green)	56
3.2	Number of accessions collected each year since 1920 and stored in	
	selected genebanks, including those of the CGIAR centres	57
3.3	Type of accessions collected by selected genebanks over two time	
	periods, 1984-95 and 1996-2007	58
3.4	Accessions collected by selected genebanks over the period 1996-2007	
	according to crop group	58
3.5	Contribution of major crop groups in total ex situ collections	61
3.6	Types of accessions in ex situ germplasm collections in 1996 and 2009	
	(the size difference in the charts represents the growth in the total	
	numbers of accessions held ex situ between 1996 and 2009) for which this	
	description is available	68
3.7	Distribution of germplasm held by the IARCs by type of germplasm	
	(1996-2007)	84
3.8	Distribution of germplasm from the IARCs to different types of	
	recipient organization between 1996 and 2007	84
4.1	Sources of PGRFA used by breeders working in national breeding programmes	96
4.2	Trends in plant breeding capacity; percentage of respondents indicating that	
	human, financial and infrastructure resources for plant breeding of specific	
	crops in their country had increased, decreased or remained stable since	
	the first SoW report	99
4.3	Percentage of countries that reported the existence of public and private	
	breeding programmes in the first and second SoW reports	100
4.4	Major constraints to plant breeding: percentage of respondents indicating	
	that a particular constraint was of major importance in their region	101
8.1	Categories of ecosystem services	185
8.2	Average yields (kg/ha) for a) wheat; b) paddy rice (1961-2007);	
	and c) maize (1997-2007) by major regions (the vertical bar marks the date	
	on which the first SoW report was published)	188
8.3	Number of undernourished people in the world, 2003-2005 (millions)	191
8.4	Cereal yield and poverty in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa	193

A4.2 A4.3 A4.4	Volatility of international cereal prices Global yields of selected cereal crops (tonnes per hectare) Global yields of root and tuber crops (tonnes per hectare) Global yields of selected legume crops (tonnes per hectare) Global yields of sugar crops (tonnes per hectare) Global yields of miscellaneous crops (tonnes per hectare)	197 311 318 322 325 336
7(113	List of boxes	
1.1	Examples of the use of molecular tools in conservation and characterization,	12
2.1	as reported in selected country reports A Crop Wild Relatives Project: increasing knowledge, promoting	12
	awareness and enhancing action	32
4.1	Examples of initiatives and legal instruments developed to	
	promote PGRFA use	102
4.2	Improvement of passion fruit (<i>Passiflora</i> spp.) using genetic resources	100
5.1	from wild relatives Examples of developments in national legislation that support the	106
J. I	conservation and use of traditional crop varieties	131
5.2	India's Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Act of 2001	134
7.1	Benefit-sharing under the ITPGRFA	166
7.2	Potential benefits from access and benefit-sharing as listed in the	
7.0	Bonn Guidelines	167
7.3	Implementing the Multilateral System through administrative measures – the experience of one Contracting Party	170
8.1	The Millennium Development Goals	183
8.2	NERICA rice	187
8.3	FAO Initiative on Soaring Food Prices	196
A3.1	List of plant species with ongoing genome sequencing projects in 2010	290
	List of tables	
1.1	Comparison between the collections maintained by AVRDC and the	
	CGIAR centres in 1995 and 2008	5
1.2	Comparison between the collections maintained by selected national	
	genebanks in 1995 and 2008	6
1.3	Crop groups and number of countries that provide examples of	1.0
1.4	genetic erosion in a crop group Indicators of global interdependency of selected crops	16 19
2.1	Summary of 14 priority CWR species as reported by Maxted & Kell, 2009	37
3.1	Regional and subregional distribution of accessions stored in national	
	genebanks (international and regional genebanks are excluded)	56

The growth in area under improved cereal varieties in 1980 and 2000

Seed sources by consumption group in Malawi (1=poor; 5=rich)

8.5

8.6

194

195

3.2	Holders of the six largest ex situ collections of selected crops	62
3.3	Global germplasm holdings in terms of type of accession (mean	
	percentage) for groups of crops included in Appendix 2	68
3.4	Number and percentage of accessions of local origin in ex situ	
	genebanks, excluding collections held in international and regional	
	genebanks	69
3.5	Germplasm holdings at SGSV as of 18 June 2009	72
3.6	Extent of characterization for some of the collections held by CGIAR centres and AVRDC	80
3.7	Average extent of characterization and evaluation of national	
	collections in 40 countries	81
3.8	Botanical garden collections of selected crops listed in Annex 1 of	
	the ITPGRFA	86
4.1	Percentage of accessions of different types of PGRFA distributed by	
	the IARCs to different classes of user from 1996 to 2006	95
4.2	Traits and methods used for characterizing germplasm: percentage	
	of accessions characterized and/or evaluated using particular	
	methods, or evaluated for particular traits, averaged across countries	
	in each region	97
4.3	Major obstacles to the establishment of core collections: percentage of	
	respondents in each region who indicated that a particular restriction	
	represented an important constraint in the region	98
4.4	Major obstacles to base-broadening and crop diversification:	
	percentage of respondents in each region reporting a particular	
	obstacle as being important	105
4.5	Examples of country reports that mention the use of participatory	
	plant breeding	105
6.1	Regional multicrop plant genetic resources networks around	
	the world	145
7.1	Experience of the CGIAR centres with the SMTA from 1 January 2007	
	to 31 July 2007 (first line) and 1 August 2007 to 1 August 2008	
	(second line)	173
A2	Germplasm collections by crop	244
Abb	reviations and acronyms	351

CD-ROM content

- The Second Report on the State of the World's Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture
- Synthetic Account
- Country Reports
- Thematic Studies

Preface

The first report on *The State of the World's Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture* (first SoW report) was presented to the Fourth International Technical Conference on Plant Genetic Resources held in Leipzig, Germany, in 1996. The Conference welcomed the report as the first comprehensive worldwide assessment of the state of plant genetic resource conservation and use. The full version of the first SoW report was published by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) in 1998.

The Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (CGRFA), at its Eighth Regular Session, reaffirmed that FAO should periodically assess the state of the world's plant genetic resources for food and agriculture (PGRFA) to facilitate analyses of changing gaps and needs and contribute to the updating process of the rolling Global Plan of Action on the Conservation and Sustainable Use of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (GPA).

The CGRFA, at its Eleventh Regular Session, reviewed progress on the preparation of *The Second Report on the State of the World's Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture* (SoWPGR-2) and noted that it should be a high quality document to identify the most significant gaps and needs, in order to provide a sound basis for the updating of the rolling GPA. It agreed that the SOWPGR-2 needed to be updated with the best data and information available, including country reports, information gathering processes and thematic studies, with the largest possible participation of countries, and should focus on changes that have occurred since 1996.

The preparatory process of the SoWPGR-2 used country reports as the main source of information on the status and trends of plant genetic resource conservation and use at the national level. As additional sources of information, FAO used scientific literature, thematic background studies and other relevant technical publications. Throughout the preparation, FAO strived to ensure high quality of the data and made considerable efforts to ensure that the process was country-driven, participatory and involved relevant international organizations.

The country reports were prepared based on the Guidelines for the Preparation of the Country Reports agreed by the CGRFA and made available in 2005. These Guidelines streamlined the process that had been established for the preparation of the SoWPGR-2 and introduced a new approach to monitor the implementation of the GPA.

The SoWPGR-2 was produced based on information provided by 113 countries (see Annex 1). FAO received the first of the 111 country reports in 2006, however, the majority were received in 2008. Two additional countries supplied data using a simplified reporting format. Reports from countries are available on the CD attached to this publication.

The progressive application of the new approach for the monitoring of the GPA implementation, that started in 2003, led to the establishment of National Information Sharing Mechanisms (NISM) in more than 60 countries worldwide (see Annex 1). Providing comprehensive information on the implementation of all the 20 priority activity areas of the GPA, the NISMs were widely used in the preparation of a large number of country reports.

A wide range of partners, including Bioversity International on behalf of the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR), the Global Crop Diversity Trust (GCDT) and the Secretariat of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA), as well as other relevant international organizations, provided inputs throughout the preparation process. Specific information from the CGIAR and other regional and international genebanks was gathered in 2008 under the coordination of the System Wide Genetic Resources Programme.

The CGRFA requested that the SoWPGR-2 address the same seven chapter topics that were selected for the first SoW report, with one additional chapter discussing the contribution of PGRFA management to food security and sustainable development.

The CGRFA requested the preparation of in-depth studies on specific topics, including climate change, nutrition and health, as well as indicators on genetic erosion and seed systems, to complement the information provided through country reports. These studies were prepared in collaboration with several partners, including the CGIAR centres, and are available on the CD attached to this publication.

The SoWPGR-2 identifies the most significant gaps and needs on the conservation and use of PGRFA that have arisen since the first SoW report, provides the basis for the updating of the rolling GPA and for designing strategic national, regional and international policies for the implementation of its priority activities. At its Twelfth Session the CGRFA endorsed the report as the authoritative assessment of this sector. On the request of the CGRFA, a synthetic account of the report was also prepared containing the main findings and highlighting the gaps and needs that need urgent attention.

Acknowledgements

The SoWPGR-2 has been possible thanks to the contribution of time, energy and expertise by many individuals. FAO would like to take this opportunity to acknowledge their generosity. The report was prepared by FAO's Plant Production and Protection Division under the overall supervision of Elcio P. Guimarães. The core FAO team was composed of Stefano Diulgheroff, Kakoli Ghosh, Robert Gouantoueu Guei and Barbara Pick. Linda Collette, Juan Fajardo, Brad Fraleigh and Nuria Urquia also contributed to the work of the team. During the preparation process of the SoWPGR-2, there was very close collaboration with the Bioversity International team composed of Kwesi Atta-Krah, Ehsan Dulloo, Jan Engels, Toby Hodgkin and David Williams; the Global Crop Diversity Trust team was composed of Luigi Guarino and Godfrey Mwila.

The core information used to prepare the SoWPGR-2 was provided by 113 countries through country reports and data supplied through other mechanisms. The SoWPGR-2 team wishes to thank those governments and individuals for their contributions on the national status of PGRFA in their countries.

The preparation of this report would not have been possible without the generous financial support provided by the Governments of Canada, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands, Norway and Spain, and by FAO. Each chapter, annex and appendix of this report was prepared and reviewed by the individual experts or expert teams that are acknowledged below.

Chapter 1 – The state of diversity, was written by a team led by Bert Visser in association with Jan M.M. Engels, V.R. Rao, J. Dempewolf and M. van D. Wouw. The chapter was revised by Luigi Guarino and Danny Hunter.

Chapter 2 - The state of *in situ* management, was written by a team led by Ehsan Dulloo in association with Devra Jarvis, Imke Thormann, Xavier Scheldeman, Jesus Salcedo, Danny Hunter and Toby Hodgkin. The chapter was revised by Luigi Guarino.

Chapter 3 - The state of *ex situ* conservation, was written by Stefano Diulgheroff and Jonathan Robinson with the assistance of Morten Hulden, except for Section 3.10 Botanical Gardens, which was prepared by Suzanne Sharrock. The whole chapter was revised by Toby Hodgkin and Luigi Guarino.

Chapter 4 – The state of use, was written by Jonathan Robinson and Elcio P. Guimarães and was revised by Clair Hershey and Eric Kueneman.

Chapter 5 - The state of national programmes, training needs and legislation, was written by a team led by Patrick McGuire in association with Barbara Pick and Raj Paroda and was revised by Geoffrey Hawtin and Elcio P. Guimarães.

Chapter 6 - The state of regional and international collaboration, was written by Geoffrey Hawtin and Raj Paroda and was revised by Kakoli Ghosh.

Chapter 7 - Access to plant genetic resources, the sharing of benefits arising out of their utilization and the realization of Farmers' Rights, was written by Gerald Moore and was revised by Maria José Amstalden Sampaio and Geoffrey Hawtin.

Chapter 8 - The contribution of PGRFA to food security and sustainable agricultural development, was written by a team led by Leslie Lipper in association with Romina Cavatassi and Alder Keleman and was revised by Kakoli Ghosh and Robert Gouantoueu Guei.

Annex 1 - List of countries that provided information for the preparation of *The Second Report on the State of the World's Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture*, was prepared by Barbara Pick, Patrick McGuire and Elcio P. Guimarães.

Annex 2 - Regional distribution of countries, was prepared by Barbara Pick and Marike Brezillon-Millet.

Appendix 1 - Status by country of national legislation related to Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture, was prepared by Barbara Pick.

Appendix 2 - Major germplasm collections by crop and institute, was prepared by Morten Hulden and Stefano Diulgheroff.

Appendix 3 – The state-of-the-art: methodologies and technologies for the identification, conservation and use of plant genetic resources for food and agriculture, was prepared by Patrick McGuire and revised by Theresa M. Fulton and Chike Mba.

Appendix 4 – State of diversity of major and minor crops, was prepared by Patrick McGuire, revised by Stefano Diulgheroff and received inputs from Steve Beebe, Merideth Bonierbale, Hernan Ceballos, Bing Engle, José Esquinas, Luigi Guarino, Lorenzo Maggioni, Cesar P. Martínez, Elisa Mihovilovich, Matilde Orrillo, Rodomiro Ortiz and Hari D. Upadhyaya on specific crops.

Background papers were prepared to provide information for the preparation of some of the chapters: Bernard Le Buanec and Maurício Lopes contributed to Chapter 4; Ana Ciampi, El Tahir Ibrahim Mohamed, V. Ramanath Rao and Eva Thorn contributed to Chapter 5; Luis Guillermo G., Laszlo Holly, Godfrey Mwila, and V. Ramanath Rao contributed to Chapter 6; and Susan Bragdon, Simone Ferreira and Maria José Amstalden Sampaio contributed to Chapter 7.

Thematic background studies that were requested by the Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture were coordinated by Caterina Batello, Barbara Burlingame, Linda Collette, Stefano Diulgheroff, Kakoli Ghosh, Elcio P. Guimarães, Thomas Osborn and Alvaro Toledo and were prepared by: P.K. Aggarwal, Ahmed Amri, Ben Anderson, Anthony H.D. Brown, Sam Fujisaka, Andy Jarvis, C.L.L. Gowda, Li Jingsong, Shelagh Kell, Michael Larinde, Philippe Le Coent, Zhang Li, Niels Louwaars, Arturo Martínez, Nigel Maxted, Hari D. Upadhyaya and Ronnie Vernooy.

Information was also compiled into two Regional Synthesis Reports which were assembled by Ahmed Amri, Javad Mouzafari, Natalya Rukhkyan and Marcio de Miranda Santos

A special acknowledgment goes to Geoffrey Hawtin and Patrick McGuire, who supported the preparation of and contributed to the analysis of country reports, technical editing of chapters and follow-up to all activities related to the preparation of this report.

Many FAO staff members and consultants provided specific contributions to chapters, annexes and/or appendices including: Nadine Azzu, Badi Besbes, Gustavo Blanco, Petra Engel, Luana Licata, Selim Louafi, Kent Nnadozie, Michela Paganini and Beate Scherf.

Throughout the preparation of the report, continuous support and encouragement were received from the Secretariats of the Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture and the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture as well as from the Director of the Plant Production and Protection Division.

Administrative support was provided by Belén Jimenez, Ann Denise Mackin-Lazzaro, Enrica Romanazzo and Patricia Taylor in all phases of the preparatory process of the SoWPGR-2.

The design of the cover was created by Omar Bolbol, the editing was the work of Adrianna Gabrielli and the layout was done by Rita Ashton.

A special expression of gratitude goes to all genebank managers who provided data for the World Information and Early Warning System on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture and to more than 1 000 stakeholders who provided information for the National Information Sharing Mechanisms on plant genetic resources for food and agriculture (NISM) and for the preparation of the country reports.

There is an extensive list of countries, institutions and individuals who deserve to be acknowledged for a work of this nature. Therefore, our apologies and thanks are conveyed to all those persons who may have provided assistance for the preparation of the SoWPGR-2 and whose names have been inadvertently omitted.

Executive summary

This report describes the current status of the conservation and use of PGRFA throughout the world. It is based on country reports, information gathering processes, regional syntheses, thematic background studies and published scientific literature. It describes the most significant changes that have taken place since the first SoW report was published in 1998 and describes major continuing gaps and needs. The structure follows that of the first SoW report with an additional chapter on the contribution of PGRFA to food security and sustainable agricultural development.

1

The state of diversity

The total number of accessions conserved *ex situ* worldwide has increased by approximately 20 percent since 1996, reaching 7.4 million. While new collecting accounted for at least 240 000 accessions, and possibly considerably more, much of the overall increase is the result of exchange and unplanned duplication. It is estimated that less than 30 percent of the total number of accessions are distinct. While the number of accessions of minor crops and crop wild relatives (CWR) has increased, these categories are still generally underrepresented. There is still a need for greater rationalization among collections globally.

Scientific understanding of the on-farm management of genetic diversity has increased. While this approach to the conservation and use of PGRFA is becoming increasingly mainstreamed within national programmes, further efforts are needed in this regard.

With the development of new molecular techniques, the amount of data available on genetic diversity has increased dramatically, leading to an improved understanding of issues such as domestication, genetic erosion and genetic vulnerability. The introduction of modern varieties of staple crops appears to have resulted in an overall decrease in genetic diversity, although within the released varieties themselves the data are inconsistent and no overall narrowing of the genetic base can be discerned. The situation regarding genetic erosion in landraces and CWR is equally complex. While many recent studies have confirmed that diversity in farmers' fields and protected areas has eroded, this is not universally the case.

Many country reports expressed continuing concern over the extent of genetic vulnerability and the need for a greater deployment of diversity. However, better techniques and indicators are needed to monitor genetic diversity, to establish baselines and monitor trends.

There is evidence of growing public awareness with regard to the importance of genetic diversity, both to meet increasing demands for greater dietary diversity, as well as to meet future production challenges. The increased environmental variability that is expected to result from climate change implies that in the future, farmers and plant breeders will need to be able to access an even wider range of PGRFA than today.

The state of in situ management

Since the first SoW report was published, a large number of surveys and inventories have been carried out in many different countries, both in natural and agricultural ecosystems. Awareness of the importance and value of CWR and of the need to conserve them *in situ* has increased. A global strategy for CWR conservation and use has been drafted, protocols for the *in situ* conservation of CWR are now available, and a new Specialist Group on CWR has been established within the International Union for Conservation of Nature/ Species Survival Commission (SSC-IUCN). The number and coverage of protected areas has expanded by approximately 30 percent over the past decade and this has indirectly led to a greater protection of CWR. However, relatively little progress has been achieved in conserving wild PGRFA outside protected areas or in developing sustainable management techniques for plants harvested from the wild.

Significant progress has been made in the development of tools and techniques to assess and monitor PGRFA within agricultural production systems. Countries now report a greater understanding of the amount and distribution of genetic diversity in the field, as well as the value of local seed systems in maintaining such diversity. More attention is now being paid in several countries to increasing genetic diversity within production systems as a way to reduce risk, particularly in light of changes in climate, pests and diseases. The number of on-farm management projects carried out with the participation of local stakeholders has increased somewhat and new legal mechanisms have been put in place in several countries to enable farmers to market genetically diverse varieties.

There is still a need for more effective policies, legislation and regulations governing the *in situ* and on-farm management of PGRFA, both inside and outside protected areas, and closer collaboration and coordination are needed between the agriculture and environment sectors. Many aspects of *in situ* management still require further research and strengthened research capacity is required in such areas as the taxonomy of CWR and the use of molecular tools to conduct inventories and surveys.

The state of ex situ conservation

Since the publication of the first SoW report, more than 1.4 million accessions have been added to *ex situ* collections, the large majority of which are in the form of seeds. Fewer countries now account for a larger percentage of the total world *ex situ* germplasm holdings than was the case in 1996.

While many major crops are well-, or even overduplicated, many important collections are inadequately so and hence potentially at risk. For several staple crops, such as wheat and rice, a large part of the genetic diversity is currently represented in collections. However, for many others, considerable gaps remain. Interest in collecting CWR, landraces and neglected and underutilized species, is growing as land-use systems change and environmental concerns increase the likelihood of their erosion.

Many countries still lack adequate human capacity, facilities, funds or management systems to meet their *ex situ* conservation needs and obligations, and as a result, a number of collections are at risk. While significant advances have been made in regeneration in both national and international collections, further work remains to be done. The

documentation and characterization of many collections is still inadequate and in cases where information does exist. it is often difficult to access.

Greater efforts are needed to build a truly rational global system of *ex situ* collections. This requires, in particular, strengthened regional and international trust and cooperation.

The number of botanical gardens around the world now exceeds 2 500, maintaining samples of some 80 000 plant species. Many of these are CWR. Botanical gardens took the lead in developing the Global Strategy for Plant Conservation adopted by the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) in 2002.

The creation of the GCDT and the Svalbard Global Seed Vault (SGSV) both represent major achievements since the first SoW report was published and the world's PGRFA is undoubtedly more secure as a result. However, while seed collections are larger and more secure overall, the situation has progressed less in the case of vegetatively propagated species and species whose seeds cannot be dried and stored at low temperatures.

4

The state of use

The sustainable use of PGRFA primarily through plant breeding and associated seed systems remains essential for food security, viable agricultural enterprise and for adaptation to climate change. By aggregating data globally, it appears that plant breeding capacity has not changed significantly during the last 15 years. A modest increase in the number of plant breeders has been reported in some countries and a decline in others. In many countries public sector plant breeding has continued to contract, with the private sector increasingly taking over.

Agriculture in many developing countries that reduced their support to public sector crop development, leaving instead, the sustainable use of PGRFA to the private sector, is more vulnerable than in the past as private sector breeding and seed enterprise is restricted largely to a few crops for which farmers buy fresh seed each season. Considerably more attention and capacity building is urgently needed to strengthen plant breeding capacity and the associated seed systems in most developing countries, where most of the important crops are not, and will not be, the focus of private enterprise.

The number of accessions characterized and evaluated has increased in all regions but not in all individual countries. More countries now use molecular markers to characterize their germplasm and undertake genetic enhancement and base-broadening to introduce new traits from non-adapted populations and wild relatives.

Several new important international initiatives have been established to promote the increased use of PGRFA. The Global Partnership Initiative for Plant Breeding Capacity Building (GIPB), for instance, aims to enhance the sustainable use of PGRFA in developing countries through helping to build capacity in plant breeding and seed systems. The GCDT, and the new Generation and Harvest Plus Challenge Programs of the CCGIAR, all support the increased characterization, evaluation and improvement of germplasm.

Genomics, proteomics, bioinformatics and climate change were all absent from the first SoW report but are important now, and greater prominence is also given to sustainable agriculture, biofuel crops and human health. Although progress in research and development of neglected and underutilized species, as recommended in the first SoW report, is difficult to gauge, it is clear that further efforts are needed.

In many countries there is a need for more effective strategies, policies and legislation, including seed and intellectual property (IP) legislation, to promote a greater use of PGRFA. Good opportunities exist to strengthen cooperation among those involved in conservation and use, at all stages of the seed and food chain. Stronger links are needed, especially between plant breeders and those involved in seed systems, as well as between the public and private sectors.

5

The state of national programmes, training needs and legislation

Although the first SoW report classified national programmes into three categories, it has since become clear that such a typology is too simplistic. There is huge heterogeneity among national programmes in terms of their goals, functions, organization and structure. Of the 113 countries that provided information for both the first and second SoW reports, 46 percent had no national programme in 1996 whereas 71 percent have one now. In most countries, national government institutions are the principal entities involved, however, the number of other stakeholders, especially universities, has expanded. Many of the country reports noted that funding remains inadequate and unreliable.

Even in countries with well-coordinated national programmes, certain elements are often missing. National, publicly accessible databases, for example are still comparatively rare, as are coordinated systems for safety duplication and public awareness.

Since the first SoW report was published, most countries have enacted new national phytosanitary legislation, or revised old legislation, in large part in response to the adoption of the revised International Plant Protection Convention (IPPC) in 1997. With respect to intellectual property rights (IPR), of the 85 developing and Eastern European countries that now recognize Plant Breeders Rights (PBR), 60 have done so in the last decade. Seven others are currently drafting legislation.

The importance of farmers as custodians and developers of genetic diversity was recognized in the ITPGRFA through the provisions of Article 9 on Farmers' Rights. Eight countries have now adopted regulations covering one or more aspects of Farmers' Rights.

Since the first SoW report, biosafety has emerged as an important issue and many countries have now either adopted national biosafety regulations or frameworks, or are currently developing them. As of February 2010, 157 countries and the European Union had ratified the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.

6

The state of regional and international collaboration

The entry into force of the ITPGRFA in 2004 marks what is probably the most significant development since the publication of the first SoW report. The ITPGRFA is a legally binding international agreement that promotes the conservation and sustainable use of PGRFA and the fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of their use, in harmony with the CBD. International collaboration is strongly promoted by the ITPGRFA, for which FAO provides the Secretariat.

Given the high level of interdependence among countries with respect to the conservation and use of PGRFA, it is imperative that there be strong and extensive international cooperation. Good progress has been made in this area since the first SoW report was published. A number of new regional networks on PGRFA have been established and a few others have become stronger. However, not all have fared well. Several are largely inactive and one has ceased to function. Three new regional networks specifically addressing the issue of seed production, have been established in Africa.

FAO has further strengthened its activities in PGRFA since the first Sow report, for example, through establishing GIPB in 2006. The international centres of the CGIAR concluded agreements in 2006 with FAO, acting on behalf of the Governing Body of the ITPGRFA, in this way bringing their collections within the ITPGRFA's multilateral system of access and benefit sharing. The CGIAR itself is undergoing significant reform.

There have also been many other new international initiatives including the establishment of the International Center for Biosaline Agriculture (ICBA) in 1999, the Central Asia and the Caucasus Association of Agriculture Research Institution (CACAARI) and the Global Forum on Agricultural Research (GFAR) in 2000, the Forum for Agricultural Research in Africa (FARA) in 2002, the Global Cacao Genetic Resources Network (CacaoNet) in 2006, and the Crops for the Future and the SGSV in 2008. All have significant activities in PGRFA. In the area of funding, several new foundations now support international activities with regard to PGRFA. A special fund was set up in 1998 to support agricultural research in Latin America (FONTAGRO) and in 2004, the GCDT was established as an essential element of the funding strategy of the ITPGRFA.



Access to plant genetic resources, the sharing of benefits arising out of their utilization and the realization of Farmers' Rights

The international and national legal and policy framework for access and benefit sharing (ABS) has changed substantially since the publication of the first SoW report. Perhaps the most far-reaching development has been the entry into force of the ITPGRFA in 2004. The ITPGRFA established a Multilateral System of ABS that facilitates access to plant genetic resources of the most important crops for food security, on the basis of a Standard Material Transfer Agreement (SMTA). As of February 2010, there were 123 parties to the ITPGRFA. The FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture adopted a Multi-Year Programme of Work in 1997 that recommended that "FAO continue to focus on ABS for genetic resources for food and agriculture in an integrated and interdisciplinary manner..."

Negotiations under the CBD to develop an international regime on ABS are scheduled to be finalized in 2010. However, many issues remain to be settled, including the legal status of the regime. Discussions on matters related to ABS are also taking place in other fora such as the Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights Council (TRIPS), the World International Property Organization (WIPO) and the World Trade Organization (WTO). There is a need for greater coordination among the different bodies involved in these discussions at the national and international levels.

In February 2010, the CBD Database on ABS Measures listed 33 countries with legislation regulating ABS. Of these, 22 have adopted new laws or regulations since 2000. Most have

been developed in response to the CBD rather than the ITPGRFA. Many countries have expressed a desire for assistance in confronting the complex legal and technical issues involved in drawing up new legislation. So far, there are few models that can be emulated and several countries are experimenting with new ways of protecting and rewarding traditional knowledge and the realization of Farmers' Rights.

8

The contribution of PGRFA to food security and sustainable agricultural development

Sustainable development has grown from being a movement focusing mainly on environmental concerns, to a widely recognized framework that aims to balance economic, social, environmental and intergenerational concerns in decision-making and action at all levels.

There have been growing efforts to strengthen the relationship between agriculture and the provision of ecosystem services. Schemes that promote Payment for Environmenal Services (PES), such as the *in situ* or on-farm conservation of PGRFA, are being set up in an attempt to encourage and reward farmers and rural communities for their stewardship of the environment. However, the fair and effective implementation of such schemes remains a major challenge.

Concerns about the potential impact of climate change have grown substantially over the past decade. Agriculture is both a source and a sink for atmospheric carbon. PGRFA are recognized as being critically important for the development of farming systems that capture more carbon and emit fewer greenhouse gasses, and for underpinning the breeding of new varieties that will be needed for agriculture to adapt to the anticipated future environmental conditions. Given the time needed to breed a new crop variety, it is essential that additional plant breeding capacity be built now.

There is a need for more accurate and reliable measures, standards, indicators and baseline data for sustainability and food security that will enable better monitoring and assessment of the progress made in these areas. Standards and indicators that will enable the monitoring of the specific role played by PGRFA are needed particularly.

In spite of the enormous contribution by PGRFA to global food security and sustainable agriculture, its role is not widely recognized or understood. Greater efforts are needed to estimate the full value of PGRFA, to assess the impact of its use and to bring this information to the attention of policy-makers and the general public so as to help generate the resources needed to strengthen programmes for its conservation and use.