# ABSTRACT

Agricultural disaster impact analyses used for planning emergency operations are mainly based on empirical *in situ* analysis, and largely dependent on access to the affected area and on experts' experience. Not only are disaster impacts difficult to model but emergency situations often prevent sufficient collection of detailed georeferenced information, which would allow the calibration of impact models. Moreover, the urgency of relief operations hampers the development of necessary tools.

The Rapid Agricultural Disaster Assessment Routine (RADAR) is based on the idea that a disaster is the "product" of extreme factors and a vulnerable agricultural system. The current state of agricultural systems can be routinely collected in an information system. For extreme factors of geophysical origin, detailed quantitative and georeferenced data about their characteristics are known almost immediately after the event. Some pre- and post-impact data are also rapidly available through remote sensing. If impact models were readily available at the time of a disaster, this set of knowledge could be used to model impacts and to generate preliminary assessments very rapidly.

Part A (chapters 1-4) of the RADAR report proposes to move from empirical assessments towards model approaches. Once an event strikes a region, the user of the procedure should rapidly collect all available georeferenced and quantitative data on the event and the region. Subsequently, a Disaster Information Management System (DIMS) that integrates physical models, knowledge-bases, databases and GIS can be used to assess the short- and long-term agricultural impact of the event.

The procedure combines model analysis, based on physical simulation of the disaster, and empirical analysis, using people's records of the environmental disruption after the event. Both analyses may be used alone or concurrently and they can be updated in real time to improve the assessment. The output of the analyses is the geographical distribution of the intensity of the event, which is then used to compute the integrated impact (the loss) to agriculture produced by the disaster.

RADAR is a very powerful support tool for decision-making during a disaster impact assessment. Full implementation of the assessment procedure in a DIMS allows a rapid and accurate assessment of the impact of disastrous events on agriculture. Impact forecasting and updating using on-ground and satellite remote sensing data inputs are also resorted to. In the medium to long term accumulated information and in-depth analyses should provide a significant contribution towards disaster preparedness and minimization of potential risks through early warning strategies and preparation of development plans that incorporate resilience to such disasters.

In Part B (chapters 5-8) the general approach of RADAR is illustrated by an example of the impact evaluation of Hurricane Mitch on the Honduran agricultural production system. The distributions of percentage loss and agricultural value per unit area are aggregated to calculate damage value for each region and each sector (forest, crop land, fruit trees, and pasture). The total impact is estimated to be about US\$ 750 million with 8 percent error margin. Combining information derived from historical disasters with current remote sensing data input could improve anticipation of tropical cyclone system impact, and support actions to be taken both during and immediately following an event.

# Rapid Agricultural Disaster Assessment Routine (RADAR)

By Andrea Borgia, René Gommes, Michele Bernardi and Hideki Kanamaru

88 pages, 13 figures, 17 tables

FAO Environment and Natural Resources Series, No. 12 - FAO, Rome, 2008

### Keywords:

Disaster, climate change, agriculture, impact assessment, emergency, early warning, GIS, Honduras, Hurricane Mitch.

This series replaces the following: Environment and Energy Series Remote Sensing Centre Series Agrometeorology Working Paper

A list of documents published in the above series and other information can be found at the Web site: http://www.fao.org/nr

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The continuous technical advice and counsel of many colleagues in FAO and other organizations helped make this publication possible. A particular note of gratitude is extended to the following individuals and FAO departments: Jon Fink, Arizona State University and Manuel Gavela, FAO-GIEWS, for discussing impact assessment; Marina Zanetti, Mario Bloise and FAO-GIS facilities for assistance during GIS model development; FAO-ESS for providing product prices for Honduras; Claudio Gregorio, Dmitry Prikhodko and Frank Hollinger of FAO-TCI for comments on valuation of impacts; and scientific editors Thor Lawrence and Hermann Pfeiffer. Partial funding to the RADAR project was provided by the European Development and Research Agency (Italy), Arizona State University (USA), the European Union and the Government of Japan. The FAO Disaster Risk Management Working Group provided funds to publish this document.

# CONTENTS

- iii Foreword
- v Abstract
- vii Acknowledgements
- x Acronyms

## 1 PART A

# **OBJECTIVES, CONCEPTS, DEFINITIONS AND METHODS**

- 3 1 INTRODUCTION
- 6 1.1 Objectives
- 8 1.2 Definitions
- 8 1.2.1 Event and disaster
- 11 1.2.2 Intensity of an event
- 11 1.2.3 Hazard
- 12 1.2.4 Vulnerability and risk
- 13 1.2.5 Errors

## 15 2 - DISASTER INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 15 2.1 Empirical analysis and Model analysis
- 15 2.1.1 Empirical analysis
- 16 2.1.2 Model analysis
- 17 2.1.3 Conceptual model of impact assessment
- 18 2.2 Flowchart of the assessment routine

## 23 3 - CHARACTERIZATION OF PHYSICAL EVENTS CAUSING AGRICULTURAL DISASTERS

- 23 3.1 Defining the event
- 24 3.2 Information database on disastrous events
- 25 3.3 Reference database of affected systems
- 28 3.4 Main event characteristics
- 28 3.4.1 Magnitude
- 29 3.4.2 From magnitude to intensity
- 31 3.4.3 Defining intensity scales

#### 33 4. IMPACT ASSESSMENT PARAMETERS

- 33 4.1 From event intensity to Percentage loss
- 36 4.2 Agricultural production system values
- 37 4.3 Overall damage caused by the disaster

# 39 PART B

# AN APPLICATION OF RADAR TO HURRICANE MITCH HONDURAS, OCTOBER 1998

41 5 - INTRODUCTION

#### 43 6 - THE EVENT, ENVIRONMENT, AND MILIEU

- 43 6.1 Definition of the physical event
- 43 6.2 The region of impact
- 44 6.3 The area for impact assessment
- 44 6.4 Components of the milieu
- 44 6.4.1 Agro-ecological zones
- 45 6.4.2 Potential land use and farming systems
- 46 6.5 The parcels for impact assessment
- 46 6.6 The components of the milieu of each parcel
- 46 6.7 Conceptual model

#### 49 7 - CHARACTERISTICS OF THE EVENT

- 49 7.1 Causes of damage
- 49 7.1.1 Wind, surge and spray
- 50 7.1.2 Rain, runoff and landslides
- 50 7.1.3 Flooding and sedimentation
- 51 7.2 Definition of a convenient scale of intensity

### 53 8 - IMPACT EVALUATION AND CALCULATION

- 53 8.1 Calculation of the distribution of damage
- 55 8.2 Calculation of the value exposed
- 56 8.2.1 Yearly production and areas harvested
- 56 8.2.2 Crops
- 58 8.2.3 Forest
- 58 8.2.4 Pasture and livestock
- 59 8.2.5 Value density
- 59 8.3 Integration over parcel components and area
- 61 9 CONCLUSIONS

#### 65 **REFERENCES**

- 67 APPENDIX 1: QUANTITATIVE DEFINITIONS
- 71 APPENDIX 2: FIGURES AND MAPS

ix

# ACRONYMS

CINDI	Centre for Integrated Natural Disaster Information
CRED	Center for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters
DB	Database (as part of DIMS)
DIMS	Disaster Information Management System
DSS	Decision-support system
EM-DAT	OFDA/CRED International Emergency Disasters Database
ESS	FAO Statistics Division
EOC	Emergency Operations Centre
GIEWS	Global Information and Early Warning System
GIS	Geographical Information System
KB	Knowledge-Base (as part of DIMS)
MB	Model-Base (as part of DIMS)
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (USA)
OFDA	The Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance
R&D	Research and Development
RADAR	Rapid Agricultural Disaster Assessment Routine
USGS	US Geological Survey
WFP	World Food Programme