548

Governance of marine protected areas in the least-developed countries

Case studies from West Africa











Governance of marine protected areas in the least-developed countries

FAO FISHERIES AND AQUACULTURE TECHNICAL PAPER

548

Case studies from West Africa

Edited by

Jean-Yves Weigel

Economist IRD Research Director UMR PRODIG France

François Féral

Professor of Public Law CERTAP, University of Perpignan France

and

Bertrand Cazalet

Legal expert CERTAP, University of Perpignan France

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

The views expressed in this information product are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of FAO.

ISBN 978-92-5-107017-8

All rights reserved. FAO encourages reproduction and dissemination of material in this information product. Non-commercial uses will be authorized free of charge. Reproduction for resale or other commercial purposes, including educational purposes, may incur fees. Applications for permission to reproduce or disseminate FAO copyright materials and all other queries on rights and licences, should be addressed by e-mail to copyright@fao.org or to the Chief, Publishing Policy and Support Branch, Office of Knowledge Exchange, Research and Extension, FAO, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy.

Preparation of this document

This publication was developed within the framework of FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department initiatives to promote a multidisciplinary reflection process on marine protected areas (MPAs) and fisheries management that would take into account distinct issues in least-developed countries (LDCs). This work drew on the participation of the authors in this framework and at the workshop on MPAs and fisheries management held in Rome (12–14 July 2006), in the European research project Coherence of Public Policy of Conservation and Development of Coastal and Marine Protected Areas in West Africa (CONSDEV), and in the project Marine Protected Areas and Fisheries Management through Resource and Ecosystem Optimization (aires marines protégées et gestion halieutique par optimisation des ressources et des écosystèmes – AMPHORE) of the French national research agency (Agence nationale de la recherche, ANR) for which the MPA governance analytical framework was developed.

This work is based on empirical evidence and materials relating to cooperation arrangements and it reflects the methodology implemented by the various disciplines involved. Its successful outcome is the result of the scientific cooperation between researchers from the North and the South and between researchers and managers from LDCs.

The maps for this publication were provided by Anne Le Fur and Eric Opigez of the Institut de recherche pour le développement (IRD).

This work is an adaptation of *Les aires marines protégées d'Afrique de l'Ouest.* Gouvernance et politiques publiques, edited by J.Y. Weigel, F. Féral and B. Cazalet, originally published in French in 2007.

Abstract

The need for effective governance of the marine protected areas (MPAs) in least-developed countries (LDCs) is commensurate with the significant territorial stakes raised by their extensive maritime domain. Another significant challenge is the conservation of biodiversity and of ecosystems whose level of productivity is similar to that of coral reefs (e.g. in East Africa and Madagascar, the Red Sea, Maldives, Cambodia, and South Pacific islands), upwelling systems (e.g. in West Africa and Angola) and estuarine and delta ecosystems (e.g. in West and East Africa, Bangladesh and Myanmar). However, the overriding issue is to reconcile conservation and human presence as, in LDCs, human activities are tolerated in almost all MPAs covered by International Union for Conservation of Nature categories II–VI. Finally, issues related to identity claims and to the process of establishment of property and other legal entitlements on nature are gaining importance.

A review of the literature on fisheries and MPAs governance showed how polysemous and vague the notion of governance was until very recently and how few or oversimplified were the analyses of MPA governance in the LDCs. However, only detailed analyses would allow the characterization of governance systems and identification of their weaknesses with the view to suggesting new governance arrangements and appropriate public policy options. Such analytical deficiencies may be explained by the lack of analytical frameworks capable of taking into account the plurality and intricacy of socio-economic organizations and institutions, the sociocultural features and the role of new mediators and "development brokers" that shape MPA governance in the LDCs. The deficiencies may also be explained by the fact that the dominating hierarchical governance systems tend to underestimate the complexity of MPA governance systems.

Therefore, it has been necessary to develop an analytical framework to study the governance of MPAs in the LDCs, drawing on four sources of inspiration: (i) the interactive fisheries governance approach; (ii) the risk governance approach; (iii) the socioanthropology of mediations and brokerage; and (iv) the governance analytical framework. The framework indicates the five issues that must be addressed in order to operationalize the concept of governance in LDC MPAs: (i) definition of the problem or the issue at stake; (ii) identification of the set of relevant governance norms; (iii) presentation of the actors involved in the governance process; (iv) highlighting the nodes around which actors' strategies converge; and (v) recalling the processes that have led to the current state of governance. This analytical framework makes it possible to characterize the governance system of each of the MPAs considered and to develop a typology of these systems. The characterization of different governance systems highlights their weaknesses

and paves the way for new public policy options and, more generally, for the restructuring of governance to correct these weaknesses.

However, prior to the development of the analytical framework and the characterization of governance systems, the main MPA governance principles and constraints, as well their legal context, must be clarified. The whole methodology was tested on three West African coastal and marine protected areas, which seemed to provide textbook cases illustrating the difficulties of governance in LDCs: the Banc d'Arguin National Park in Mauritania, the Saloum Delta Biosphere Reserve in Senegal, and the Bolama Bijagos Archipelago Biosphere Reserve in Guinea-Bissau. The analysis of demographic and economic constraints in these West African MPAs showed the importance of: (i) increasing population density and mobility; (ii) the intensification of resource exploitation; and (iii) and the opening of the MPA economy. The analysis of the legal and institutional contexts showed the international inspiration of the MPA objectives and conservation arrangements, and the syncretism of the legal system.

Weigel, J.Y.; Féral, F. & Cazalet, B., eds.

Governance of marine protected areas in least-developed countries. Case studies from West Africa.

FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper No. 548. Rome, FAO. 2011. 78 pp.

Contents

Pr	eparation of this document	iii
Abstract		iv
	Maps and boxes	
Abbreviations and acronyms		ix
	List of authors	
Αc	knowledgements	X1
1.	Introduction	1
	J.Y. Weigel, F. Féral and B. Cazalet	
	A topical matter	1
	The MPA-related stakes in least-developed countries	2
	Fisheries and MPA governance: evolution of the concept	4
	The need for an analytical framework of MPA governance	6
	The aim of this publication	8
2.	Marine protected area governance issues in the least-developed countries	11
	F. Féral and B. Cazalet	
	Preliminary clarification of the objectives	11
	Confirming the role of MPAs as policy instruments	13
	The synergy between conservation and socio-economic development	15
3.	Demographic and economic constraints on MPA governance in LDCs	19
	J.Y Weigel, B. Stomal, J. Schmitz, P. Morand, A. Mohamed Ould Saleck and A.S. Da Silva	
	Population densification	19
	Increased population mobility	20
	Increased exploitation of natural resources	25
	Economic extraversion of marine protected areas	28
4.	The legal context of governance of marine protected areas in the least-developed countries: a syncretic legal system E. Féral and B. Cazalet	35
	The international inspiration behind the objectives and procedures	35
	The legal definition of a marine protected area and the legal acts	36
	A syncretic legal system	40

5.	Governance systems of marine protected areas in least-developed countries: analytical framework, characterization and	
	weaknesses J.Y. Weigel, A.W.O. Cheikh, J. Schmitz and A.S. Da Silva	43
	An analytical framework to characterize governance systems Weaknesses of MPA governance systems in least-developed countries	44 53
6.	The reconfiguration of MPA governance and public policies B. Cazalet	57
	The authorities and sources of law	57
	Legal formalization of governance	59
	The necessary changes in governance modus operandi	60
	Marine protected areas as tools of environmental policies	62
7.	Conclusion J.Y. Weigel, F. Féral and B. Cazalet	65
Re	eferences	71

Maps

number of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs)	2
Map 2. Main coastal and marine protected areas in West Africa	8
Map 3. Migrations of Senegalese offshore fishing units in Bolama Bijagos Archipelago Biosphere Reserve	22
Map 4. Main seasonal inter-island migrations of residents in the Bolama Bijagos Archipelago Biosphere Reserve	24
Map 5. Seasonal migrations of Subalbe fishermen in the Saloum Delta Biosphere Reserve	26
Map 6. Main fisher and fish processor villages and settlements in the Saloum Delta Biosphere Reserve	29
Map 7. Value of fish landings in the Banc d'Arguin National Park	32
Boxes	
Box 1. Transborder "offshore" artisanal fishing. Casamance (South of Senegal) – Bijagos Archipelago (Guinea-Bissau)	21
Box 2. Inter-island mobility of the Bolama Bijagos Archipelago Biosphere Reserve residents	23
Box 3. Seasonal migration of non-resident Subalbe fishers in the Saloum Delta Biosphere Reserve	25
Box 4. A greater number of fishing trips and the diversification of techniques: the case of the Banc d'Arguin National Park	27
Box 5. Increase in fishing and artisanal processing capacity: the case of the Saloum Delta Biosphere Reserve	28
Box 6. Evolution in the value enhancement of fisheries products from the Banc d'Arguin National Park	31
Box 7. An administration seeking a consensus with local authorities: the case of the Banc d'Arguin National Park	41
Box 8. Negotiating the application of state norms: the case of the Saloum Delta	4 1

Box 9. Validation of village powers by the state: the case of the Bolama Bijagos

42

Archipelago Biosphere reserve

Map 1. Least Developed Countries: total marine area protected (km²) and

Abbreviations and acronyms

AMPHORE Aires marines protégées et gestion halieutique par optimisation

des ressources et des écosystèmes (Marine Protected Areas and Fisheries Management by Resource and Ecosystem

Optimization)

CECAF Fishery Committee for the Eastern Central Atlantic

CERTAP Centre d'études et de recherches sur les transformations de

l'action publique (University of Perpignan Via Domitia, France).

CONSDEV Coherence of Conservation and Development Policies of Coastal

and Marine Protected Areas in West Africa

FIBA Fondation internationale du Banc d'Arguin (International

Foundation for the Banc d'Arguin)

IBAP Instituto da Biodiversidade e das Areas Protegidas (Guinea-

Bissau)

IMROP Institut mauritanien de recherches océanographiques et des

pêches (formerly CNROP)

IRD Institut de recherche pour le développement (France)
 IUCN International Union for Conservation of Nature
 IUED Institut universitaire d'études du développement

LDC least-developed country MPA marine protected area

NGO non-governmental organization

PNBA Parc national du Banc d'Arguin (Banc D'Arguin National Park)
PRCM Programme régional de conservation de la zone côtière et marine

(Regional Programme of Coastal and Marine Zone)

PRODIG Pôle de recherche pour l'organisation et la diffusion de

l'information géographique, UMR 8586

RBABB Réserve de biosphère de l'archipel Bolama Bijagos

RBDS Réserve de biosphère du delta du Saloum

RESED Recherche et études en sociologie et économie du

développement

UMR Unité mixte de recherche

UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural

Organization

WCPA World Commission on Protected Areas

WWF World Wide Fund for Nature

List of Authors

Bertrand Cazalet

Legal expert, CERTAP EA 4216 and USR 3278 CRIOBE University of Perpignan, France E-mail: bertrandcazalet@yahoo.fr

Abdel Wedoud Ould Cheikh

Professor of Anthropology University of Metz, France E-mail: abdel.ould-cheikh@wanadoo.fr

Alfredo Simao Da Silva

Geographer, Director of the Institute of Biodiversity and Protected Areas IBAP, Guinea-Bissau E-mail: alfredo.simao.dasilva@iucn.org

François Féral

Professor of Public Law, CERTAP, EA 4216 and USR 3278 CRIOBE University of Perpignan, France E-mail: feral@univ-perp.fr

Abdelkader Mohamed Ould Saleck

Senior research fellow University of Nouakchott, Mauritania E-mail: akmsaleck@mauritania.mr

Pierre Morand

Biostatistician, IRD researcher E-mail: pierre.morand@ird.fr

Jean Schmitz

Sociologist, IRD Research Director UMR CEAF, France E-mail: jean.schmitz@ehess.fr

Bozena Stomal

Economist, Senior research fellow RESED, France E-mail: bozenastomal@wanadoo.fr

Jean-Yves Weigel

Economist, IRD Research Director UMR PRODIG, France E-mail: jean-yves.weigel@ird.fr

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge comments and suggestions received from Bernard Salvat (EPHE, France), as well as the observations and guidance provided by Dominique Greboval, Alain Bonzon and Jessica Sanders of the FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department.