



THE STATE
OF THE WORLD'S
FOREST GENETIC RESOURCES
COUNTRY REPORT

BULGARIA

This country report is prepared as a contribution to the FAO publication, The Report on the State of the World's Forest Genetic Resources. The content and the structure are in accordance with the recommendations and guidelines given by FAO in the document Guidelines for Preparation of Country Reports for the State of the World's Forest Genetic Resources (2010). These guidelines set out recommendations for the objective, scope and structure of the country reports. Countries were requested to consider the current state of knowledge of forest genetic diversity, including:

- Between and within species diversity
- List of priority species; their roles and values and importance
- List of threatened/endangered species
- Threats, opportunities and challenges for the conservation, use and development of forest genetic resources

These reports were submitted to FAO as official government documents. The report is presented on www.fao.org/documents as supportive and contextual information to be used in conjunction with other documentation on world forest genetic resources.

The content and the views expressed in this report are the responsibility of the entity submitting the report to FAO. FAO may not be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained in this report.

Country Report
for
The State
of World's Forest Genetic Resources
- Bulgaria

Prof. DSc. A. Alexandrov
Assoc. Prof. DSc. R. Dobrev

Sofia, 2011

Making of some tables (8a, 8b, 10, 11, 15 and 16) was possible thanks to the high assistance of the following experts:

Dipl. Eng. Mihail Mihaylov – director in the Ministry of Environment and Water (MOEW); Dipl. Eng. Stoycho Byalkov – director in the Executive Forest Agency (EFA); Dipl. Eng. Dimitar Bardarov – EFA; Dipl. Eng. Maria Belovarska – EFA; Dipl. Eng. Dimitar Stoev – MOEW; Senior Assistant Ivaylo Markoff – Forest Research Institute, BAS; Dipl. Eng. Emilia Asparuhova – FRI, BAS, administrations of national parks Central Balkan, Rila and Pirin.

The translation of some chapters of the text into English and editing of some other parts was made by Assoc. Prof. PhD Alexander Delkov and the computer layout – by Dipl. Eng. Nadka Hristova - FRI, BAS.

Contents

Section I:	Executive summary	4
Section II:	Introduction to the country and forest sector	7
Section III:	Main body of the country report	8
Chapter 1:	Current State of Forest Genetic Resources	8
Chapter 2:	State of <i>in situ</i> Genetic Conservation	27
Chapter 3:	State of <i>ex situ</i> Genetic Conservation	34
Chapter 4:	State of use and Sustainable Management of Forest Genetic Resources	36
Chapter 5:	State of National Programmes, Research, Education, Training and Legislation	39
Chapter 6:	State of Regional and International Agreements and Collaboration	44
Chapter 7:	Access to Forest Genetic Resources and Sharing of Benefits Arising out their Use	48
Chapter 8:	Contribution of Forest Genetic Resources to Food Security and Poverty Reduction	49
Sources of Information		50

SECTION I: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Bulgaria covers 110993.6 km² in the eastern part of the Balkans with geographic coordinates: latitude 43°N and longitude 25°E. Topographically the country is divided into Danubian plain, Stara Planina Mt., Fore-Balkan valleys and Thracian plain, and Rilo-Rhodope massif. The altitudinal range is from sea level up to peak Musala (2925 m) in Rila mountain, including 5 height zones: lowlands (0-200 m a.s.l.) – 31.4%, hills (200-600 m a.s.l.) – 41.0%, low mountains (600-1000 m a.s.l.) – 15.3%, middle high mountains (1000-1600 m a.s.l.) – 9.8% and high mountains (1600-2925 m a.s.l.) – 2.5%. The average altitude of the country is 470 m. The latitude and the relief determine variable and complex climate. In general, climate in the country is moderate continental with Mediterranean influence to the south and of the Black Sea – to the east. Average annual temperatures are within the range +10°C in south to -3°C in highest mountains, and the mean annual sum of precipitations – from 450 mm to 1300 mm (average precipitation 630 mm).

The Balkan Peninsula as a whole has not been affected by permanent glaciations and has become an enormous refugium. A great number of species and genera of deciduous families as Fagaceae, Betulaceae, Tiliaceae, Aceraceae, Rosaceae, Oleaceae, Ulmaceae, Corylaceae, etc. determine the basic composition of the contemporary forest on the Balkans. The diversity of coniferous species is determined mainly by some species from the Pinaceae family, the most important significance of which have Pinus, Abies and Picea.

A contact between three zones – the European deciduous forest zone, Mediterranean sclerophyllic forest zone and Eurasian steppe and forest-steppe zone, has been accomplished on the Balkans.

As a result of the convergence and divergence of the forest tree species areas, a process of hybridization has taken place, which has led to the differentiation of subspecies, varieties and forms.

The forest fund of the country amounts 4 138 147 ha, from which 3 761 309 ha (woodiness 33.9%) are covered with forests, including 103 356 ha primary forests, 2 840 956 ha naturally regenerating forests and 816 997 ha afforestations. During the period 2000-2010, a stable trend is observed towards increasing of the area covered with forests with 11.4%. This is due, first of all, to including in the forest fund of abandoned and uncultivated lands from the agricultural fund, to self-afforested areas and to establishing of forest plantations on barrens, burnt out areas and wind throws.

The two major forest type categories in Bulgaria according to area are: temperate continental forest – 2 666 269 ha (71%) and temperate mountain forest – 1 095 040 ha (29%). From forestry economic and social point of view, the number of priority species is 46 (9 conifers + 37 deciduous).

The total number of indigenous forest tree and shrub species in Bulgaria is about 280, of them 110 trees and 170 shrubs. Besides, there are approximately 380 introduced species, most of them being presented by small groups or solitary individuals, as well as rare species grown in arboreta and botanical gardens. The introduced species of practical importance are no more than 100 trees and 80 shrubs; consequently it could be assumed that the forest flora in the country is composed by about 460 species (210 trees and 250 shrubs).

Regarding the distribution according to species, oaks (*Quercus* sp.) prevail, occupying 35,2%, followed by beeches (*Fagus* sp.) – 16,4%, Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) – 14,8%, Austrian

black pine (*Pinus nigra* Arn.) – 7,6%, hornbeams (*Carpinus* sp.) – 8,0 %, Norway spruce [*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.] – 4,3 %, black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia* L.) – 4,0 %, limes (*Tilia* sp.) – 1,5 %, and other species – 8,2 %.

Forest trees and shrubs considered to be threatened in the country are as follows: high threat category – *Quercus thracica* Stef. et Ned.; medium threat category – *Castanea sativa* Mill. and *Eriolobus trilobata* Roem.; low threat category – *Aesculus hippocastanum* L., *Hippophae rhamnoides* L., *Taxus baccata* L., *Salix pentandra* L., etc.

The *in situ* genetic conservation of forest tree species is carried out mainly by the following protected areas: 90 reserves and maintained reserves located predominantly in 3 national parks and 11 nature parks, as well as permanent seed production stands.

The area managed for *in situ* gene conservation, amounting 109 522.4 ha, covers 16 847 populations and represents 2.9% of the area covered with forests, this index being 4% for the conifers and 2.5 % - for the deciduous. Participation of different forest tree species in the *in situ* conservation units varies but for most of them it is very well represented, as follows: for the conifers – *Pinus heldreichii* Christ. – 94% from the total area of the species, *Pinus mugo* Turra – 36%, *Pinus peuce* Gris. – 22.9%, *Abies alba* Mill. – 12.3%, *Picea abies* (L.) Karst. – 8%, and for the deciduous – *Fraxinus* sp. – 17.2%, *Acer* sp. – 9.1%, *Fagus* sp. – 5%. Insufficiently represented are some species from genus *Quercus* and *Pinus sylvestris* L. (1.9%).

The permanent seed production stands (PSPS) comprise 47 847.3 ha or 1.3% from the area covered with forests, 16 411.7 ha (1.5%) for coniferous and 31 435.6 ha (1.2%) for deciduous forests, respectively. Predominating part of forest tree species in the country is presented by sufficient seed production stands for the needs of intensive afforestation programmes.

The number of *ex situ* conservation units is 73, containing 381 seed lots and 34 clone banks with 1539 clones. The long-term preservation of forest seeds in refrigerator cameras is carried out in the forest seed bank in Plovdiv, mainly for coniferous species: *Pinus sylvestris* L., *Picea abies* (L.) Karst. and *Pinus nigra* Arn. (total 30 seed lots).

The number of selected plus trees is 5711, from them 2945 (51.6%) being coniferous, first of all *Pinus sylvestris* L. – 1018 (17.8%), *Picea abies* (L.) Karst. – 1007 (17.6%) and *Pinus nigra* Arn. – 420 (7.4%), while the deciduous species with most significant participation are *Quercus petraea* Liebl. – 893 (15.6%), *Fagus sylvatica* L. – 892 (15.6%) and *Quercus frainetto* Ten. – 343 (6.0%).

Because of the autochthonous forests in the country, the basic conservation method for forest genetic resources in Bulgaria is the *in situ* method, that's why the *ex situ* one has secondary significance.

Totally for the country, the annual yield of forest tree seeds from native species is 79.9% and only 5.5% of them are from non-documented sources, while seeds from seed orchards are in relatively small quantities.

The established seed orchards of first generation are totally 41 on area of 114.4 ha and include 6 coniferous species (*Abies alba* Mill., *Cedrus atlantica* Manetti, *Picea pungens* Engelm., *Pinus nigra* Arn., *Pinus peuce* Gris. and *Pinus sylvestris* L.), as well as 3 deciduous species (*Quercus suber* L., *Robinia pseudoacacia* L. and *Tilia tomentosa* Moench.). The area of vegetative seed orchards is almost 6 times larger than this one of generative ones (seedlings seed orchards).

This shows that years long forestry policy has been directed towards production of seeds from seed stands and it has been very little counted on seed orchards. Forest seed yield is directed predominantly to native forest tree species. This forestry policy for the production of forest seeds from seed stands and from native species in mountain country like Bulgaria proved to be ecologically most suitable, especially for the expected climate changes.

The annual number of seedlings from documented sources plus genetically improved ones is 89.9% from the total quality of seedlings planed, and 17.4% are genetically improved. Seedlings of native species represent 78.4%, and exotic ones – 21.6%. For coniferous species seedlings of native species are 89.9%, and for deciduous – 74.3%. Generalised data show clear domination of deciduous seedlings, which represent 73.8% of all seedlings, as well as strong domination of native species – 78.4%. This is in conformity with national policy for increasing of the share of deciduous and native tree species during afforestation.

Research activity, carried out on evaluation of genetic variability of forest tree species is mainly on 38 species (10 coniferous and 28 deciduous) with a stress on intraspecific variability, determining totally 449 varieties and forms (118 at coniferous and 331 at deciduous species). There are also 37 ecotypes and 57 provenance tests established, comprising 260 provenances and 29 progeny tests with participation of 1526 families. Genetic characterization was carried out through biochemical markers for 9 tree species, and through DNA markers – for 6 tree species.

The number of clones selected is 1445, while the number of clones used – 671, moreover with domination of coniferous species.

In 2003 the Ministry of Agriculture and Forests developed National Policy and Strategy for Sustainable Development of the Forest Sector in Bulgaria 2003 – 2013. In chapter “Biological and Landscape Diversity”, a strategic aim is mentioned: conservation and restoration of components of biological and landscape diversity through integration of conservation aims in forestry practices, development of adequate systems for *in situ* and *ex situ* conservation and close-to-nature management of forests.

Six institutions in Bulgaria are actively engaged in conservation and sustainable use of forest genetic resources as follows: Forest Research Institute – Sofia, University of Forestry – Sofia, Experimental Station on Fast-growing Forest Tree Species – Svishtov, Experimental Station on Oak Forests – Burgas, Forest Seed Testing Station – Sofia and Forest Seed Testing Station – Plovdiv. The experimental stations and forest seed testing stations are under the Executive Agency of Forests.

There are several laws, which are linked up with forest genetic resources: Law for Forests (1997, 2011); Protected Areas Law (1998) and Biological Diversity Law (2002). On the basis of the new Law for Forests (2011), new instructions and regulations are in procedure.

Bulgaria is a regular member of the European Union since 2007 and the national legislation is synchronized with EU legislation, including that on forest genetic resources.

Bulgaria took part in all networks initiated by EUFORGEN (European Forest Genetic Resources Programme) in phases II, III and IV (2000-2014). Over the past 10 years the country participates as well in 3 mutual projects on forest genetic resources with Balkan countries and in 5 projects with countries from South-East Europe. There is a current co-operation with EUFORGEN, FAO and EU-COST Actions.

SECTION II: INTRODUCTION TO THE COUNTRY AND FOREST SECTOR

1. Main forest characteristics and tree resource management systems

Bulgaria occupies a territory of 110 993,6 km² and is situated in the eastern part of the Balkan Peninsula in Europe (fig. 1). Physical-geographical and climatic peculiarities of the country are determined by the various relief and great number of mountains like Stara planina, Sredna gora, Rila-Rhodopes massif, Osogovo-Belasitsa mountain chain, Vitosha, Strandzha, Sakar, etc. The altitudinal range is from sea level up to peak Musala (2 925 m) in Rila mountain, and climate is moderate continental with Mediterranean influence to the south and Black Sea – to the east. Average annual temperatures are within the range from +10°C in south to -3°C in the highest mountains, and precipitations are from 450 mm to 1300 mm. Population towards 2011 amounts 7 364 570 with a trend to reduction due to emigration and decreasing of birth-rate.



The forest fund area is 4 138 147 ha, from them 3 761 309 ha covered with forests, comprising 103 356 ha primary forests, 2 840 956 ha – naturally regenerated forests and 816 997 ha planted forests (table 1).

Table 1 Forest characteristics and areas (FRA)

Main forest characteristics	Area (ha)
Primary forests	103 356
Naturally regenerated forests	2 840 956
Planted forests	816 997
Σ	3 761 309

2. Forest ownership

According to ownership, the forest fund area is shared as follows: public forests – 88,5% (state – 76,3%, municipal – 12,2%), private forests – 10,9%, and others – 0,6% (table 2).

Table 2 Forest ownership and area

Forest ownership	Area (ha)
Public	3 663 074
Private	451 830
Others	23 243
Σ	4 138 147

3. Trends in forest conservation and management, observed over the past 10 years

Over the past 10 years (2000-2010), a well-determined trend is observed to increasing of the forest fund area with 223 792 ha [3 914 355 ha (2000) – 4 138 147 ha (2010)], i.e. with 5,7%, and of the wooded area with 386 192 ha [3 375 117 ha (2000) – 3 761 309 ha (2010)], i.e. with 11,4%. This is due first of all to including in the forest fund of devastated and uncultivated lands from the agricultural fund, to self-planted areas and to establishment of forest plantations on barrens, burnt out areas and wind throws.

Besides, gradual decreasing of the percentage share of coniferous forests is observed, due to the continuing process of increasing of deciduous forest vegetation in mixed coniferous-deciduous forests, as well as spreading of deciduous forest tree species in coniferous forest plantations.

The area of high-stem deciduous forests is increasing as a result of transformation of coppice stands into high-stem ones, as well as of new afforestations.

4. Roles of forest resources in meeting the current demands for forest products

During the last decade, timber stock was increased with 118 289 932 m³ from 526 063 147 m³ in 2000 to 644 353 079 m³ in 2010 or with 22.5%, which is significant biomass accumulation and CO₂ sequestration.

Average annual increment continues to grow from 12 348 000 m³ (2000) to 14 400 000 m³ (2010), i.e. with 16.6%.

For this 10-year period, annual utilization of timber grows from 4 647 438 m³ (2000) to 6 726 540 m³ (2010), i.e. with 44.7%, as really cut timber towards forest management plans is 68.8% for 2000 and 82.2% for 2010. This means that there is consistent cutting process under the limits according to forest management plans and considerably under the average annual increment.

SECTION III: MAIN BODY OF THE COUNTRY REPORT

Chapter 1: Current State of Forest Genetic Resources

Main forest tree species, associated with each major forest type (Table 3)

Table 3. Major forest type categories and main tree species

Major forest types	Area (covered by forest type, ha)	Main species for each type	
		Trees	Other species if applicable
Temperate continental	2 666 269	<i>Quercus petraea</i> Liebl.	<i>Acer</i> sp., <i>Alnus</i> sp., <i>Betula</i>

forest		<i>Quercus cerris</i> L. <i>Quercus frainetto</i> Ten. <i>Quercus pubescens</i> Willd. <i>Quercus robur</i> L. <i>Quercus hartwissiana</i> Stev. <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L. <i>Fagus orientalis</i> Lipsky <i>Carpinus betulus</i> L. <i>Carpinus orientalis</i> Mill.	sp., <i>Castanea</i> sp., <i>Corylus</i> sp., <i>Fraxinus</i> sp., <i>Juglans</i> sp., <i>Platanus</i> sp., <i>Populus</i> sp., <i>Tilia</i> sp., <i>Ulmus</i> sp.
Temperate mountain forest	1 095 040	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L. <i>Pinus nigra</i> Arn. <i>Picea abies</i> (L.) Karst. <i>Abies alba</i> Mill. <i>Pinus peuce</i> Gris. <i>Pinus heldreichii</i> Christ.	<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L. <i>Quercus petraea</i> Liebl. <i>Populus tremula</i> L. <i>Juniperus</i> sp.
	Σ 3 761 309		

1.1 Priority forest tree and other woody plant species and reason for priority (e.g. economic importance, threatened, etc.) (Table 4)

Table 4. Priority species

Priority species				Reasons for priority
Scientific name	Tree (T) or other (O)	Native (N) or exotic (E)		
Gymnospermae				
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Pinus nigra</i> Arn.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Picea abies</i> (L.) Karst.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Abies alba</i> Mill.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Pinus peuce</i> Gris.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Pinus heldreichii</i> Christ.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Pinus mugo</i> Turra	T	N	Social importance	
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (Mirb.) Franco	T	E	Economic importance	
<i>Larix decidua</i> Mill.	T	E	Economic importance	
Angiospermae				
<i>Quercus petraea</i> Liebl.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Quercus cerris</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Quercus frainetto</i> Ten.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Quercus pubescens</i> Willd.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Quercus robur</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Quercus hartwissiana</i> Stev.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Quercus rubra</i> L.	T	E	Economic importance	
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Fagus orientalis</i> Lipsky.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Carpinus betulus</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Carpinus orientalis</i> Mill.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Tilia cordata</i> Mill.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Tilia platyphyllos</i> Scop.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Tilia tomentosa</i> Moench.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Populus nigra</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Populus alba</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance	
<i>Populus euroamericana</i> (Dode) Guinier	T	E	Economic importance	
<i>Populus tremula</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance	

<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Fraxinus oxycarpa</i> Willd.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Fraxinus ornus</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	T	E	Economic and social importance
<i>Acer platanoides</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Acer campestre</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Castanea sativa</i> Mill.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Ulmus minor</i> Mill.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Ulmus glabra</i> Huds.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Ulmus laevis</i> Pall.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Sorbus domestica</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Sorbus torminalis</i> (L.) Crantz.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Sorbus aria</i> (L.) Crantz.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Salix alba</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Platanus orientalis</i> L.	T	N	Economic and social importance
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L.	T	E	Economic importance

1.2. Main tree and other forest plant species actively managed for human utilization (Table 5)

Table 5. Forest species currently used

Species (scientific name)	Native (N) or exotic (E)	Current uses (code)	If managed, type of management system (e.g. natural forest, plantation, agroforestry)	Area managed (ha)
Gymnospermae				
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.	N	1	natural forest, plantation	555 115
<i>Pinus nigra</i> Arn.	N	1	natural forest, plantation	287 482
<i>Picea abies</i> (L.) Karst.	N	1, 2	natural forest, plantation	160 110
<i>Abies alba</i> Mill.	N	1	natural forest, plantation	32 686
<i>Pinus peuce</i> Gris.	N	1	natural forest, plantation	13 942
<i>Pinus heldreichii</i> Christ.	N	1,6	natural forest	1 263
<i>Pinus mugo</i> Turra	N	6	natural forest	23 757
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (Mirb.) Franco	E	1	plantation	7 371
<i>Larix decidua</i> Mill.	E	1	plantation	649
Other Gymnosprmae	N,E	1	natural forest, plantation	12 665
			Σ	1 095 040
Angiospermae				
<i>Quercus petraea</i> Liebl., <i>Q. frainetto</i> Ten., <i>Q. pubescens</i> Willd., <i>Q. robur</i> L.	N	1,3,4,5	natural forest, plantation	918 444
<i>Quercus cerris</i> L.	N	1,3,4,5	natural forest, plantation	407 514
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L., <i>F. orientalis</i> Lipsky	N	1,3,4	natural forest, plantation	615 277
<i>Carpinus betulus</i> L.	N	1,3	natural forest	156 812
<i>Carpinus orientalis</i> Mill.	N	1	natural forest	143 387
<i>Tilia tomentosa</i> Moench., <i>T. cordata</i> Mill., <i>T. platyphyllos</i> Scop.	N	1,4,5	natural forest, agroforestry	56 141
<i>Populus nigra</i> L., <i>P. alba</i> L., <i>Populus x euramericana</i> (Dode) Guinier	N E	2,5	plantation, agroforestry	23 508
<i>Populus tremula</i> L.	N	1,2	natural forest, plantation	6 369
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L., <i>F. ornus</i> L.,	N	1,5	natural forest, plantation,	14 976

<i>F.oxycarpa</i> Willd.			agroforestry	
<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth.	N	1,2,5	natural forest, plantation	9 091
<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	E	4,1,5	plantation agroforestry	7 201
<i>Acer platanoides</i> L., <i>A.pseudoplatanus</i> L., <i>A.campestre</i> L.	N	1,5	natural forest, plantation	4 308
<i>Castanea sativa</i> Mill.	N	4,1,5	natural forest, plantation, agroforestry	2 554
<i>Ulmus minor</i> Mill., <i>U.glabra</i> Huds., <i>U.laevis</i> Pall.	N	1,5	natural forest, plantation	2 022
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L.	E	1,3,5	plantation agroforestry	150 591
Other Angiospermae	N, E	1,2,3,4,5	natural forest, plantation, agroforestry	147 251
			Σ	2 666 269
			Total	3 761 309

***Current use:**

- 1 Solid wood products
- 2 Pulp and paper
- 3 Energy fuel
- 4 Non wood products (food, fodder, medicine, etc.)
- 5 Used in agroforestry systems
- 6 Other (please specify)

1.3. Main forest tree or other woody plant species actively managed or identified for environmental services (Table 6)

Table 6 Main tree and other woody forest species providing environmental services or social values

Biological and ecological peculiarities of tree and shrub species were specified according to Stefanov and Ganchev (1953), Delkov (1992), Vakarelov and Anisimova (2010).

Species (scientific name)	Native (N) or exotic (E)	Environmental service or social value (code)
Gymnospermae		
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.	N	1, 3, 4, 5
<i>Pinus nigra</i> Arn.	N	1, 3, 5, 7-Drought resistant
<i>Pinus peuce</i> Gris.	N	1, 3, 4, 5
<i>Pinus heldreichii</i> Christ.	N	1, 3, 4,
<i>Pinus mugo</i> Turra	N	1, 3, 4, 5
<i>Pinus halepensis</i> Mill.	E	1, 5, 7-Drought resistant
<i>Pinus halepensis</i> var.bruttia	E	1, 5, 7-Drought resistant
<i>Pinus pinaster</i> Ait.	E	1, 5, 7-Recultivation value
<i>Pinus strobus</i> L.	E	1, 5
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (Mirb.) Franco	E	1, 5
<i>Picea abies</i> (L.) Karst.	N	1, 3, 4, 5
<i>Picea pungens</i> Engelm.	E	1, 5, 7-Drought and smoke resistant
<i>Larix decidula</i> Mill.	E	1, 5
<i>Abies alba</i> Mill.	N	1, 3, 4, 5
<i>Abies grandis</i> Lindl.	E	1, 5
<i>Abies nordmanniana</i> (Stev.) Spach.	E	1, 5
<i>Cedrus libani</i> A.Rich.	E	1, 5, 7-Drought resistant
<i>Cedrus atlantica</i> Manetti.	E	1, 5, 7-Drought resistant

<i>Sequoiadendron giganteum</i> Buchh.	E	1, 5
<i>Thuja plicata</i> D.Don.	E	1, 5
<i>Thuja occidentalis</i> L.	E	1, 5, 7- Smoke resistant
<i>Thuja orientalis</i> L.	E	1, 5, 7- Drought resistant and salt tolerant
<i>Calocedrus decurrens</i> Florin	E	1, 5
<i>Cupressus sempervirens</i> L.	E	1, 5, 7- Smoke tolerant
<i>Cupressus arizonica</i> Greene	E	1, 5, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i> Parl.	E	1, 5, 7- Salt tolerant
<i>Chamaecyparis pisifera</i> Endl.	E	1, 5
<i>Juniperus communis</i> L.	N	1, 3, 5
<i>Juniperus oxycedrus</i> L.	N	1, 3, 5, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Juniperus excelsa</i> Bieb.	N	1, 3, 5, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Juniperus sabina</i> L.	N	1, 3, 5, 7- Smoke resistant
<i>Taxus baccata</i> L.	N	1, 3, 5
<i>Taxodium distichum</i> Rich.	E	1, 2, 5
<i>Tsuga canadensis</i> Carr.	E	1, 5
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L.	E	1, 5, 7-Smoke tolerant
Angiospermae		
<i>Quercus petraea</i> Liebl.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
<i>Quercus cerris</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7- Drought and smoke resistant
<i>Quercus frainetto</i> Ten.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Quercus pubescens</i> Willd.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Quercus robur</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7- Smoke resistant and salt tolerant
<i>Quercus hartwissiana</i> Stev.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
<i>Quercus coccifera</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7-Drought resistant
<i>Quercus rubra</i> L.	E	1, 2, 5, 7- Smoke resistant
<i>Quercus suber</i> L.	E	1, 2, 5, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
<i>Fagus orientalis</i> Lipsky	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
<i>Castanea sativa</i> Mill.	N	1, 2, 3, 5
<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i> Gaertner	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Alnus incana</i> Moench.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Alnus viridis</i> (Chaix) DC.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Carpinus betulus</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Carpinus orientalis</i> Mill.	N	1, 2, 3, 7-Drought resistant
<i>Ostrya carpinifolia</i> Scop.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Corylus avellana</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Corylus colurna</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Corylus maxima</i> Mill.	E	1, 2
<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	E	1, 2, 4, 5
<i>Juglans nigra</i> L.	E	1, 2, 5
<i>Populus nigra</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7-Smoke tolerant
<i>Populus alba</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7-Smoke and salt resistant
<i>Populus tremula</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
<i>Populus deltoides</i> Marsh.	E	1, 2, 5
<i>Populus x euroamericana</i> (Dode) Guinier	E	1, 2, 5, 7-Smoke resistant and salt tolerant
<i>Populus simoni</i> Carr.	E	1, 2, 5, 7-Drought and smoke resistant
<i>Salix alba</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 7-Smoke and salt resistant
<i>Salix fragilis</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Salix triandra</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Salix caprea</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Salix purpurea</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Salix babylonica</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 5

<i>Morus alba</i> L.	E	1, 2, 5, 7- Drought, salt and smoke resistant
<i>Morus nigra</i> L.	E	1, 2, 5, 7- Drought, salt and smoke resistant
<i>Ficus carica</i> L.	E	1, 2, 5
<i>Ulmus minor</i> Mill.	N	1, 2, 3, 5
<i>Ulmus glabra</i> Huds.	N	1, 2, 3, 5
<i>Ulmus laevis</i> Pall.	N	1, 2, 3, 5
<i>Ulmus pumila</i> L.	E	1, 2, 5, 7- Drought and salt resistant
<i>Celtis australis</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought and smoke resistant
<i>Celtis caucasica</i> Willd.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Platanus orientalis</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7- Smoke tolerant
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i> L.	E	1, 2, 5, 7- Smoke tolerant
<i>Berberis vulgaris</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Tamarix ramosissima</i> Ledeb.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Recultivation value, salt resistant
<i>Tamarix tetrandra</i> Pall.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Recultivation value, salt resistant
<i>Tilia tomentosa</i> Moench.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7- Relatively drought and smoke resistant
<i>Tilia cordata</i> Mill.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
<i>Tilia platyphyllos</i> Scop.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
<i>Cotinus coggygria</i> Scop.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Smoke and drought resistant
<i>Pistacia terebinthus</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Rhus coriaria</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Acer platanoides</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 5
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
<i>Acer campestre</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 5, 7- Smoke resistant, drought tolerant
<i>Acer heldreichii</i> Orph.ex Boiss	N	1, 2, 3, 5
<i>Acer hyrcanum</i> Fisch. et C.A.Mey	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Acer monspessulanum</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 7-Drought resistant
<i>Acer tataricum</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought and smoke resistant
<i>Acer negundo</i> L.	E	1, 2, 7- Drought resistant and smoke tolerant
<i>Acer saccharinum</i> L.	E	1, 2, 7- Relatively drought and smoke resistant
<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 5
<i>Ilex aquifolium</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Ailanthus altissima</i> (Mill.) Swingle	E	1, 2, 5, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Staphylea pinnata</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Euonymus europea</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Euonymus verrucosa</i> Scop.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Euonymus latifolia</i> Mill.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Euonymus japonica</i> L.	E	1, 2
<i>Paliurus spina-christi</i> Mill.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Ribes petraeum</i> Wulf.	N	2, 3
<i>Ribes alpinum</i> L.	N	2, 3
<i>Ribes nigrum</i> L.	N	2, 3
<i>Ribes multiflorum</i> Kit.	N	2, 3
<i>Ribes uva-crispa</i> L.	N	2, 3
<i>Rosa canina</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Mespilus germanica</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Crataegus monogyna</i> Jacq.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Crataegus orientalis</i> Pall.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Crataegus pentagyna</i> Waldst. et Kit.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Pyrus comminis</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Pyrus amygdaliformis</i> Will.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Pyrus elaeagnifolia</i> Pall.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Malus silvestris</i> Mill.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Malus pumila</i> Mill.	N	1, 2, 3

<i>Malus praecox</i> (Pall.) Borkh.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Sorbus chamaespilus</i> (L.) Crantz.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Sorbus aria</i> (L.) Crantz.	N	1, 2, 3, 5
<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 5
<i>Sorbus domestica</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 5, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Sorbus torminalis</i> (L.) Crantz.	N	1, 2, 3, 5, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Amygdalus communis</i> L.	E	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Cerasus avinum</i> (L.) Moench.	N	1, 2, 3, 5
<i>Cerasus fruticosa</i> (Pall.) Woronow	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Cerasus vulgaris</i> Mill.	E	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant and smoke tolerant
<i>Laurocerasus officinalis</i> M.J.Rolm.	N	1,2,3
<i>Padus mahaleb</i> (L.) Borkh.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought and smoke resistant
<i>Padus racemosa</i> (Lam.) Gilib.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Prunus spinosa</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Prunus cerasifera</i> Ehrh.	E	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Prunus insitita</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3
<i>Cercis siliquastrum</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Gleditschia triacanthos</i> L.	E	1, 2, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Amorpha fruticosa</i> L.	E	1, 2, 7- Recultivation value
<i>Sophora japonica</i> L.	E	1, 2, 5, 7- Drought resistant, salt and smoke tolerant
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L.	E	1, 2, 5, 7- Drought and smoke resistant
<i>Caragana arborescens</i> Lam.	E	1, 2, 5, 7- Drought resistant and salt tolerant
<i>Laburnum anagyroides</i> Medic.	E	1, 2, 5, 7- Drought and smoke resistant
<i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> L.	E	1, 2, 7- Drought and smoke resistant, recultivation value
<i>Cornus mas</i> L.	N	1, 3, 5, 7- Drought resistant and smoke tolerant
<i>Cornus sanguinea</i> L.	N	1, 3, 5, 7- Drought resistant and smoke tolerant
<i>Paulownia tomentosa</i> Steud.	E	1, 2, 5
<i>Rhododendron ponticum</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 4, 5
<i>Catalpa bignonioides</i> Walt.	E	1, 2, 5
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 5
<i>Fraxinus ornus</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 5, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Fraxinus oxycarpa</i> Willd.	N	1, 2, 3, 5
<i>Fraxinus americana</i> L.	E	1, 2, 5, 7- Relatively drought resistant and smoke tolerant
<i>Ligustrum vulgare</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 5, 7- Drought resistant and smoke tolerant
<i>Phillyrea media</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Syringa vulgaris</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 5, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Viburnum lantana</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 7- Drought resistant
<i>Viburnum opulus</i> L.	N	1, 2, 3, 5

Services and values include:

- 1 Soil and water conservation including watershed management
- 2 Soil fertility
- 3 Biodiversity conservation
- 4 Cultural values
- 5 Aesthetic values
- 6 Religious values
- 7 Other (please specify)

1.4 Forest tree and other woody species, which are endemic

1.4.1 Bulgarian endemic forest tree and other woody species

Quercus thracica Stef. et Ned.

Quercus mestensis Bond. et Gan.

Rosa bulgarica Dimitrov

Rubus oblongoobovatus Mark.

Pyrus bulgarica Khutath. et Sachok.

Chamaecytisus absinthioides subsp. *rhodopaeus* /Wagner ex Deg./ Kuzm.

Chamaecytisus frivaldszkyanus /Deg./ Kuzm.

Chamaecytisus kovacevii /Vell./ Rothm.

Astragalus aitosensis Ivanisch.

1.4.2 Balkan Peninsula endemic forest tree and other woody species

Pinus peuce Gris.

Abies borisii-regis Mattf.

Acer heldreichii Orph. ex Boiss

Aesculus hippocastanum L.

Genista rumelica Vel.

The share of endemic forest tree and shrub species – Bulgarian and Balkan endemites towards total number of native species is 7.3%.

1.5 Tree and other woody forest species identified as being threatened (include documented threatened populations) (Table 7)

Table 7 Tree and other woody forest species considered to be threatened in all or part of their range from genetic conservation point of view

Species	Area (ha) of species natural distribution, 1+ known	Average number of tree per ha, 1+ known	Proportion of species' natural distribution %	Distribution in the country wide spread (W) rare (R) or local (L)	Type of threat (code)	Threat category		
						High	Medium	Low
Trees								
<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> L.			20	L	11,13			x
<i>Castanea sativa</i> Mill.			5	R	3, 7, 11, 13		x	
<i>Eriolobus trilobata</i> Roem.			5	L	6		x	
<i>Hippophae rhamnoides</i> L.			1	L	5, 6			x
<i>Quercus thracica</i> Stef. et Ned.			100	L	6, 12, 13	x		
<i>Salix pentandra</i> L.			1	L	13			x
<i>Taxus baccata</i> L.			2	R	4, 7, 12, 13			x
Shrubs								
<i>Caragana frutex</i> K.Koch			2	L	4, 5, 6, 12		x	
<i>Chamaecytisus ratisbonensis</i> (Schaeff.) Rothm.			5	L	1, 3, 6		x	
<i>Ephedra campylopoda</i> C.A.Mey			10	L	1, 6		x	
<i>Genista pilosa</i> L.			10	R	1, 6			x

<i>Pyracantha coccinea</i> Roem.			10	L	1, 6			x
<i>Rhododendron</i> <i>ponticum</i> L.			5	L	2, 3, 13			x
<i>Rubus macrophyllus</i> Weihe et Nees			5	L	1, 3, 6		x	
<i>Rubus thyrsoiflorus</i> Weihe et Nees			5	L	1, 3, 6		x	
<i>Spirea cienata</i> L.			1	L	1, 6		x	
<i>Spirea hypericifolia</i> L.			10	L	1, 6			x
<i>Spirea salicifolia</i> L.			2	L	1, 3, 6		x	
<i>Vaccinium</i> <i>arctostaphylos</i> L.			5	L	2, 4, 12			x

Type of threat:

- | | |
|---|------------------------------------|
| 1. Forest cover reduction and degradation | 9. Acidification of soil and water |
| 2. Forest ecosystem diversity reduction and degradation | 10. Pollutant emissions |
| 3. Unsustainable logging | 11. Pest and diseases |
| 4. Management intensification | 12. Forest fires |
| 5. Competition for land use | 13. Drought and desertification |
| 6. Urbanization | 14. Rising sea level |
| 7. Habitat fragmentation | 15. Other (please specify) |
| 8. Uncontrolled introduction of alien species | |

The proportion of the threatened tree and other woody species included in conservation programmes are as follows: *Aesculus hippocastanum* L. – 100% (reserve Dervisha), *Castanea sativa* Mill. – 50% (former reserves Malkia mostik and Skoshnik), *Hippophae rhamnoides* L. – 100% (protected locality Cape Galata), *Rhododendron ponticum* L. – 60% (reserves Lopushna, Silkosia, Tisovitsa), *Quercus thracica* Stef. et Ned. – 100% (nature landmark-protected specimen), *Taxus baccata* L. – 70% (reserves Tisovitsa, Alibotush, Vrachanski karst, Rilomanastirska gora, nature park Vitosha, national park Central Balkan), *Vaccinium arctostaphylos* L. – 80% (reserves Silkosia, Uzunbudzhak).

Documented threatened population

- remnant of *Pinus peuce* Gris. below Reznyovete on the east upper slope of Vitosha Mt. (Dimitrov et al., 1963);
- remnant of *Abies alba* Mill. in Slavyanka and foothill part of Eastern Balkan Range (Dobrinov et al., 1982);
- remnant of *Pinus sylvestris* L. – in the peripheral parts of Sofia plain – Bistritsa, Plana and Novihan (Dobrinov et al., 1982);
- remnant of *Picea abies* (L.) Karst – in Osogovo Mt at 1700 m a.s.l.

1.6 Regular assessment of threatened species.

The assessment of threatened species is carried out periodically by research institutes of the Bulgarian Academy of Science (BAS) and first entirely monograph “Red Data Book of Bulgaria”, volume 1 “Plants” was published in 1984 (BAS, 1984). Later investigations on this subject were carried out by the Institute of Botany, the Forest Research Institute and the Laboratory of Ecology at BAS. New Red Data Book of Bulgaria, volume 1 – Plants and Fungi, BAS & MOEW, Sofia is published in 2011.

1.8 A system for documenting the forest reproductive material.

In practice, there is OESD system for control of forest reproductive materials, functioning in the country (Velkov et al., 1992).

The system for documented forest reproductive material is arranged by Regulation Nr.5/5 February 2004 (State Gazette, Nr.18, 2004). The production of reproduction material from tree species is carried out only by approved and registered in Register of forest seed-production base. Minimal requirements are determined for improvement of yield of forest tree reproductive material from the following categories: 1 – “identified”, 2 – “selected”, 3 – “qualified” and 4 – “tested”. Transfer and trade of forest reproductive material is obligatory accompanied by certificate for its identification.

Regulation Nr.2 for afforestation and inventory of forest plantations (State Gazette, Nr.15, 2009) gives preference to genetic resources of 76 indigenous tree and shrub species (12 coniferous and 64 deciduous), of 39 introduced species (23 coniferous and 16 deciduous), which have showed positive results after durable testing, and of 5 naturalised species.

1.9 Current state of forest reproductive material (native and exotic) identification (seed sources, provenance zones) and utilization (including vegetatively propagated material). (Table 8a and/or 8b).

Table 8a. Annual quantity of seed produced and current state of identification of forest reproductive material of the main forest tree and other woody species in the country.

Species		Total quantity of seed used (Kg)	Quantity of seed from documented sources (provenance/seed zones delimited)	Quantity of seed from tested provenances (provenance trials established and evaluated)	Quantity that is genetically improved (from seed orchards)
Scientific name	Nature (N) or exotic (E)				
Gymnospermae					
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.	N	56.7	56.7		
<i>Pinus nigra</i> Arn.	N	161.9	113.3		48.6
<i>Abies concolor</i> Lindl.et Hildebr.	E	1.5			
<i>Picea pungens</i> Engelm.	E	0.2			
<i>Picea abies</i> (L.) Karst.	N	19.8	19.8		
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (Mirb.) Franco	E	0.2	0.2		
<i>Thuja orientalis</i> L.	E	5.7			
<i>Cedrus atlantica</i> Manetti	E	57.0	57.0		
<i>Cedrus deodara</i> Loud.	E	17.3			
<i>Cupressus sempervirens</i> L.	E	1.4			
<i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i> Parl.	E	2.2			
Total Gymnospermae		323.9	247.0		48.6
Angiospermae					
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L.	E	414.9			414.9
<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth.	N	6.2	6.2		

<i>Ulmus laevis</i> Pall.	N	6.0		
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L.	N	10.0	10.0	
<i>Gleditschia traicanthos</i> L.	E	25.4		
<i>Prunus cearasifera</i> Ehrh.	E	64.9		
<i>Pyrus communis</i> L.	N	3.1		
<i>Cerasus avium</i> (L.) Moench.	N	77.6	77.6	
<i>Quercus petrea</i> Liebl.	N	1 198.0	1 198.0	
<i>Quercus suber</i> L.	E	15.0	15.0	
<i>Quercus rubra</i> L.	E	11 037.0	11 037.0	
<i>Quercus cerris</i> L.	N	38 230.0	38 230.0	
<i>Quercus coccifera</i> L.	N	20.0		
<i>Quercus frainetto</i> Ten.	N	1 425.0		
<i>Quercus robur</i> L.	N	1 230.0	1 230.0	
<i>Quercus pubescens</i> Willd.	N	630.0	630.0	
<i>Catalpa bignonioides</i> Walt.	E	0.7		
<i>Castanea sativa</i> Mill.	N	971.0	971.0	
<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> L.	N	537.0		
<i>Malus silvestris</i> Mill.	N	6.5		
<i>Celtis australis</i> L.	N	18.0		
<i>Tilia tomentosa</i> Moench.	N	910.0		
<i>Tilia cordata</i> Mill.	N	8.0	8.0	
<i>Tilia platyphyllos</i> Scop.	N	12.0	12.0	
<i>Padus mahaleb</i> (L.) Borkh.	N	5.3		
<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	E	100.0		
<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i> L.	N	1.1		
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> L.	N	227.3	227.3	
<i>Acer platanoides</i> L.	N	4.6	4.6	
<i>Acer heldreichii</i> Orph. ex Boiss	N	2.0		
<i>Fraxinus americana</i> L.	E	33.4		
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L.	N	5.0	5.0	
<i>Fraxinus oxycarpa</i> Willd.	N	0.7		
<i>Platanus orientalis</i> L.	N	1.3		
Total Angiospermae		57 237.0	53 661.7	414.9
Total Gymnospermae + Angiospermae		57 560.9	53 908.7	463.5

The annual quantity of forest tree seeds produced from documented sources plus genetically improved ones are 94.5% from quantity of seeds used. For coniferous species this index is 91.3% and although the quantity of seeds from indigenous species is 74.0%, all of them are with determined provenance. For deciduous species the quantity of seeds from indigenous species is a bit higher (79.9%), but 5.5% are from non-documented origin.

Highest quantity of seeds from coniferous species is obtained from *Pinus nigra* Arn. (50.0%), *Pinus sylvestris* L. (17.5%), *Cedrus atlantica* Manetti (17.6%) and *Picea abies* (L.) Karst (6.1%), etc., and from deciduous species – from *Quercus cerris* L. (66.8%), *Quercus rubra* L. (19.3%), *Quercus frainetto* Ten. (2.5%), etc.

Totally for the country the annual yield of forest tree seeds from indigenous species is 79.9%, while seeds from seed orchards are in relatively small quantity (0,8%). This shows that years long forestry policy has been directed to production of seeds from seed stands, and it has not been very much relied on seed orchards. Forest seed yield is directed predominantly towards indigenous forest tree species. This forestry policy for production of forest seeds from seed stands and from indigenous species in mountain country like Bulgaria proved to be ecologically most suitable especially in expected climate changes.

Table 8b. Annual number of seedlings (or vegetative propagules) planted and state of identification of reproductive material used for main forest tree and other woody species in the country.

Species		Total quantity of seedlings planted	Quantity of seedlings from documented sources (provenance/seed zones delimited)	Quantity of seedlings from tested provenances (provenance trials established and evaluated)	Quantity of vegetative reproductive material used	Quantity of seedlings that are genetically improved
Scientific name	Nature (N) or exotic (E)					
Gymnospermae						
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.	N	962 900	962 900			
<i>Pinus nigra</i> Arn.	N	1 895 000	1 326 500			568 500
<i>Pinus peuce</i> Gris.	N	11 000				
<i>Abies alba</i> Mill.	N	29 200	20 500			8 700
<i>Abies cephalonica</i> Loud.	E	8 400	8 400			
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (Mirb.) Franco	E	66 000	66 000			
<i>Abies pinsapo</i> Boiss.	E	3 600	3 600			
<i>Picea abies</i> (L.)Karst.	N	441 900	441 900			
<i>Thuja orientalis</i> L.	E	46 900				
<i>Cedrus atlantica</i> Manetti.	E	236 800	236 800			
<i>Cedrus deodara</i> Loud.	E	12 400				
<i>Cupressus sempervirens</i> L.	E	3 300				
<i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i> Parl.	E	2 200				
Total Gymnospermae		3 719 600	3 066 600			577 200
Angiospermae						
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L.	E	1 670 000				1 670 000
<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth.	N	8 900	8 900			
<i>Sorbus torminalis</i> (L.) Crantz.	N	1 000				
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L.	N	701 900	701 900			
<i>Gleditschia traicanthos</i> L.	E	75 700				
<i>Pyrus communis</i> L.	N	38 700				
<i>Cerasus avium</i> (L.) Moench.	N	40 300	40 300			
<i>Quercus petraea</i> Liebl.	N	326 300	326 300			
<i>Quercus rubra</i> L.	E	933 000	933 000			
<i>Quercus cerris</i> L.	N	4 457 400	4 457 400			
<i>Quercus frainetto</i> Ten.	N	544 800				
<i>Quercus robur</i> L.	N	415 500	415 500			
<i>Quercus pubescens</i> Willd.	N	105 800				
<i>Catalpa bignonioides</i> Walt.	E	1 000				
<i>Castanea sativa</i> L.	N	17 100	17 100			

<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> L.	N	23 900			
<i>Malus silvestris</i> Mill.	N	33 400			
<i>Celtis australis</i> L.	N	5 800			
<i>Tilia tomentosa</i> Moench.	N	409 500			
<i>Tilia cordata</i> Mill.	N	24 000	24 000		
<i>Padus mahaleb</i> (L.) Borkh.	N	1 600			
<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	E	13 200			
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> L.	N	210 700	210 700		
<i>Acer platanoides</i> L.	N	19 100	19 100		
<i>Acer negundo</i> L.	N	1 100			
<i>Fraxinus americana</i> L.	E	21 800			
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L.	N	59 300	59 300		
<i>Fraxinus oxycarpa</i> Willd.	N	64 900			
<i>Platanus orientalis</i> L.	N	9 900			
<i>Populus</i> ssp.		214 900			214 900
Total Angiospermae		10 450 500	7 213 500		1 884 900
Total Gymnospermae + Angiospermae		14 170 100	10 280 100		2 462 100

Annual number of seedlings from documented sources plus genetically improved ones is 89.9% from the total quantity of seedlings planted, and 17.4% are genetically improved.

Seedlings from indigenous species are 78.4%, and exotic ones – 21.6%. For coniferous species seedlings from indigenous species are 89.9%, and for deciduous ones – 74.3%.

Highest quantity of planted seedlings from coniferous species is from *Pinus nigra* Arn. (50.9%), *Pinus sylvestris* L. (25.9%), *Picea abies* (L.) Karst. (11.9%), *Cedrus atlantica* Manetti. (6.4%), etc., and from deciduous species – from *Quercus cerris* L. (42.6%), *Robinia pseudoacacia* L. (16.0%), *Quercus rubra* L. (8.9%), *Fagus sylvatica* L. (6.7%), etc.

Generalised data from table 8b show clear domination of deciduous seedlings, which are 73.8% of all seedlings, as well as strong domination of indigenous species (78.4%). This is in conformity with the national policy for increasing of the share of deciduous and indigenous tree species in afforestation due to expected climate changes.

1.10 Current state of genetic characterization of main forest tree and other woody plant species. (Table 9).

Table 9. Forest tree species, whose genetic variability has been evaluated

Species		Morphological traits	Adaptive and production characters assessed	Molecular characterization
Scientific name	Native (N) or exotic (E)			
Gymnospermae				
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.	N	24 forms according to: branching habit (3), bark cracking (3); apophysis form (3), catkins color (2), seed color (5), wing color (5), resin productivity (2),	-ecotypes: 3 ecotypes according to altitude (Dobrinov, 1960; Kalinkov, Dobrinov, 1972; Dobrinov et al., 1982). -provenance tests:	-biochemical markers: polyphenols (Zhelev, Edreva, 1991); mono- and

		<p>f.conglomerata Carr. (Dobrinov, 1960; Rosnev, 1968; Kalinkov, Dobrinov, 1972; Dobrinov, Kalinkov, 1972; Dobrinov et al., 1982).</p>	<p>6 provenance tests (Dobrinov, 1965; Dobrinov, Kalinkov, 1969; Dobrinov et al., 1982; Kostov et al., 1986).</p> <p>The highest intensity of photosynthesis have provenances of Scots pine from altitudes near the optimum of this species in the certain mountain range or massive (Naidenova, Kostov, 1994).</p> <p>-progeny tests: 4 half-sib progeny tests (Dobrinov, Kalinkov, 1972; 1977; Gagov, Zhelev, 1996); coefficients of heritability of height and diameter growth were estimated (Zhelev, 1992; Gagov, Zhelev, 1996).</p>	<p>sesquiterpenes (Naydenov, 1998; Naydenov et al., 2005); isoenzymes (Zhelev, 1992; Zhelev et al., 1994).</p> <p>-DNA markers: chloroplast DNA (Naydenov et al., 2005).</p>
<i>Pinus nigra</i> Arn.	N	<p>4 varieties according to needle anatomy (Ivanov, 1971);</p> <p>13 forms according to: branching habit (3), bark cracking (3), apophysis form (4), seed color (3) (Ivanov, 1971; Mihailov, 1993).</p> <p>Intra-population variation is bigger than inter-population variability (Mihailov, 1987).</p>	<p>-ecotypes: 3 ecotypes according to basik rock (Dobrinov et al., 1982).</p> <p>Radio resistance of seeds depends significantly from provenance, and the most drought-resistant ecotype is characterized with highest radio resistance (Dobrev, 1986).</p> <p>-provenance tests: 11 provenance tests (Kostov et al., 1979; Zahariev et al., 1983; Iliev et al., 1997).</p> <p>-progeny tests: 2 half-sib progeny tests (Dobrev, 1999); coefficients of family heritability and genetic correlations of height growth were estimated (Dobrev, 1999; 2002).</p>	<p>-biochemical markers: isoenzymes (Scaltsoyiannes et al., 2009);</p> <p>-DNA markers: chloroplast DNA (Naydenov et al., 2006).</p>
<i>Picea abies</i> (L.) Karst.	N	<p>16 varieties according to: needle morphology (2); color of young cones (2); scale shape (6); branching habit (6) (Alexandrov, 1966; 1970; 1984); (Dobrinov, 1973; Dobrinov et al., 1982).</p> <p>14 forms according to: habit of second order branching (4); bark cracking (3); length of the female strobiles (2); seed color (5) (Alexandrov, 1966; 1970; 1984).</p>	<p>-provenance tests: 2 provenance tests (Александров, 1983; 1985). The most promising sort-population was selected and evaluated (Alexandrov, 1985).</p> <p>The highest intensity of photosynthesis have provenances from altitudes near the optimum for the natural distribution of this species in the certain mountain range or massive (Alexandrov, Naidenova, 1991).</p> <p>-progeny tests: 5 half-sib progeny tests (Alexandrov, 1984; 1985; 1990); coefficients of heritability of height and diameter growth were estimated (Alexandrov, 1984;</p>	

			1990).	
<i>Abies alba</i> Mill.	N	24 forms according to: branching habit of the first order (3); branching habit of the second order (2); bark cracking and color (5); needle length (3); male katkins color (2); seed color (3); color of young cones (2); sizes and form of cones (4) (Gagov, 1973).	<p>-ecotypes: 3 ecotypes according to altitude (Dobrinov et al., 1982).</p> <p>-provenance tests: 7 provenance tests (Gagov, 1979); the best provenance from Slavyanka was distinguished as <i>Abies alba</i> var. <i>acutifolia</i> Turill. (Dobrinov, Gagov, 1981). This gives the reason for considering the populations from Slavyanka as resistant to summer drought ecotype (Dobrinov, Gagov, 1981).</p> <p>-progeny tests: 4 half-sib progeny tests; genetic parameters of height and diameter growth were estimated (Plugchieva et al., 2003; Gagov et al., 2005; Gagov, Evtimov, 2007).</p>	-biochemical markers: isoenzymes (Bergmann and Gagov, 2000; 2003).
<i>Pinus peuce</i> Gris.	N	<p>2 varieties according to: immature cones color, size, and shape (Doykov, 1975).</p> <p>15 forms according to: branching habit (3); bark cracking (4); needle length (2); seed color (3) wing color (3) (Doykov, 1981; Dobrev, 1996).</p> <p>Variance components and coefficients of repeatability of needle, cone, cone scale, wing and seed traits were estimated in two full seed years (Dobrev, 1995; 2000, 2007).</p> <p>13 studied provenances were grouped and distinguished on the base of 31 morphological traits by PCA and MDS (Dobrev, 2007).</p>	<p>-provenance and progeny tests: 5 combined provenance and half-sib progeny tests (Dobrev, 1998; 2000); genetic parameters of height and diameter growth were estimated. A fast growing and adaptive population was selected and evaluated (Dobrev, 2007; 2009).</p> <p>Macedonian pine originated from the North Pirin (1900m a.s.l.) was characterized with the highest productivity and good adaptation to lower mountain and drier conditions (Dobrev, 2007; 2009).</p>	-biochemical markers: isoenzymes (Zhelev et al., 2002; Zhelev, Tzarska, 2008).
<i>Pinus heldreichii</i> Christ.	N	<p>6 forms according to; branching habit (3); bark cracking (3) (Dobrinov et al., 1982).</p> <p>Variability between and within populations was evaluated (Yurukov et al., 2005).</p>		-biochemical variability: proteins (Balevska, Alexandrov, 1975). -DNA markers: chloroplast DNA (Naydenov et al., 2005).
<i>Pinus mugo</i> Turra	N			-biochemical markers: allozymes (Slavov, Zhelev, 2004).
Natural hybrids	N	Some characters of hybrids possess	-progeny tests: 3 half-sib progeny tests	

between <i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L. and <i>Pinus mugo</i> Turra		intermediate values in comparison with the parental species (Yurukov, Zhelev, 2006).	(Dobrinov, Yagdzidis, 1971; Dobrinov, Yurukov, 1986; Gagov, Zhelev, 1996).	
<i>Larix decidua</i> Mill. and <i>Larix kaempferi</i> (Lamb.) Carr.	E		-provenance tests: 1 provenance test (Milev, 1996).	
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (Mirb.) Franco	E		-provenance tests: 2 provenance tests (Popov, Hristov, 1996; Popov, 2001); 4 provenance tests (Iliev, Petkova, 1999). Fast growing and adaptive provenances were selected (Popov, Hristov, 1996; Iliev, Petkova, 1999).	-DNA markers: RAPD (Antsipava et al., 2010).
Total Gymnospermae		varieties and forms – 118.	ecotypes - 9; provenance tests – 38; progeny tests – 23.	biochemical markers – 12 publications; DNA markers – 4 publications.
Angiospermae				
<i>Quercus petraea</i> Liebl.	N	2 forms according to leaf phenology; 27 forms according to: leaf length and form (14); branching habit (3); stem form (2); bark cracking (5); acorn sizes, form and color (3) (Garilov, 1969; 1972); (Velkov and Popov, 1969); (Peev, 2006; 2007).	-ecotypes: 3 ecotypes according to altitude (Garilov, 1969; 1972). -provenance and progeny tests: 1 combined provenance and half-sib progeny test; coefficients of heritability of height and diameter growth were estimated (Garilov, 1982).	-biochemical markers: isoenzymes (Gömöry et al. (2001).
<i>Quercus cerris</i> L.	N	2 forms according to leaf phenology; 24 forms according to: leaf length and form (6); bark cracking (8); acorn form (4); acorn sizes (3); acorn dome form (3) (Erbakamov, 2005).	-provenance tests: 1 collection of provenances (Erbakamov, 1995).	
<i>Quercus frainetto</i> Ten.	N	2 forms according to leaf phenology; 2 varieties with 7 forms according to leaf sizes and form (Damyanov et al., 1977; Dobrinov et al., 1982).	-ecotypes: 2 ecotypes according to drought tolerance (Damyanov et al., 1977).	
<i>Quercus robur</i> L.	N	2 forms according to leaf phenology (Denev, 1969); 24 forms according to: leaf sizes and form (9);	-ecotypes: 3 ecotypes according to drought tolerance (Hinkov, 2004). -provenance tests: 1 provenance test (Kostov,	-DNA markers: chloroplast DNA (Hinkov et al., 2003; Hinkov, 2004).

		acorn dome form (5); acorn form (6); crown form (4) (Hinkov, 2004).	1981). - progeny tests: 1 half-sib progeny test (Hinkov, 2004).	
<i>Quercus thracica</i> Stef. et Ned.	N		-progeny tests: 1 half-sib progeny test (Alexandrov, 1994).	
<i>Quercus suber</i> L.	E		-provenance tests: 7 trial plantations with selected provenance (Petrov, 1994).	
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L.	N	2 forms according to: leaf phenology; 19 forms according to: leaf length and form (5); branching habit (2); stem form (3); bark color (3); bark cracking (4); leaf color (2) (Dobrinov et al., 1982).	-ecotypes: 5 ecotypes according to altitude and mountain massif (Dobrinov et al., 1982). -provenance tests: 2 provenance tests (Botev, 1994; Alexandrov et al., 2006). Best provenances were selected and evaluated.	-biochemical markers: isozymes (Gömöry et al., 1999).
<i>Castanea sativa</i> Mill.	N	25 forms according to: bark cracking (2); leaf length and form (2); male katkins structure (5); size and weight of fruits (4); number of fruits in a dome (2); form of fruits (5); dome needles (4); f.spicata (Dobrinov et al., 1982; Glushkova, 2006).	-provenance and progeny tests: 3 combined provenance and half-sib progeny tests (Glushkova, 2006). Progenies from Berkovitsa-400m and 600m altitude were distinguished with highest survival and the best growth in height (Glushkova, 2006). -clonal tests: 5 tests with clones.	
<i>Populus sp. and their artificial hybrids</i>	N and E	<i>Populus tremula</i> L.: 2 forms according to leaf phenology; 21 forms according to: leaf form (6); stem form (2); crown form (3); bark color (6); bark cracking (4) (Dobrinov et al., 1982). <i>Populus alba</i> L.: 4 forms according to: bark thickness (2); crown form (2) (Tsanov, 1988). <i>Populus nigra</i> L.: 5 forms according to: bark cracking (2); crown form (2) (Tsanov, 1988); a curly form (Tsanov, 1989).	-clonal tests: 25 (Kolarov, 1978); 1 (Iliev et al., 1978); 2 (Ganchev, Svinarov, 1981); 1 (Ganchev et al., 1981); 64 (Tsanov, 1983); 1 (Tsanov et al., 1989). High productive and adaptive clones were selected (Ganchev et al., 1959; Petrov, 1967; Iliev et al., 1978; Iliev, 1983; Ganchev, Svinarov, 1981; Ganchev et al., 1981; Tsanov, 1983; Kolarov, 1989; Tsanov et al., 1989; Vasev, 2002).	
<i>Salix sp. and their artificial hybrids</i>	N and E	<i>Salix alba</i> L.: 11 forms according to: leaf form and color (4); crown form (3); bark color and cracking (4) (Tsanov, 1989). Intraspecies variation of <i>Salix alba</i> L. on the base of morphological traits was estimated (Tsanov, 1995).	-clonal tests: 64 clonal tests (Tsanov, 1983). 4 clones were selected: <i>Salix alba</i> kl. Bg-1/64; Bg-2/64; Bg-3/64 and <i>Salix purpurea</i> kl. "Vardimski", that have good stem form, fast growth and high productivity (Tsanov, 1989).	

<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L.	N	2 forms according to leaf phenology; 8 forms according to: leaf sizes (2); crown form (3); bark cracking (3) (Marinov, 1969; Dobrinov et al., 1982).	-ecotypes: 3 ecotypes according to altitude (Marinov, 1973). -provenance and progeny tests: 4 provenance tests; coefficients of heritability of height and diameter growth were estimated (Marinov, 1986; 1990).	-DNA - markers: microsatellite DNA (Heuertz et al., 2001).
<i>Fraxinus oxycarpa</i> Willd.	N	23 forms according to: hairiness of leaves, branches and buds (2); leaf sizes and form (3); leaf color (3); stem form (2); bark cracking (3); sizes and form of wings (10) (Marinov, 1976; Dobrinov et al., 1982; Genova, 1993).	-ecotypes: 2 ecotypes according to soil moisture (Dobrinov et al., 1982).	
<i>Tilia tomentosa</i> Moench.	N	21 forms according to: leaf and fruit sizes and form (7); stem form (2); crown form (3); bark cracking (3); color of young shoots (3); color of timber (2); f.praecox (Dobrinov et al., 1982).	-ecotypes: 3 ecotypes according to altitude (Kalmukov, 1994).	
<i>Tilia cordata</i> Mill.	N	7 forms according to leaf sizes and form (2); bark cracking (5) (Dobrinov et al., 1982).		
<i>Tilia platyphyllos</i> Scop.	N	7 forms according to leaf form (1); crown form (4); bark cracking (2) (Dobrinov et al., 1982).		
<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth.	N	3 phenological forms (Iliev, 1988); 20 forms according to: bark color (4); bark cracking (2); crown form (4); leaf color (2); leaf form (7); a curly form (Dobrinov et al., 1982; Iliev, 1988).	-ecotypes: 3 ecotypes according to altitude (Dobrinov et al., 1982).	-biochemical markers: isozymes (Iliev et al., 2010).
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> L.	N	15 forms according to: leaf sizes and form (5); stem form (2); bark cracking (3); sizes and form of wings (3); color of wings (2) (Pandeva, 2004; 2006); (Pandeva, Glushcova, 2005).	- ecotypes: 3 ecotypes according to drought resistance (Pandeva, 2004).	
<i>Acer platanoides</i> L.	N	4 forms according to: stem form (2); bark cracking (2) (Pandeva, 2004).		
<i>Acer campestre</i> L.	N	7 forms according to: leaf sizes and form (3); stem form (2); sizes and form of wings (2) (Pandeva, 2004).	- ecotypes: f. <i>suberosa</i> , is found out for growing on very poor and dry calcareous soils (Pandeva, Peev, 2007).	

<i>Acer heldreichii</i> Orph. ex Boiss	N	8 forms according to: leaf sizes and form (4); stem form (2); sizes and form of wings (2) (Pandeva, 2004).		
<i>Acer hyrcanum</i> Fisch. et May	N	4 forms according to: stem form (2); color of wings (2) (Pandeva, Peev, 2007).		
<i>Platanus orientalis</i> L.	N	12 forms according to: leaf form (3); crown form (6); bark cracking (3) (Dobrinov et al., 1982).		
<i>Corylus colurna</i> L.	N	2 phenological forms; 7 forms according to: hairiness of leaves (2); leaf form (2); bark configuration (3) (Dobrinov et al., 1982).		
<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	E		16 sorts and forms were selected that are suitable either for high quality timber and fruit production (Popov, 1988).	
<i>Paulownia</i> <i>sp. and</i> <i>their</i> <i>artificial</i> <i>hybrids</i>	E		-clonal tests: 3 (Gyuleva, 2008).	
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L.	E		-clonal tests: 1 (Tsanov et al., 1992); 1 (Broshtilov, 2009); 19(Kalmukov, 2009). High productive and adaptive clones were selected (Tsanov et al., 1992; Dimitrova, 2005; Broshtilov, 2009; Kalmukov, 2009).	
Total Angio- spermae		varieties and forms – 331.	ecotypes – 28; provenance tests – 19; progeny tests – 6; clonal tests – 187; sorts - 16.	biochemical markers – 3 publications; DNA markers – 3 publications.
Total Gymno- spermae and Angio- spermae		varieties and forms – 449.	ecotypes – 37; provenance tests – 57; progeny tests – 29; clonal tests – 187; sorts - 16.	biochemical markers – 15 publications; DNA markers – 7 publications.

The evaluation of genetic variability of native forest tree species is carried out predominantly for 38 tree species (10 coniferous and 28 deciduous) with a stress on the intraspecific variability and totally 449 varieties and forms are determined – morphological traits (118 for coniferous and 331 for deciduous species). There are also 37 ecotypes and 57 provenance and 29 progeny tests established, as well as 187 clonal tests, and for *Juglans regia* L. – 16 sorts. Genetic characterization was carried out through biochemical markers for 9 tree species, and through DNA markers – for 6 tree species.

1.11 Collection of information on forest genetic resources as part of national forest surveys.

In Regulation for inventory and planning in forest territories, section IV from 2011 it is foreseen:

(1). On additional assignment, pointed out in the inventory task, survey on biological diversity is carried out, which includes determining of representative, rare and vulnerable forest ecosystems and localities of rare, threatened, protected and endemic plant and animal species.

(2). In generalization of information obtained during the survey, the following basic quantitative indices are included:

1. Changes in the area of natural, semi-natural, artificial and protected forest ecosystems.
2. Changes in the number and percentage of rare, threatened with extinction, protected and endemic species from their total number.
3. Changes in the relative share of stands, managed with the aim preservation and utilization of forest genetic resources from the total forest area.
4. Proportion between used indigenous and introduced tree species.

1.12 Developed genetic conservation strategies/programmes (including *in situ* and/or *ex situ*) for forest tree or other woody plant species.

The evaluation of the genetic variability of forest tree species in the country and the development of their genetic conservation programmes was carried out by four generations of forest biologists and is recorded predominantly in PhD theses and publications: Flora of Bulgaria (Stoyanov, Stefanov, 1924); Dendrology (Stefanov, 1934; Stefanov, Ganchev, 1953; Ganchev, Stefanov, 1958; Chernyavski et al., 1959; Dobrinov et al., 1982; Delkov, 1992; Vakarelov, Anisimova, 2010); *Pinus sylvestris* L. (Dobrinov, 1960; Rosnev, 1968; Zhelev, 1994); *Picea abies* (L.) Karst (Alexandrov, 1967, 1984); *Pinus nigra* Arn. (Ivanov, 1971; Dobrev, 1986; Michailov, 1993); *Abies alba* Mill. (Gagov, 1973); *Pinus peuce* Gris. (Dobrev, 2007); *Larix decidua* Mill. and *Larix kaempferi* (Lamb.) Carr. (Milev, 1996); *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco (Popov, 1991); *Quercus robur* L. (Dakov, 1949; Denev, 1969; Hinkov, 2004); *Quercus petraea* Liebl. (Velkov, 1959; Garilov, 1972; Peev, 2007); *Quercus frainetto* Ten. (Kalinkov, 1961); *Quercus stranjensis* Turill. (Arabov, 1988); *Quercus cerris* L (Erbakamov, 2005); *Fagus sylvatica* L. (Garelkova, 1980; Dakov, 2011); *Fraxinus excelsior* L. (Marinov, 1970, 1986); *Fraxinus oxycarpa* Willd. (Marinova, 1993); *Platanus orientalis* L. (Delkov, 1977); *Populus* sp. (Petrov, 1967; Ganchev, 1967; Naydenova, 1972; Kolarov, 1989; Tsanov, 1989); *Salix* sp. (Tsanov, 1973, 1989); *Castanea sativa* Mill. (Glushkova, 2006); *Corylus colurna* L. (Alexandrov, Popov, 1971); *Betula pendula* Roth. (Iliev, 1988); *Tilia tomentosa* Moench (Kalmukov, 1987); *Acer* sp. (Pandeva, 2004); *Sorbus* sp. (Georgiev, 2011); *Ulmus* sp. (Stoyanov, 2004); *Juglans regia* L. (Popov, 1988); *Quercus suber* L. (Petrov, 1994); *Robinia pseudoacacia* L. (Dimitrova, 2005); *Paulownia* sp. (Gyuleva, 2008).

Chapter 2: State of *in situ* genetic conservation

2.1 Analysis carried out to evaluate genetic conservation of forest tree and other woody plant species in protected areas (national parks, ecological reserves, etc.).

All forests in Bulgaria are managed and mapped in different scales according to the purpose of maps (most often 1:50 000, 1:100 000). Mapping was carried out and inventory descriptions of main forest tree species was done, including also data about zoning, altitude a.s.l., exposure, population size, designation of areas.

Important contribution to the genetic conservation of forest tree species is carried out by the following protected areas: the three national parks – Rila, Pirin and Central Balkan with total forest cover of 119 226 ha, the eleven nature parks – Strandzha, Vrachanski Balkan, Rilski manastir, Vitosha, Bulgarka, Persina, Sinite kamani, Shumensko plateau, Rusenski Lom, Zlatni pyasatsi and Belasitsa, with total forest cover of 179 338 ha and 90 reserves&maintained reserves with total forest cover of 59 348.1 ha, as well as in some protected localities.

2.2 Proportion of all nature and other woody forest species conserved *in situ*. Proportion of threatened tree and other woody species included in conservation programmes.

All native and other woody forest species, conserved *in situ* include mainly the forest area of national parks, nature parks, reserves and seed production stands. Their share of the total forest cover is 10.8 %.

The share of threatened tree species included in conservation programmes varies from 1% to 100% according to circumstances.

2.3 A programme for *in situ* conservation of forest genetic resources (Table 10)

The year 1952 could be considered as beginning of this programme, when the forest seed control stations in Sofia and Plovdiv were founded. These stations organized the establishment of permanent seed production stands. The programme for *in situ* conservation of forest genetic resources develops and is supplemented over the years in collaboration with researchers from the Forest Research Institute and Forestry Faculty at the University of Forestry. In fact, however, this activity has begun as early as with the establishment of the first reserve – Silkosia in Strandzha mountain in 1933 and of the first national park – Vitosha mountain in 1934.

Table 10. Target forest species included within *in situ* conservation programmes/units

Species (scientific name)	Purpose for establishing conservation unit	Number of populations or stands conserved	Total Area ha
Gymnospermae			
<i>Abies alba</i> Mill.	Reserves	774	2 617.1
	Sustained Reserves	19	34.8
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	152	1 248.2
	Former PSPS in National Parks	45	126.5
	Σ	990	4 026.6
<i>Juniperus communis</i> L.	Reserves	4	331.0
<i>Juniperus excelsa</i> Bieb.	Reserves	40	244.4
<i>Picea abies</i> (L.) Karst.	Reserves	1282	7 034.7
	Sustained Reserves	15	37.6

	Permanent Seed Production Stands	673	4 991.6
	Former PSPS in National Parks	135	795.3
	Σ	2 105	12 859.2
<i>Pinus heldreichii</i> Christ.	Reserves	178	1 107.1
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	2	28.1
	Former PSPS in National Parks	5	52.2
	Σ	185	1 187.4
<i>Pinus mugo</i> Turra	Reserves	332	8 558.8
	Former PSPS in National Parks	1	2.1
	Σ	333	8 560.9
<i>Pinus nigra</i> Arn.	Reserves	348	1 239.6
	Sustained Reserves	35	188.0
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	183	1 183.5
	Former PSPS in National Parks	8	39.3
	Σ	574	2 650.4
<i>Pinus peuce</i> Gris.	Reserves	523	2 710.5
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	16	207.2
	Former PSPS in National Parks	58	275.9
	Σ	597	3 193.6
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.	Reserves	559	1 438.0
	Sustained Reserves	2	1.7
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	933	8 736.1
	Former PSPS in National Parks	96	454.3
	Σ	1 590	10 630.1
<i>Taxus baccata</i> L.	Permanent Seed Production Stands	2	17.0
Total Gymnospermae	Reserves	4 040	25 281.2
	Sustained Reserves	71	262.1
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	1 961	16 411.7
	Former PSPS in National Parks	348	1 745.6
	Σ	6 420	43 700.6
Angiospermae			

<i>Acer campestre</i> L.	Reserves	120	84.3
	Sustained Reserves	16	20.8
	Σ	136	105.1
<i>Acer platanoides</i> L.	Sustained Reserves	1	2.1
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	3	26.1
	Σ	4	28.2
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> L.	Reserves	155	137.3
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	8	120.7
	Σ	163	258.0
<i>Acer tataricum</i> L.	Sustained Reserves	1	0.4
<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> L.	Sustained Reserves	10	18.6
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i> (L.) Gaertn.	Sustained Reserves	5	28.5
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	6	2.2
	Σ	11	30.7
<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth.	Reserves	145	177.2
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	23	244.7
	Σ	168	421.9
<i>Carpinus betulus</i> L.	Reserves	548	1 519.7
	Sustained Reserves	34	129.0
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	20	364.9
	Former PSPS in National Parks	1	1.0
	Σ	603	2 014.6
<i>Carpinus orientalis</i> Mill.	Reserves	410	2 188.9
	Sustained Reserves	29	70.4
	Σ	439	2 259.3
<i>Castanea sativa</i> Mill.	Reserves	15	120.5
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	15	128.9
	Σ	30	249.4
<i>Corylus colurna</i> L.	Permanent Seed Production Stands	1	2.0
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L.	Reserves	2 107	16 644.8
	Sustained Reserves	58	348.3

	Permanent Seed Production	995	11 116.5
	Former PSPS in National Parks	42	534.5
	Σ	3 202	28 644.1
<i>Fagus orientalis</i> Lipsky	Reserves	403	1 720.5
	Sustained Reserves	3	9.8
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	69	666.9
	Σ	475	2 397.2
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L.	Reserves	81	224.9
	Sustained Reserves	2	6.4
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	22	256.7
	Σ	105	488.0
<i>Fraxinus ornus</i> L.	Reserves	323	585.5
	Sustained Reserves	17	9.8
	Σ	340	595.3
<i>Fraxinus oxycarpa</i> Willd.	Reserves	282	850.0
	Sustained Reserves	50	391.5
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	16	257.8
	Σ	348	1 499.3
<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	Reserves	7	7.7
	Sustained Reserves	2	0.2
	Σ	9	7.9
<i>Ostrya carpinifolia</i> Scop.	Reserves	144	523.0
	Sustained Reserves	2	1.7
	Σ	146	524.7
<i>Platanus orientalis</i> L.	Permanent Seed Production Stands	4	33.8
<i>Populus alba</i> L.	Reserves	1	2.7
	Sustained Reserves	13	22.1
	Σ	14	24.8
<i>Populus nigra</i> L.	Sustained Reserves	6	14.0
<i>Populus tremula</i> L.	Reserves	176	268.4
<i>Cerasus avium</i> (L.) Moench.	Permanent Seed Production Stands	18	307.9

<i>Pyrus communis</i> L.	Sustained Reserves	1	0.8
<i>Quercus cerris</i> L.	Reserves	329	474.2
	Sustained Reserves	30	26.9
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	243	3 208.5
	Σ	602	3 709.6
<i>Quercus frainetto</i> Ten.	Reserves	635	2 081.6
	Sustained Reserves	35	139.7
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	384	4 561.6
	Σ	1 054	6 782.9
<i>Quercus petraea</i> Liebl.	Reserves	1 087	3 968.4
	Sustained Reserves	47	110.5
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	642	7 766.0
	Former PSPS in National Parks	3	45.9
	Σ	1 779	11 890.8
<i>Quercus pubescens</i> Willd.	Reserves	71	265.7
	Sustained Reserves	10	23.5
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	13	184.1
	Σ	94	473.3
<i>Quercus robur</i> L.	Reserves	68	194.4
	Sustained Reserves	36	166.0
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	39	394.1
	Σ	143	754.5
<i>Salix alba</i> L.	Reserves	1	2.7
	Sustained Reserves	10	11.4
	Σ	11	14.1
<i>Salix caprea</i> L.	Reserves	25	12.6
<i>Sorbus aria</i> (L.) Crantz.	Sustained Reserves	3	3.0
<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i> L.	Reserves	3	7.5
<i>Sorbus domestica</i> L.	Permanent Seed Production Stands	3	31.0
<i>Sorbus torminalis</i> (L.) Crantz.	Reserves	33	9.9
	Permanent Seed Production Stands	8	81.0

		Σ	41	90.9
<i>Tilia cordata</i> Mill.	Reserve		1	0.5
<i>Tilia platyphyllos</i> Scop.	Permanent Seed Production Stands		21	246.4
<i>Tilia tomentosa</i> Moench.	Reserves		64	87.6
	Sustained Reserves		8	2.1
	Permanent Seed Production Stands		121	1 433.8
		Σ	193	1 523.5
<i>Ulmus glabra</i> Huds.	Reserve		1	0.5
<i>Ulmus laevis</i> Pall.	Sustained Reserves		10	20.5
<i>Ulmus minor</i> Mill.	Sustained Reserves		31	62.7
<i>Viburnum opulus</i> L.	Reserves		2	3.1
Total Angiospermae	Reserves		7237	32 164.1
	Sustained Reserves		470	1 640.7
	Permanent Seed Production Stands		2 674	31 435.6
	Former PSPS in National Parks		46	581.4
		Σ	10 427	65 821.8
Total Gymnospermae + Angiospermae	Reserves		11 277	57 445.3
	Sustained Reserves		541	1 902.8
	Permanent Seed Production Stands		4 635	47 847.3
	Former PSPS in National Parks		394	2 327.0
		Σ	16 847	109 522.4

Area managed for *in situ* gene conservation, amounting to 109 522.4 ha, represents 2.9% from area covered with forests and this index for conifer forests reaches up to 43 700.6 ha (4%), and for deciduous forests – 65 821.8 ha (2.5%). At total number 16 847 populations or stand reserved, their average size is 6.5 ha (6.8 ha for coniferous and 6.3 ha for deciduous species), whose size is determined by strongly variable ecological conditions in the mountain landscape of Bulgaria.

Participation of different forest tree species within *in situ* conservation units is uneven. Highest value has *Pinus heldreichii* Christ. (94%), i.e. almost its entire area followed by *Pinus mugo* Turra (36%), *Pinus peuce* Gris. (22.9 %), *Abies alba* Mill.(12.3 %) and *Picea abies* (L.) Karst. (8%), which show very good *in situ* gene conservation. The participation of deciduous species within *in situ* conservation units is less but also reaches good representation: *Fraxinus* sp. (17.2%), *Castanea sativa* Mill. (9.8%), *Acer* sp. (9.1%), *Fagus* sp. (5.0%), *Betula pendula* Roth (4.6%), etc. Insufficiently represented are *Quercus* sp., *Carpinus* sp., *Populus* sp., etc.

The permanent seed production stands (PSPS) cover 47 847.3 ha or 1.3% of the area covered with forests, respectively 16 411.7 ha (1.5%) for coniferous and 31 435.6 ha (1.2%) for

deciduous forests. The coniferous forest tree species, with the exception of *Pinus nigra* Arn., have a share in PSPS, which is enough according to area, and compared to their total area (*Abies alba* Mill. – 3.8%, *Picea abies* (L.) Karst – 3.1%, *Pinus heldreichii* Christ. – 2.2%, *Pinus sylvestris* L. – 1.6%, *Pinus peuce* Gris. – 1.5%, while for *Pinus nigra* Arn. it is only 0.4%). The greater part of deciduous forest tree species have enough percentage share in seed production stands (*Castanea sativa* Mill. – 5.0%, *Fraxinus* sp., *Acer* sp. – 3.4%, *Tilia* sp. – 3.0%, *Betula pendula* Roth. – 2.7%, *Carpinus betulus* L. – 2.3%, *Fagus* sp. – 1.9%, *Quercus* sp. – 1.4%). The permanent seed production stands of some species, however, are absent or insufficient (*Juglans regia* L., *Sorbus aucuparia* L., *Sorbus aria* (L.) Crantz., *Pyrus communis* L.).

2.4 The main constraints to improving *in situ* genetic conservation programmes.

The main constraint is the lack of financial resources during the last 20 years.

2.5 Country's priorities for future *in situ* conservation actions.

- Financial security of *in situ* conservation actions
- Preserving of forest seed control stations.
- Proceed with research activities and capacity-building.

2.6 Other relevant information on *in situ* conservation

Due to the relatively big share of the *in situ* conservation actions in comparison with other countries, area reduction of seed stands is foreseen in turn of their better management and division according to tree species.

2.7 Conservation of species on farms (*circasitu*).

They are predominantly *Juglans regia* L. and *Castanea sativa* Mill.

Chapter 3: State of *ex situ* genetic conservation

3.1 Target forest tree species included in *ex situ* conservation programmes/units (Table 11)

Table 11. *Ex situ* conservation

Species		Field collections				Germplasm banks			
Scientific name	Nature (N) or exotic (E)	Collections, provenance or progeny tests, arboreta or conservation stands		Clone banks		In vitro (including cryo conservation)		Seed banks	
		No. stands	No. acc.	No. banks	No. clones	No. banks	No. acc.	No. banks	No. acc.
Gymnospermae									
<i>Abies alba</i> Mill.	N	7	22	1	426				
<i>Cedrus atlantica</i> Manetti.	E	1	1						
<i>Cedrus deodara</i> Lond.	E	1	1						
<i>Larix decidua</i> Mill. <i>Larix kaempferi</i> (Lamb.) Carr.	E	1	16						
<i>Picea abies</i> (L.) Karst.	N	2	26					1	10
<i>Picea pungens</i> Engelm.	E			1	30				

<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.	N	6	12	6	329			1	14
<i>Pinus nigra</i> Arn.	N	11	20					1	6
<i>Pinus peuce</i> Gris.	N			1	40				
<i>Pinus strobus</i> L.	E			1	30				
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (Mirb.) Franco	E	6	55	1	30				
Total Gymnospermae		35	153	11	885			1	30
Angiospermae									
<i>Amygdalus</i> spp.	N			1	4				
<i>Castanea sativa</i> Mill.	N			5	12				
<i>Corylus</i> spp.	N			3	5				
<i>Eucommia ulmoides</i> Oliv.	E	1	1						
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L.	N	1	49						
<i>Fraxinus exelsior</i> L.	N	4	8						
<i>Fraxinus oxycarpa</i> Willd.	N	1	4						
<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	N	2	1	3	6				
<i>Paulownia</i> sp.	E			3	10				
<i>Populus</i> spp.&hybrids	N			2	360				
<i>Quercus hartwissiana</i> Stev.	N	1	1						
<i>Quercus cerris</i> L.	N	1	13						
<i>Quercus frainetto</i> Ten.	N	3	52						
<i>Quercus petraea</i> Liebl.	N	3	63						
<i>Quercus proroburooides</i> Don.et.Buz.	N	1	1						
<i>Quercus pubescens</i> Willd.	N	3	3						
<i>Quercus robur</i> L.	N	1	10						
<i>Quercus rubra</i> L.	E	3	1						
<i>Quercus thracica</i> Stef.et Ned.	N	1	1						
<i>Quercus suber</i> L.	E	7	1						
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L.	E			3	70				
<i>Salix</i> spp.&hybrids	N			2	120				
<i>Tilia tomentosa</i> Moench.	N	3	4						
<i>Ulmus</i> spp.	N	2	15	1	60				
Total Angiospermae		38	228	23	647				
Total Gymnospermae and Angiospermae		73	381	34	1532			1	30

Ex situ conservation means the conservation of components of biological diversity outside their natural habitats (CBD, 1992).

3.2 Main constraints to improving *ex situ* conservation

Due to the autochthonous forests in the country, the basic conservation method for forest genetic resources in Bulgaria is *in situ*, that's why the *ex situ* method has a secondary importance.

Main constraints to apply *ex situ* conservation of forest species in larger scale are deficiency of financial resources and great number of native forest trees and shrubs, as well as of introduced species.

Important characteristic for provenance trials is that reproductive materials were collected as population bulked samples in full seed years from felled trees after fellings in temporary seed producing stands. This was a common practice mainly for conifer forest tree species in the country (Dobrinov et al., 1982).

Usually one temporary seed producing stand was used up to 10 years in the period of final cutting in mature stand, and it must have mean tree density of 0.4 over the all stand area. This means that one provenance is represented by hundreds of native individuals in one population (bulked) seed sample, which in turn was used for production of seedlings for planting of provenance tests.

3.3 The priorities for future *ex situ* conservation actions

Conservation of forest gene resources by the *ex situ* method is priority for introduced forest tree species so far, including fast growing and adaptive to climate changes clones of poplars, willows and black locust.

The object of *ex situ* conservation could be rare and endangered, as well as protected by the Law for biological diversity (2002) forest tree species, and also some endangered populations with very low number of individuals.

For improvement of *ex situ* conservation it is foreseen to continue with the establishment of vegetative seed orchards with selected clones in the best provenances of economically important forest trees.

3.4 Other relevant information on *ex situ* conservation

Ex situ conservation of forest tree and shrub species is carried out also in 5 botanical gardens: Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – Dragalevtsi (30.6 ha), Sofia State University – Varna (36. ha), Balchik (6.5 ha), University of Forestry (4.5 ha) and University of Sofia (0.5 ha), i.g. with more than 300 species on 78.1 ha, as well as in 12 arboreta : Forest Research Institute (3.0 ha), Biological Faculty, University of Sofia (0.29 ha), University of Forestry (0.35 ha), Yundola – University of Forestry (1.6 ha), Barzia – University of Forestry (3.1 ha), Primorsko (13.6 ha), Malko Tarnovo (3.0 ha), Svishtov Forest Exp. St. (0.5 ha), General Toshevo (1.0 ha), Vitosha Mt (2.0 ha), Koevtsi (1.0 ha) and Popovo (2.9 ha), i.g. with more than 400 species on 32.3 ha.

The botanical gardens and arboreta are established by the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, University of Sofia, University of Forestry, National Forest Service and Agricultural Academy.

Chapter 4: State of Use and Sustainable Management of Forest Genetic Resources

4.1 The annual quantity of seed transferred internationally. (Table 12)

Table 12. Seed and vegetative propagules transferred internationally per annum (average of last 5 years).

Species		Quantity of seed (kg)		Number of vegetative propagules		Number of seedlings		Purpose
Scientific name	Nature (N) or exotic (E)	Import	Export	Import	Export	Import	Export	

There are no data available in authorized government organization to be submitted.

4.2 Species, which are presently subject to tree improvement programmes.

Species (scientific name)	(N) or exotic (E)							tested	selected	used
Gymnospermae										
<i>Abies alba</i> Mill.	N	288	7	22	4	650			426	426
<i>Larix decidua</i> Mill.; <i>Larix kaempferi</i> (Lamb.) Carr.	E		1	16						
<i>Picea abies</i> (L.) Karst.	N	1007	2	26	5	443				
<i>Picea pungens</i> Engelm.	E								30	
<i>Pinus nigra</i> Arn.	N	420	11	20	2	83				
<i>Pinus peuce</i> Gris.	N	149	5	13	5	170			40	
<i>Pinus strobus</i> L.	E	27							30	
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.	N	1018	6	12	4	28			329	150
Natural hybrids between <i>Pinus silvestris</i> L. and <i>Pinus mugo</i> Turra	N				3	20				
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (Mirb.) Franco	E	36	6	55					30	
Total		2 945	38	164	23	1 394			885	576
Angiospermae										
<i>Acer platanoides</i> L.	N	41								
<i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> L.	N	3								
<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth.	N	100								
<i>Carpinus betulus</i> L.	N	5								
<i>Castanea sativa</i> Mill.	N		3	10	3	78	5	12		
<i>Corylus colurna</i> L.	N	25								
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L.	N	892	2	52						
<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i> L.	N	19	4	8						
<i>Fraxinus oxycarpa</i> Willd.	N	231								
<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	E	5								
<i>Paulownia</i> sp. and their artificial hybrids	E						3	10	10	
<i>Populus</i> sp. and their artificial hybrids	N and E						94	151	360	44
<i>Quercus cerris</i> L.	N	48	1	13						
<i>Quercus frainetto</i> Ten.	N	343								
<i>Quercus petraea</i> Liebl.	N	893	1	2	1	40				
<i>Quercus robur</i> L.	N		1	10	1	13				
<i>Quercus rubra</i> L.	E	10								
<i>Quercus thracica</i> Stef. et Ned.	N				1	1				
<i>Quercus suber</i> L.	E		7	1						
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L.	E	4					21	43	70	45
<i>Salix</i> sp. and their artificial hybrids	N						64	22	120	6
<i>Sorbus torminalis</i> (L.) Crantz	N	6								
<i>Tilia cordata</i> Mill.	N	17								
<i>Tilia tomentosa</i> Moench.	N	124								
Total		2 766	19	96	6	132	187	238	560	95
Total Gymnospermae and Angiospermae		5 711	57	260	29	1 526	187	238	1 445	671

Table 15. Seed orchards

Species (scientific name)	Seed orchards* (vegetative)	Seed orchards* (seedling)
---------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------

	Number	Area	**Generation	Number	Area	**Generation
Gymnosperms						
<i>Abies alba</i> Mill.	1	3.1	1			
<i>Cedrus atlantica</i> Manetti	2	4.8	1			
<i>Picea pungens</i> Engelm.	1	2.2	1			
<i>Pinus nigra</i> Arn.	4	12.0	1			
<i>Pinus peuce</i> Gris.				2	4.3	1
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.	4	10.3	1			
Total	12	32.4	1	2	4.3	1
Angiosperms						
<i>Quercus suber</i> L.				1	10.8	1
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L.	23	60.3	1			
<i>Tilia tomentosa</i> Moench.	2	4.9	1	1	1.7	1
Total	25	65.2	1	2	12.5	1
Total Gymnosperms and Angiosperms	37	97.6	1	4	16.8	1

4.5 Established information systems on tree breeding programmes.

4.6 Quantities of improved seed, pollen, scions and/or other.

Table 16. Type of reproductive material available

Species (scientific name)	Type of material	Available for national requests only		Available for international requests	
		commercial	research	commercial	research
Gymnosperms					
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> L.	seeds	687.2			
<i>Pinus nigra</i> Arn.	seeds	429.8			
<i>Picea abies</i> (L.) Karst.	seeds	352.2			
<i>Picea pungens</i> Engelm.	seeds	0.5			
<i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i> Parl.	seeds	0.5			
<i>Thuja orientalis</i> L.	seeds	3.8			
<i>Cupressus sempervirens</i> L.	seeds	1.0			
<i>Cedrus atlantica</i> Manetti	seeds	6.0			
Total		1 481.0			
Angiosperms					
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L.	seeds	198.8			
<i>Betula pendula</i> Roth.	seeds	4.0			
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> L.	seeds	15.0			
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i> (L.) Gaertn.	seeds	0.8			
<i>Catalpa bignonioides</i> Walt.	seeds	0.5			
<i>Tilia tomentosa</i> Moench.	seeds	10.0			
Total		229.1			
Total Gymnosperms and Angiosperms		1 710.1			

Chapter 5: State of National Programmes, Research, Education, Training and Legislation

National programmes

5.1 National forest programmes including forest genetic resources.

A national forest programme entitled: “*Programme for expanded accelerated reproduction and most effective complex utilization of forest resources in Bulgaria for the period 1990-2040*” was prepared in 1988 under the leadership of Prof. M. Dakov (vice-president of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences). A chapter “Genetic and selection basis for the extended reproduction of forest tree resources” was written by Prof. D. Velkov and Dr. R. Dobrev (Forest Research Institute – Sofia). A separate tree breeding programme, based on the actual state of the forest resources for the most economically important forest tree species in Bulgaria was proposed.

In 1996 the Committee of Forests published “National strategy for preservation of forests and development of forestry”. In part III “Economic activities – afforestation and erosion control”, the following is foreseen: further development of forest seed production base with the aim preservation and improvement of existing gene pool. Realisation of scientific achievements in the activities of experimental and seed control stations in the breeding and testing of sort reproductive material.

In 2003 the Ministry of Agriculture and Forests developed National Policy and Strategy for Sustainable Development of the Forest Sector in Bulgaria 2003-2013. In chapter “Biological and landscape diversity”, a strategic aim is pointed out: conservation and restoration of components of biological and landscape diversity through integration of conservation aims in forestry practices, development of adequate systems for *in-situ* and *ex-situ* conservation and close-to-nature management of forests.

5.2 Type of institutions (government, university, private, etc.) actively engaged in conservation and sustainable use of forest genetic resources. (Table 17)

Table 17. Institutions involved in conservation and use of forest genetic resources.

Name of institution	Tupe of institution	Activities or programs	Contact information
Forest Research Institute - Sofia	Research Institute	Preservation and utilization of forest genetic resources	132, St. Kliment Ohridski, Blvd., Sofia - 1756 Phone: +359-2-9620442 Fax: +359-2-9620447 Email: forestin@bas.bg URL: www.bas.bg/fribas
University of Forestry - Sofia	University	Management and sustainable use of forest resources	10, St. Kliment Ohridski Blvd., Sofia - 1756 Phone: +359-2-9625997 Fax: +359-2-9622830 Email: rector@ltu.bg URL: www.ltu.bg
Experimental Station on Oak Forests – Burgas at the Executive Forest Agency	Experimental Station	Applied research on oak forests	Izgreve estate, Bourgas - 8008 Phone: +359-56-860950 Fax: +359-56-860953 Email: OSDGBurgas@nug.bg
Experimental Station on Fast-growing Forest Tree Species - Svishtov at the Executive Forest Agency	Experimental Station	Applied research on fast-growing forest tree species	18, Nove St., Svishtov - 5220 Phone.: +359-631-60743 Fax: +359-631-60743 Email: OSBRGDVSvishtov@nug.bg
Forest Seed Testing Station - Plovdiv at the Executive Forest Agency	Seed Testing Station	Registration and Control of forest reproductive materials	82, Slavyanska St., Plovdiv- Phone: +359-32-628467 Fax: +359-32-633183 Email: GSSPlovdiv@nug.bg

Forest Seed Testing Station - Sofia at the Executive Forest Agency	Seed Testing Station	Registration and Control of forest reproductive materials	5, Iskarsko shose St., Sofia- Phone.: +359-2-9731180 Fax: +359-2-9731180 Email: GSSSofia@nug.bg
--	----------------------	---	---

5.3 National co-ordination mechanism established to include different institutions or a national programme for forest genetic resources.

In 1962 a national meeting on forest genetics, breeding and seed production took place in Borovets. It analysed the status and showed the terms of reference for their development, as well as tasks of the national programme for forest genetic resources conservation.

In 1965 a National Commission on Forest Seed Production was founded at the Ministry of Forests and Forest Industry, which was supposed to consult and co-ordinate this activity.

5.4 Structure and main functions of the national co-ordination mechanism and the national programme for forest genetic resources.

The National Commission on Forest Seed Production included prominent researchers from the Forest Research Institute and the University of Forestry, directors of the Forest Seed Testing Stations in Sofia and Plovdiv and from the Experimental Stations in Burgas and Svishtov, as well as experts from the ministry. The commission issued temporary manual for breeding, management and utilization of forest seed production units.

In 1992 commission members presented Instructions for Establishment, Management and Utilisation of Forest Seed Production Base, Preparation of Forest Seeds, Collecting, Processing, Conservation and Pre-Sowing Preparation. After approval the Committee of Forests at the Council of Ministers issued the instructions (Committee of Forests, 1992).

In 2001 the Ministry of Agriculture and Forests issued Regulation Nr.5 for forest breeding and seed production, to synchronise this activity legislatively with the EU instructions (State Gazette, 2001). Riders and changes in these instructions later on were published in the State Gazette (State Gazette Nr.18/2004).

5.5 Trends in support for forest genetic resources changed over the past 10 years.

The trends in support of FGR for this period become stronger (membership in EUFORGEN) but the funding of the Programme decreased in spite of some engagements for financial support

Research, Education and Training

5.6 Budget allocated to forest genetic resource research in the country. Proportion of the forestry budget to forest genetic resources.

No precise and reliable data about the budget for forest genetic resources are available due to the fact that the institutions involved in this activity are subordinated to different ministries, as follows: the University of Forestry - to the Ministry of Science, Education and Youth; the Forest Research Institute - to the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences; the Experimental Station on Oak Forests – Burgas, the Experimental Station on Fast-growing Forest Tree Species – Svishtov, the Forest Seed Testing Station – Plovdiv and the Forest Seed Testing Station – Sofia - the Executive Forest Agency at the Ministry of Agriculture and Foods.

Approximately, the annual budget allocated to forest genetic resources research in the country is about 780 000 USD. The proportion of forestry budget to forest genetic resources is roughly 1%.

5.7 Courses and universities and forest genetic resources explicitly covered in the country.

The university course for bachelor's degree lasts 9 semesters, for master's degree – 3 semesters at the Faculty of Forestry in the University of Forestry – Sofia.

Institutions, that are accredited to award the educational and scientific degree “Doctor” (PhD) are the Forest Research Institute at the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences and the University of Forestry – Sofia. The scientific subject for PhD in the field of forest genetic resources is indicated by digital code 04.04.01 “Forest plantations, tree breeding and seed production”. Duration – 3 years.

5.8 Needs and priorities for research, education and training to support the conservation and sustainable use of forest genetic resources.

Some of the priorities are:

- inter- and intrapopulation variability of main forest tree species by biochemical and molecular-genetic DNA markers
- genetic determination of some peculiarities like speed of growth, timber quality and resistance to diseases, insects and climate changes
- establishment of series of combined half-sib and provenance trials, clone collections and seed orchards.
- establishment of forest tree sort-clones and sort-populations.

National Legislation:

5.9 Legislation or regulations that are relevant to forest genetic resources (phytosanitary, seed production, community rights, patent legislation, other).

There are several laws, which are linked up with forest genetic resources: Law for the forests (1997, 2011); Protected areas law (1998) and Biological diversity law (2002).

On the basis of Law for the forests (1997), the following instructions and regulations tied with forest genetic resources were published:

- Instruction No 5, (2001) for forest tree breeding and seed production.
- Instruction №56 (2003) for protection of forests from pests, diseases and other damages.
- Instruction №5 (2004) for production and trade with forest reproductive materials.
- Instruction №7 (2005) for the conditions and fixed routine for determination of sources of forest seed production base, collection and procession of forest reproductive materials intended for forest plantations and their quality description, including imported reproductive materials.
- Regulation No 29 (2006) for the conditions and fixed routine for production of seedlings for afforestation in forest nurseries – state ownership.
- Regulation No 2 (2009) for afforestation and inventory of forest plantations.

On the basis of the new Law for the forests (2011), new instructions and regulations are in procedure or in state of expectation (on forest genetic resources, too).

Selected and established sorts of forest tree species were registered in the State Selection Commission and since 21 February 2010 – in the Executive Agency for Sort Testing, Approvement and Seed Control at the Ministry of Agriculture and Foods.

The agency manages the procedure on testing, acknowledgement and registration of plant sorts (including forest tree species). The State Selection Commission and the Executive Agency for Sort Testing, Approvement and Seed Control have issued certificates for sorts of *Juglans regia* L., *Castanea sativa* Mill., *Pinus sylvestris* L., *Picea abies* (L.) Karst., *Populus* spp., *Salix* spp., etc.

Bulgaria is regular member of the European Union since 2007 and the national legislation is synchronized with the EU legislation, including that on forest genetic resources.

5.10 Legal framework established for forest genetic resources strategies, plans and programmes.

5.11 Identified needs in the country for developing or strengthening forest genetic resources legislation (Table 18).

Table 18. Needs for developing forest genetic resources legislation.

Needs	Priority level			
	Not applicable	Low	Moderate	High
Improve forest genetic resources legislation		+		
Improve reporting requirements			+	
Consider sanction for non-compliance		+		
Create forest genetic resource targeted regulations		+		
Improve effectiveness of forest genetic resources regulations			+	
Enhance cooperation between forest genetic resources national authorities			+	
Create a permanent national commission for conservation and management of forest genetic resources			+	
Other (Please specify)				

Public awareness:

5.12 Necessary initiatives for greater visibility for forest genetic resources.

It is desirable to establish experimental plots demonstrating obvious differences in productivity and quality of wood in selected sort-clones and sort-populations in comparison with control ordinary trees.

5.13 Specific awareness programme for forest genetic resources.

Public awareness programmes for forest genetic resources are developed predominantly by the Ministry of Environment and Waters, the Executive Forest Agency at the Ministry of Agriculture and Folds and some NGOs. Tens of books, leaflets, flyers and posters about gentic resources of the country have been published, concerning specific mountains, national parks, nature

parks, reserves, territories of regional forestry boards, state forest and game enterprises, educational-and-practical forest enterprises and specialized forest schools.

Routes have been differentiated and expositions and paths have been established to get to know forest genetic resources. Forest experimental plots, arboreta and forest museums also play this role.

5.14 Needs and priorities for raising awareness of forest genetic resources issues (Table 19).

Table 19. Awareness raising needs

Needs	Priority level			
	Not applicable	Low	Moderate	High
Prepare targeted forest genetic resources information			+	
Prepare targeted forest genetic resources communication strategy			+	
Improve access to forest genetic resources information		+		
Enhance forest genetic resources training and education			+	
Improve understanding of benefits and values of forest genetic resources			+	
Other (Specify)				

Chapter 6: State of Regional and International Agreements and Collaboration

International networks:

6.1. Regional, subregional, forest genetic resources-bases or thematic networks participation for forest genetic resources over the past 10 years, and benefits result.

Bulgaria took part in all networks initiated by EUFORGEN (European Forest Genetics Programme) in phase II (2000-2004), phase III (2005-2009) and phase IV (2010-2014), as follows:

- EUFORGEN – Conifers Network;
- EUFORGEN – Mediterranean Oaks Network;
- EUFORGEN - Noble Hardwoods Network;
- EUFORGEN - *Populus nigra* Network;
- EUFORGEN – Temperate Oaks and Beech Network;
- EUFORGEN - Stand-forming Broadleaves Network;
- EUFORGEN - Scattered Broadleaves Network.
- EUFORGEN – Forest Management Network.

Balkan collaboration in study, protection and management of forest genetic resources included teamwork in the following projects:

- “Genetic resources of *Quercus robur* L. in the Danube plain” – with Romania;
- “Forestry and agroforestry systems as a tool for sustainable and natural output” – with Greece;
- “Genetic resources and breeding of *Pinus peuce* Grsb.” – with FYR of Macedonia.

Collaboration in the frames of South-Eastern Europe included the following projects:

- “Models for cultivation of gene resources of agroforestry species” – CNR – Italy, BAS – Bulgaria;
- “Genetic resources of broadleaved forest tree species in South-East Europe” – IPGRI – Rome – Bulgaria, Moldova, Romania;
- “Study on the resources of *Robinia pseudoacacia* L. for restoration of degraded terrains” – INCO-COPERNICUS – Italy, Hungary, Bulgaria, Greece;
- Chloroplast DNA map for *Quercus robur* L. - ARCS, Austria, Bulgaria;
- “Genetic resources of *Quercus petraea*, *Q. robur* and *Q. pubescens* in South-Eastern Europe” – Bulgaria, Moldova.

6.2. Needs and priorities to develop or strengthen international networks for forest genetic resources.

Bulgaria needs faster development of scientific infrastructure, advanced methodologies, and modern and unique laboratory equipment.

Priorities for international networks with: EU, Balkan countries, Russian Federation, USA.

International Programs:

6.3. Most beneficial international programmes for forest genetic resources.

The international programmes EUFORGEN, EUFGIS, COST, MATRA and the Framework Research Programmes of EU have been most beneficial for forest genetic resources in the country.

6.4. The agencies and the main results of these programmes.

IPGRI - Biodiversity;

EU-EC;

Royal Dutch Society for Nature Conservation (KNNV).

The most important obtained results were published in monographs:

The contract PINMATRA/2002/011 “Inventory and strategy for sustainable management and protection of virgin forests in Bulgaria” between the Royal Dutch Society for Nature Conservation (KNNV) and the Forest Research Institute at the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (FRI-BAS) was signed in 2002. A specialized methodology was developed and 103 356 ha virgin forests, or 2,9% of Bulgarian forests were inventoried. A database was established with maps and descriptions of these forests. A concept was elaborated for conservation and management strategy of virgin forests in the country. A monograph “Virgin forests in Bulgaria” was published in 2006.

Project “ICP Forests” included 20 years large-scale monitoring of forest ecosystems. It searched for regularities between climate changes, entomological and phytopathological impacts, anthropogenic, incl. industrial loading, abiotic and other factors from one side and the condition of ecosystems on the other. A monograph “International Cooperative Program “Forests” – Estimation the impact of polluted air on the forests” was published in 2006.

6.5. Trend to international financial support to forest genetic resources over the past 10 years.

It changed very slightly downward.

6.6. Needs and priorities for future international collaboration

Bulgaria is rich of forest genetic resources, so the main way for their preservation is the *in situ* method.

Enhancing research education and training as well as use of forest genetic resources presents a precondition for international collaboration

It is necessary to establish a laboratory for molecular-genetic DNA analyses of inter- and intrapopulation variation of forest tree species in the country.

Some changes in the legislation are desirable in order to include the permanent seed producing stands in the category of protected territories.

Enhancing information management and public awareness for forest genetic resources will also contribute to interstate co-operation.

International agreement

6.7. Subscribed international agreements, treaties, conventions, or trade agreements that are relevant to the sustainable use, development and conservation of forest genetic resources.

International conventions, which are directly related with preservation and sustainable use of forest genetic resources in Bulgaria, are:

- “Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat (1971)” - in Bulgaria come into force since 24.01.1976;

- “Convention concerning the protection of the world cultural and natural heritage (1972)” - in Bulgaria come into force since 17.12.1975.

- “Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora - CITES (1973)” - in Bulgaria come into force since 16.04.1991;

- “Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (1979)” – in Bulgaria come into force since 01.05.1991;

- “Convention for Biological Diversity - CBD (1992)” – ratified by Bulgaria on 29.02.1996.

6.8. The impact of these agreements with regard to the conservation and sustainable use of forest genetic resources.

The impact of the above-mentioned agreements for conservation and use of forest genetic resources is definitely positive.

6.9. The impact of the international conventions, treaties or agreements that the country has signed with regard to the conservation and sustainable use of forest genetic resources.

Bulgaria is a contracting party by all international conventions, which have relation to the protection of biological diversity, climate change, and desertification combat.

These conventions are normative base for announcement of a number of protected territories, and included unique samples of forest gene pool.

International Collaboration

6.10. Description of country’s current international collaboration

EUFORGEN – European Forest Genetic Resources Program – phase IV (2010-2014).

EUFGIS - European Forest Geographic Information System (2007-2010).

FAO - The state of the World's Forest Genetic Resources (2010-2012).

EU-COST Action E52 - Evaluation of Beech Genetic Resources for Sustainable Forestry (2006-2010).

EU-COST Action 871 - Cryopreservation of crop species in Europe (2006-2010).

EU-COST Action 0703 - Expected Climate Change and Options for European Silviculture (2008-2011).

EU-COST Action 0803 - Established and emerged Phytophthora: Increasing threats to woodland and forest ecosystems in Europe (2008-2012).

EU-COST Action FP 0905 – Biosafety of forest transgenic trees: improving the scientific basis for save tree development and implementation of EU policy directives (2010-2014).

6.11. Participation in regional, sub-regional, forest genetic resources-based or thematic networks for forest genetic resources. (Table 20)

Table 20. Overview of the main activities carried out through networks and their outputs

Network name	Activities*	Genus/species involved (scientific names)
Genetic resources of forest tree species in Europe (EUFORGEN) - phase III (2005-2009)	Information exchanges; Development of shared databases; Development of technical guidelines; Elaboration, submission and execution of joint research projects; Joint publications.	<i>Pinus</i> spp. <i>Picea</i> spp. <i>Abies</i> spp. <i>Fagus</i> spp. <i>Quercus</i> spp. <i>Acer</i> spp. <i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L. etc.
Genetic resources of forest tree species in Europe (EUFORGEN) - phase IV (2010-2014)	Information exchanges; Establishment of genetic conservation strategies; Development of shared databases; Development of technical guidelines; Elaboration, submission and execution of joint research projects; Joint publications.	<i>Pinus</i> spp. <i>Picea</i> spp. <i>Abies</i> spp. <i>Fagus</i> spp. <i>Quercus</i> spp. <i>Castanea sativa</i> Mill. <i>Sorbus</i> spp. etc.

*** Examples of activities:**

- Information exchanges
- Development of technical guidelines
- Development of shared databases
- Establishment of genetic conservation strategies
- Germplasm exchange
- Elaboration, submission and execution of joint research projects.
- Other (please specify)

6.12. Needs and priorities for future international collaboration. (Table 21)

Table 21. Needs for international collaboration and networking

Needs	Level of priority			
	Not applicable	Low	Medium	High
Understanding the state of diversity			+	
Enhancing <i>in situ</i> management and conservation		+		
Enhancing <i>ex situ</i> management and conservation			+	
Enhancing use of forest genetic resources				+
Enhancing research				+
Enhancing education and training				+
Enhancing legislation		+		
Enhancing information management and early warning systems for forest genetic resources			+	
Enhancing public awareness			+	
Any other priorities for international programmes				

Chapter 7: Access to Forest Genetic Resources and Sharing of Benefits Arising out their Use

Access to forest genetic resources:

7.1 Regulations with respect to access and benefit sharing of forest genetic resources.

Legal regulations that work at present include:

- Convention for Biological Diversity (1992);
- Law for protected territories (1998);
- Law for biological diversity (2002).

According to the Law for protected territories (1998), one of the targets in the management of reserves and sustained reserves, is the preservation of genetic resources.

According to the Law of biological diversity (2002) genetic resources can be consigned for use from other countries after preliminary agreement in written form for the condition and sharing benefits. The agreement can predict free of charge consign of genetic resources, when they are intended for non-commercial aims: scientific studies, teaching, protection of biological diversity and public health.

7.2 Legislation for limiting access and movement of forest genetic resources into or out of the country.

The access and the movement of forest genetic resources are determined by:

- Control on import and export of forest reproductive materials (Regulations №5 for production of and trade with forest reproductive materials – State Gazette Nr.18, 2004);
- Permit list of tree and shrub species used for afforestation in Bulgaria, including 76 indigenous species (12 coniferous + 65 deciduous) and 44 introduced species (23 coniferous + 16 deciduous + 5 naturalised) (Regulations №2 for afforestation and inventory of forest plantations – State Gazette Nr. 15, 2009);
- Regulations for work with genetically modified organisms (GMO) in controlled conditions (Decree №21 of the Council of Ministers from 2005 – State Gazette Nr. 81, 2005;

Amendment of the regulations – Decree №97 of the Council of Ministers from 2011 – State Gazette Nr. 33, 2011).

7.3 Opportunity to improve access.

Improvement of access to forest genetic resources in the countries will be determined by forestry legislation on the basis of research investigations.

Sharing of benefits arising out of the use of forest genetic resources:

7.4 Established mechanisms for recognizing intellectual property rights related to forest genetic resources.

They are established according to:

- Law for copyrights and related rights (1993);
- International Convention for protection of new sorts of plants (1991), ratified by the National Assembly of the Republic of Bulgaria in 1998.

The Executive Agency of Sort Testing, Approvement and Seed Control issues nominal certificates to the authors of sorts of forest tree species and shrubs.

7.5 Established mechanisms of sharing benefits arising out of the use of forest genetic resources.

Mechanisms arise out of the following documents with legislative duties:

- Convention for Biological Diversity, ratified in 1996 by the National Assembly of the Republic of Bulgaria;
- Law for biological diversity (2002);
- Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity (2011), accepted by Bulgarian Government.

Chapter 8: Contribution of Forest Genetic Resource to Food Security and Poverty Reduction

Table 22. Tree and other woody species that are important for food security of livelihoods

Species		Use for food security	Use for poverty reduction
Scientific name	Nature (N) or exotic (E)		
<i>Juglans regia</i> L.	E	+	+
<i>Castanea sativa</i> Mill.	N	+	+
<i>Corylus colurna</i> L.	N	+	+
<i>Corylus avelana</i> L.	N	+	+
<i>Corylus maxima</i> Mill.	E	+	+
<i>Amygdalus communis</i> L.	E	+	+
<i>Cornus mas</i> L.	N	+	+
<i>Cerasus avium</i> (L.) Moench.	N	+	+
<i>Cerasus fruticosa</i> (Pall.) Woronow	N	+	+
<i>Cerasus vulgaris</i> Mill.	E	+	+
<i>Malus silvestris</i> Mill.	N	+	+
<i>Malus pumila</i> Mill.	N	+	+
<i>Malus praecox</i> (Pall.) Borkh.	N	+	+

<i>Mespilus germanica</i> L.	N	+	+
<i>Morus alba</i> L.	E	+	+
<i>Morus nigra</i> L.	E	+	+
<i>Padus racemosa</i> (Lam.) Gilib.	N	+	
<i>Padus mahaleb</i> (L.) Borkh.	N	+	
<i>Prunus spinosa</i> L.	N	+	
<i>Prunus carasifera</i> Ehrh.	E	+	+
<i>Prunus insitita</i> L.	N	+	
<i>Pyrus communis</i> L.	N	+	+
<i>Pyrus amygdaliformis</i> Will.	N	+	+
<i>Pyrus elaeagrifolia</i> Pall.	N	+	
<i>Ribes nigrum</i> L.	N	+	+
<i>Ribes multiflorum</i> Kit.	N	+	
<i>Ribes petraeum</i> Wulf.	N	+	
<i>Ribes alpinum</i> L.	N	+	
<i>Ribes uva-crispa</i> L.	N	+	
<i>Rosa canina</i> L.	N	+	+
<i>Rubus ideans</i> L.	N	+	+
<i>Sorbus domestica</i> L.	N	+	
<i>Sorbus torminalis</i> (L.) Crantz.	N	+	
<i>Tilia tomentosa</i> Moench	N	+	+
<i>Tilia cordata</i> Mill.	N	+	
<i>Tilia rubra</i> DC.	N	+	
<i>Vaccinium myrtillus</i> L.	N	+	+
<i>Vaccinium vitis-idea</i> L.	N	+	+
<i>Vaccinium uliginosum</i> L.	N	+	+
<i>Vaccinium arctostaphylos</i> L.	N	+	+

Sources of information

- Alexandrov, A., 1966. Studies on form diversity of common spruce in the Central Rhodopes. - Essay on the PhD thesis, Sofia, Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Forest Research Institute, 1-24.
- Alexandrov, A., 1970. Forms, according to the color, weight and germination of Norway spruce seeds. - *Gorskostopanska nauka*, 1, 95-98.
- Alexandrov, A., S. Popov, 1971. Ecology and forms of *Coryllus colurna* L. in Bulgaria. – *Gorsko Stopanstvo*, 6.
- Alexandrov, A., 1983. Evaluation of the progenies from certain European provenances of Norway spruce [*Picea abies* (L.) K a r s t.] according to growth in height and rate of height increment. – *Gorskostopanska Nauka*, 2, 3-11.
- Alexandrov, A., 1984. Heritability of the growth in height for spruce populations half-sib progeny in Bulgaria and predicting the genetic gain. – *Gorskostopanska Nauka*, 4, 10-21.
- Alexandrov, A., 1984. Selection and genetic studies on Norway Spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) in Bulgaria and preservation of it`s gene resources. . - Essay of “Doctor of Agricultural Sciences” Thesis. Sofia, BAS, Forest Research Institute.
- Alexandrov, A., 1985. – Selection and Evaluation of the Most Promising Sort Population of Norway Spruce [*Picea abies* (L.) K a r s t.] from Local and Foreign Origin. - *Gorskostopanska Nauka*, 4, 3-10.
- Alexandrov, A. 1990. Heritability of diameter growth for spruce populations progeny in Bulgaria. – *Genetics and Breeding*, 4, 301-305.
- Alexandrov, A., Ts. Naydenova, 1991. Intensity of photosynthesis in Norway spruce [*Picea abies* (L.) K a r s t.] depending on seed provenance. – *Forest Science - Sofia*, 4, 3-14.
- Alexandrov, A., 1994. Results of a progeny test of *Quercus thracica* Stef. et Ned. – *Proc. Jubilee Symposium (2-3 June 1994) 100 years from birthday of the Acad. Boris Stefanov*, vol. I, Sofia, 50-57.

- Alexandrov, A., D.Pandeva, A.Dakov, 2006. Survival and growth of 12 years old European beech provenances in Tvarditsa forestry experimental plantation, Bulgaria. – Forest Science - Sofia, 4, 11-19.
- Antsipava, T., V. Chyzhyk, V. Torchuk, E. Popov, 2010. First results of RAPD-analyses of Intraspecific Polymorphism of Douglas fir cultivated in Belarus and Bulgaria. - Poster presentation at International Conference “Forestry Bridge to the Future” 85 Years Higher Forestry Education in Bulgaria, 13-15 May Sofia, Bulgaria.
- Arabov, A., 1988. Population structure of the oak from Rila and Stranzha mountains according to the features of the generative organs. - Gorskostopanska Nauka, 1, 3-14.
- Balevska, L., A. Alexandrov, 1975. O polimorfizmu rastvorlgivih belacevina esterati i peroksidazi u seminu munice sa Pirina i Slavjanke, Sbornik, Beograd.
- Bergmann, F. and V.Gagov, 2001. Detection of hybrid populations between fir species in the Southern Balkan peninsula. - Forest Science - Sofia, 1/2, 21-27.
- Bergmann, F., Gagov, V., 2003. The detection of hybrid populations between fir species in the southern Balkan Peninsula. – In: Rossnev, B.; Kitanova, S.; Alexandrov, A.; Raev, I.; Tsakov, H.; Dimitrov, V.; Grozeva, M.; Petrova, R.; Popov, G.; Grigorov, G. (Eds.). Proceedings of an international scientific conference marking 75 years of the Forest Research Institute of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria, 1-5 October 2003. Volume 2, 2004, pp. 97-102
- Bogdanov, B., 1970. Grafting Macedonian pine on Scots pine for establishment of seed orchards. In: Proceedings of the International Symposium for Macedonian pine, 1-6.09.1969, Bitola, SFR Yugoslavia.
- Bogdanov, B., 1987. Seed orchards – a base for technical progress and development in reforestation practice. –Gorsko stopanstvo, 8, 27-29.
- Botev, N., 1994. Results of studies of the growth of geographical plantations of common beech / *Fagus silvatica* L./ in the Etopole-Lopyan spur of the Balkan range. – Proceedings scientific papers “Jubilee symposium 125 years BAS and 65 years Forest Research Institute /22-23 September 1994/, Sofia, 99-102.
- Broshtilov, K., 2009. Growth of white acacia (*Robinia pseudoacacia* L.) vegetative generations on habitat of average productivity where chromic luvisols is available. – Forestry Ideas, 1, 166-176.
- Bulgarian Parliament (1993). Law for copyrights and related rights.
- Bulgarian Parliament (1997). Law for the forests.
- Bulgarian Parliament (1998). Law for protected territories.
- Bulgarian Parliament (2002). Law for biological diversity.
- Chernyavski, P., S. Nedyalkov, L. Plostakova, I. Dimitrov, 1959. Trees and shrubs in the forests of Bulgaria. Sofia, 1-400.
- Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat (1971).
- Convention conserving the protection of the world cultural and natural heritage (1972).
- Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora - CITES (1973).
- Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (1979).
- Convention for Biological Diversity - CBD (1992).
- Council Directive 79/409/EEC of 02 April 1979 on the conservation of wild birds.
- Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora.
- Dakov, M., 1949. Biological peculiarities of Oak and methods for improvement of oak breeding. - Essay of PhD thesis. Moskov. FTI.
- Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Dakov, M. et al. (Eds.), 1988. Program for expanded accelerated reproduction and most effective complex utilization of forest resources in Bulgaria for the period 1990-2040. Sofia, 1-158.
- Damyantov, A., Ch.Garilov, V.Vutov, 1977. Oak forests in Bulgaria. Sofia, Zemizdat, 5-101.
- Delkov, N., 1977. Bio-ecological peculiarities of Oriental plane (*Platanus orientalis* L.). - Essay of PhD thesis. Sofia,

- Delkov, N. 1992. Dendrology. Sofia, Martilen, 1-306.
- Denev, D., 1969. Studies on phenological forms of the Oak from Island Vardim. – Gorskostopanska nauka, 1, 23-36.
- Dimitrov, T., 1963. *Pinus peuce* Gris. Zahariev, B., B. Zashev and E.Enchev (Eds.). Sofia, Zemidat, 1-116.
- Dimitrova, P., 2005. Eco-biological peculiarities of *Robinia pseudoacacia* L. on degraded terrains. Essay of PhD thesis, Forest Research Institute.
- Dobrev, R., 1986. Studies on the radio resistance of seeds from certain populations of Austrian black pine in Bulgaria. – Essay of the PhD Thesis, Sofia, Forest Research Institute, 1-28.
- Dobrev, R., 1995. Intraspecific variation in *Pinus peuce* in Bulgaria: Cone Characteristics. – In: Korpilahti, E. et al. Eds. Caring for the Forest: Research in a Changing World. – Abstracts of Invited Papers, IUFRO XX World Congress, 6-12 August 1995, Tampere, Finland, S2.02-15, p. 146.
- Dobrev, R., 1996. Intraspecific variation in *Pinus peuce* in Bulgaria: Sizes of wings, weight and color of seeds. – Forest Science - Sofia, 1, 23-33.
- Dobrev, R. 1998. Varying, Genotypic Stability and Family Mean Heritability of the Growth in Height of 6-year-old Seedlings of Macedonian pine (*Pinus peuce* Gris.) in a Series of Half-Sib Progeny Trial Plantations. – Forest Science - Sofia, 1/2, 5-23.
- Dobrev, R., 1999. Heritability of height growth of 11-year old progenies of Austrian black pine (*Pinus nigra* Arn.) in half-sib trial plantations. – Forest Science - Sofia, ½, 3-10.
- Dobrev, R. 2000. Height Growth and Heritability of Height Growth of Macedonian pine (*Pinus peuce* Gris.) in Half-Sib Progeny Trial Plantations at the Age of 10 Years. – Forest Science - Sofia, 1, 27-35.
- Dobrev, R. 2000. Genetic parameters of traits of reproductive materials of Macedonian pine (*Pinus peuce* Grisb.) in Bulgaria. – Forest Science - Sofia, 4, 47-55.
- Dobrev, R., 2002. Genetic parameters of height growth and expected genetic gain from selection of provenances of Austrian black pine (*Pinus nigra* Arn.). - Forest Science - Sofia, 1, 21-29.
- Dobrev, R., 2007. Quantitative genetics and breeding of Macedonian pine (*Pinus peuce* Griseb.) of Pirin mountain, Rila mountain, and Central Balkan range. – Essay on the D.Sci. Thesis. Sofia, BAS, Forest Research Institute, 1-47.
- Dobrev, R., 2009. Combined half-sib progeny-provenance trial plantations of Macedonian pine (*Pinus peuce* Griseb.) in Bulgaria. Journal of Balkan Ecology, 2009, 2, 123-126.
- Dobrinov, I., 1960. Studies on some ecological forms of Scots pine (*Pinus silvestris* L.) in Bulgaria. Essay on the PhD Thesis. Sofia, High Forestry and Technologic Institute, 1-11.
- Dobrinov, I., P.Bodzakov, B.Michev (1965). Temporary instruction for selection, management, and utilization of forest seed producing stands in natural stands. Committee for Forests and Forest Industry at the Ministry Council. Sofia, Zemizdat, 1-40.
- Dobrinov, I., 1965. Contribution to studies of natural hybrids between Scots pine (*Pinus silvestris*) and Mountain pine (*Pinus montana* var. *mughus* Willk) in Bulgaria. – Scientific Papers, series “Forestry” of the High Forestry and Technologic Institute - Sofia, vol. 8.
- Dobrinov, I., 1965. Role of seed origin upon growth of Scots pine seedlings. – Gorsko stopanstvo, 5.
- Dobrinov, I., V.Kalinkov, 1969. Studies on growth and some peculiarities of Scots pine in provenance tests. – Scientific session dedicated to the 10-th million dka planted forests. Sofia, 36-48.
- Dobrinov, I., G. Yagdzidis, 1971. About natural hybrids between Scots and Mountain pines in Bulgaria. – Gorsko stopanstvo, 11, 28-30.
- Dobrinov, I., V.Kalinkov, 1972. Studies on cones and seeds of Scots pine (*Pinus silvestris* L.) from different ecotypes in the West Rhodopes. In: Rising productivity of conifer forests, Sofia, Government Publisher of Agricultural Literature, 71-76.
- Dobrinov, I., V.Kalinkov, 1972a. First contribution to studies of growth peculiarities of ecotypes of Scots pine (*Pinus silvestris* L.) in trial ecological plantations. In: Rising productivity of conifer forests, Sofia, Government Publisher of Agricultural Literature, 76-83.
- Dobrinov, I., 1973. Snake-like common spruce. – Gorsko stopanstvo, 12, 25-26.

- Dobrinov, I. and V.Kalinkov, 1977. Additional contribution toward study of growth peculiarities of Scots pine in trial ecological plantations. – Scientific Papers, series “Forestry” of the High Forestry and Technologic Institute – Sofia, vol. 22, 31-38.
- Dobrinov, I., 1978. Studies on the progenies of high-mountain Scots pine, Mountain pine and their natural hybrids in trial plantations. – Scientific Papers, series “Forestry” of the High Forestry and Technologic Institute – Sofia, vol. 24.
- Dobrinov, I., V. Gagov, 1981. Distribution and some anatomic-morphological and biologic features of the fir *Abies alba* var. *acutifolia* Turil. in our country. – Scientific Papers, series “Forestry” of the High Forestry and Technologic Institute – Sofia, vol. 26, 103-109.
- Dobrinov, I., G.Dojkov, V.Gagov, 1982. Forest Gene Fund in P.R.Bulgaria. Sofia, Zemizdat, 1-259.
- Dobrinov, I., V. Gagov, 1983. The role of individual selection of common fir. - Scientific papers of HFTI, v. 28, 59-64.
- Dobrinov, I., V. Gagov, 1984. Some problems of forest seed orchards. - Gorsko stopanstvo i gorska promishlenost, 6, 20-25.
- Dobrinov, I., V.Gagov, 1985. Flowering, frequency and abundance of seed production of clones in forest seed orchards of Scots pine. – Gorsko stopanstvo i gorska promishlenost, 5-7.
- Dobrinov, I., St. Yurukov, 1986. Studies on progenies and natural hybrids between Scots pine (*Pinus silvestris* L.) and mountain pine (*Pinus mughus* L.). – Scientific Papers, series “Forestry” of the High Forestry and Technologic Institute – Sofia, vol. 30, 123-128.
- Dobrinov, I., V.Gagov, A.Alexandrov, G.Tsankov, Ch.Garilov, B.Bouzov, 1992. Instruction for building up, management and utilization of forest seed production base. Ministry Council – Committee of Forests, Sofia, 1-54.
- Doykov, G., 1975. Contribution on studies of phenological forms of Macedonian pine in Bulgaria. – Gorsko Stopanstvo, 1, 27-32.
- Doykov, G., 1981. Polymorphism of Macedonian pine. – Gorsko Stopanstvo, 11, 15-20.
- Erbakamov, G., 2005. Variability of Turkey oak (*Quercus cerris* L.) in Strandzha and the East Balkan Range. Essay on the PhD thesis. Sofia, BAS, Forest Research Institute, 1-36.
- FAO, DFSC, IPGRI. 2001. Forest genetic resources conservation and management. Vol. 2: In managed natural forests and protected areas (*in situ*). International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy.
- FAO, FLD, IPGRI. 2004. Forest genetic resources conservation and management. Vol. 1: Overview, concepts and some systematic approaches. International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy.
- FAO, FLD, IPGRI, 2004. Forest genetic resources conservation and management. Vol. 3: In plantations and genebanks (*ex situ*). International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy.
- Forest Seed Testing Station in Sofia, 2010 - PSPS – permanent seed producing stands in native forests.
- Gagov, V., 1973. Variability of natural populations of common fir (*Abies alba* Mill.). Essay on the PhD thesis, Sofia, High Forestry and Technologic Institute, 1-44.
- Gagov, V., 1979. Comparative studies of height growth of common fir in trial plantations. – Scientific Papers, series “Forestry” of the High Forestry and Technologic Institute – Sofia, vol. 24, 99-104.
- Gagov, V., I.Dobrinov, 1987. Actual state and future of forest seed orchards. – Gorsko stopanstvo i gorska promishlenost, 2, 1-4.
- Gagov, V., P. Zhelev, 1996. Heritability in broad sense of height growth $/H^2/$ of Scots pine. – Scientific Papers, series “Forestry” of the High Forestry and Technologic Institute – Sofia, vol. 37, 3-7.
- Gagov, V., P. Zhelev, 1996. Hereditary behavior in progenies of natural hybrids of high-mountain ecotype of Scots pine and mountain pine. – Scientific Papers, series “Forestry” of the High Forestry and Technologic Institute – Sofia, vol. 37, 14-19.
- Gagov, V., I. Evtimov, P. Zhelev, 2005. Comparative study on the growth of half-sib families of selected trees of *Abies alba* Mill. and *Abies borisii-regis* Mattf. from Bulgarian and Macedonian provenances. - Challenges for the management of European Silver fir (*Abies*

- alba* Mill.) Under Changing Climatic and Economic Conditions. 11th International Silver Fir Symposium - Poiana Brasov, Romania 04-09.09.2005.
- Gagov, V., I.Evtimov, 2007. Investigations on the open pollinated tree progenies of the fir species (*Abies alba* Mill.) and (*Abies borisii-regis* Mattf.). - Forestry Ideas, 1-2, 3-13.
- Ganchev, At., B.Stefanov, 1958. Dendrology, Sofia, Zemizdat.
- Ganchev, At., Zdr. Naoumov, P. Bodzakov, D. Dobrev, N. Hristoskov, D. Stefanov, Zh. Georgiev, Ts. Hristov (1959). Creation of high-productive poplar forestry. Sofia, State publishing house for agricultural literature. 1-261.
- Gantchev, P., 1967. Interspecies hybridization of some species in the genus *Populus*. - Essay of PhD thesis. Sofia, Forest Research Institute.
- Ganchev, P., V. Fakirov, D. Yovov, D. Svilenov, 1981. Final results of cultivation of some poplar hybrids in trial plantation in the region of village Dinkata in Pazardzhik district. - Gorskostopanska Nauka, 5, 16-27.
- Ganchev, P., V. Svinarov, 1981. Growth and productivity of some euro-american poplars in the valley of Tundja. - Gorsko stopanstvo, 9, 21-27.
- Garelkova, Z., 1980. Studies on variability and breeding importance of European Beech in some regions in the Northwest Bulgaria. - Essay on the PhD thesis. Sofia, High Forestry and Technologic Institute, 1-35.
- Garilov, Ch., 1969. Studies on the form variability of *Quercus sessiliflora* Salisb. in Strandzha and the Eastern Balkan range. - Gorskostopanska nauka, 2, 27-44.
- Garilov, Ch., 1972. Studies on the form variability of *Quercus sessiliflora* Salisb. in the Eastern Balkan range and Strandzha. Essay on the PhD Thesis. Sofia, High Forestry and Technologic Institute.
- Garilov, Ch., 1982. Heritability of some quantitative traits of *Quercus sessiliflora* f. *polycarpa*. - Gorskostopanska nauka, 1, 3-12.
- Genova, F., 1993. Biologic-morphological studies on generative organs and seed progeny of *Fraxinus oxycarpa* Willd. in Bulgaria. Essay on the PhD thesis. Sofia, BAS, Forest Research Institute, 1-34.
- Gömöry, D., L. Paule, R. Brus, P. Zhelev, Z. Tomovich, J. Grachan, 1999. Genetic differentiation and phylogeny of beech on the Balkan peninsula. J. Evol. Biol. 12, 746-754.
- Gömöry, D., I. Yakovlev, P., Zhelev, J., Jedináková and L. Paule (2001). Genetic differentiation of oak populations within the *Quercus robur/Quercus petraea* complex in Central and Eastern Europe. - Heredity 86, 557-563.
- Glushkova, M., 2006. Studies of genetic resources of *Castanea sativa* Mill. in Bulgaria and methods for their conservation. Essay on the PhD thesis, Sofia, BAS, Forest Research Institute.
- Gyuleva V., 2008. Project "Establishment of geographic plantations of hybrids of *Paulownia elongata*" with GAF at MC for the period 2007-2010. BAS - News, 12.
- Heuertz, M., J.-F. Hausman, I. Tsvetkov, N. Frascaria-Lacoste and X. Vekemans (2001). Assessment of genetic structure within and among Bulgarian populations of the common ash (*Fraxinus excelsior* L.). - Molecular Ecology, 10, 1615-1623.
- Hinkov, G., U. Csaikl, A. Alexandrov, 2003. First results from the cpDNA investigations of Oak forests in Bulgaria. In: Proc. Sci. Papers International scientific conference "50 years University of Forestry", Sofia, 108-112.
- Hinkov, G., 2004. Natural distribution and ecotype variability of common oak (*Quercus robur* L.) in Central Danube Plain. Essay on the PhD thesis. Sofia, Forest Research Institute, 1-42.
- Iliev, A., B. Bogdanov, St. Dimitrov, 1978. Biological peculiarities of some poplar cultivars. - Scientific papers of HFTI, v. 23, 9-17.
- Iliev, I., 1988. Studies on natural populations of silver birch (*Betula pendula* Roth.) in West Bulgaria and selection of valuable decorative forms. - Essay on the PhD thesis. Sofia, High Forestry and Technologic Institute, 1-38.
- Iliev, I., A. Scaltsoyiannes, M. Tsaktsira, A. Gajdosova, 2010. Micropropagation of *Betula pendula* Roth. cultivars by adventitious shoot induction from leaf callus. - Sramek et al. (Eds.).

- International Symposium on Woody Ornamentals of the Temperate Zone. Acta Horticulturae 885.
- Iliev, Sl., 1983. Growth and productivity of some poplar cultivars in plantations along the valley of Dunav. - Scientific papers of HFTI, v. 28, 65-71.
- Iliev, Sl., G.Dojkov. El.Markova, K.Petkova, 1997. Results of a 24 years old trial plantation of different provenances of Austrian pine (*Pinus nigra* Arn.) – Forest Ideas, 3-4, 40-51.
- Iliev, Sl., K.Petkova, 1999. Initial studies in trial plantations of Douglas fir. - Forest Ideas, v. 38, 14-20.
- International Convention for protection of new sorts of plants (1991).
- Ivanov, I., 1971. Polymorphism of Austrian black pine in Western Rhodopes. - Essay on the PhD thesis. Sofia, BAS, Forest Research Institute.
- Kalinkov, V., 1961. On the natural distribution and forestry peculiarities of *Quercus frainetto* Ten. in the PR Bulgaria. - Essay of the PhD thesis, Sofia, High Forestry and Technological Institute.
- Kalinkov, V., I. Dobrinov, 1972. Studies on form diversity and wood structure of Scots pine in the West Rhodopes. In: Rising productivity of conifer forests, Sofia, Government Publisher of Agricultural Literature, 66-71.
- Kalmukov, K., 1987. Forest tree breeding studies on Silver Lime (*Tilia argentea* Monch.) in the East-North Bulgaria. - Essay of PhD thesis. Sofia, Forest Research Institute.
- Kalmukov, K., 1994. Studies on eco- and – biotype diversity of *Tilia tomentosa* Moench. in Northern Bulgaria. - Proc. Jubilee Symposium (2-3 June 1994) 100 years from birthday of the Acad. Boris Stefanov, vol. I, Sofia, 82-85.
- Kalmukov, K., 2009. Studies on *Robinia pseudoacacia* – a base for introduction of clones and cultivars of the species. – Gora, 2.
- Kochev, H., 1984. *Vaccinium arctostaphylos* (L., 1753). In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Kolarov, D., 1975. Studies on structure, chemical composition and physiological peculiarities of wood of the most wide-spread euroamerican clones of Poplars, cultivated in different habitats. - Essay of PhD thesis. Sofia, Forest Research Institute.
- Kolarov, D., 1978. Introduction of poplars. – Gorsko stopanstvo, 7, 21-26.
- Kolarov, D., 1989. Eco-physiological founds of Poplar forestry. Essay of “Doctor of Agricultural Sciences” Thesis. Sofia, BAS, Forest Research Institute.
- Kostov, K., 1961. Results following creation of plantations of Pedunculate Oak and peculiarities of their growth in the first years. - Essay of PhD thesis. Sofia, Forest Research Institute.
- Kostov, K., D.Bachvarov. B.Atanasov. T.Dakev, L.Ploshtakova, 1979. Effect of the seed origin in the growth of the seedlings from Austrian black pine under the conditions of the ecological plantations during initial five years. – Gorskostopanska nauka, 4, 3-11.
- Kostov, K., 1981. Effect of the origin of the acorns on the phenology and growth of pedunculate oak seedlings. - Gorskostopanska nauka, 4, 3-15.
- Kostov, K., 1983. Survival and variation in height and diameter growth of some *Quercus robur* L. provenances. - Gorskostopanska nauka, 1983, 6, 4-14.
- Kostov, K., A. Alexandrov, T. Dakev, A. Arabov, G. Tzankov, 1986. Growth and stability of Scots pine (*Pinus silvestris* L.) depending on the origin of the seeds. Gorskostopanska nauka, 4, 3-11.
- Marinov, I., 1969. Wood density of some forms of European ash in the Northeast Bulgaria. - Gorskostopanska Nauka, 5, 57-63.
- Marinov, I., 1970. Studies on ecotypes and forms of European Ash in the North-eastern Bulgaria. - Essay of PhD thesis. Sofia, Forest Research Institute.
- Marinov, I., 1973. Studies on ecotypes of the European ash (*Fraxinus excelsior* L.) in North-Eastern Bulgaria. – Gorskostopanska Nauka, 1, 3-11.
- Marinov, I., 1976. Variability of some morphological traits of seeds of Narrow-leafed Ash. Gorskostopanska Nauka, 6.

- Marinov, I., 1984. *Hippophae rhamnoides* (L., 1753). In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Marinov, I., 1984. *Aesculus hippocastanum* (L., 1753). In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Marinov, I., 1984. *Eriolobus trilobata* (Roem., 1874)(*Sorbus trilobata* Labill.)Boiss, 1872. In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Marinov, I., 1986. Ecologic-biological and selection-genetic studies on European Ash in Bulgaria. – Dissertation for scientific degree “Doctor of Agricultural Sciences”. Sofia, BAS, Forest Research Institute, 1-285.
- Marinov, I., 1990. Heritability of growth in diameter for seed generation of common ash (*Fraxinus excelsior* L.). - Forest Science - Sofia, 1, 9-15.
- Markova, M., 1984. *Rubus macrophyllus* (Weihe et Nees, 1824). In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Markova, M., 1984. *Rubus thyrsiflorus* Weihe et Nees, 1825. In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Mikov, M., 1988. Clone collections of poplars and willows in Svishtov – Bulgaria. Tenth Congress of Dendrologists. Sofia, 3-8.10.1988, 79-81.
- Milev, M., 1996. Studies on propagation of European larch (*Larix decidua* Mill.) and Japanese larch [*Larix kaempferi* (Lamb.) Carr.] in Bulgaria. Essay on the PhD thesis, Sofia, BAS, Forestry and Technologic University, 1-34.
- Mihailov, V., 1987. Variability of the Austrian black pine (*Pinus nigra* Arn.) in size, weight and form the seeds in Pirin and Slavyanka mountains. – Gorskostopanska Nauka, 6, 26-37.
- Mihailov, V., 1993. Biological and morphological peculiarities of the seeds of Austrian black pine (*Pinus nigra* Arn.) in connection with the origin and selection structure of populations in Pirin and Slavjanka. Essay of PhD thesis, BAS – Forest Research Institute, 1-28.
- MOEW - data base for stands included in the reserves Kazanite, Kastraklii, Soskovcheto, and sustained reserves Haidushki chukar, Balabana, Ardachlaka, Ostritsa, Dolna topchiya, Baltata, Konski dol, Momchilovski dol, Tamna gora, Shabanitsa, Gabra, Chamluca, and Kazalcherpa.
- Ministry of Agriculture (2003). Instruction №56 for protection of forests from pest, diseases, and other damages.
- Ministry of Agriculture (2004). Instruction №5 for production and trade with forest reproductive materials.
- Ministry of Agriculture (2005). Instruction №7 for the conditions and fixed routine for determination of sources of forest seed production base, collection and procession of forest reproductive materials intended for forest plantations and their quality description, including imported reproductive materials.
- Ministry of Agriculture (2006). Instruction №29 for conditions and fixed routine for production of seedlings for afforestation in the government forest nurseries.
- Ministry of Agriculture (2009). Instruction №2 for afforestation and inventarization of forest plantations.
- Ministry of Forests and Protection of Environment, 1975. Instruction for afforestation.
- Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity (2011).
- National Park Centralen Balkan – data base for stands included in the park, 2004, MS-Excel format.
- National Park Pirin – data base for stands included in the park, 2004, MS- Excel format..
- National Park Rila – data base for stands included in the park, 2004, MS-Excel – format.

- Naydenov, K., 1998. Phenotypic diversity of some populations of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) in Rila-Rhodopean massif estimated by the method of gas-chromatography of terpenes. - Essay on the PhD thesis, Sofia, BAS, Forest Research Institute, 1-44.
- Naydenov, Kr., Francine M. Tremblay, Alexander Alexandrov, Nicole J. Fenton, 2005. Structure of *Pinus sylvestris* L. populations in Bulgaria revealed by chloroplast microsatellites and terpenes analysis: Provenance tests. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, 33, 12, 1226-1245.
- Naydenov, Kr., F. Tremblay, Y. Bergeron, A. Alexandrov and N. Fenton, 2005. Dissimilar patterns of *Pinus heldreichii* Christ. populations in Bulgaria revealed by chloroplast microsatellites and terpenes analysis. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, 33, 2, 133-148.
- Naydenov, Kr., F. M. Tremblay, N.J. Fenton and Al. Alexandrov, 2006. Structure of *Pinus nigra* Arn. populations in Bulgaria revealed by chloroplast microsatellites and terpenes analysis: Provenance tests. - *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, 34, 7, 562-574.
- Naydenova, Ts., 1972. Studies on ecological and growth peculiarities of *Populus deltoids* Marsh. and created plantations along the Dounav river. - Essay of PhD thesis. Sofia, Forest Research Institute.
- Naidenova, Ts., K. Kostov, 1979. Intensity of the photosynthesis and transpiration of the pedunculate oak depending on the origin of the seeds. – *Gorskostopanska nauka*, 2, 3-10.
- Naydenova, Ts., K. Kostov, 1994. Intensity of photosynthesis for Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) seedlings, as dependent on seed provenances. – Proceedings scientific papers “Jubilee symposium 125 years BAS and 65 years Forest Research Institute /22-23 September 1994/, Sofia, 82-87.
- Nedyalkov, S., 1984. *Rhododendron ponticum* (L., 1762). In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Nedyalkov, S., 1984. *Castanea sativa* (Mill., 1867). In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Nedyalkov, S., 1984. *Quercus thracica* (Stef. et Ned., 1956). In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Nedyalkov, S., 1984. *Taxus baccata* (L., 1737). In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Pandeva, D., 2004. Distribution and variability of species from genus *Acer* in the Elena – Tvarditsa part of the Balkan Range. - Essay on the PhD thesis, Sofia, BAS, Forest Research Institute, 1-32.
- Pandeva, D., M. Glushkova, 2005. Distribution of species of genus *Acer* on the north slopes of Lyulin mountain. – *Forest Science - Sofia*, 3, 63-70.
- Pandeva, D., 2006. Variability of Sycamore (*Acer pseudoplatanus* L.) according to leaf form. – *Forest Science - Sofia*, 1, 57-64.
- Pandeva, D., G. Peev, 2007. Species and form variability of genus *Acer* in the Chepinska valley. - *Forest Science - Sofia*, 2, 41-54.
- Pavlova, E., B. Rosnev (Eds.), 2006. International Cooperative Program “Forests” – Estimation the impact of polluted air on the forests. Sofia, 1-238.
- Peev, G., 2006. Form diversity of *Quercus petraea* Liebl. and *Quercus dalechampii* Ten. with respect to leaves and bark in the Chepino valley. – *Forest Science - Sofia*, 3, 13-22.
- Peev, G., 2007. Variability of species of family *Quercus* in the Chepino valley. Essay on the PhD thesis. Sofia, BAS, Forest Research Institute, 1-44.
- Petrov, M., 1967. Studies on selection and inheritance of some traits of parent trees in their hybrid clones. Essay of PhD thesis. Sofia, Forest Research Institute.
- Petrov, M., 1994. The Cork oak and its propagation in Bulgaria. Sofia. BAS Publisher Marin Drinov.

- Plugchieva, M., V. Gagov, I. Simeonov, St. Byalkov, D. Bardarov (2003). Strategy for preservation of gene resources of European silver fir (*Abies alba* Mill.) and Bulgarian Fir (*Abies borisii-regis* Mattf.) in Bulgaria. – Gora, 2, 5-7.
- Popov, St., 1988. Forest genetic resources of species of family Juglans and methods for their preservation in Bulgaria. Tenth Congress of Dendrologists. Sofia, 3-8.10.1988, 564-569.
- Popov, E., 1991. Studies on results of introduction of Douglas fir [*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco] in Bulgaria. Essay on the PhD thesis, Sofia, BAS, Forest Research Institute.
- Popov, E., V.Hristov, 1996. Height growth development assessment of 55 *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco provenances. – Forest Science – Sofia, 1, 11-22.
- Popov, E., 2001. First results of eight years continuing provenance trial with Douglas fir [*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco] in the state forestry estate “Kjustendil”. – Proceedings Third Balkan Scientific Conference (2-6 October 2001), vol. II, 60-68.
- Popova, M., 1984. *Pyracantha coccinea* (Roem., 1847). In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Popova, M., 1984. *Spiraea crenata* (L., 1753). In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Popova, M., 1984. *Spiraea hypericifolia* (L., 1753). In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Popova, M., 1984. *Spiraea salicifolia* (L., 1753). In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Rosnev, B., 1968. Studies on forms of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) on the south slopes of Rila. Essay on the PhD Thesis. Sofia, Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Forest Research Institute, 1-21.
- Scaltsoyiannes, A., Tsaktsira, M., Pasagiannis, G., Tsoulpha, P., Zhelev, P., Iliev, I., Rohr, R., 2009. Allozyme variation of European Black (*Pinus nigra* Arn.) and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) populations and implications on their evolution: a comparative study. - Journal of Biological Research, Vol. 11 pp. 95-106.
- Slavov, G., P. Zhelev, 2004. Allozyme variation, differentiation, and inbreeding in populations of *Pinus mugo* in Bulgaria. – Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 34 (12), 2611-2617.
- Stefanov, B., 1934. Dendrology. University Library №144. Sofia, The Royal Publisher. 1-548.
- Stefanov, B., At. Ganchev, 1953. Dendrology. Sofia, Government publishing house for agricultural literature, 1- 561.
- Stefanov, B., J. Kotseva, 1975. Systematic Instruction for forest tree species and shrubs, native and cultivated in Bulgaria, or suitable for cultivation. Sofia, Government publishing house for agricultural literature, 1- 96.
- Stoyanov, N., B. Stefanov. 1925. Flora of Bulgaria. Vol. I-II. Sofia, 1-1367.
- Stoyanov, N., 2004. Elm forests in North Bulgaria and conservation strategies. Investigacion Agraria. Sistemas v Recursos Forestales (2004) 13 (1), 255-259.
- Tsanov, Ts., 1973. Biological peculiarities and methods for propagation of some species of basket-maker willows. - Essay of PhD thesis. Sofia, Forest Research Institute.
- Tsanov, Ts., 1983. Twenty-five years at the service of poplar and willow forestry. – Gorsko Stopanstvo, 9, 4-8.
- Tsanov, Ts., 1988. Form variability of *Populus alba* L. and *Populus nigra* L. grown along the Danube riverside in Bulgaria. Tenth Congress of Dendrologists. Sofia, 3-8.10.1988, 354-359.
- Tsanov, Ts., 1989. Ecologic-forestry and selection–genetic characteristic of swamp forests along Danube valley. - Essay on the DSc. thesis, Sofia, BAS, Forest Research Institute, 1-58.
- Tsanov, Ts., Ya. Naydenov, M. Yakimov, I. Benchev, 1989. Early testing in nursery conditions of different Poplar clones. – Scientific Papers, series “Forestry” of the High Forestry and Technologic Institute – Sofia, 31, 79-86.

- Tsanov, Ts., Ya. Naydenov, K. Kalmoukov, K. Broshtilov, 1992. Initial results from testing certain clones of false acacia (*Robinia pseudoacacia* L.). - Forest Science - Sofia, 4, 24-31.
- Tsanov, Ts., 1995. Intraspecies variability of white willow (*Salix alba* L.). - Forest Science - Sofia, 3, 16-32.
- Vakarelov, I., S. Anisimova. 2010. Ornamental Dendrology. Sofia, Matkom, 1-367.
- Vasev, I., 2002. Growth of some poplar clones in the populetum in "Nakov Chiflik" nursery at poplar farm Pazardzhik. – Forestry Ideas, 3-4, 59-68.
- Vasev, I., Tsanov, Ts., 2005. Preservation of genetic resource of *Populus nigra* L. – Gora, 2, 9.
- Vasilev, P., 1984. *Ephedra campylopoda* (C.A. Mey, 1846). In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Veen, P., I. Raev (eds.). 2006. Virgin forests in Bulgaria. Sofia, 128 pp. (in Bulgarian and English).
- Velchev, V., 1984. *Salix pentandra* (L., 1753). In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Velev, V., 1984. *Chamaecytisus ratisbonensis* (Schaeff) Rhotm, 1944. In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Velev, V., 1984. *Genista pilosa* (L., 1753). In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Velev, V., 1984. *Caragana frutex* (L.) C.Koch., 1869. In: Dakov et al. 1984. Red Data Book of the People's Republic of Bulgaria. Volume 1. Plants. - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, 448 pp., Sofia.
- Velkov, D. 1959. Biological peculiarities of acorns of oaks growing in Bulgaria. - Essay of PhD thesis. Sofia, Forest Research Institute.
- Velkov, D., St. Popov, 1969. On variability of sessile oak in the western part of Kamchia Balkan range. - Gorskostopanska nauka, 5.
- Velkov, D., M.Petrov, 1970. Studies on form diversity of *Castanea sativa* Mill. in Bulgaria. Proceedings.
- Velkov, D. and R. Dobrev, 1988. Genetic and selection basis for the extended reproduction of forest tree resources. Sofia, 1-60.
- Wright, J., 1976. Introduction to Forest Genetics. N.Y., Academic Press, 1-463.
- Yakimov, M., 2008. 50 years Experimental station on fast growing forest tree species. Priorities and facts. – Gora, 10, 18-20.
- Yurukov, St., M. Panayotov, E. Tsavkov, P. Zhelev (2005). Dendrological characteristic of Bosnian pine (*Pinus heldreichii* Christ.) on karst terrains in National Park "Pirin" – International Scientific Conference "Protected karst territories – condition, problems, perspectives" 18-21 October, Shumen, Bulgaria.
- Yurukov, S., Zhelev, P., 2006. Biometric characteristic of mountain dwarf pine (*Pinus mugo* Turra) and its hybrids with Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.). - Lucrarile sesiuni stiintifice Padurea si dezvoltarea durabila, Brasov, Romania, 2005, pp. 7-12.
- Zahariev, B., I. Palashev, Y. Ljapova, 1983. The growth of Austrian black pine in trial geographic plantations. - Gorskostopanska Nauka, 5, 18-26.
- Zhelev, P., A. Edreva, 1991. Studies on variability of polyphenol components content in certain populations of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) from Rhodopes. – Scientific Papers, series "Forestry" of the High Forestry and Technologic Institute – Sofia, vol. 36, 43-54.
- Zhelev, P., 1992. Ecologic-biological and selection-genetic studies in Scots pine populations in the Rhodops. - Essay on the PhD thesis. Sofia, High Forestry and Technologic Institute, 1-36.
- Zhelev, P., R. Longauer, L. Paule, D. Gomory, 1994. Genetic variation of the indigenous scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) populations from the Rhodopi Mountains. - Forest Science - Sofia, 3, 68-76.

Zhelev, P. and A. Tzarska, 2008. Genetic Diversity in the Bulgarian Populations of *Pinus peuce* Gris. – Proceeding “Breeding and Genetic Resources of Five-Needle Pines: Ecophysiology, Disease Resistance and Developmental Biology”, Yangyang, Korea 2008.

Corrections in the rows “Permanent seed producing stands” of Table 10 for native stands that have the statute of approved sources of forest reproductive materials

Forest tree species	Category of reproductive materials /native stands/			
	“source-identified”		“selected”	“qualified”
	ha	number of trees*	ha	parents of families
Abies alba Mill.			752.4	
Picea abies (L.) Karst.			4023.7	
Pinus heldreichii Christ.			9.8	
Pinus nigra Arn.			1026.5	
Pinus sylvestris L.			7300	
Total conifers			13112.4	
Acer platanoides L.		32	3.4	
Acer pseudoplatanus L.		150	15.2	
Alnus glutinosa Gaertner			1.1	
Betula pendula Roth.			146.9	
Carpinus betulus L.		150	108.8	
Castanea sativa Mill.			40.6	
Cerasus avinum (L.) Moench.		223	16.4	
Fagus sylvatica L.	3.1		9748	
Fraxinus excelsior L.		67	43.9	
Fraxinus oxycarpa Willd.	14.4		211.9	
Populus spp.&hybrids			53.3	41
Quercus cerris L.	18.4		1916.3	
Quercus petraea Liebl.			5463.8	
Quercus pubescens Willd.	28.7		74.6	
Quercus robur L.	28.7	40	251.3	
Tilia platyphyllos Scop.			153.6	
Total deciduous	93.3	662	18249.1	41
Total conifers and deciduous	93.3	662	31361.5	41

*for the mixed stands, where it is impossible to fix real area of different species, the number of trees is pointed.