CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION F



**Food and Agriculture Organization of** the United Nations



Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy - Tel: (+39) 06 57051 - Fax: (+39) 06 5705 4593 - E-mail: codex@fao.org - www.codexalimentarius.net

**Agenda Item 11(a) CX/CF 12/6/18** 

**February 2012** 

# **JOINT FAO/WHO FOOD STANDARDS PROGRAMME**

## **CODEX COMMITTEE ON CONTAMINANTS IN FOODS**

**Sixth Session Maastricht, The Netherlands, 26 – 30 March 2012** 

## **DISCUSSION PAPER ON PROPOSED DRAFT MAXIMUM LEVELS FOR FUMONISINS IN MAIZE AND MAIZE-PRODUCTS AND ASSOCIATED SAMPLING PLANS**

## **BACKGROUND**

1. The 3<sup>rd</sup> Session of the Codex Committee on Contaminants in Foods (CCCF) agreed to initiate work on establishing maximum levels and associated sampling plans for fumonisins (FB1 and FB2) in maize and some maize-based products1. This work was approved by the 32<sup>nd</sup> Session of the Codex Alimentarius Commission<sup>2</sup>. At the 4<sup>th</sup> CCCF Session, the Delegation of Brazil introduced the document Proposed Draft Maximum Levels for Fumonisins in Maize and Maize-Products and Associated Sampling Plans (CX/CF 10/4/8), which was subject to discussion by the Committee.

2. While there was general agreement on the need for MLs for fumonisin (B1 and B2) in maize and maize products, many delegations did not support the levels proposed. African delegations expressed their concern on the dietary exposure to fumonisins, as maize is a staple food in their countries. A delegation suggested the inclusion of FB3 in the definition of ML and some delegations questioned the proposed sampling plan. Further details on the discussion of this matter can be found in the report of the Committee3. In view of the discussion, the Committee agreed to suspend this work and retain the proposed draft ML and sampling plans at Step 4 until the finalization of the evaluation of fumonisins by the JECFA3.

3. This document updated the previous one presented to the Committee (CX/CF 10/4/8), and includes the information and recommendations of the last evaluation of fumonisins conducted by the JECFA, which occurred in June 2011 and are presented in the 74<sup>th</sup> JECFA Report (FAO/WHO, 2011). When elaborating this document, the comments provided to the 4<sup>th</sup> CCCF by Korea (CRD 18) and by Egypt, European Union, Guana, Japan, Kenya, Norway, the Philippines, Thailand, Coceral and IAEA (CX/CF 10/4/8 Add.1) were also considered.

4. The Committee is invited to consider the conclusions and recommendations in particular the proposed maximum levels and associated sampling plans in order to decide how to proceed further with this matter. In considering the proposals, the Committee should take due account of the outcome of the 74<sup>th</sup> JECFA Meeting (see Agenda Item 3a).

 $\overline{a}$ 1 ALINORM 09/32/41, para. 101, App. VII.

ALINORM 09/32/REP, App. VI.

<sup>3</sup> ALINORM 10/33/41, paras. 85-94.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

5. Fumonisins are mycotoxins structurally related to a group of diesters of propane-1, 2, 3-tricarboxylic acid and various 2-amino-12, 16-dimethylpolyhydroxyeicosanes in which the C14 and C15 hydroxyl groups are esterified with the terminal carboxyl group of tricarboxylic acid (Figure 1). At least 18 fumonisin analogues have been identified and these have been classified into series A, B, C and P based on their chemical structure (Sewram et al., 2005, Torres et al., 2007, Kumar et al, 2008). The B series, consisting mainly of fumonisin B1 (FB1), and fumonisin B2 (FB2), are believed to be the most abundant naturally occurring analogues (WHO, 2011). Recently, 28 isomers of FB1 were detected in a solid rice culture infected with *Fusarium verticillioides,* by RP-HPLC/ESI-TOFMS and RP-HPLC/ESI-ITMS (Bartok et al, 2010)



Fumonisin B1: R1= OH; R2= OH; R3= OH Fumonisin B2: R1= H; R2= OH; R3= OH Fumonisin B3: R1= OH; R2= OH; R3= H

**Figure 1.** Chemical structure of FB1, FB2 and FB3

6. Fumonisins are produced mainly by *Fusarium verticillioides* (Sacc.) Nirenberg (synonym *F. moniliforme* Sheldon) (teleomorph, *Gibberella moniliformis*)*, Fusarium proliferatum* (Matsushima) and *Fusarium nygamai* (Marasas et al., 2001; Rheeder et al., 2002).

7. FB2 was detected in cultures of *Aspergilus niger* for the first time by Frisvad *et al* (2007). Later, it was shown that *A. niger* strains were able to produce FB2 and FB4 on grapes and raisins (Morgensen et al., 2010) as well as FB2 on coffee (Noonim et al., 2010). A new FB6 has been isolated, together with FB2, from stationary cultures of the fungus *A. niger* NRRL 326 by Månsson *et al*. (2010).

8. Biological interactions between the maize plant, and the fungus are complex and may have diametrically opposing results (Yates and Spaks, 2008). *F. verticillioides* grows within the maize plant as an endophyte (Bacon and Hinton, 1996) - an interaction of benefit to the plant growth in other members of the Gramineae (Clay, 1990; Yates et al., 2005). However, under plant stress growth conditions, the symptomless endophytic relationship may convert to a disease and/or Mycotoxin producing interaction (Bacon and Nelson, 1994; Abbas et al., 2006).

9. The mechanisms that trigger the conversion of the fungi*-*plant relationship from that of a symptomless one to a cause of disease for the plant and a mycotoxin-producing interaction have not yet been identified (Yates and Sparks, 2008). Nevertheless, it is possible that water stress and insect predation, factors that have been related to the onset of the deleterious aspects of this fungal plant interaction (Dowd, 2003), might be involved in the process.

10. The extent of maize contamination with fumonisins varies with geographical location, agricultural practices, and the maize genotype (Jackson and Jablonski, 2004). The levels of fumonisins are also influenced by environmental factors such as temperature, humidity, drought stress and the extent of rainfall during the pre-harvest and harvest periods; storage of the harvested maize kernels under improper moisture conditions can result in additional accumulation of fumonisins (Bacon and Nelson, 1994). Higher levels of fumonisins are usually found in maize kernels produced in the warmer regions of the world (Shelby et al., 1994; Miller, 1999).

# **BIOLOGICAL ASPECTS**

11. Fumonisins were evaluated the JECFA at its 56th (2001) and 74th meetings (2011). Laboratory animal and *in vitro* studies evaluated by both meetings have shown disruption of lipid metabolism as the initial site of action of fumonisins. The proposed lipidbased mechanism involves inhibition of ceramide synthase, a key enzyme in the biosynthesis of sphingolipids, and changes in the polyunsaturated fatty acid and phospholipid pools. Both lead ultimately to lipid-mediated alterations in signaling and metabolic pathways crucial to the cell growth, death and differentiation (FAO/WHO, 2001; FAO/WHO, 2011).

12. In experimental animal studies, the extent of disruption of sphingolipid metabolism correlates well with toxicity and has been used successfully to demonstrate structure–activity relationships, tissue specificity, strain/sex susceptibility and the efficacy of intervention strategies in poultry, fish, rats, mice, pigs and horses. However, the level of accumulation of bioactive lipid metabolites or depletion of lipids that constitutes a quantitative measure of adverse physiological effects is not known and the JECFA concluded that disruption of lipid metabolism cannot be used as a toxicological end-point for the final evaluation (FAO/WHO, 2011).

13. In animal species studies revised by both JECFA meetings, the liver and kidney were found to be a target for FB1. The  $56<sup>th</sup>$ JECFA allocated a Provisional Maximum Tolerable Daily Intake (PMTDI) of 2 μg/kg bw/day to FB1, FB2 and FB3 alone or in combination, on the basis of a NOEL of 0.2 mg/kg bw/day (renal toxicity on mice) and an uncertainty factor of 100.

14. The 74<sup>th</sup> JECFA identified four animal studies as suitable for providing data for BMD (bench mark dose) estimation. Modeling was carried out using the USPA's BMD software and the models resulting in acceptable fits (P > 0.05) were selected to derive BMD<sub>10</sub> and BMDL<sub>10</sub> values for a BMR (bench mark response) of 10% extra risk. The lowest BMDL<sub>10</sub> was 165 µg/kg bw per day for pure FB1 (megalocytic hepatocytes in male mice). Using a uncertainty factor of 100, the meeting derived a PMTDI of 2 μg/kg bw/day, retaining the previously established group PMTDI.

15. Human epidemiological studies revised by the two fumonisins evaluations conducted by the JECFA have indicated an association between the occurrence of *Fusarium verticillioides* on maize and the incidence of oesophageal cancer in various regions of the world. However, a dose–response relationship has not yet been established, nor has a toxicological mechanism been elucidated. Furthermore, geographical differences in demography, ethnic groups, genetic susceptibility, culture, economy and nutritional status all affect the rates of oesophageal cancer.

16. A study investigating the link between fumonisin exposure and childhood stunting in the United Republic of Tanzania evaluated by the 74<sup>th</sup> JECFA indicated that infants whose estimated fumonisin exposure exceeded the PMTDI of 2 μg/kg bw were significantly shorter and weighed less than those whose exposure was below this PMTDI. These results are in agreement with animal studies in which fumonisins exposure was associated with reduced weight gain and feed conversion efficiency (FAO/WHO, 2011).

17. The JECFA evaluated studies that describe higher rates of neural tube defects (NTD) in areas of South Africa, China and USA at times when the maize based foods consumed contained relatively high levels of fumonisins. As folate deficiency has been implicated in the development of NTD, the blockage of folate uptake by fumonisins may have been a factor in this regard. A new study of NTD incidence among Mexican Americans on the Texas/Mexico border, combined with toxicological and earlier epidemiological studies, confirms that fumonisin exposure in pregnant women may be a contributing factor to increased NTD risk in their babies (FAO/WHO, 2011).

18. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has classified FB1 as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B) (IARC, 2002).

# **METHODS OF ANALYSIS**

19. ELISA methods have received a lot of attention lately because they can be used for rapid screening purposes under field conditions or in the laboratory (Castells et al., 2008). These methods, however, generally overestimate the concentration of fumonisins present in samples as a result of antibodies' cross reactivity to compounds that are structurally related to fumonisins (Bird et al., 2002; Jackson and Jablonski, 2004).

20. Fumonisins are polar molecules, soluble in water and in polar solvents and suited for determination by reversed-phase HPLC. As they lack a significant UV chromophopore, low levels of fumonisins can be detected after derivatization of sample extracts followed by fluorescent detection (Ndube et al., 2011)**.** In general, fumonisin can be extracted from maize or maize based products using methanol-water or acetonitrile-water and the extract cleaned up using C18 cartridges, SAX or immunoaffinity columns (Sydenham et al., 1996; Solfrizzo et al., 2001, Caldas and Silva, 2007). The Limit of Quantification (LOQ) of the HPLC/fluorescent methods is in the range of 0.02 to 0.5 µg/kg. HPLC/fluorescence is the official AOAC-IUPAC method [995.15] for maize kernels at concentrations of 0.5 – 8 µg/g FB1 or 0.8 -12.8 µg/g total fumonisins (Sydenham et al., 1996). Wang et al. (2008**)** analyzed FB1, FB2, FB3 and FB4 with by HPLC coupled with an evaporative laser scattering detector without any prior derivatization of the samples, with an LOQ of 0.3 mg/kg

21. LC/MS or LC/MS-MS methods have been extensively used in the last years as they provide quantitative analysis, as well as fumonisins identity confirmation. Silva *et al.* (2009) has shown that LC/MS-MS provides higher sensitivity (12 µg/kg for FB1 and FB2) when compared to LC/MS (40 µg/kg for both fumonisins), and fluorescence detection (20 µg/kg for FB1 and 15 µg/kg for FB2), and also showed to be more precise. An interlaboratory validation study for fumonisins  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  in corn was reported by Senyuva et al. (2010), involving 12 laboratories in 11 countries. The sample was extracted with acetonitrile-methanol-water, cleaned-up with immunoaffinity column and fumonisins analyzed by LC/MS. Relative standard deviation values for within laboratory repeatability (RSDr) ranged from 1.9 to 12.6% and for between laboratory reproducibility (RSDR) from 18.2 to 25.5%. HorRat values for r and R were all less than 2 indicating that the method is suitable as a regulatory method for the enforcement of limits for fumonisins in maize. A LC-MS/MS method for the detection of 23 toxins in feed samples, including FB1, FB2 and FB3 was reported by Monbaliu et al. (2010).

22. Methods for quantifying fumonisins and their hydrolysed metabolites in animal matrices include those reported by Fodor et al. (2008), Pagliuca et al. (2005), and Gazotti et al. (2011). The method of Gazotti et al. (2011) pig liver samples were extracted into methanol-water, cleaned up on Oasis HLB cartridges and FB<sub>1</sub> and FB<sub>2</sub> and their hydrolysed metabolites analyzed by LC-MS/MS. LOQ 10 was  $\mu$ g/kg for all the analytes.

23. Fumonisins bound to starch and proteins found in food subject to heat during processing, such as cereal breakfast and tortillas, cannot be detected by conventional analysis. In a method described by Kim et al (2003), the protein-bound FB1 was extracted with 1% sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS), the bound fumonisin hydrolyzed with 2 N KOH, the extract cleaned-up on an OASIS polymeric SPE and fumonisins determined by HPLC as HFB1 (hydrolyzed FB1). This method was further improved by Park et al (2004) by complexing the SDS with methylene blue, and eliminating its interference in the HPLC analysis. Dall´Asta et al. (2008) reported a LC/MS/MS method for the simultaneous quantification of free and masked fumonisins in corn and corn based products.

24. The 74th JECFA identified that the current problems relating to analytical methods include the lack of suitable reference materials for method validation and the unavailability of standards, particularly for the hydrolysed fumonisins (HFB<sub>1</sub>, HFB<sub>2</sub>, HFB<sub>3</sub>) and FB $_6$ .

#### **SAMPLING PLANS**

25. A method to evaluate the performance of a fumonisin sampling plan is based on knowledge of the variability associated with a given fumonisin test procedure and the distribution among replicated sample test results taken from a contaminated lot of maize. A fumonisin-sampling plan is defined by a fumonisin test procedure and a maximum level. Choice of the number and size of the laboratory sample is a compromise between minimizing risks (false positives and false negatives) and costs related to sampling and restricting trade (Whitaker et al., 2007).

26. In a study conducted by Whitaker et al (1998) on fumonisin in shelled maize, a bulk sample of about 45 kg was taken from each of 24 batches of shelled maize which had been harvested from 24 fields in North Carolina, USA. Each bulk sample was riffle-divided into 32 test samples of 1.1 kg each, and these were comminuted in a Romer mill. At a contamination level of 2 mg/kg in a given batch, the coefficient of variation (CV) associated with sampling (1.1 kg sample shelled maize) was 17%, the CV associated with sample preparation (25 g test portion) was 9.1%, and the CV for analysis (HPLC and 1 aliquot quantified) was 9.7%. These values were independent of the fumonisin type. The coefficient of variation associated with the total test procedure was 45%, which was of the same order of magnitude as that for measuring aflatoxin in shelled maize by a similar test procedure.

27. In a sampling study conducted with food-grade shelled maize marketed in Nigeria in 2002, an average of 17 test samples, 100 g each, were taken from each of the 86 maize lots (Whitaker et al., 2007). Each test sample was finely ground by using in a Romer mill and a 25 g analytical subsample was taken for analysis of FB1 by HPLC. The variance, standard deviation and coefficient of variation among 100 g samples used to detect fumonisin in a shipment of maize with a true concentration of 2 µg/g were 1.91, 1.38 and 69% respectively. The uncertainty associated with the fumonisin test procedure was similar in magnitude to the uncertainty previously found by the authors in USA (Whitaker et al., 1998).

28. Currently, there are no available studies that evaluate sampling plans for fumonisins in corn/maize flour/meal. In the CX/CF 10/4/8, a sampling plan for corn/maize flour/meal was proposed to the 4th CCCF. Various operating curves (OC) were presented in the paper for consideration by the Committee. They were prepared by Dr. Thomas Whitaker using laboratory data used in his study conducted in the USA with maize grain (Whitaker et al., 1998). Normal distribution was used to calculate the OC curves (Thomas Whitaker, *personal communication*).

29. The total variability of the fumonisin test procedure for corn/maize flour (or any comminuted material) is the sum of the sampling variance and analytical variance. Since the material is comminuted, there is no sample preparation variance. Although no laboratory data is available, it is likely that the sampling variability for flour (Vsf) would be much lower than the sampling variability for grain comminuted with the Romer mill (Vsr) because the particle size of the processed flour is much smaller than that comminuted with the Romer mill (Vsf << Vsr). The analytical variability would be about the same for both processed flour and grain comminuted with the Romer mill. The sampling variance would be a larger component of the total variance when sampling grain comminuted with the Romer mill. The performance of the sampling plan is affected by the particle size. For a given sample size, smaller the particle size (more particles per unit mass), lower the sampling variability, lower the good lots rejected (exporter's risk), and lower the bad lots accepted (importer's risk) (Whitaker BT, *personal communication, 2012*).

30. When using data from maize grain comminuted in a Romer mill, a bigger sample will be predicted than required by processed flour for the same risk levels, i.e., less sample of processed flour would be required for the same risk levels (Whitaker BT, *personal communication, 2012*).

## **AGRICULTURAL, TECHNOLOGICAL AND COMMERCIAL ASPECTS**

31. The Code of Practice for the Prevention and Reduction of Mycotoxin Contamination in Cereals, including annex on Fumonisins (CAC/RCP 51-2003) recommends that the time of harvest for maize should be carefully planned, as maize grown and harvested during warm months may have fumonisin levels significantly higher than maize grown and harvested during cooler months of the year.

32. While maize is very sensitive to water loss and goes into drought stress at about 0.98 water activity (aw), *Fusarium* species can grow well below that value and down to about 0.90 aw. Hence, the fungus can readily grow under drought stress conditions and fumonisin control in crops where fungus infection occurred pre-harvest is extremely difficult (Pitt JI, *personal communication, 2009*). Drought stress can be minimized by irrigation (Cavaliere *et al.,* 2007). Similarly, fertilization can be used to minimize nutrition stress and optimal planting and weed control methods can be used to minimize population stress (Hassegawa et al., 2008).

33. The results from investigations on agronomic practices indicate that: (a) fungal infection rates are higher in crops planted in fields previously planted with maize, particularly when residues from the maize crops were left in the field, (b) the incidence of *Fusarium*  kernel rot is higher in warm climates under drought conditions, and (c) freshly harvested maize should be dried to a suitable moisture level immediately and stored (Bacon and Nelson, 1994; Munkvold and Desjardins, 1997; Warfield and Gilchrist, 1999; Miller, 1994; Fandohan et al, 2005).

34. Fungal infection and mycotoxin production in organically and conventionally grown produce is still an extremely controversial issue (Magkos et al., 2006) and there is no scientifically evidence that the differences observed between conventional and organic foodstuffs would lead to any objectively measurable effect on consumer health (Ariño et al., 2007).

#### **Stability of fumonisin during processing**

35. The fate of fumonisin during processing is affected by many factors, including the temperature, moisture of the product, the toxin concentration in the raw product and the presence of other ingredients in the processed food. Processing operations include sorting, milling (dry and wet), heat, extrusion and nixtamalization

36. Sorting and cleaning may lower fumonisin concentration by removal of contaminated material, but do not destroy the mycotoxins. Broken maize kernels contain near 10 times higher levels of fumonisins than intact ones. Strategies to separate healthy from contaminated kernels include removing the contaminated maize in the buoyant fraction after treatment with saturated sodium chloride solution (Shetty & Bhat, 1999) and sequentially passing stored maize kernels through cleaning equipment followed by a gravity table (Malone et al., 1998). Afolabi et al. (2006) proposed the visible sorting of maize grain as a technique to reduce fumonisin levels by subsistence farmers.

37. A study conducted in three commercial maize mills showed that fumonisins are distributed in milling streams approximately according to their occurrence in the maize seed structure (Scudamore & Patel, 2009). The concentrations of mycotoxins found in the grits and flours, which are mostly derived from the endosperm typically, contain the lowest mycotoxin levels and concentrations are further closely related to particle size. Levels of fumonisins in the milled products vary greatly with the milling conditions and the nature and condition of each maize consignment. The levels found in maize flour could represent from 26 to 310% of that present in the initial maize grain.

38. Wet-milling is used to obtain maize starch, germ and fibers. Dry-milling gives rise to the bran (obtained from the removal of pericarp) and the germ, followed by the fractions obtained by decreasing particle size - grits, corn meal and flour (Alexander et al., 1987). Fumonisins are not expected to be destroyed during this process and are found in all fractions, with higher concentration in bran and germ (Katta et al. 1997, Brera et al, 2004). Resnik (2006) showed that germ and bran had fumonisins levels 29 fold higher than corn meal and corn grits, 13 fold higher than corn flour and 3 fold higher than whole maize.

39. The effects of heating on the stability of fumonisins depend on the process, the temperature and heating time. Many studies have reported significant reduction of fumonisin levels occurs during processes at temperatures > 150°C, such as those used for dry or moist maize meal production (Scott & Lawrence, 1995), frying maize chips (Jackson et al., 1997), baking, roasting and alkaline cooking (Castelo et al. 1998, Jackson et al. 1997, Katta et al. 1999) and flaking, cooking and toasting (de Girolamo et al., 2001). In all these studies, fumonisins were analyzed by the traditional method which does not detect the bound (hidden, masked) fumonisins.

40. Bullerman et al. (2008) have shown that extruded with glucose was *N*-(deoxy-D-fructos-1-yl) FB1, in addition to hydrolyzed FB1 (HFB1) and N-carboxymethyl FB1. Seefelder et al (2003) have shown that FB1 and HFB1 are able to bind to polysaccharides and proteins via their two tricarballylic acid side chains. Currently, analytical methods to detect those bound fumonisins in food matrix are available

41. Voss et al (2006) evaluated the toxicity of maize grits spiked with FB1 extruded with 10% glucose fed to rats. With one exception, the fumonisin B1-spiked and fermented extrusion products caused moderately severe kidney lesions and reduced kidney weights, effects typically found in fumonisin-exposed rats. Lesions in rats fed contaminated grits after extrusion with glucose were significantly less severe and not accompanied by kidney weight changes. The authors concluded that extrusion with glucose supplementation is potentially useful for safely reducing the toxicity of fumonisins in maize-based products. Lu et al (2002) had reached the same conclusion and shown that glucose bind to fumonisins via the amino group. Dall´Asta et al. (2010), however, have found a higher amount of total detectable fumonisins in *in vitro* digested food in comparison with the nondigested matrix, an amount even higher than that calculated through the application of the hydrolysis procedure.

42. Nixtamalization is a process for making masa for tortillas and other maize products involving boiling and soaking maize in a solution of calcium hydroxide. The process can reduce fumonisin concentration from 50 to 80%, with 35 to 60% of fumonisin being detected in its hydrolyzed form (Burns et al., 2008; Dombrink-Kurtzman et al., 2000). Modified nixtamalization procedure, incorporating various combinations of hydrogen peroxide and sodium bicarbonate in addition to calcium hydroxide, has been reported to give a 100% reduction of FB1, however, the masa product exhibited about 60% of the toxicity of the untreated maize using a brine shrimp assay procedure (Park et al., 1996). Burns et al. (2008) suggested that mycotoxin-in-corn matrix interactions during nixtamalization reduce the bioavailability and toxicity of FB1 in rats.

43. Palencia et al (2003) found that tortillas prepared using the traditional nixtamalization method of Mayan communities contained FB1, FB2 and FB3 and their hydrolyzed counterparts. There were equimolar amounts of FB1 and HFB1 in the tortillas, but the total fumonisins were reduced by 50%. They also found a reduced sphinganine elevation in cells treated with extracts of tortillas compared with cells treated with extracts of contaminated maize.

44. Ethanol fermentation of fumonisin contaminated maize results in very little degradation of the toxins; most of the toxins remain in the distiller's grains, thin stillage and distiller's soluble fraction (Bennett and Richard, 1996; Bothast et al., 1992). Fumonisins have also been found in beer, indicating that the toxins persist under the conditions (temperature, pH) prevailing during the brewing process (Scott & Lawrence, 1995; Hlywka & Bullerman, 1999).

45. Visconti et al. (1996) found that gamma-irradiation (15 kGY) effectively sterilized the maize flour, but caused only about a 20% reduction in its fumonisin content. Ferreira-Castro et al (2007) found possible decreased fumonisins levels by irradiating maize with 5 or 10 kGy; however, at 2 kGy, the survived fungi (36%) were able to produce more fumonisins than the fungi in the control samples. Aziz et al. (2007) found that the viable counts of Fusarium in seeds decreased by increasing the radiation dose levels; 7 kGy was sufficient for complete destruction of FB1 in wheat and maize.

## **OCCURRENCE IN FOOD**

46. The occurrence of fumonisins in maize and other crops around the world vary considerably depending on many factors including environmental conditions, extent of insect damage, hybrid of maize planted and agronomic practices employed.

47. This occurrence has been well documented and reviewed in the literature and by the JECFA (FAO/WHO, 2001; FAO/WHO, 2011). Because of their water solubility, fumonisins are unlikely to bioaccumulation in animal tissues; they have either not been detected or are detected at extremely low levels in milk, eggs and meat (Prelusky et al., 1996; Miller et al., 1996). Low levels of fumonisins have been detected in commercial beer, probably as a result of the use of maize grits as an adjunct in replacement of, or in addition to the traditional use of barley in the brewing process (Scott and Lawrence, 1995; Hlywka and Bullerman, 1999, Maenetje et al., 2007).

48. The 74th JECFA (FAO/WHO, 2011) received information on the natural occurrence of fumonisins from a number of countries (Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Ghana, Japan, Republic of Korea, Singapore, United Republic of Tanzania, Uruguay, USA) an results submitted by member states of the EU (Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, France, Germany, Hungary, Lithuania, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Slovakia and Spain) through EFSA. Additionally, data published in the open literature from 47 countries were also considered in the evaluation. Most of the occurrence data were for FB1, FB2 or FB3, with recent studies showing a few samples naturally contaminated with 3-*epi*-FB3, FB4 and FB6. All occurrence data were classified according to the food groups used in the GEMS/Food consumption Cluster diets (WHO, 2006).

## CX/CF 12/6/18 7

49. Data on 10 354 samples analyzed for FB1 in food are shown in Table 1 (43% from the Americas, 34% from Asia, 12% from Africa, 10% from Europe and 0.1% from Oceania) (FAO/WHO, 2011). More than 80% of the samples analyzed referred to maize. Mean FB1 levels in maize vary widely between and within the GEMS/Food Clusters, with the minimum lower-bound and maximum upper-bound levels of 84 and 4323 μg/kg, respectively, respectively. Upper-bound level for the global total mean for FB1 in maize was 1260 μg/kg and for all other commodities did not exceed 100 μg/kg.





\* Global total lower and upper bound mean obtained pooling the data across all GEMS/Food Clusters; when calculating the mean values, samples in which the concentration was below the LOQ or LOD were assumed to have a value of zero (lower-bound estimates) or the limit itself (upper-bound estimates).

50. Data on 12 392 samples on total fumonisin are shown in Table 2 (49% from the Americas, 27% from Asia, 13% from Europe, 11% from Africa and 0.1% from Oceania). More than 80% of the samples referred to maize. Mean FB1 levels in maize vary widely between and within the GEMS/Food Clusters, with the minimum lower-bound and maximum upper-bound levels of 174 and 5921 μg/kg, respectively.

51. Lower contamination levels of fumonisins detected in heat treated food, such as pre-cooked corn flour, snack and corn flake samples found in many studies can be explained by the bound fumonisins formed during processing and which cannot be detected by the usual analytical methods (Seefelder et al., 2003; Lu et al., 2002). Kim et al. (2003) found an average of 2.6 times more FB1 present in bound form in corn flakes compared to conventional analysis. Park et al. (2004) found about 1.3 times more FB1 in the bound form compared with extractable FB1 in the 15 samples of alkali-processed corn-based foods, such as tortilla chips and maize chips analyzed.

52. Dall'Asta et al (2009) showed that bound fumonisins occurred at higher levels than the free forms in all 21 samples of maizebased products analyzed. Median concentration was 1.43 mg/kg for snacks, 0.15 mg/kg for pasta and bread and 0.09 mg/kg for cornflakes. The authors concluded that the occurrence of bound or masked mycotoxins should be considered during risk assessment studies.



# **Table 2.** Levels of total fumonisins in food commodities (FAO/WHO, 2011)

\* Global total lower and upper bound mean obtained pooling the data across all GEMS/Food Clusters; when calculating the mean values, samples in which the concentration was below the LOQ or LOD were assumed to have a value of zero (lower-bound estimates) or the limit itself (upper-bound estimates).

53. Information on the natural occurrence of fumonisins in feed materials was drawn from data received from a number of countries (Brazil, China, Japan, Norway, South Africa and Uruguay), results submitted by member states of the EU through EFSA (Belgium, Estonia, France, Hungary, Lithuania, the Netherlands and Slovakia), one commercial feed supplier and published surveys. Data on 7060 samples originating from Africa (4.5%), America (13.3%), Asia (69.8%), Europe (4.5%) and Australia and New Zealand (7%) were selected for evaluation (Table 3). The levels found in maize feed are within the same range as those found in maize for human consumption (Table 2).





\*Samples in which the concentration was below the LOQ or LOD were assumed to have a value of zero (lower-bound estimates) or the limit itself (upper-bound estimates).

# CX/CF 12/6/18 9

54. A summary of the data on corn/maize products submitted by national governments to the 4<sup>th</sup> CCCF are shown on Table 4. A large variety of products were analyzed and only results for the most common ones are shown. Corn/maize meal/flour was the product with the highest number of samples analyzed. They are products with different size grit obtained after grain degermination and milling of the corn/maize. At least 61% of the corn/maize meal/flour samples were positive for fumonisin, and in most cases, mean levels were < 10000 µg/kg. Within the same country, levels of fumonisins found in corn/maize meal are higher than those found in corn/maize flower. Breakfast cereals, tortilla and popcorn had mean levels from 0.20 to 0.60 mg/kg in most cases. No fumonisins or very low levels were found in the baby food samples analyzed.



Table 4. Levels of fumonisins (µg/kg) on corn/maize products submitted by national governments to the 4<sup>th</sup> CCCF (modified and revised from CX/CF 10/4/8)



<sup>a</sup> for FB1; <sup>b</sup> samples < LOQ are at LOQ level; <sup>c</sup> 9.6% of the samples with levels above 2 mg/kg; <sup>d</sup> 6.4% of the samples with levels above 2 mg/kg; e highest levels (> 10000 µg/kg) found in organic maize meal RASS samples issued by UK.

55. Although the JECFA was requested by CCCF to evaluate the co-occurrence of fumonisins with other mycotoxins, this evaluation could not be performed because concurrent levels of fumonisins and other mycotoxins in individual samples were not available (FAO/WHO, 2011).

# **HUMAN EXPOSURE AND RISK ASSESSMENT**

56. Exposure to fumonisins to occurs mainly from the consumption of maize and maize-based products. The amount of intake varies depending on fumonisin levels in maize/maize-products and the amount of maize/maize-products consumed by different individuals or populations.

57. The 74<sup>th</sup> JECFA reviewed national evaluations performed by the European Union (collectively), the Netherlands, Portugal, China, Mexico-USA, Spain, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, the United Republic of Tanzania, Brazil, Italy, France and Guatemala. Unprocessed maize was often the only source of fumonisins considered, but in some of the studies, other cereals and cereal-based products were also taken into account. Most of these reports included dietary exposure estimates for fumonisin B1 only. Most of the estimates were below 1 μg/kg bw per day for the general population but particularly high exposure levels of fumonisin B1 (up to 7.6 and 33.3 μg/kg bw per day for mean and high consumers, respectively) and total fumonisins (up to 10.6 and 44.8 μg/kg bw per day for mean and high consumers, respectively) were registered in specific regions and population groups (FAO/WHO, 2011).

58. The 74th JECFA conducted international exposure estimates using the consumption Cluster diets from GEMS/Food (FAO/WHO, 2011). Concentration data for both FB1 and total fumonisins from each Cluster have been pooled to derive summary representative concentrations for each Cluster. The commodities considered in the dietary assessment were barley, buckwheat, figs dried, groundnuts shelled, maize, millet, rice, soya bean (dry), sweet corn, kernels and wheat. For each commodity, when concentration data were not available for a Cluster, the global total lower and upper bound means were used to assess exposure (Tables 2 and 3). A standard body weight of 60 kg was used in the assessment.

59. For the upper-bound scenario, the total dietary exposure to FB1 was estimated to range from 0.3 μg/kg bw per day (Cluster L) to 6.2 μg/kg bw per day (Cluster A). Total dietary exposure to total fumonisins was higher than 2.0 μg/kg bw per day (the fumonisins PMTDI) in Clusters A and C (countries in central and northern Africa), G (Asia), and H and K (Central and South America countries). Similar results were found for the lower bound scenario

60. The contribution of maize to the total exposure to total fumonisins ranged from 18% (Cluster E, upper-bound scenario) to 99% (Cluster H, lower-bound scenario). Only under the upper-bound scenario did wheat contribute to the exposure to total fumonisins, with percentages ranging from 1% (Clusters A, H and M) to 44% (Cluster D).

# **RISK MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS AND PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERNS**

61. Guideline levels of fumonisins (FB1+FB2+FB3) in food in the United States include 2 mg/kg for degermed, dry-milled maize products (< 2.5% fat content) and 3 mg/kg for popcorn grain (USFDA, 2001). In the European Community, the maximum limit (FB1+FB2) is 4 mg/kg for unprocessed maize, 1 mg/kg for maize intended for direct human consumption, 0.8 mg/kg for maize-based breakfast cereals and maize-based snacks, 0.2 mg/kg for processed maize-based foods and baby foods for infants and young children; ML on milling fractions of maize depends on the particle size – 1.4 mg/kg for > 500 µm and 2 mg/kg for ≤ 500 µm (EC No 1126/2007).

62. The Korea Food and Drug Administration (KFDA) adopted in 2009 a ML for fumonisins (FB1+FB2) of 4 mg/kg for corn/maize and 2 mg/kg for their simple processed (ground, cut) products and their flour. The KFDA notified the World Trade Organization (G/SPS/N/KOR/334, 8 July 2009) to set a ML (FB1+FB2) as 1 mg/kg for processed grain products in which the content of corn/maize, simple processed corn/maize products or corn/maize flour combined constitutes at least 50% of the total product content, biscuits containing at least 50% of corn/maize, cereals and corn product for popcorn use as 4 mg/kg for maize and 2 mg/kg for maize grits and flour (excepted germ) (CRD 18, 4<sup>th</sup> CCCF).

63. The 74<sup>th</sup> JECFA evaluated the impact of the establishment of MLs in maize and maize products on the dietary exposure assessment (FAO/WHO, 2011). For this, all samples for which the upper-bound mean concentration of total fumonisins exceeded its ML were excluded from the calculation.

64. The percentages of rejected samples after implementation of the MLs proposed in CX/CF 10/4/8 at the 4<sup>th</sup> CCCF is presented in Table 5. MLs did not result in rejected samples in the majority of commodities and Clusters. Overall, only 11% of the samples were excluded.

65. Rejection of corn/maize grain samples occurred in 6 of the 13 Cluster, the highest rejection in Cluster A (88%). Rejections of corn/maize flour/meal occurred in 4 Clusters, being higher than 50% in Clusters G and K. Rejection of popcorn and corn breakfast cereals and snacks only occurred in Cluster K. No rejection occurred in any Cluster at a ML of 500 µg/kg of maize-based baby food.

**Table 5.** Effect of the implementation of the proposed Codex MLs in the proposed food categories on the rejection of samples per GEMS/Food Cluster (modified from FAO/WHO, 2011)



66. The 74th JECFA also evaluated the impact of a range of hypothetical MLs for the categories "Corn/maize grain, unprocessed" and "Corn / maize flour / meal" on the rejection of samples (Tables 6 and 7). No or little effect was noticed on the international exposure estimates resulting from the implementation of MLs higher than what was proposed in CX/CF 10/4/8 for the two categories (5000 and 2000 μg/kg, respectively)

**Table 6.** Effect of the implementation of a range of hypothetical MLs for "Corn/maize grain, unprocessed" on the rejection of samples per GEMS/Food Cluster (FAO/WHO, 2011)



**Table 7.** Effect of the implementation of a range of hypothetical MLs for "Corn/maize flour/meal" on the rejection of samples per GEMS/Food Cluster (FAO/WHO, 2011)



67. The effect of the implementation of various MLs for corn/maize grain and corn/maize flour/meal on the chronic dietary exposure to total fumonisins was evaluated by the 74<sup>th</sup> JECFA for the GEMS/Food Consumption Cluster diets (Table 8). Reduction in the exposure from all commodities occurred in 9 Clusters (from 6% to 68%). The implementation of MLs of 5000 and 2000 μg/kg for corn/maize grain and corn/maize flour/meal, respectively, decreased considerably the exposure in Cluster A (from 8.4 to 2.7 μg/kg bw/day) and G (from 2.9 to 1.2 μg/kg bw/day). For Cluster H, the highest impact in the exposure occurred when the ML for corn/maize grain was set at 2500 μg/kg, but no ML scenario would bring the exposure in this Cluster to levels below the PMTDI of 2 μg/kg bw/day. In order to bring the exposure for all Clusters except Cluster H to a level below the PMTDI, a ML for corn/maize grain should be set at 1000 μg/kg.

68. The 74th JECFA concluded that adverse effects from fumonisin exposure may occur and that reduction of exposure to fumonisin and other toxins produced by *F. verticillioides* is highly desirable, particularly in areas of the world where maize is a major dietary staple food and where high contamination can occur. As fumonisins do not carry over from feed to animal products in significant amounts, the occurrence of fumonisins in feed was considered not to be a human health concern.

69. The 74th JECFA concluded that implementation of the MLs proposed in CX/CF 10/4/8 (5000 and 2000 μg/kg for corn/maize grain, unprocessed and corn/maize flour/meal, respectively) could significantly reduce exposure (by more than 20%) to total fumonisins in six Clusters (A, B, D, F, G, K). The main contribution to reduction was due to the proposed ML for "corn/maize grain, unprocessed". No or little effect on the international exposure estimates was noticed as a result of implementing MLs higher than those proposed in CX/CF 10/4/8. It was noted that implementation of the proposed MLs would result in rejection of 1–88% of "corn/maize grain, unprocessed" and 4–57% of "corn/maize flour/meal" across the Clusters.

# CX/CF 12/6/18



Table 8. Effect of the implementation of a range of hypothetical MLs and the proposed Codex MLs, on the international estimate of chronic dietary exposure to total fumonisins (FAO/WHO, 2011)

aProposed MLs in CX/CF 10/4/8 at the 4<sup>th</sup> CCCF: 5000 μg/kg for "corn/maize grain, unprocessed", 2000 μg/kg for "corn/maize flour/meal",

# **CONCLUSIONS**

- (a) Data provided by national governments to the  $74<sup>th</sup>$  JECFA showed that, in most countries, the incidence of fumonisins in corn/maize grain is high (70%), with a global mean total fumonisin level of about 1700 µg/kg and a maximum upper bound mean reaching almost 6000 µg/kg among the GEMS/Food Clusters.
- (b) The implementation of ML of 5000 µg/kg for corn/maize grain would lead to 88 and 28% of rejected samples in GEMS/Food Clusters A and D, respectively. Implementation of a ML of 2500 µg/kg would not lead to a change in rejection rate in Cluster A and increase the rejection to 40% in Cluster D. Decreasing the recommendation from 5000 to 2500 µg/kg increase the rejection level from 2 to 31% in Cluster H, 12 to 32% in Cluster K and 1 to 33% in Cluster M.
- (c) The implementation of an ML for fumonisin in corn/maize grain has an important impact on the dietary exposure. This impact was more significant in the scenario of no limit to 5000 µg/kg, mainly in Clusters A, D and G, with no significant additional impact in most Clusters when the ML is set at 2500 µg/kg.
- (d) Corn/maize grain is a commodity that will be submitted to further processing before be ready for consumption, including milling and thermal treatment, which will be decrease the levels of fumonisins present. Hence, the exposure from the consumption of corn/maize grain, unprocessed, conducted by the JECFA overestimates the real exposure to fumonisin through the consumption of ready-to-eat maize/corn products.
- (e) Data presented to the 4th CCCF on corn/maize flour and meal showed that, in three of four countries, the mean and maximum levels of fumonisins (FB1+FB2) were around 500 µg/kg and > 2000 µg/kg, respectively. Data evaluated by the 74th JECFA indicated that a ML of 2000 µg/kg for corn/maize flour/meal leads to 57 and 53% of rejected samples in Clusters G and K, respectively. This rejection level would increase to 85 and 76% if the ML decreased to 1000 µg/kg.
- (f) A ML of 2000  $\mu q$ /kg in corn/maize flour/meal, in addition to a ML for corn/maize grain of 5000  $\mu q$ /kg would lead to an excedance of the PMTDI in Cluster A (by 35%), in Clusters C and K (by 15%) and in Cluster H (by over 3 times). Proposed MLs of 2500 and 1000 ug/kg for corn/maize grain and flour/meal, respectively, would impact mostly the Cluster H intake, leading to an excedance of the PMTDI by 55%.
- (g) Fumonisins are detected in popcorn and maize products, such as breakfast cereals and maize-based baby food. However, the data available is still limited to allow a proposal of MLs for these commodities.
- (h) It is important to point out that bound fumonisins found in extruded maize products (such as breakfast cereals) and some fermented maize products (such as kenkey and banku) are not detected by the usual extraction procedure and the exposure to fumonisins cannot be fully assessed. Studies available have shown that the levels found in the bound form are higher than what is found in the free form.
- (i) Efforts should be made to broaden the survey of bound fumonisins and generate consumption data at international level in extruded maize products that would allow a full risk assessment for fumonisins.
- (j) Sampling studies were available for fumonisin in corn/maize grain that allowed the proposal of a sampling plan for this commodity.
- (k) Although no sampling studies are available for corn/maize flour/meal, data from Romer mill comminuted grain allowed the construction of operating curves and the proposal of a sampling plan for fumonisin in corn/maize flour/meal

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

The following maximum levels (ML) of fumonisins (FB1 + FB2) are presented for consideration by the Committee.



The following sampling plans for fumonisins (FB1 + FB2) are presented for consideration by the Committee. The operating characteristic curves describing the performance of this and other sampling plan are shown here below.

# **Corn/maize grain, unprocessed sampling plan**



# **Corn/maize flour/meal**



# **SAMPLING PLANS FOR FUMONISINS IN CORN/MAIZE GRAIN AND CORN/MAIZE FLOUR/MEAL**

# **DEFINITION**

Lot - an identifiable quantity of a food commodity delivered at one time and determined by the official to have common characteristics, such as origin, variety, type of packing, packer, consignor, or markings.

Sublot - designated part of a larger lot in order to apply the sampling method on that designated part. Each sublot must be physically separate and identifiable.

**Sampling plan -** is defined by a fumonisin test procedure and an accept/reject level. A fumonisin test procedure consists of three steps: sample selection, sample preparation and analysis or fumonisin quantification. The accept/reject level is a tolerance usually equal to the Codex maximum level (ML).

**Incremental sample –** the quantity of material taken from a single random place in the lot or sublot.

**Aggregate sample -** the combined total of all the incremental samples that is taken from the lot or sublot. The aggregate sample has to be at least as large as the laboratory sample or samples combined.

**Laboratory sample –** the smallest quantity of shelled maize comminuted in a mill. The laboratory sample may be a portion of or the entire aggregate sample. If the aggregate sample is larger than the laboratory sample(s), the laboratory sample(s) should be removed in a random manner from the aggregate sample.

**Test portion –** a portion of the comminuted laboratory sample. The entire laboratory sample should be comminuted in a mill. A portion of the comminuted laboratory sample is randomly removed for the extraction of the fumonisin for chemical analysis.

**Operating Characteristic (OC) Curve** – a plot of the probability of a accepting a lot versus lot concentration for a specific sampling plan design. The OC curve provides an estimate of the chances of rejecting a good lot (exporter's risk) and the chances of accepting a bad lot accepted (importer's risk) by a specific fumonisin sampling plan design. A good lot is defined as having a fumonisin concentration below the ML; a bad lot is defined as having a fumonisin concentration above the ML.

## **SAMPLING PLAN DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS**

- 1. Sampling statistics are based upon the variability and fumonisin distribution among laboratory samples of shelled maize (Whitaker et al, 1998; Whitaker et al, 2007). The laboratory sample size is expressed in number of maize kernels for statistical purposes. The shelled maize kernel count was assumed to be 3000 kernels per kg. The kernel count per kg can be used to convert laboratory sample size from number of kernels to mass and vice versa.
- 2. Variability estimates associated with sampling, sample preparation, and analysis and the negative binomial distribution (Whitaker et al, 2007) are used to calculate operating characteristic (OC) curves that describe the performance of the proposed fumonisin-sampling plan. The analytical variance reflects the analytical variability within a single laboratory, which is lower than the analytical variability among laboratories.

## **Material to be sampled**

- 3. Each lot, which is to be examined for fumonisin, must be sampled separately. Lots larger than 50 tonnes should be subdivided into sublots to be sampled separately. If a lot is greater than 50 tonnes, the number of sublots is equal to the lot weight in tonnes divided by 50 tonnes. It is recommended that a lot or a sublot should not exceed 50 tonnes. The minimum lot weight should be 500 kg.
- 4. Taking into account that the weight of the lot is not always an exact multiple of 50 tonnes sublots, the weight of the sublot may exceed the mentioned weight by a maximum of 25%.
- 5. Samples should be taken from the same lot, i.e. they should have the same batch code or at the very least the same best before date. Any changes which would affect the mycotoxin content, the analytical determination or make the aggregate samples collected unrepresentative should be avoided. For example do not open packaging in adverse weather conditions or expose samples to excessive moisture or sunlight. Avoid cross-contamination from other potentially contaminated consignments nearby.
- 6. In most cases any truck or container will have to be unloaded to allow representative sampling to be carried out.

# **Incremental Sample**

- 7. Procedures used to take incremental samples from a lot of shelled maize are extremely important. Every individual kernel in the lot should have an equal chance of being chosen. Biases will be introduced by sample selection methods if equipment and procedures used to select the incremental samples prohibit or reduce the chances of any kernel in the lot from being chosen.
- 8. Since there is no way to know if the contaminated maize kernels are uniformly dispersed throughout the lot, it is essential that the aggregate sample be the accumulation of many small incremental samples of product selected from different locations throughout the lot. If the aggregate sample is larger than desired, it should be blended and subdivided until the desired laboratory sample size is achieved.
- 9. The number of incremental samples to be taken from a lot (sublot) depends on the weight of the lot and the size of the aggregate sample. The suggested minimum weight of the incremental sample should be approximately 100 grams for lots of 50 metric tonnes (50,000 kg).

# **Static Lots**

- 10. A static lot can be defined as a large mass of shelled maize contained either in a large single container such as a wagon, truck or railcar or in many small containers such as sacks or boxes and the maize is stationary at the time a sample is selected. Selecting a truly random sample from a static lot can be difficult because all containers in the lot or sublot may not be accessible.
- 11. Taking incremental samples from a static lot usually requires the use of probing devices to select product from the lot. The probing devices should be specifically designed for the commodity and type of container. The probe should (1) be long enough to reach all products, (2) not restrict any item in the lot from being selected, and (3) not alter the items in the lot. As mentioned above, the aggregate sample should be a composite from many small incremental samples of product taken from many different locations throughout the lot.
- 12. For lots traded in individual packages, the sampling frequency (SF), or number of packages that incremental samples are taken from, is a function of the lot weight (LT), incremental sample weight (IS), aggregate sample weight (AS) and the individual packing weight (IP), as follows:

 $SF = (LT \times IS)/(AS \times IP)$ .

13. The sampling frequency (SF) is the number of packages sampled. All weights should be in the same mass units such as kg.

## **Dynamic Lots**

- 14. Representative aggregate samples can be more easily produced when selecting incremental samples from a moving stream of shelled maize as the lot is transferred from one location to another. When sampling from a moving stream, take small incremental samples of product from the entire length of the moving stream; composite the incremental samples to obtain an aggregate sample; if the aggregate sample is larger than the required laboratory sample(s), then blend and subdivide the aggregate sample to obtain the desired size laboratory sample(s).
- 15. Automatic sampling equipment such as a cross-cut sampler is commercially available with timers that automatically pass a diverter cup through the moving stream at predetermined and uniform intervals. When automatic sampling equipment is not available, a person can be assigned to manually pass a cup through the stream at periodic intervals to collect incremental samples. Whether using automatic or manual methods, incremental samples should be collected and composited at frequent and uniform intervals throughout the entire time the maize flow past the sampling point.
- 16. Cross-cut samplers should be installed in the following manner: (1) the plane of the opening of the diverter cup should be perpendicular to the direction of the flow; (2) the diverter cup should pass through the entire cross sectional area of the stream; and (3) the opening of the diverter cup should be wide enough to accept all items of interest in the lot. As a general rule, the width of the diverter cup opening should be about two to three times the largest dimensions of items in the lot.
- 17. The size of the aggregate sample (S) in kg, taken from a lot by a cross cut sampler is:

S=(D x LT) / (T x V),

where D is the width of the diverter cup opening (cm), LT is the lot size (kg), T is interval or time between cup movement through the stream (seconds), and V is cup velocity (cm/sec).

18. If the mass flow rate of the moving stream, MR (kg/sec), is known, then the sampling frequency (SF), or number of cuts made by the automatic sampler cup can be computed as a function of S, V, D, and MR.

 $SF = (S \times V) / (D \times MR)$ .

#### **Packaging and Transportation of Samples**

- 19. Each laboratory sample shall be placed in a clean, inert container offering adequate protection from contamination, sunlight, and against damage in transit. All necessary precautions shall be taken to avoid any change in composition of the laboratory sample, which might arise during transportation or storage. Samples should be stored in a cool dark place.
- 20. Each laboratory sample taken for official use shall be sealed at the place of sampling and identified. A record must be kept of each sampling, permitting each lot to be identified unambiguously and giving the date and place of sampling together with any additional information likely to be of assistance to the analyst.

# **SAMPLE PREPARATION**

- 21. Sunlight should be excluded as much as possible during sample preparation, since fumonisin may gradually break down under the influence of ultra-violet light. Also, environmental temperature and relative humidity should be controlled and not favor mold growth and fumonisin formation.
- 22. As the distribution of fumonisin is extremely non-homogeneous, laboratory samples should be homogenized by grinding the entire laboratory sample received by the laboratory. Homogenization is a procedure that reduces particle size and disperses the contaminated particles evenly throughout the comminuted laboratory sample.
- 23. The laboratory sample should be finely ground and mixed thoroughly using a process that approaches as complete homogenization as possible. Complete homogenization implies that particle size is extremely small and the variability associated with sample preparation approaches zero. After grinding, the grinder should be cleaned to prevent fumonisin crosscontamination.

## **Test portion**

- 24. Procedures for selecting the test portion from the comminuted laboratory sample should be a random process. If mixing occurred during or after the comminuting process, the test portion can be selected from any location throughout the comminuted laboratory sample. Otherwise, the test portion should be the accumulation of several small portions selected throughout the laboratory sample.
- 25. It is suggested that three test portions be selected from each comminuted laboratory sample. The three test portions will be used for enforcement, appeal, and confirmation if needed.

## **ANALYTICAL METHODS**

26. A criteria-based approach, whereby a set of performance criteria is established with which the analytical method used should comply, is appropriate. The criteria-based approach has the advantage that, by avoiding setting down specific details of the method used, developments in methodology can be exploited without having to reconsider or modify the specific method. A list of possible criteria and performance levels are shown in Table 1 (EC Regulation No 401/2006). Utilizing this approach, laboratories would be free to use the analytical method most appropriate for their facilities.





#### CX/CF 12/6/18 20

# **PERFORMANCE OF SEVERAL FUMONISIN SAMPLING PLANS FOR SHELLED MAIZE**

27. The performance of each sampling plan design is described by an operating characteristic (OC) curve. Each OC curve was determined by using variability relationships for sampling, sample preparation, and analysis (Equations 1, 2, 3, and 4) and the negative binomial distribution (Whitaker et al., 1998 and Whitaker et al. 2007). The equations describing the sampling variance  $(S<sup>2</sup><sub>s</sub>)$  for any sample size ns in number of kernels, the sample preparation variance  $(S<sup>2</sup><sub>sp</sub>)$  for the Romer mill and any size test portion nss in g, and the analytical variance (S<sup>2</sup><sub>a</sub>) for LC using any number of aliquots na are shown in Equations 1, 2, and 3, respectively, as a function of fumonisin concentration C in mg/kg.



Effect of Increasing the Size of a Single Laboratory Sample Tested Per Lot

- 28. OC describing the performance of the fumonisin sampling plan for shelled maize using laboratory sample sizes of 1, 2, and 5 kg and maximum levels (ML) of 10, 5, 2, and 1 mg/kg are shown in Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. As sample size increases, the chances of rejecting lots (chances of rejecting a lot =  $1.0$  – chances of accepting a lot) with concentrations below the ML decreases (reduces false positives) and the chances of accepting lots with concentrations above the ML decreases (reduces false negatives).
- 29. An OC curve showing the effect of a 10 kg samples with a 5 mg/kg ML is shown in Figure 5.



**Figure 1.** Operating characteristic curves showing the performance of sampling plan designs that use 1, 2, and 5 kg samples to detect fumonisin in lots of shelled maize for a maximum level of 10 mg/kg.



**Figure 2.** Operating characteristic curves showing the performance of sampling plan designs that use 1, 2, and 5 kg samples to detect fumonisin in lots of shelled maize for a maximum level of 5 mg/kg.



**Figure 3.** Operating characteristic curves showing the performance of sampling plan designs that use 1, 2, and 5 kg samples to detect fumonisin in lots of shelled maize for a maximum level of 2 mg/kg.



**Figure 4.** Operating characteristic curves showing the performance of sampling plan designs that use 1, 2, and 5 kg samples to detect fumonisin in lots of shelled maize for a maximum level of 1 mg/kg.



**Figure 5.** OC curves showing the effect of using a 1, 2, 5, and 10 kg sample with a 5 mg/kg maximum level on the chances of accepting (rejecting) lots at various lot concentrations.

#### $\rm C X/CF$  12/6/18  $\rm z$ 3

30. Each sampling plan in Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 show the effect of increasing the size of a single laboratory sample on the chances of accepting and rejecting lots over a wide range in lot fumonisin concentrations. For each maximum level, as sample size increases the chances of rejecting lots (chances of rejecting a lot = 1.0 – chances of accepting a lot) with concentrations below the ML decreases (reduces false positives) and the chances of accepting lots with concentrations above the ML decreases (reduces false negatives). Increasing sample size has the desirable effect of reducing both false positives and false negatives at the same time.

Effect of Increasing Number of Laboratory Samples Tested Per Lot

31. Operating Characteristic curve describing the performance of the fumonisin sampling plan for shelled maize where the number 1.0 kg laboratory samples increases from 1 to 2 samples and maximum levels vary from 1, 2, 5, and 10 mg/kg are shown in Figures 6, 7, 8, and 9, respectively. Both laboratory samples must test less than the ML for the lot to be accepted. The operating characteristic curve reflects uncertainty associated with using 1 or 2 laboratory samples of size 1.0 kg, sample comminution in a Romer Mill, 25 g test portion, and quantification of fumonisin in the test portion by HPLC.



**Figure 6.** Operating characteristic curves showing the performance of sampling plan designs that use 1 or 2 samples of size 1.0 kg each to detect fumonisin in lots of shelled maize for a maximum level of 10 mg/kg.



Figure 7. Operating characteristic curves showing the performance of sampling plan designs that use 1 or 2 samples of size 1.0 kg each to detect fumonisin in lots of shelled maize for a maximum level of 5 mg/kg.



Figure 8. Operating characteristic curves showing the performance of sampling plan designs that use 1 or 2 samples of size 1.0 kg each to detect fumonisin in lots of shelled maize for a maximum level of 2 mg/kg.



**Figure 9.** Operating characteristic curves showing the performance of sampling plan designs that use 1 or 2 samples of size 1.0 kg each to detect fumonisin in lots of shelled maize for a maximum level of 1 mg/kg.

- 32. For each maximum level, as the number of laboratory samples increase from 1 to 2 samples (each sample = 1.0 kg), the chances of rejecting lots with concentrations below the ML increases (increases false positives) and the chances of accepting lots with concentrations above the ML decreases (reduces false negatives). Increasing the number of samples tested per lot is an effective method of reducing the chances of a false negative, but has a high cost to the exporter in that it increases the chances of false positives.
- 33. The OC curves show in Figures 1 to 9 provide an indication of the interaction between maximum level, laboratory sample size, and number of laboratory samples can be used to minimize the chances of accepting lots with fumonisin concentrations above a certain level. For example, if a sampling plan was to be designed that did not accept more than 10% of the lots at 6 mg/g or higher, then either 1 x 5 kg  $\le$  5 mg/kg (Figure 2) or 2 x 1 kg  $\le$  5 mg/kg (Figure 7) would satisfy that criterion.

#### **PERFORMANCE OF SEVERAL FUMONISIN SAMPLING PLANS FOR MAIZE FLOUR/MEAL**

- 34. Since no sampling data is available for fumonisin and maize flour or maize meal, the variability associated with selecting a test portion from a sample comminuted with the Romer mill (Equation 2) is used to estimate the sampling variance for maize flour/meal. The total variability of the fumonisin test procedure for corn/maize flour (or any comminuted material) is the sum of the sampling variance and analytical variance. Since the material is comminuted, there is usually no sample preparation variance. Although no laboratory data is available, it is likely that the sampling variability for flour (Vsf) would be much lower than the sampling variability for grain comminuted with the Romer mill (Vsr) because the particle size of the processed flour is assumed to be much smaller than maize comminuted with the Romer mill (Vsf << Vsr). It is assumed that the analytical variability would be about the same for both processed flour and grain comminuted with the Romer mill. The sampling variance would be a larger component of the total variance when sampling grain comminuted with the Romer mill. The performance of the sampling plan is affected by the particle size. Using Equation 5 below for sampling variance would predict the need for a larger sample than would be predicted if a smaller sampling variance was used that more accurately reflected the particle size of maize flour. For a given sample size, smaller the particle size (more particles per unit mass), lower the sampling variability, lower the good lots rejected (exporter's risk), and lower the bad lots accepted (importer's risk) (Whitaker BT, *personal communication, 2012*).
- 35. Operating characteristic curves developed for sampling comminuted shelled maize (maize flour/meal) with specific sample sizes and analytical methods were calculated using variances described in Equations 2 and 3 which were measure by Whitaker et al, 1998. The sampling and analytical variances are:



Where ns is the size of the comminuted sample in grams and na is the number of aliquots quantified by LC.

Sampling variance (Equation 5) reflects a particle size distribution consistent with comminuting shelled maize with the Romer mill and is the sample preparation variance for shelled maize (Equation 2).

Analytical variance (Equation 6) reflects quantification of fumonisin in one aliquot by LC methods.

36. The effects of sample size and number of samples on the OC curves are shown in Figures 10 and 11, respectively, for an ML of 2 mg/kg. The effects of increasing sample size and the number of samples on the OC curve is similar to that described above for shelled maize.



**Figure 10.** Operating characteristic curves showing the performance of sampling plan designs that use 25, 50, and 100 g samples to detect fumonisin in lots of maize flour/meal for a maximum level of 2 mg/kg.



Figure 11. Operating characteristic curves showing the performance of sampling plan designs that use 1 or 2 samples of size 25 g each to detect fumonisin in lots of maize flour/meal for a maximum level of 2 mg/kg.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Abbas, H.K., Cartwright, R.D., Shier, W.T. Aflatoxin and fumonisin contamination of maize (maize, Zea mays) hybrids in Arkansas. Crop Prot. 25, 1–9, 2006.
- 2. Afolabi CG, Bandyopadhyay R, Leslie JF, Ekpo EJ. Effect of sorting on incidence and occurrence of fumonisins and Fusariu verticillioides on maize from Nigeria. J. Food Prot, 69, 2019-23, 2006.
- 3. Alexander, R.J. Maize dry milling: processes, products and applications. IN: Maize: Chemistry and Technology. S.A. Watson and P.E. Ramstad, eds. Am. Assoc. Cereal Chem.: St. Paul, MN.pp 351-376, 1987.
- 4. Ariño A., Estopañan, G., Juan, T., Herrera, A. Estimation of dietary intakes of fumonisins  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  from conventional and organic maize. Food Control 18: 1058–1062, 2007.
- 5. Bacon, C.W.; Nelson, P.E.; Fumonisin production in maize by toxigenic strains of Fusarium moniliforme and Fusarium proliferatum. J. Food Prot. 57(6): 514-521, 1994.
- 6. Bacon, C.W. and Hinton, D.M.; Symptomless endophytic colonization of maize by Fusarium moniliforme. Can. J. Bot./Rev. Can. Bot. 74(8): 1195-1202, 1996.
- 7. Bartók T, Tölgyesi L, Szekeres A, Varga M, Bartha R, Szécsi A, Bartók M, Mesterházy A, Detection and characterization of twenty-eight isomers of fumonisin B1 (FB1) mycotoxin in a solid rice culture infected with Fusarium verticillioides by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization time-of-flight and ion trap mass spectrometry, Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom, 2010 Jan;24(1):35-42
- 8. Bennett, G.A. and Richard, J.L. Influence of processing on Fusarium mycotoxins in contaminated grains. Food Technology 50(5), 235-238, 1996.
- 9. Bird, C.B., et al. (2002). Determination of total fumonisins in corn by competitive direct enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay: Collaborative study. *Journal of the AOAC International* 85, 404-410.
- 10. Bothast, R.J.; Bennett, G.A.; Vancauwenberge, J.E.; Richard, J.L. Fate of fumonisin B1 in naturally contaminated maize during ethanol fermentation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58:233-236,1992.
- 11. Brera, C.; Debegnach, F.; Grossi, S.; Miraglia, M.; Effect of industrial processing on the distribution of fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> in dry milling maize fractions. Journal of Food Protection 67 (6): 1261-1266, 2004.
- 12. Bullerman, L.B.; Bianchini, Hanna, M.A., Jackson, L.S.; Jablonski, J.; Ryu, D. Reduction of Fumonisin B1 in Corn Grits by Single-Screw Extrusion J. Agric. Food Chem, 56, 2400–2405, 2008.
- 13. Burns TD., Snook ME, Riley RT and Voss KA. Fumonisin concentration and in vivo toxicity of nixtamalized Fusarium verticillioides culture material; evidence for fumonisin-matrix interaction. Food Chem Toxicol, 46, 2841-2848, 2008.
- 14. Caldas, E.D.; Silva, A.C.S. Mycotoxins in maize based food products consumed in Brazil: An exposure assessment for fumonisins. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 55 (19): 7974-7980, 2007.
- 15. Castells, M; Marín, M; Sanchis, V; Ramos,A.J. Distribution of fumonisins and aflatoxins in corn fractions during industrial cornflake processing Int. J. Food Microbiol. 123: 81–87, 2008.
- 16. Castelo, M.M.; Jackson, L.S.; Hanna, M.A.; Reynolds, B.H.; Bullerman, L.B. Loss of fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> in extruded and baked maize –based foods with sugars. Journal of Food Science 66: 416-421, 2001.
- 17. Cavaliere, C.; Foglia, P.; Guarino, C.; Motto, M.; Nazzari, M.; Samperi, R.; Lagana, A.; Berardo, N.; Mycotoxins produced by Fusarium genus in maize: determination by screening and confirmatory methods based on liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry, Food Chemistry 105(2): 700-710, 2007.
- 18. Clay K. Fungal Endophytes of Grasses. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics. 21: 275-297, 1990.
- 19. Codex Alimentarius, Code of Practice for the prevention and reduction of mycotoxins contamination in cereals, including annexes on ochratoxin A, zearalenone, fumonisins and tricothecenes. CAC/ RCP51, pp. 1–8, 2003.
- 20. Dall'Asta, C., Galaverna, G., Aureli, G., Dossena, A., Marchelli, R., A LC/MS/MS method for the simultaneous quantification of free and masked fumonisins in corn and cornbased products.World Mycot. J. 2008, 1, 1–10.
- 21. Dall'Asta, C., Galaverna G, Mangia M, Sforza S, Dossena A, Marchelli R. Free and bound fumonisins in gluten-free food products. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2009 Apr;53(4):492-9
- 22. Dall'Asta, C., et al. (2010). In Vitro digestion assay for determination of hidden fumonisins in maize. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*, 58, 12042-12047
- 23. De Girolamo, A.; Solfrizzo, M.,; Von Holst, C.; Visconti, A. Comparison of different extraction solvents and clean-up procedures for the determination of fumonisins in maize and maize-based food products. Food Addit. Contam., 18, 59–67, 2001.
- 24. Dombrink-Kurtzman, M.A.; Dvorak, T.J. Barron ME, Roney LW. Effect of nixtamalization (alkaline cooking) on fumonisin-contaminated corn for production of masa and tortillas. J. Agric. Food Chem. 48: 5781-86, 2000.
- 25. EC-Commission Regulation. Setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. Official Journal of the European Commission. No. 1126/2007. http://www.fsai.ie/uploadedFiles/Commission\_Regulation\_EC\_No\_1126\_2007.pdf
- 26. Fandohan, P.; Gnonlonfin, B.; Hell, K.; Marasas, W.F.O.; Wingfield, M.J. Natural occurrence of Fusarium and subsequent fumonisin contamination in preharvest and stored maize in Benin, West Africa, Int. J. Food Microbiology 99(2): 173-183, 2005.
- 27. FAO/WHO. Safety evaluations on certain mycotoxins in food (Fifity-sixth meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). WHO Food Additive Series No. 47, and FAO Food and Nutrition Paper 74, 2001. Available at: http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v47je03.htm (Accessed 15 January 2009).
- 28. FAO/WHO World Health Organization. Evaluation of Certain Food Additives and Contaminants (2011). Seventy-forth report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. WHO Technical Report Series 966
- 29. Ferreira-Castro, F.L.; Aquino, S.; Greiner, R.; Ribeiro, D.H.B.; Reis, T.A.; Correa, B. Effects of gamma radiation on maize samples contaminated with Fusarium verticillioides Applied Radiation and Isotopes 65, 927–933, 2007.
- 30. Frisvad JC, Smedsgaard J, Samson RA, Larsen TO, Thrane U. Fumonisin B2 production by Aspergillus niger. J Agric Food Chem. 2007 Nov 14;55(23):9727-32.
- 31. Fodor J, Balogh K, Weber M, Miklós M, Kametler L, Pósa R, Mamet R, Bauer J, Horn P, Kovács F, Kovács M. (2008). Absorption, distribution and elimination of fumonisins B1 metabolites in weaned piglets. *Food Additives and Contaminants* 25(1), 88-96.
- 32. Gazzotti T, et al. (2011). Analysis of fumonisins B1, B2 and their hydrolysed metabolites in pig liver by LC-MS/MS. *Food Chemistry*, 125, 1379- 1384.
- 33. Hassegawa, R. H.; Fonseca, H.; Fancelli, A. L.; Silva da V. N., Schammass E. A., Reis, T. A.; Correa, B.; Influence of macro- and micronutrient fertilization on fungal contamination and fumonisin production in maize grains. Food Control 19 (1):36-43, 2008.
- 34. Hlywka, J.J. and Bullerman, L.B. Occurrence of fumonisin B1 and B2 in beer. Food Addit. Contam. 16(8): 319-324, 1999.
- 35. IARC. International Agency for Research on Cancer. Some Traditional Herbal Medicines, Some Mycotoxins, Naphthalene and Styrene. Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans 82: 171-301, 2002.
- 36. Jackson, L.S.; Katta, S.K.; Fingerhut, D.D.; DeVries, J.W.; and Bullerman, L.B. Effects of baking and frying on the fumonisin B1 content of maize-based foods. J. Agric Food Chem. 45:4800-4805, 1997.
- 37. Jackson, L.; Jablonski, J. Mycotoxins in food: detection and control. Woodhead Publishing 367-405, 2004.
- 38. Katta, S.K.; Cagampang, A.E.; Jackson, L.S. and Bullerman, L.B. Distribution of Fusarium molds andfumonisins in dry-milled maize fractions. Cereal Chem. 74(6): 858-863, 1997.
- 39. Katta, S.K.; Jackson, L.S.; Sumner, S.S.; Hanna, M.A.; Bullerman, L.B. Effect of temperature and screw speed on stability of fumonisin B1 in extrusion-cooked maize grits. Cereal Chem., 76, 16–20, 1999.
- 40. Kim, E.K.; Scott, P.M.; Lau, B.P. Hidden fumonisin in maize flakes. Food Addit. Contam. 20, 161-169, 2003.
- 41. Kumar, V.; Basu, M.S.; Rajendran, T.P. Mycotoxin research and mycoflora in some commercially important agricultural commodities, Crop Protection 27(6): 891-905, 2008.
- 42. Lu, Y.; Clifford, L.; Hauck, C.C.; Hendrich, S.; Osweiler, G.; Murphy, P.A. Characterization of fumonisin B1- glucose reaction kinetics and products. J. Agric. Food Chem. 50, 4726-4733, 2002.
- 43. Maenetje PW, Dutton MF. The incidence of fungi and mycotoxins in South African barley and barley products. J Environ Sci Health B. 2007 Feb;42(2):229-36.
- 44. Malone, B.M.; Richard, J.L.; Romer, T.; Johansson, A.S. and Whitaker, T. Fumonisin reduction in maize by cleaning during storage discharge. IN: Proceedings of the 48th Australian Cereal Chemistry Conference. L. O'Brian, A.B. Blakeney, A.S. Ross and C.W. Wrigley eds. Cairns, Australia, pp372-379, August 1998.
- 45. Monbaliu S, Van Poucke C, Detavernier C, Dumoulin F, Van De Velde M, Schoeters E, Van Dyck S, Averkieva O, Van Peteghem C, De Saeger S. (2010). Occurrence of mycotoxins in feeds as analyzed by a multi-mycotoxin LC-MS/MS method. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 58, 66-71.
- 46. Magkos, F.; Arvaniti, F.; Zampelas, A. Organic Food: Buying More Safety or Just Peace of Mind? A Critical Review of the Literature. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 46:23–56,2006.
- 47. Månsson M, Klejnstrup ML, Phipps RK, Nielsen KF, Frisvad JC, Gotfredsen CH, Larsen TO. Isolation and NMR characterization of fumonisin B2 and a new fumonisin B6 from Aspergillus niger. J Agric Food Chem. 2010 27;58(2):949-53.
- 48. Marasas, W.F.O. Discovery and Occurrence of the Fumonisins: A Historical Perspective. Environmental health perspectives supplements 109(2): 239-243, 2001.
- 49. Miller, J.D. Epidemiology of Fusarium ear diseases of cereals. IN: Mycotoxins in Grain-Compounds Other Than Aflatoxin. J.D. Miller and H.L.Trenholm eds. Eagan Press, St. Paul, MN, pp 19-36, 1994.
- 50. Miller, M.A.; Honstead, J.P., and Lovell, R.A. Regulatory aspects of fumonisins with respect to animalfeed. IN: Fumonisins in Food. L.S. Jackson; J.W. DeVries and L.B. Bullerman eds. Plenum Press, NY, pp 363-368, 1996.
- 51. Miller, J.D. Factors affecting the occurrence of fumonisin in maize. Abstracts of Papers (p.21)-International Conference on the Toxicology of Fumonisin. June 28-30, Arlington, VA, 1999.
- 52. Mogensen JM, Frisvad JC, Thrane U, Nielsen KF, Production of Fumonisin B2 and B4 by Aspergillus niger on grapes and raisins, J Agric Food Chem, 2010 Jan 27;58(2):954-8,
- 53. Munkvold, G.P.; Desjardins, A.E. Fumonisins in maize Can we reduce their occurrence? Plant Disease. 81(6):556-565, 1997.
- 54. Noonim P, Mahakarnchanakul W, Nielsen KF, Frisvad JC, Samson RA. Fumonisin B2 production by Aspergillus niger in Thai coffee beans. Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess. 2009;26(1):94-100.
- 55. Ndube N, van der Westhuizen L, Green IR, Shephard GS.HPLC determination of fumonisin mycotoxins in maize: a comparative study of naphthalene-2,3-dicarboxaldehyde and o-phthaldialdehyde derivatization reagents for fluorescence and diode array detection. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2011 1;879(23):2239-43.
- 56. Pagliuca G, Zironi E, Ceccolini A, Matera R, Serrazanetti GP, Piva A. (2005). Simple method for the simultaneous isolation and determination of fumonisin B1 and its metabolite aminopentol-1 in swine liver by liquid chromatography-fluorescence detection. *Journal of Chromatography B* 818, 97-103.
- 57. Palencia, E., et al. (2003). Total fumonisins are reduced in tortillas using the tradicional nixtamalization method of mayan communities. Nutrient interacts and toxicity research communication. *The Journal of Nutrition* 133(10), 3200-3203.
- 58. Park, J.W.; Scott, P.M.; Lau, B.P.; Lewis, D.A.; Analysis of heat-processed maize foods for fumonisins and bound fumonisins. Food Addit. Contam. 21(12):1168-78, 2004.
- 59. Prelusky, D.B.; Trenholm, H.L.; Rotter, B.A.; Miller, J.D.; Savard, M.E.; Yeung, J.M.; and Scott, P.M. Biological fate of fumonisin B1 in foodproducing animals. IN: Fumonisins in Food. L.S. Jackson, J.W. DeVries, and L.B. Bullerman, eds. Plenum Press, New York, pp 265-278, 1996.
- 60. Resnik, S.L. Food processing to reduce the entry of mycotoxins to the food and feed chains. Conference on "Advances in research on toxigenic fungi and mycotoxins in South America ensuring food and feed safety in a mycoglobe context" Carlos Paz, Cordoba, Argentina, March 2006.
- 61. Rheeder, J.P.; Marasas, W. F.O.; Vismer, H.F. Production of fumonisin analogs by fusarium species. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68: 2101-2105, 2002.
- 62. Scott, P.M.; Lawrence, G.A. Analysis of beer for fumonisins. *J. Food Prot*., 58, 1379–1382, 1995.
- 63. Scudamore KA, Patel S. Fusarium mycotoxins in milling streams from the commercial milling of maize imported to the UK, and relevance to current legislation. Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess. 2009 May;26(5):744-53.
- 64. Seefelder, W.; Knecht, A.; Humpf, H.U. Bound fumonisin B1: analysis of fumonisin-B1 glyco and amino acid conjugates by liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization-tandem mass spectrometry. J. Agric. Food Chem. 51, 5567-73, 2003.
- 65. Shelby, R.A.; White, D.G.; Bauske, E.M. Differential fumonisin production in maize hybrids. Plant Disease 78: 582-584, 1994.
- 66. Shetty, P.H.; Bhat, R.V. Physical method for segregation of fumonisin-contaminated maize. Food Chem., 66, 371–374, 1999.
- 67. Silva, L.; Fernández-Franzón, M.; Font, G.; Pena,A.; Silveira, I.; Lino,C.; Mañes, J. Analysis of fumonisins in corn-based food by liquid chromatography with fluorescence and mass spectrometry detectors. Food Chemistry 112,1031–1037, 2009.
- 68. Solfrizzo, M.; De Girolamo A.; Visconti, A. Determination of fumonisins B1 and B2 in maizeflakes by high performance liquid chromatography and immunoaffinity clean-up. Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A, 18(3):227-235, 2001.
- 69. Senyuva, H.Z., Gilbert, J., Stroka, J. (2010). Determination of fumonisins B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> in corn by LC/MS with immunoaffinity column clean-up: Interlaboratory Study. *Journal of the AOAC International,* 93 (2), 611-621.
- 70. Sewram, V.; Mshicileli, N.,; Shephard, G.S.,; Vismer, H.F.,; Rheeder, J.P.; Lee, Y.W.,; Leslie, J.F.; Marasas, W.F.O. Production of fumonisin B and C analogs by several Fusarium species. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 53: 4861-4866, 2005
- 71. Sydenham, E.W.; Shephard, G.S.; Thiel, P.G.; Stockenström, S.; Snijman, P.W.; Van Schalkwyk, D.J. Liquid chromatographic determination of fumonisins B1, B2, and B3 in maize: AOAC–IUPAC collaborative study. J. AOAC Int., 79, 688–696, 1996.
- 72. USFDA. Fumonisin Levels in Human Foods and Animal Feeds. Guidance for Industry Final guidance. US Food and Drug Administration. Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition. November, 2001. Available at:ttp://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~dms/fumongu2.html
- 73. Visconti, A.; Solfrizzo, M.; Doko, M.B.; Boenke, A.; Pascale, M. Stability of fumonisins at different storage periods and temperatures in gamma-irradiated maize. Food Addit. Contam. 13(8): 929- 938, 1996.
- 74. Voss, K.A.; Riley, R.T. Differential sensitivity of rat kidney and liver to fumonisin toxicity: organ-specific differences in toxin accumulation and sphingoid base metabolism. Toxicol. Sci. 92: 335-345, 2006.
- 75. Wang, J.; Zhou, Y.; Wang, Q. Analysis of mycotoxin fumonisins in corn products by high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with evaporative light scattering detection. Food Chemistry 107(2): 970-976, 2008.b
- 76. Warfield, C.Y. and Gilchrist, D.G. Influence of kernel age on fumonisin B1 production in maize by Fusarium moniliforme. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65(7): 2853-2856, 1999.
- 77. Whitaker, T.B.; Trucksess, M.W.; Johansson, A.S.; Giesbrecht, F.G.; Hagler, W.M.; and Bowman, D.T. Variability associated with testing shelled maize for fumonisin. J AOAC Intl. 81(6): 1162-1168, 1998.
- 78. Whitaker, T.B.; Doko, M.B.; Maestroni, B.M.; Slate, A.B.; Ogunbanwo, B.F.; Evaluating the Performance of Sampling Plans to Detect Fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> in Maize Lots Marketed in Nigeria. J AOAC Intl. 90(4): 1050-1059, 2007.
- 79. WHO World Health Organization. GEMS/Food Custers Diet (Global Environment Monitoring System/ Food Contamination Monitoring and Assessment Program). 2006. Available at http://www.who.int/foodsafety/chem/gems/en/index1.html
- 80. Yates, I.E., Widstrom, N.W., Bacon, C.W., Glenn, A., Hinton, D.M., Sparks, D., Jaworski, A.J. Field performance of maize grown from Fusarium verticillioides-inoculated seed. Mycopathologia 159: 65–73, 2005.
- 81. Yates, I.E.; Sparks, D. *Fusarium verticilliodes* dissemination among maize ears of field-grown plants. Crop Protection Journal. 27:606-613, 2008.