Programme Committee

Hundred and Eighth Session

Rome, 10 - 14 October 2011

PROGRESS REPORT ON FOLLOW-UP TO PAST PROGRAMME COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

This document is printed in limited numbers to minimize the environmental impact of FAO's processes and contribute to climate neutrality. Delegates and observers are kindly requested to bring their copies to meetings and to avoid asking for additional copies. Most FAO meeting documents are available on the Internet at <u>WWW.FAO.ORG</u>

I. Programme Planning and Priority Setting

Priorities for the technical work of the Organization in the 2012-13 biennium

| CL 141/10 Report of the Joint Meeting of the 106 th Session of the Programme Committee and the 138 th Session of the Finance Committee (Rome, 23 March 2011) | Medium Term Plan 2010-13 (Reviewed) and Programme of Work and Budget 2012-13 | Action |
|--|---|--|
| 8. In relation to the budget level and resource shifts, the | e Joint Meeting: | |
| decrease to the level of assessments proposed in the | et level for 2012-13 for consideration by the Council: no PWB 2012-13; or a reduction in the level of assessments, do more with less and noting the proposed 3 percent reduction in | Considered by 141 st session of Council and decided by Conference |
| | dget of 0.8 percent of the net appropriation and <u>proposed</u> 0.7 JSD 1 million over the Evaluation budget proposed in the PWB | |
| c) <u>recommended</u> increasing the budget allocation overall budget level; | for Strategic Objective K (gender) without impacting the | |
| | ormation to the Council to explain the proposed resource shifts | Document C 2011/3 |
| between and within all Strategic and Functional Obj emphasis in all Strategic Objectives and Functional | jectives, and to elaborate on the areas of emphasis and de- Objective X; and in addition | Information Note 1 |
| Programme of Work and Budget, a table indicating | possible during the course of ongoing deliberations on the the reference for decision (for example, inputs from Regional Strategy Teams), as well as implications for the net appropriation | |

| | rt of the 106 th Session of the Programme ome, 21-25 March 2011) | Medium Term Plan 2010-13 (Reviewed) and Programme of Work and Budget 2012-13 | Action | |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| | 7. The Committee considered the Medium Term Plan 2010-13 (<i>Reviewed</i>) and Programme of Work and Budget 2012-13. [] the Committee: | | | |
| f) | | ormation to the Council to explain the proposed resource shifts al Objectives, and to elaborate on the areas of emphasis and de- tonal Objective X; | Document C 2011/3 Information Note 1 | |
| g) | Programme of Work and Budget, a table indica | possible during the course of ongoing deliberations on the ating the reference for decision (for example, inputs from Council, and Strategy Teams), as well as implications for net | | |
| h) | <u>requested</u> that clarification be provided to the O distributed in the PWB 2012-13; | Council on how the one-time savings of USD 10.4 million were | | |
| i) | recommended that FAO's funding commitmen distinguished in the PWB 2012-13 adjusted aft | Document CL 143/3 Adjustments to the Programme of Work 2012-13 | | |
| j) | <u>requested</u> the reformulation of Organizational COAG, as presented in Appendix 1; | Result indicator B4.1 in line with the recommendation of | Completed | |
| k) | <u>requested</u> the reformulation of areas of emphasis and de-emphasis under Organizational Result C01 in line with the recommendations of COFI, as presented in Appendix 1; | | Completed | |
| 1) | under Strategic Objective K, including to Orga | impacting the overall budget level to strengthen work on gender nizational Result K02, based on the recommendations of the findings of the <i>State of Food and Agriculture 2010-11 - Women</i> | Considered by 141 st session of Council and decided by Conference | |
| m) | discussed the level of funding for Evaluation w of the target funding at 0.8 percent of the total IPA by 2013. The Committee noted that it would | | | |
| n) | | equally under all Strategic Objectives: while climate change tegic Objectives, other issues such as nutrition, gender and ntly; | | |

| o) was concerned about funding for some core FAO work, especially normative work and coordination of cross- cutting issues which appeared to have been shifted from the net appropriation to extrabudgetary resources and should be protected under the net appropriation; p) found that information related to Functional Objective X was unclear in comparison with Strategic Objectives and <u>looked forward</u> to receiving additional details on the funding and areas of emphasis and de-emphasis for this Functional Objective using the same format as the Strategic Objectives; and q) noted that the Committee on World Food Security (CFS) Bureau had not yet finalized its programme of work and budget and therefore the Committee could not assess its programmatic impact. | | Document C 2011/3 Information Note 2 | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | ort of the 106 th Session of the Programme | Corporate Strategy on Capacity Development | Action |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ome, 21-25 March 2011) | | |
| 9. The | e Committee: | | |
| a) | a) <u>requested</u> Capacity Development to be reflected in the Programme of Work and Budget; | | To be addressed in preparing PWB 2014-15 |
| b) <u>encouraged</u> actions which would integrate Capacity Development into the work plans throughout the Organization, underlining the roles of the Strategy Teams and the decentralized offices, as well as the strategic role of senior management in coordination and follow-up of this issue; | | Being addressed in work planning 2012-13 | |
| c) | c) recognized that in countries, Capacity Development work should be based on demand and be flexible depending on the country's situation, and <u>recommended</u> the systematization of Capacity Development in field projects; | | Guidelines and tools, including revisions of the Field Programme Manual, have been - and are being developed - for FAO staff on flexible Capacity Development interventions that are based on demand. |

| | d) reiterated the critical importance of Capacity Development, and <u>urged</u> all relevant actors inside FAO, as well as the Member States to commit to Capacity Development and promote its integration in programming, planning and prioritization, recalling the core principles of the Paris Declaration and the Accra Agenda for Action; | | A continuing series of awareness raising events to promote sound capacity development is being organized for FAO staff at all levels in headquarters and decentralized offices, and training programmes are also being formulated. |
|-----|---|---|--|
| | e) <u>requested</u> FAO to provide feedback in 2012 on TCP facility used for Capacity Development, in | the relevance, adequacy and adherance of TCP projects and ncluding the TCP criteria. | Paper to be prepared for PC May 2012 |
| | Report of the 106 th Session of the Programme e (Rome, 21-25 March 2011) | Sustainable animal health and contained animal-related hum the emerging One-Health agenda - Applying Lessons Learned Avian Influenza in the Prevention and Containment of Major A Human Health Risks | from Highly Pathogenic |
| 11. | The Committee requested more information on: | | |
| | a) how the Action Plan would be prioritized and s budget; | sequenced under the three-pillar system of the FAO integrated | Document PC 108/3 |
| | b) exploring alternative sources for mobilizing rest threats to production, health and environment (IFA- | | |
| | c) the linkages of the Action Plan with the regular | | |
| 12. | The Committee further requested that management p challenges to all Member States as soon as possible. | provide information on the IFA/EMPRES and its funding | FAO Web portal on Resource Mobilization http://www.fao.org/tc/resource- mobilization/ifas/empres/en/ |

| CL 141/4 Report of the 105 th Session of the Programme Committee (8-9 February 2011) | Priorities for the technical work of the Organization in the 2012-13 biennium | Action |
|---|---|-----------------------------|
| 11.In establishing a coherent set of priorities for future recommended measures to promote more structure Technical Committees. Having considered the down recommended that in planning for future biennia | To be addressed in planning process for 2014-17 starting late 2011 | |
| a) identify emerging issues to inform the review period; | w and refinement of the Medium Term Plan for the 2014-17 | |
| b) address across the strategic objectives the co | ordination of cross-cutting issues to help drive priority setting; | |
| | arters and decentralized offices in planning and implementing et in the context of the vision on decentralization; | |
| d) highlight the comparative advantage of FAO | with other organizations. | |
| CL 140/8 Report of the 104 th Session of the Programme Committee (25-29 October 2010) | Action | |
| 12. In establishing a coherent set of priorities for future b | piennia, the Committee recommended that the Secretariat: | To be addressed in planning |
| a) further improve the documentation submitted to a description of areas of emphasis and de-empha regional level, so as to promote more structured Objectives and Organizational Results, and to face | process for 2014-17 starting late 2011 | |
| b) ensure consultation with Strategy Teams (strateg documents to be submitted to Regional Conferen | tic objectives and regions) when preparing prioritization aces and Technical Committees. | |

II. Results-based monitoring of programme implementation

| CL 141/10 Report of the Joint Meeting of the 106 th Session of the Programme Committee and the 138 th Session of the Finance Committee (Rome, 23 March 2011) | Progress on implementation of the TCP | Action |
|--|--|-----------|
| the proposal to earmark 0.4 percent of the TCP appr results of TCP projects achieved after project closur | plementation of the TCP and <u>recommended</u> implementation of ropriation to facilitate the reporting by decentralized offices on re. The Joint Meeting stressed the need for TCP projects to be ell as for expeditious utilization of the TCP appropriation. | Completed |

| | | ort of the 106 th Session of the Programme ome, 21-25 March 2011) | Mid-term Review Synthesis Report – 2010 | Action |
|---|----|--|---|------------------------------|
| 21. | On | substance of the report, the Committee: | | To be addressed in preparing |
| | a) | recognized that this was a very useful document Organization was making in implementing the I | t which provided a clear overview of the progress the Programme of Work and Budget 2010-11; | MTR 2012 |
| | b) | noted that the Organization was performing well extrabudgetary resources had been raised and de | ll against the Organizational Results, and that additional elivered; | |
| | c) | achieving Organizational Results, and the fact t | her alignment of extrabudgetary resources to requirements for hat some Organizational Results (notably in Strategic Objectives so in a number of other Strategic Objectives) required attention | |
| | d) | ii) highlighting specific areas of concern (i.e. re | rformance of the Organization with regards to decentralization; quiring attention or in jeopardy by and within the Strategic gating measures proposed by the Organization for the areas | |
| 22. On structure and format of the report, the Committee <u>recommended</u> that: | | | To be addressed in preparing | |
| | a) | the criteria for selecting and applying the rating made clearer; | criteria "on track", "requires attention" and "in jeopardy" be | MTR 2012 |
| | b) | a more systematic and consistent approach on a | pplying the rating criteria be used; | |
| | c) | the charts of progress toward achievement of Os supporting narrative text be better aligned; | rganizational Results (based on Unit Result ratings) and the | |
| | d) | some outcomes be highlighted in the narrative t length of narratives; | ext at Organizational Result level, while maintaining the current | |
| | e) | the follow-up action on relevant internal audits synthesis reports; | and Evaluation reports be integrated in the presentation of future | |
| | f) | progress on cross-cutting issues and interdiscipl | linary areas be included; | |
| | g) | the analysis and description of overall success f | | |
| | h) | the regional results be presented by region rather than by regional office; and | | |
| | i) | the narrative on follow-up actions be expanded, subsequent Programme of Work and Budget. | including how the MTR has influenced proposals for the | |

| CL 140/9 Report of the Joint Meeting of the 104 th Session of the Programme Committee and the 135 th Session of the Finance Committee (27 October 2010) | Results-based Work Planning, Monitoring and Reporting System | Action |
|---|--|--|
| | | To be addressed in preparing MTR 2012 |
| a) the level of detail to be provided in the <i>Mid-terr</i> the UN system as regards financial information; | | |
| b) more information on the regional and subregion | | |
| c) time series and comparability of information in | | |
| d) information on efficiency savings; | | |
| e) possibilities of incorporating lessons learned from the Office of Evaluation. | om Evaluations and their follow-up actions, with assistance from | |
| 9. The Joint Meeting further <u>recommended</u> that addition transition to a full results-based management system | onal information be provided to the entire membership on the n, including on priority-setting and budgeting. | In progress |

| CL 143/5 Report of the 107 th Session of the Programme Committee (16-17 May 2011) | Evaluation of FAO's interventions funded by the Central Emergency Response Fund (CERF) | Action |
|--|---|--|
| 5. The Committee: | | |
| a) <u>recommended</u> that the Secretariat further giving visibility to implementing partners | strengthen its partnerships and emphasized the principle of s in project completion reports; | |
| b) <u>recommended</u> that efforts be continued to country-level are complementary; and | ensure that emergency activities and development work at | |
| c) <u>requested</u> that the Secretariat address con of country offices. | cerns raised in the Evaluation related to improving the capacity | |
| 7. The Committee <u>requested</u> that: | | Follow-up report to the |
| | ke a comprehensive review of recommendations made in other cretariat's follow-up report on the Evaluation of FAO's | Evaluation of FAO's Operational Capacity in Emergencies will be presented to the PC May 2012 |
| b) OED prepare an analysis of relevant Eval offices to fulfill their mandate, for the Co | luation recommendations relating to the capacity of country ommittee's consideration; and | Will be presented to the Follow-up report to the Country Programming evaluation PC October 2012 |
| c) the Secretariat be more specific about the recommendations when this is indicated it | nature of the requirements for additional funding to implement in the Management Response. | session Ongoing |

¹ PC 103/7 - FC 132/10

| CL 143/5 Report of the 107 th Session of the Programme Committee (16-17 May 2011) | FAO's effectiveness at country level: A synthesis of evaluations in large, rapidly-developing countries (India and Brazil) | Action | |
|--|--|--|--|
| 10. The Committee <u>recommended</u> that: | | | |
| a) the recommendations in country Evaluation where applicable; | ion synthesis reports be considered for use by other countries, | | |
| b) the experience gained from country Eval South-South Cooperation; | uations be used in enriching the scope, quality and modality of | | |
| c) knowledge sharing within and between c | ountries be improved; | | |
| d) recommendations of country Evaluation | synthesis reports and Management Responses be more precise; | | |
| e) special attention be paid to the access and from different cultural environments; | | | |
| | f) the nature of this kind of synthesis report of country Evaluations be considered in the review of the next Rolling Work Plan of Strategic and Programme Evaluation. | | |
| | Action | | |
| 13. The Committee <u>recommended</u> to the Council that follows: | t paragraph 43 of the Charter should be amended to read as | To be considered by CL 143 (Nov-Dec 2011) | |
| once for a further term of four years. If the Dia | ixed term of four years with a possibility of reappointment only rector of Evaluation reaches the age of 62 years during a fixed | | |
| | <i>te for the remainder of that term, notwithstanding Staff</i> <i>ment may not be renewed beyond that fixed term of four years.</i> | | |
| The renewal of the appointment of the Directo | | | |
| Committee. Likewise the Director-General sho | | | |
| | of Evaluation. The Director of Evaluation may not be | | |
| reappointed within FAO to another post or receiver expiry or termination of the appointment ² . | cruited as a consultant during a period of one year following the | | |

² Words underscored to be added.

| CL 141/8 Report of the 106 th Session of the Programme Committee (11-15 April 2011) | Evaluation of FAO's Regional and Subregional Offices for the Near East | Action | |
|---|---|-------------------|--|
| 15. The Committee: | | | |
| | ould be implemented without further consultation be addressed ent; | | |
| b) <u>noted</u> the key role played by FAO Repre | sentations in FAO's work; | | |
| | e recruitment policy, effective rotation policy, adequate training the region, especially FAO Representatives; | | |
| d) <u>endorsed</u> a regional Trust Fund for progr should be funded out of the net appropria | amme-related purposes, but stated that core activities of FAO ation; | | |
| e) <u>encouraged</u> dialogue with national stakel performance at country level; | nolders and other UN agencies on ways to improve FAO | | |
| | <u>recommended</u> that the results of the Evaluation be considered in implementation of the Programme of Work and Budget and the Vision for Decentralization; | | |
| | g) <u>recommended</u> an increased emphasis on reporting of follow-up to Evaluations, including comments from the Office of Evaluation on follow-up reports submitted to the Programme Committee; | | |
| h) <u>noted</u> that the Plan of Action would be su | abmitted to the Regional Conference for the Near East; | | |
| | i) recommended that a paper on harmonization of the Regional Conference for the Near East and the Regional Office for the Near East be submitted to the next Regional Conference for the Near East; and | | |
| | ommendations of this Evaluation, to engage in a dialogue with and visibility of FAO, as well as with partners within the UN | | |
| 16. The Committee <u>recommended</u> that similar Evalu be conducted in a consultative manner. | ations should take place in other regions. The Evaluations should | Document PC 108/4 | |

| CL 140/8 Report of the 104 th Session of th Committee (25-29 October 2010) | e Programme Strategi | c Evaluation of FAO country programming | Action |
|--|--|---|--|
| 16. The Committee: | | | Document PC 108/2 |
| <i>Guidelines</i> . This paper v policies and principles re | Yould not be a detailed "Manua elated to country programming xible in nature; ii) be finally ap | er 2011 session a paper on <i>Country Programming</i> al" on country programming, but should focus on the the twas <u>recommended</u> that the process proposed in pproved by the governing bodies; iii) clarify the | |
| programming framework | b) <u>requested</u> that clarifications be provided on how the financial envelope would be reflected in the country programming framework and that the next steps be identified on the integration of emergency activities in the country programming framework; | | |
| under consideration and | c) recommended that the appropriate governing bodies should keep the timing of the Regional Conferences under consideration and revert to the matter in the future, as some experience is gained in the new schedule of governing body sessions; | | |
| | subregional and country office | a decentralization and, particularly the roles and s should be carefully considered when preparing the | |
| the overall country prog | | the Technical Cooperation Programme (TCP) within e opportunity for the Regional Conferences to look | To be reviewed after Regional Conferences in 2012 |

| CL 140/8 Report of the 104 th Session of the Programme Committee (25-29 October 2010) | | Evaluation of FAO's activities on capacity development in Africa | Follow-up at PC session October 2012 |
|---|--|--|---|
| | | Second Real-Time Evaluation of FAO's work on HPAI | Follow-up at PC session October 2012 |
| CL 139/4 Report of the 103 rd Session of the Programme Committee (17-21 May 2010) | | The Evaluation of FAO's operational capacity in emergencies | Follow-up at PC session May 2012 |
| | | Joint Thematic Evaluation of FAO and WFP Support to Information Systems for Food Security | |
| 31. | The Committee endorsed the principle of continue | ed joint work between FAO and WFP on food security | Document to be presented to |
| | information. The Committee appreciated the fact t | PC November 2011 | |
| | Security Information Systems and a joint strategy | | |
| | appropriate systems for assessing food security no | t just in emergency situations, but also in development contexts. | |
| | Follow-up PC May 2012 | | |

IV. IPA implementation and integration into PWB

| CL 141/10 Report of the Joint Meeting of the 106th Session of the Programme Committee and the 138th | Vision for the structure and functioning of Decentralized Offices | Action |
|---|---|----------------------|
| Session of the Finance Committee (Rome, 23 March 2011) | | |
| The Joint Meeting: | | Document JM 2011.2/3 |
| headquarters and decentralized offices to fb) underlined the need for rationalization of a | tion for the work of the Organization and the critical need for function as one in the most effective and efficient manner; all layers of operations, including staffing, when necessary, | |
| according to the IPA;c) underlined the ongoing need for actions to line with their priorities and needs; | enhance expertise and performance in decentralized offices in | |
| | uate resources which will enable decentralized offices to exercise hrough the IPA and encouraged careful and continued | |
| e) noted the progress made on benchmarking | and welcomed the consultations with other UN organizations; | |

| | decentralized offices; stressed the urgent n competency profiles, and recruitment proc | Circular on Responsibilities and Relationships between | |
|--------------|--|--|--------------------------|
| Session of t | Report of the Joint Meeting of the 106th he Programme Committee and the 138th he Finance Committee (Rome, 23 March 2011) | Resource Mobilization and Management Strategy | Action |
| 11. 12. | Strategy at its next Session. | e final version of the Resource Mobilization and Management lish on its Web site information regarding voluntary | JM 2011.2/4 Completed |
| Session of t | Report of the Joint Meeting of the 106th he Programme Committee and the 138th he Finance Committee (Rome, 23 March 2011) | FAO Strategy on partnerships with the private sector | Action |
| 14. | The Joint Meeting <u>requested</u> to review the final ve at its next session. | rsion of the FAO Strategy on partnerships with the private sector | JM 2011.2/5 |
| Session of t | Report of the Joint Meeting of the 106th he Programme Committee and the 138th he Finance Committee (Rome, 23 March 2011) | Progress on implementation of the TCP | Action |
| 15. | the proposal to earmark 0.4 percent of the TCP appresults of TCP projects achieved after project closu | nplementation of the TCP and <u>recommended</u> implementation of propriation to facilitate the reporting by decentralized offices on ure. The Joint Meeting stressed the need for TCP projects to be rell as for expeditious utilization of the TCP appropriation. | JM 2011.2/6 |

| | Report of the 106 th Session of the Programme (11-15 April 2011) | Access to TCP on a grant basis: eligibility criterion | Action |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| 23. The Committee recognized that no consensus on any of the options proposed for resolving the inconsistency in the interpretation of the TCP eligibility criterion had been reached. The Latin American and the Caribbean and African regions reported that they supported Option 1, while other regions supported Option 2. The Committee <u>encouraged</u> regional groups to continue their discussions, with the facilitation of the Independent Chairperson of the Council, on the matter and <u>decided</u> to revisit the issue at its October 2011 session. | | Document PC 108/9 | |
| CL 141/8 Report of the 106 th Session of the Programme Committee (11-15 April 2011) | | Preliminary review of statutory bodies with particular reference to Article XIV bodies and their relationship with FAO | Action |
| 27. | | | Documents PC 108/8 and PC 108/10 |

V. Improved methods of work and efficiency of the Programme Committee

| CL 141/4 Report of the 105 th Session of the Programme Committee (8-9 February 2011) | Review of progress on the Multi-year Programme of Work for the Committee | Action |
|--|---|-----------|
| 15. The Committee reviewed and approved its Report of progress on the Multi-year Programme of Work from December 2009 to May 2011. | | Completed |