549

# A global assessment of offshore mariculture potential from a spatial perspective







# A global assessment of offshore mariculture potential from a spatial perspective

FAO FISHERIES AND AQUACULTURE TECHNICAL PAPER

549

James McDaid Kapetsky

FAO consultant Wilmington, North Carolina United States of America

### José Aguilar-Manjarrez

Aquaculture Officer Aquaculture Branch FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department Rome, Italy

and

Jeff Jenness

FAO consultant Flagstaff, Arizona United States of America

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by FAO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned.

The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of FAO.

ISBN 978-92-5-107389-6 (print) E-ISBN 978-92-5-107584-5 (PDF)

© FAO 2013

FAO encourages the use, reproduction and dissemination of material in this information product. Except where otherwise indicated, material may be copied, downloaded and printed for private study, research and teaching purposes, or for use in non-commercial products or services, provided that appropriate acknowledgement of FAO as the source and copyright holder is given and that FAO's endorsement of users' views, products or services is not implied in any way.

All requests for translation and adaptation rights, and for resale and other commercial use rights should be made via www.fao.org/contact-us/licence-request or addressed to copyright@fao.org.

FAO information products are available on the FAO website (www.fao.org/ publications) and can be purchased through publications-sales@fao.org.

# Preparation of this document

There is growing need to transfer land-based and/or coastal aquaculture production systems further into the sea as a result of the expected increases in human population, competition for access to land, and clean water needed to increase the availability of fish and fishery products for human consumption. Mariculture, in particular offshore aquaculture, offers significant opportunities for sustainable food production and for the development of many coastal communities, especially in regions where the availability of land, nearshore space and freshwater are limited.

This technical paper is an expanded and more detailed version of a contribution entitled "Spatial analysis of the potential for offshore mariculture" to a Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) workshop proceedings (Lovatelli, Aguilar-Manjarrez and Soto, forthcoming) that aims at providing additional guidance in the development of offshore mariculture. The workshop proceedings collect and synthesize global information on the potential for offshore mariculture development by focusing on technical, environmental, spatial and governance challenges. The goal is also to identify major opportunities and challenges that FAO, its Member States and other stakeholders could act upon for the industry to grow on a sustainable footing.

This technical paper responds to the needs of the FAO Member States in providing estimates of the potential for offshore mariculture development, presenting, for the first time, quantitative spatial measures of the status and potential of offshore mariculture development that are comprehensive of all maritime nations and comparable among them.

This document is part of a recent series of spatially oriented activities aimed at the development and management of aquaculture. These activities have included reviews on geographic information systems, remote sensing and mapping for marine aquaculture, and spatial planning tools to support the ecosystem approach to aquaculture. Additionally, the activities also cover marine spatial planning for aquaculture, site selection and carrying capacity, and virtual technology and decision-support tools. Although these activities have had varying objectives, the common theme among them is the demonstration of the essential role of spatial analysis in the development and management of aquaculture from global to local levels. The present document continues this theme.

## **Abstract**

Mariculture accounts for about one-half of total aquaculture production by weight. About one-half of the mariculture production consists of aquatic plants, with the remainder being fish and invertebrates. Nearly all of mariculture is inshore. In contrast, offshore mariculture, which is practised in the open sea with significant exposure to wind and wave action and with equipment and servicing vessels operating in severe sea conditions from time to time, is in its infancy and production is almost exclusively of fish and shellfish. There is an impetus for mariculture to move to the unprotected waters of the open sea. Issues at the local level include competition for space, water quality problems, and a negative public perception of mariculture's environmental and aesthetic impacts. At the global level, there is concern for food security with expanding population along with the conviction that the potential of the world's oceans to supplement the food supply is vastly underutilized. Prospecting for suitable locations is a critical part of spatial planning for offshore mariculture's near-future development. Thus, the objectives of this technical paper are to provide measures of the status and potential for offshore mariculture development from a spatial perspective that are comprehensive of all maritime nations and comparable among them, to identify nations not yet practising mariculture that have a high offshore potential for it, and to stimulate interest in detailed assessments of offshore mariculture potential at national levels.

Estimates of offshore mariculture potential are based on key assumptions about its near-future development: offshore mariculture will develop within exclusive economic zones (EEZs), will mainly use culture systems modified from inshore mariculture, and will mainly employ species with already proven culture technologies and established markets. These assumptions set the stage for the identification of analytical criteria. Thus, EEZs were used as spatial frameworks to define the limits of national offshore mariculture development. Potential was defined by the depth and current speed limits on offshore cages and longlines, the cost-effective area for offshore mariculture development, and the favourable conditions for grow-out of representative species: cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*), Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) and blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*), and integrated multitrophic aquaculture (IMTA) of the last two species. Verification and comparison with existing mariculture showed that, despite the limitations of the data, the results are indicative of offshore mariculture potential within the specified criteria.

Offshore mariculture potential is large. At present, 44 percent of maritime nations with 0.3 million kilometres of coastline are not yet practising mariculture. About half of the mariculture nations have outputs of less than 1 tonne/kilometre of coastline. About one-half of inshore mariculture production consists of aquatic plants, but there is little production of plants offshore. Scenarios using 5 and 1 percent of the area meeting all of the criteria for each of the three species showed that development of relatively small offshore areas could substantially increase overall mariculture production. Improvements in culture technologies allowing for greater depths and increased autonomies, as well as the further development of free-floating or propelled offshore installations, would add greatly to the area with potential for offshore mariculture development.

Remote sensing for the sustainable development of offshore mariculture is included as Annex 3 to this publication in recognition of the importance of remote sensing as a source of data for spatial analyses to assess potential for offshore mariculture, and also for zoning and site selection as well as for operational remote sensing to aid mariculture management.

Kapetsky, J.M., Aguilar-Manjarrez, J. & Jenness, J. 2013. A global assessment of potential for offshore mariculture development from a spatial perspective. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper No. 549. Rome, FAO. 181 pp.

# **Contents**

Preparation of this document		iii
Abstract		iv
List of t	List of tables	
List of figures		V111
List of p	plates	xi
List of b	ooxes	xi
	rledgements	xii
	ations and acronyms	xiii
Executiv	ve summary	xiv
1. Intro	duction	1
1.1	Background and objectives	1
1.2	Overview of the analytical approach and outputs	3
1.2.1	Key assumptions on the spatial development of offshore	
	mariculture	4
1.2.2	Criteria and thresholds used to estimate near-future offshore	
	mariculture potential	4
1.2.3	Comparisons of predicted offshore potential with inshore	
	mariculture practice and verifications at offshore mariculture sites	11
1.2.4	Basic requirements and constraints on the study	12
2. Statı	us of mariculture from a spatial perspective	13
2.1	Nominal intensity of the use of the coastline for mariculture	
	at the national level	13
3. Exclı	usive economic zones as spatial frameworks for offshore	
	culture development	17
4. Pote	ntial for offshore mariculture development	19
4.1	Overview	19
4.2	Areas where it is technically feasible to place culture installations	19
4.2.1	·	
	development and with access to ports	23
4.2.2	Spatial integration of technical feasibility for cages and longlines	
	with cost-effective area for development	24
4.2.3	Summary of technical feasibility for cages and longlines and the	
	cost-effective area for development	26
4.3	Areas favouring grow-out of fish and mussels spatially	
	integrated with areas technically feasible for cages and longlines	27
4.3.1	Areas favouring grow-out of fish and mussels	28
4.3.2	Spatial integration of areas with favourable grow-out of fish and	
	mussels with areas technically feasible for cages and longlines	
	and within the cost-effective area for development	33
4.4	Hypothetical loss of offshore mariculture potential due	
	to competing and conflicting uses	38

4.5	Summary of the results on offshore mariculture potential with species, culture systems and cost-effective area for development integrated	41
F .C.		42
5. Comp	parisons and verifications for offshore mariculture potential  Cobia	43
5.1.1		43 43
5.1.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	43
5.1.2	National to local level offshore mariculture potential compared with inshore mariculture locations	44
5.1.3	Offshore mariculture potential compared with actual offshore	44
5.1.5	mariculture locations	46
5.2	Atlantic salmon	49
5.2.1	National-level potential and production comparison	49
5.2.2	National to local level offshore mariculture potential compared	15
31212	with inshore mariculture locations	50
5.3	Blue mussel	53
5.3.1	National-level potential and production comparison	53
5.3.2	National to local level offshore mariculture potential compared	
	with inshore mariculture locations	55
5.4	IMTA offshore mariculture potential compared with inshore	
	Atlantic salmon and mussel farm locations	55
5.5	Summary of comparisons of offshore mariculture potential	
	of cobia, Atlantic salmon, blue mussel and IMTA with inshore	
	mariculture of these species	55
6. Discu	ission and conclusions	59
6.1	Analytical approach	59
6.2	Comparisons of offshore mariculture potential with inshore	
	mariculture practice and verification	60
6.3	Improvements in the approach	61
6.4	Offshore mariculture potential	63
6.5	Future directions	69
6.6	Recommendations	70
Referer	ices	73
Annexe	es	85
1	Overview of the spatial analyses and data sources	85
2	Grid-based model: days of grow-out to a harvestable weight	
	for Atlantic salmon among four salmon-producing countries	117
3	Remote sensing for the sustainable development of	
	offshore mariculture	123

# **Tables**

ı	Criteria and corresponding threshold ranges used to estimate	5
2	near-future offshore mariculture potential	
2	Status of mariculture from a spatial perspective	13
3	Number of nations and corresponding areas meeting depth,	
	current speed and cost-effective area criteria for offshore	
	mariculture development	20
4	Nations consistently scoring high in potential for offshore	
	mariculture development in technical and cost-effective area	
	for development as measured by ranks and overall scores	26
5	Number of nations and corresponding areas with potential for	
	favourable growth for cobia, Atlantic salmon and blue mussel	
	integrated with suitable depth and current speed for cages	
	and longlines	28
6	Number of nations and corresponding areas within the cost-effectiv	e
	area for development integrated with favourable grow-out	
	for cobia, Atlantic salmon, blue mussel and IMTA and depths	
	and current speeds suitable for sea cages and longlines	33
7	Estimates of mariculture potential for cobia by regions and	
	subregions	36
8	Number of nations and corresponding MPA area, and nations and	
	corresponding areas within MPAs with potential for cobia offshore	
	mariculture	38
9	National-level potential and production comparison for cobia:	
	mean annual production (2004–2008) of cobia-producing nations	
	with areas meeting temperature, depth and current speed criteria	
	and areas meeting the first two criteria	43
10	Comparison of offshore potential of cobia with inshore cage sites	
	and farming areas based on meeting the 22–32 °C temperature	
	threshold	45
11	Cobia mariculture locations that are offshore	46
12	National-level comparison of Atlantic salmon annual production	
	with potential by nation tabulated as areas meeting two temperatu	re
	threshold ranges as well as depth and current speed criteria, and	
	areas meeting the first two criteria	49
13	Blue mussel annual production by nation compared with offshore	
13	potential with areas meeting two temperature and two	
	chlorophyll-a threshold ranges, as well as depth and current speed	
	criteria, and areas meeting the first two criteria	54
14	Mean SWH ranges in 2009 in areas suitable for offshore	54
14	mariculture of Atlantic salmon and cobia	62
15		02
13	Extrapolated annual production from the aggregate areas	
	suitable for the offshore mariculture of cobia, Atlantic salmon	
	and blue mussel with 5 percent and 1 percent of the areas	<b>67</b>
	developed for offshore mariculture	67

# **Figures**

1	Sea cages and longlines for offshore mariculture	6
2	Effects of current speed on culture structures and on cultured	_
2	organisms	10
3 4	Integrated multi-trophic aquaculture in practice and in concept Intensity of mariculture production (2004–2008) in tonnes per	10
4	kilometre of coastline and numbers of countries in the range	14
5	Ranking by area of main nations in intensity of mariculture	14
,	(tonnes/km coastline) production (2004–2008)	14
6	Economic zones as spatial frameworks for offshore mariculture	- '-
0	development	17
7	Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in area of economic	
	zones	18
8	Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in area of	
	economic zones	18
9	Areas with depths suitable for sea cages and longlines within	
	economic zones	19
10	Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in depths suitable	
	for sea cages and longlines	20
11	Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in depths	
4.0	suitable for sea cages and longlines	20
12	Areas within EEZs with current speeds suitable for sea cages	24
42	and longlines	21
13	Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with current speeds	21
14	suitable for sea cages and longlines Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations with current	21
14	speeds suitable for sea cages and longlines	21
15	Areas in northern Latin America with current speeds and depths	۷ ۱
	suitable for sea cages and longlines	22
16	Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with current speeds	
	and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines	22
17	Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations with current	
	speeds and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines	22
18	Cost-effective area for offshore mariculture development that	
	is within 25 nm of a port	23
19	Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective	
	area for development	24
20	Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective	
	area for development	24
21	Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with current	
	speeds and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines and	2.5
22	within the cost effective area for development	25
22	Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations with current	
	speeds and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines and within	25
23	the cost-effective area for development Offshore mariculture potential for sea cages and longlines by	25
23	areas meeting depth, current speed and cost-effective area for	
	development criteria	27
	acveropinent criteria	21

of nations meeting depth, current speed and cost-effective area for development criteria 27  Areas within EEZs with temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia 28  Regional view of areas in South Asia-Oceania with temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages 29  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for cobia grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 29  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for cobia grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 30  Areas within EEZs with temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of Atlantic salmon 30  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 31  Areas within EEZs with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for offshore grow-out of the blue mussel 31  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for blue mussel grow-out and depths and current speeds suitable for longlines 32  Regional view of areas in southern Latin America with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages and longlines 32  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 34  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 34  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 34  Ranking by area of main mon-mari			
for development criteria  Areas within EEZs with temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia  Regional view of areas in South Asia-Oceania with temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for cobia grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for cobia grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for cobia grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Areas within EEZs with temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of Atlantic salmon  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Areas within EEZs with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for offshore grow-out of the blue mussel  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for longlines  Regional view of areas in southern Latin America with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages and longlines  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mar	24		rs
grow-out of cobia Regional view of areas in South Asia-Oceania with temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for cobia grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for cobia grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages Ranking by area of main mon-mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for cobia grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages Areas within EEZs with temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages Areas within EEZs with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for offshore grow-out of the blue mussel Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for blue mussel grow-out and depths and current speeds suitable for longlines Regional view of areas in southern Latin America with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages and longlines Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds a	25	for development criteria	27
favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages 29 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for cobia grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 29 Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for cobia grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 30 Areas within EEZs with temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of Atlantic salmon 30 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 31 Areas within EEZs with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for offshore grow-out of the blue mussel 31 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for blue mussel grow-out and depths and current speeds suitable for longlines 32 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for blue mussel grow-out and depths and current speeds suitable for longlines 32 Regional view of areas in southern Latin America with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages and longlines 32 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 34 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 34 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35 Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temper		grow-out of cobia	28
favourable for cobia grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for cobia grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Areas within EEZs with temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for offshore grow-out of the blue mussel  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for longlines  Regional view of areas in southern Latin America with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages and longlines  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main maric	26	favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages	29
Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for cobia grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Areas within EEZS with temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of Atlantic salmon  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for offshore grow-out of the blue mussel  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for blue mussel grow-out and depths and current speeds suitable for longlines  Regional view of areas in southern Latin America with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages and longlines  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suit	27	favourable for cobia grow-out and current speeds and depths	20
Areas within EEZs with temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of Atlantic salmon 30  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 31  Areas within EEZs with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for offshore grow-out of the blue mussel 31  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for blue mussel grow-out and depths and current speeds suitable for longlines 32  Regional view of areas in southern Latin America with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages and longlines 32  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines 33  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 34  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 34  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with	28	Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for cobia grow-out and current speeds and depths	29
Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  31 Areas within EEZs with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for offshore grow-out of the blue mussel  32 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for blue mussel grow-out and depths and current speeds suitable for longlines  32 Regional view of areas in southern Latin America with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages and longlines  34 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines  35 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  36 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  36 Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  36 Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  37 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  38 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperature and chlorophyll-a concentration favo	29	Areas within EEZs with temperatures favourable for offshore	30
favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 31  Areas within EEZs with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for offshore grow-out of the blue mussel 31  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for blue mussel grow-out and depths and current speeds suitable for longlines 32  Regional view of areas in southern Latin America with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages and longlines 32  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines 33  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 34  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 34  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperature speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 37  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the co	30		30
Areas within EEZs with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for offshore grow-out of the blue mussel 31 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for blue mussel grow-out and depths and current speeds suitable for longlines 32 Regional view of areas in southern Latin America with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages and longlines 32 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines 33 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 34 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 34 Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35 Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35 Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 37 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperature an	50	favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds	31
Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for blue mussel grow-out and depths and current speeds suitable for longlines 32 Regional view of areas in southern Latin America with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages and longlines 32 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines 33 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 34 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 34 Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35 Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 37 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for development, with temperatures favourable for development, with temperature and chlorophyll-a concentration favourable for blue mussel grow-out and current	31	Areas within EEZs with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentration	
grow-out and depths and current speeds suitable for longlines  Regional view of areas in southern Latin America with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages and longlines  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mon-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperature and chlorophyll-a concentration favourable for blue mussel grow-out and current	32	Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures	31
and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages and longlines  32  334 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines  33  35a Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  34  35b Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  34  36a Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  35  36b Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for sea cages  35  36a Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  37  38  39  30  30  30  30  31  32  32  32  31  32  32  32  32  33  34  35  36  36  37  38  38  38  39  30  30  30  30  30  31  32  32  31  32  32  32  33  34  35  36  37  38  38  39  30  30  30  30  30  30  31  32  32  32  32  33  34  35  36  37  38  38  39  30  30  30  30  30  31  30  30  31  32  32  32  32  32  33  34  35  36  37  38  38  39  30  30  30  30  30  30  30  30  30	33	grow-out and depths and current speeds suitable for longlines	32
Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages and longlines  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperature and chlorophyll-a concentration favourable for blue mussel grow-out and current		and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel IMTA and depths and current speeds suitable	
for sea cages and longlines  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperature and chlorophyll-a concentration favourable for blue mussel grow-out and current	34	Ranking by area of main mariculture nations with temperatures and chlorophyll-a concentrations favourable for Atlantic	32
of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for sea cages  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperature and chlorophyll-a concentration favourable for blue mussel grow-out and current	35a	for sea cages and longlines Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in cost-effective area	33
for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 34  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for sea cages 37  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperature and chlorophyll-a concentration favourable for blue mussel grow-out and current	<b>2</b> 5.	of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages	34
Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35  Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 37  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperature and chlorophyll-a concentration favourable for blue mussel grow-out and current	acc	for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out	34
Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective area for development, temperatures favourable for offshore grow-out of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 37  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperature and chlorophyll-a concentration favourable for blue mussel grow-out and current	36a	Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective	
of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 35 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 37 Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperature and chlorophyll-a concentration favourable for blue mussel grow-out and current	36 <sub>b</sub>	Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in cost-effective	
cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable for Atlantic salmon grow-out and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages 37  Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperature and chlorophyll-a concentration favourable for blue mussel grow-out and current	27	of cobia and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages	
Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effective area for development, with temperature and chlorophyll-a concentration favourable for blue mussel grow-out and current	3/	cost-effective area for development, with temperatures favourable	<b>!</b>
area for development, with temperature and chlorophyll-a concentration favourable for blue mussel grow-out and current	20	for sea cages	37
<u> </u>	50	area for development, with temperature and chlorophyll-a	e e
		<u> </u>	37

39	Ranking by area of main mariculture nations within the cost-effectiv area for development, with temperatures and chlorophyll-a	e
	concentration favourable for Atlantic salmon-blue mussel	
	IMTA and current speeds and depths suitable for sea cages	
4.0	and longlines	37
40	Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in marine protected	20
	areas	39
41	Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations in marine	
40	protected areas	39
42	Regional view of area within MPAs with temperatures	
	favourable for cobia grow-out and depths and current speeds	
	suitable for sea cages	40
43	Ranking by area of main mariculture nations in hypothetical loss	
	of area with potential for offshore mariculture of cobia due to	
	exclusion from MPAs	40
44	Ranking by area of main non-mariculture nations with a	
	hypothetical loss of area with potential for offshore mariculture	
	of cobia due to exclusion from MPAs	41
45	Area suitable for the offshore mariculture of cobia, Atlantic salmon,	
	blue mussel and IMTA among maritime nations overall and within	
	the cost-effective area for development	41
46	Numbers of maritime nations with areas suitable for the offshore	
	mariculture of cobia, Atlantic salmon, blue mussel and IMTA	
	overall and within the cost-effective area for development	42
<b>47</b> a	Cobia cages in site 1 near Belize City, Belize	47
<b>47</b> b	Cobia cages in site 2 near Belize City, Belize	47
<b>47</b> c,d,e,f	Areas with temperatures favourable for grow-out of cobia and	
	depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages compared with	
	locations of cobia sea cage sites	48
48	Areas with temperatures favourable for grow-out of Atlantic	
	salmon and depths and current speeds suitable for sea cages	
	compared with locations of salmon farms in Norway,	
	Ireland, Canada and Chile	52
49	Areas with temperatures and chlorophyll-a favourable for blue	
	mussel grow-out and depths and current speeds suitable for	
	longlines compared with locations of mussel farms in Norway	
	and Ireland	56
50	Areas (km²) within EEZs relative to depths and current speeds	
	suitable for sea cages and longlines and to the cost-effective area	
	for development	64
51	Idealized diagram of a multiple sea cage system with	
	a single point mooring	65
52	An Ocean Drifter cage concept	65
53	Conceptual design of a 400-ha ocean food and energy farm unit	66
54	Offshore wind farm combined with oyster and mussel farming	68

# **Plates**

1	Fish cages in rough weather in Norway	7
2	Species indicative of different kinds	
	of offshore mariculture potential	8
3	Example of fed aquaculture of fish in cages	9
4	Net cages along the coast of Turkey	15
5	Net cages along the coast of Norway	15
6	Experimental version of an offshore towed fish cage	65

# **Boxes**

1	Definition of offshore aquaculture	1
2	Key assumptions about the near-future development of offshore	
	mariculture	4

# **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to acknowledge the reviewers for many valuable comments. They were, in alphabetical order: J. Cai (FAO Aquaculture Branch, Rome, Italy), B.A. Costa-Pierce (University of New England, the United States of America), J. Forster (Forster Consulting Inc., the United States of America), A. Jeffs (University of Auckland, New Zealand), N. Kutty (Former FAO/UNDP Expert, India), A. Lovatelli (FAO Aquaculture Branch, Rome, Italy), and G. Profeti (Remote sensing and GIS expert, Florence, Italy).

In addition, many thanks are also due to many colleagues who kindly provided data or links to data, copies of their papers, articles and technical reports for review. They were, J. Alarcon (Marine Farms Belize, Belize), D. Benetti (University of Miami, the United States of America), F. Carocci (FAO Marine and Inland Fisheries Branch, Rome, Italy), R. Cavalli, (Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, Brazil), E. Chassignet (Florida State University, the United States of America), M. Connor (Cooke Aquaculture Inc., Canada), B.A. Costa-Pierce (University of New England, the United States of America), M. Echavarria (Antillana S.A., Colombia), B. Friedman (Santa Barbara Mariculture Company, the United States of America), P. Garnesson (ACRI-Mecanique Appliquee et Science de l'Environment, France), G. Guo (South China Sea Fisheries Research Institute, China), N. Halse (Cooke Aquaculture Inc., Canada), P. A. Kumar (Marine Finfish Hatchery Project, Rajiv Center for Aquaculture, India), R. Langan (University of New Hampshire, the United States of America), S. Lindell (Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute, the United States of America), A. Michel (P.T. Fega Marikultura, Indonesia), B. O'Hanlon (Open Blue Sea Farms Inc., Panama), Y. Olsen (Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway), P. Queffeulou (IFREMER, France), J. K. Rester (Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission, the United States of America), K. Ruddick (Royal Belgian Institute for Natural Sciences, Belgium), N. Sims (Kampachi Farms LLC., the United States of America), J. Smith (Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Canada), D. Soto (FAO Aquaculture Branch, Rome, Italy), F. Suplicy (Aqualider Maricultura S.A., Brazil), M. Szemerda (Cooke Aquaculture Inc., Canada), K. Van Nieuwenhove (Institute for Agricultural and Fisheries Research, Belgium), X. Zhou (FAO Statistics and Information Branch, Rome, Italy), and C. Zhu (South China Sea Fisheries Research Institute, China).

M. Giannini (FAO consultant, Rome, Italy) proofread the document and M. Guyonnet (FAO Statistics and Information Branch, Rome, Italy), supervised its publication. The document layout specialist was K. Ivens (FAO consultant, Rome, Italy).

# **Abbreviations and acronyms**

CHL-2 Chlorophyll-a concentration estimated by algorithms that deal

with the effects of suspended solids and dissolved organic matter

that occur in coastal waters cm/s centimetres per second

CCRF FAO Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries

cs current speed EA ecosystem approach

EAA ecosystem approach to aquaculture

EEZ exclusive economic zone

ESRI Environmental Sciences Research Institute

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

FCR food conversion ratio

GADM Database of Global Administrative Areas
GEBCO General Bathymetric Chart of the Ocean

GIS geographic information systems

GISFish Global Gateway to Geographic Information Systems,

Remote Sensing and Mapping for Fisheries and Aquaculture

GMFMC Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council

HYCOM HYbrid Coordinate Ocean Model
IMTA integrated multitrophic aquaculture
ITCZ Intertropical Convergence Zone

IUCN International Union for Conservation of Nature

nm nautical mile

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

OHI Ocean Health Index
MPA marine protected areas

NMFS National Marine Fisheries Service

SST sea surface temperature SWH significant wave height

UNEP United Nations Environment Programme

TGC thermal growth coefficient
VBA Visual Basic for Applications
VLIZ Flanders Marine Institute

WCMC World Conservation Monitoring Centre

# **Executive summary**

### Why mariculture needs to move offshore

Mariculture, with a production of 36.1 million tonnes and a value of US\$37.9 billion in 2010 (FAO Statistics and Information Branch of the Fisheries and Aquaculture Department, 2012), accounts for about one-half of total aquaculture production by weight. About one-half of the mariculture production consists of aquatic plants, with the remainder being fish and invertebrates. Nearly all of mariculture is inshore mariculture, that is mariculture that is situated or carried out near the shore. In contrast, offshore mariculture practice is in its infancy and production is almost exclusively of fish and shellfish. Drivers at local and global levels provide impetus for mariculture to move to the unprotected waters of the open sea. At the local level, there are issues of competition for space both within the mariculture sector and with other users, problems with water quality, and oftentimes there is a negative public perception of mariculture's environmental and aesthetic impacts. At the global level, there is concern for maintaining food security with expanding population. Also, there is the conviction that the potential of the world's oceans to supplement the food supply is vastly underutilized. This situation places a premium on spatial planning for offshore mariculture. Prospecting for suitable locations for offshore mariculture's near-future development is a critical part of a future-focused approach that will take advantage of opportunities for increasing production while minimizing the issues associated with inshore mariculture.

### A framework for offshore mariculture development

Recognizing the need to stimulate the development of offshore aquaculture, the FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department conducted a workshop on offshore mariculture (Lovatelli, Aguilar-Manjarrez and Soto, forthcoming). The workshop recognized that FAO can guide and support its Member States and the industry as a whole in the policy and technical developments needed for expanding mariculture to offshore areas. As part of this framework, spatially derived estimates are essential to define locations and quantify expanses of areas suitable for offshore mariculture development. Furthermore, many of the issues and opportunities associated with the development of offshore mariculture have components that can be addressed separately, or together, using spatial analyses. In particular, spatial analysis lends itself to the integration of technical, economic, environmental and jurisdictional problems of mariculture development, all of which are included in this study.

### Objectives of this technical paper

The main objective of this technical paper is to provide measures of the status and potential for offshore mariculture development from a spatial perspective that are comprehensive of all maritime nations and comparable among them. The results are a spatial gauge of the indicative near-future global and national potential for the expansion of mariculture from the present inshore locations to offshore areas. The results are also aimed at stimulating much more comprehensive and detailed assessments of offshore mariculture potential at national levels. A final objective is to identify nations that have a high offshore mariculture potential but that are not yet practising it. With these objectives in mind, the study is aimed at decision-makers of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mariculture countries for the purposes of this study are those listed in the FAO aquaculture production statistics (FAO Statistics and Information Branch of the Fisheries and Aquaculture Department, 2010) as having mariculture production originating from the marine environment in one or more years for the period 2004–2008.

Executive summary  $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}$ 

international organizations and at all levels of governmental administrations involved with aquaculture development as well as at entities in the commercial sector involved with mariculture services and development.

### How offshore mariculture potential was estimated and verified

The process began with key assumptions about the near-future development of offshore mariculture. Among the key assumptions are that offshore mariculture will develop within the exclusive economic zones (EEZs), will mainly use cages for fish and longlines for molluscs modified for offshore conditions, and will mainly employ species with already proven mariculture technologies and established markets. These assumptions set the stage for the establishment of analytical criteria and thresholds that are at the core of the spatial analyses. The analytical criteria and corresponding thresholds that define the technical limits on cages and longlines are depths (25-100 m) and current speeds (10-100 cm/s). Likewise, the criteria that define the costeffective area for development of offshore mariculture are cost limits on travel time and distance from shore to offshore installations (25 nm, or 46.3 km), and reliable access to a port. Species indicative of various kinds of mariculture potential and that meet the culture system technology and market requirement criteria are cobia, Atlantic salmon and blue mussel. Favourable grow-out of fish and mussels is defined by water temperature (22–32 °C for cobia, 1.5–16 °C for Atlantic salmon, and 2.5–19 °C for blue mussel). In the case of the blue mussel, favourable grow-out also is assessed by food availability measured as chlorophyll-a concentration (> 0.5 mg/m³). Potential for offshore integrated multitrophic aquaculture (IMTA) of the last two species also was analysed. Spatial analyses were carried out using a geographic information system (GIS). Offshore mariculture potential was reported as maps showing the areas with potential, tables that presented surface areas in aggregate globally, and charts with potential ranked by nations.

The results were verified by comparisons of national-level production of each of the three species with national-level offshore mariculture potential, locations of inshore mariculture with offshore potential at national and local levels, and offshore mariculture locations compared with offshore potential in the same areas. The verification and comparison exercises showed that, despite the limitations of the data, the results are sufficiently reliable for the objectives, namely to comprehensively and comparatively deliver locations and surface areas of offshore mariculture potential aggregated globally that are a first approximation of near-future offshore mariculture potential at the national level.

### **Near-future offshore mariculture potential**

Estimates of near-future mariculture potential come from two perspectives. The first is the assessment of the present status of mariculture in spatial terms covering the period 2004-2008. The results of this assessment indicate that the global potential is large for both inshore and offshore mariculture in aggregate and for many nations individually for the following reasons: nearly all of present-day mariculture takes place in sheltered areas, not offshore. Interestingly, about 44 percent of maritime nations are not yet practising mariculture; about one-half of mariculture production consists of aquatic plants, but there is as yet little production of plants offshore. Mariculture intensity measured as production in terms of tonnes/kilometre of coastline reveals that there are 0.3 million km of coastline along which mariculture is not yet practised. Mariculture intensity is highest in the Northern Temperate Zone followed by the Intertropical Convergence Zone, the Arctic Zone and the Southern Temperate Zone. Among the 93 nations and territories already practicing mariculture, 51 percent produce at a relatively low intensity of less than 1 tonne/kilometre of coastline.

The second perspective is based on spatial integration of basic criteria for cage and longline culture systems (depth, current speed) with criteria for favourable grow-out of cultured animals (temperature, food availability as chlorophyll-a for the mussel).

- There are large areas globally among many nations with potential for development of offshore mariculture. Overall potential (i.e. without taking into account distance from shore) for cobia is 793 938 km², for Atlantic salmon 30 566 km², for blue mussel 29 960 km², and for IMTA 14 590 km². This approximates potential for other fish and mussel species with similar environmental requirements for grow-out in cages or on longlines.
- Even when further constrained by including the cost-effective area for development as an additional criterion, large areas with potential that include many nations remain. Offshore potential for Atlantic salmon (2 447 km²) and blue mussel (5 848 km²) is limited to the nations already practising their culture in inshore waters. Potential for IMTA of these species is 1 202 km². In contrast, offshore mariculture potential for cobia is 97 192 km² among 80 maritime nations, of which 34 are not yet practising mariculture. This indicates that there is greater offshore mariculture potential for species with warm temperate and tropical grow-out regimes than for those with cool and cold temperate grow-out regimes.
- Mariculture potential has been assumed with other uses of marine space set aside. However, marine protected areas have been used as an illustration of possible competing, conflicting or complementary uses. This is a reminder that, although the area with potential is large, that potential will be reduced considerably by alternative uses for the same marine space, especially in inshore areas where current marine activities are focused.
- A fundamental question is how much area is sufficient for offshore mariculture development that would contribute to the global food supply? Development scenarios using 5 and 1 percent of the area meeting all of the criteria for each of the three species indicated that development of relatively small offshore areas could substantially increase overall mariculture production.
- Improvements in technologies could considerably increase offshore mariculture potential. The area meeting depth, current speed and cost-effective area for development criteria is only 0.1 percent of the total EEZ area. For instance, an increase in the mooring system depth for cages and longlines from the 100 m limit used herein to 150 m would increase the suitable area by 31 percent, or 4.2 million km². Looking to a more distant future, free-floating and propelled offshore culture installations would potentially open immense areas to offshore farming that would still be within EEZs, nearly 158 million km² for a structure requiring a minimum depth of 25 m.

### Policy implications for offshore mariculture development

Policy implications for offshore mariculture development are considered as those pertaining to FAO, and possibly to other international organizations providing technical assistance, and to maritime nations.

### Policy implications for FAO

• A significant number of maritime nations are not yet practising mariculture, let alone offshore mariculture. This suggests the need for a proactive approach by FAO that would be a rapid appraisal (desk study) to determine the reasons for the lack of development and to make recommendations on steps that should be taken to stimulate mariculture development among the most promising nations. The results of the present study identify the non-mariculture nations ranking highly in offshore mariculture potential and provide one of the starting points for the appraisal.

Executive summary xvii

• It will be important to monitor the growth of the offshore mariculture industry. For this purpose, FAO and Member countries will need to create a new aquaculture statistical category "offshore mariculture". Underlying that is the need for a simple, spatially oriented but unambiguous, definition for offshore mariculture.

- Spatial planning for offshore mariculture should be considered as one of the components of marine spatial planning.
- FAO is in a position to provide strong worldwide leadership for more holistic development of offshore mariculture that must comprise the full range of components identified under the FAO Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries and the ecosystem approach to aquaculture (EAA).
- There is a continuing need to gauge capacities (human resources, infrastructure, finances) at the national and/or regional level to implement the use of appropriate modelling and spatial tools in support of offshore mariculture development so that capacity-building initiatives can be matched to existing capabilities.
- The investigation of aquaculture potential need not be confined to marine environments. A similar approach could be used to investigate and further plan for aquaculture in all environments for nations that have not already done so.

### Policy implications for maritime nations

- Maritime nations not yet practising mariculture, particularly those for which this study signals relatively large potential, should consider a broad-based rapid appraisal of opportunities and impediments for mariculture development.
- Nations already practising mariculture should consider undertaking a thorough appraisal of their offshore mariculture potential that would be couched in the EAA. Ideally, the appraisal would be designed so that the results would also satisfy broader efforts for marine spatial planning.
- An important goal of spatial analysis is to locate and quantify the complementary
  uses while avoiding or minimizing the competing and conflicting uses. This study,
  in a very broad way, serves to indicate the spatial domains that could become
  offshore mariculture uses as a component in marine spatial planning at regional
  and national levels.