

CODEx ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



World Health
Organization

E

Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy - Tel: (+39) 06 57051 - E-mail: codex@fao.org - www.codexalimentarius.org

Agenda Item 8
24/48/8

CX/FL
August 2024

JOINT FAO/WHO FOOD STANDARDS PROGRAMME

CODEx COMMITTEE ON FOOD LABELLING

Forty-eighth Session

Quebec City (Canada)

27 October – 1 November 2024

AMENDMENTS TO THE *GENERAL STANDARD FOR THE LABELLING OF PRE-PACKAGED FOODS* (CXS 1-1985): PROVISIONS RELEVANT TO JOINT PRESENTATION AND MULTIPACK FORMATS (STEP 4)

(Prepared by the Electronic Working Group (EWG) chaired by Colombia)

Codex Members and Observers wishing to submit comments on the recommendations in this document should do so as instructed in CL 2024/56-FL available on the Codex webpage/Circular Letters:

<http://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/resources/circular-letters/en/>

BACKGROUND

1. During the 44th session of the Codex Committee on Food Labelling (CCFL44), held in Asunción, Paraguay from 16-20 October 2017, and in the framework of future work, the Committee agreed to prepare, among other discussion documents, one on the labelling of foods in joint presentation and multipack formats under the leadership of Colombia, a country that expressed interest in the proposal of this document.
2. As a result, during the CCFL45, held in Ottawa, Ontario (Canada) from May 13-17 2019, and in the framework of future work, Colombia presented the first discussion paper on the labelling of foods in joint presentation and multipack formats.
3. At CCFL46 (Virtual) that took place from September 27 to 1 and 7 October 2021, Colombia introduced the issue by describing what were considered prepackaged foods in joint presentation and multipack formats, highlighting that labelling information, such as date marking and ingredient listing of individual foods in these packaging formats could be hidden from consumers.
4. At CCFL47, held in Gatineau, Ottawa, Canada, from May 15–19 2023 the Committee agreed to initiate further work on the labelling of prepackaged foods in joint presentation and multipack formats and to submit the project document (REP23/FL, Appendix V) for approval by the 46th session of the Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC) and to establish an EWG, chaired by Colombia and co-chaired by Jamaica, working in English and Spanish, to prepare a preliminary draft text to be circulated for comments at Step 3, and for consideration by CCFL48. The EWG report would be made available to the Codex Secretariat three months prior to CCFL48.

PARTICIPATION AND METHODOLOGY

5. In May 2024, the EWG Chair held a consultation with the EWG in which he requested Members to respond to the questions in the "Proposed Draft Revision for the *General standard for the labelling of pre-packaged foods* (CXS 1-1985) (GSLPF)" regarding the requirements on labelling of prepackaged foods in joint presentation and multipack formats, based on the gaps identified through the responses provided to the Circular Letter (CL) CL 2022/10-FL in which Colombia requested information in this regard in compliance with the agreement reached during CCFL46.
6. During July 2024, comments were received from 13 Member countries (New Zealand, Norway, Canada, Saudi Arabia, Ecuador, United States of America, Japan, Guatemala, Brazil, Indonesia, Costa Rica, Argentina and Iran) and five Observer Organizations (IBCA, FOOD INDUSTRY ASIA (FIA), FOODDRINKEUROPE'S, INTERNATIONAL CONFECTIONERY ASSOCIATION (ICA-IOCCC)-USA, ALAIAB (COSTA RICA)).

7. A full summary and analysis of the comments can be found in Appendix I, while Appendix II presents the proposed amendments to the provisions in the *General standard for the labelling of pre-packaged foods* (CXS 1-1985): relevant to joint presentation and multipack formats.

SUMMARY OF THE DISCUSSION

8. Proposed amendments were drafted on the basis of the following points (Appendix II).

a. **SCOPE**

Several countries responded positively to the proposal to modify the text of the scope of the GSLPF for greater clarity. They also stated however that joint presentation and multipack formats were already within the scope of the standard. Nevertheless, other countries, some of which were in agreement and some in disagreement with the modification of the text, presented as an alternative to adjust the definition of prepackaged foods to include the presentations in question.

Taking the above into account, it is proposed to retain the scope of the GSLPF as is and adjust instead the definition of "Prepackaged".

b. **DEFINITION OF TERMS**

Most countries suggested editorial changes to the proposed definitions (*joint presentation and multipack formats*), to avoid confusion and provide greater clarity. Several countries indicated that outer and inner container may be more understandable terms in the context of these foods. In addition, they pointed out the importance of further discussing the issue and eliminating some terms that are not so relevant. Thus, alternative definitions are being proposed, including the adjustment of the definition of "*Prepackaged*".

c. **NAME OF THE FOOD**

Most Members do not agree to include the proposed new subsection 4.1.3, as they consider that this aspect is already well covered in different sections of the standard. However, one country suggested adding notes to section 8 of the GSLPF for greater clarity, not only about the name of the product for this type of format but also to the other mandatory information that must be included in the packaging.

d. **LIST OF INGREDIENTS**

Some countries agreed to include note 1 to subsection 4.2.1.1 of the GSLPF, however, they also agreed that adjustments can be made to the drafting to provide greater clarity. Some countries do not consider it necessary to include the note in question as they point out that the GSLPF adequately covers the list of ingredients, allowing flexibility on how such information is provided. Other countries, despite not agreeing with the note, formulated alternatives that could clarify the issue, one of which suggests making the adjustments in subsection 4.2.1.3. On the other hand, they indicate that section 8, regarding the presentation of mandatory information, could be the most appropriate place to address the visibility of mandatory information in the case of products that have inner and outer packaging or containers.

e. **NET CONTENT**

Most Members agreed there is a need to clarify the requirements regarding the net content of foods sold in joint presentation and multipack formats, as they would improve understanding. However, there is no consensus regarding the section where the amendment should be applied. Divided opinions were presented, some point to subsection 4.3 and others to section 8.

f. **NAME AND ADDRESS**

Most Members do not agree to include a specific subsection for joint presentation and multipack formats, as there are no gaps in the GSLPF on how this information is to be provided and the current standard allows for flexibility in how this information should be provided. One Member commented that they could support the justification for providing this information. However, they do not understand the need to include a new concept of "responsible for the product", when the current GSLPF already includes, in an integral way, "manufacturer, packer, distributor, importer, exporter or seller". This should be sufficient for the purposes of any prepackaged food including those in joint presentation and multipack formats.

g. **LOT IDENTIFICATION**

Most Members do not agree to include the texts in subsection 4.6 "Lot identification", as the current standard allows flexibility in how such information can be provided, and it is not necessary to have two specific notes

for joint presentation and multipack formats in addition to the proposed notes, as they are already addressed in sections 8.1.2 and 8.1.3 of the GSLPF.

h. DATE MARKING AND STORAGE INSTRUCTIONS

Most Members agree to include the proposed note in subsection 4.7 *Date marking and storage instructions*, but, in the case of joint presentation and multipack formats, the individual inner packages must have a date stamp visible through the outer package, or, if this information is not legible through the outer package, it must be provided on the outer package, either for each type of inner package or for the total contents of the package. This is similar to the requirements for other labelling elements and would be covered if the proposed additions to section 8 are approved, meaning no specific language is required in this clause.

Thus, the EWG accepted the proposal not to include the note in section 4.7 and instead to add a subsection to section 8 that includes this aspect.

i. PRESENTATION OF MANDATORY INFORMATION

Some Members stated that referring to joint presentation and multipack formats in each section of the GSLPF could make it difficult to reach consensus, while establishing appropriate definitions and adding additional language to Section 8 would offer adequate guidance for applying, as needed, the labeling elements in the GSLPF and providing a clearer and more streamlined approach for this work.

Given that throughout the consultation several Members agreed that amendments to the topic in question could be made in section 8 "presentation of mandatory information" instead of the proposed sub sections, the adjustments were made to the proposed text and are included in Appendix II for review.

CONCLUSIONS

9. During the EWG the need to make amendments to the GSLPF with regard to the aspects indicated in the consultation on joint presentation and multipack formats was confirmed. During the discussions it was agreed that it may be more practical to adjust the definitions' section as well as section 8, and based on the above, a document (Appendix II), has been prepared, which provides a good representation of the consensus in the EWG with regard to the proposed changes to the GSLPF.

RECOMMENDATIONS

10. CCFL48 is invited to:
- i) review the proposed amendments to the *General standard for the labelling of prepackaged foods* (CXS 1-1985) provisions relevant to joint presentation and multipack formats (Appendix II)
 - ii) consider whether the text is ready to advance in the step process.

APPENDIX I**ANALYSIS AND CONSIDERATION OF COMMENTS****Question 1:**

Do you agree to include the text underlined and in red font in the scope of the standard below?

SCOPE

This standard applies to the labelling of all prepackaged foods, including prepackaged foods in joint presentation and prepackaged foods in multipack format, to be offered as such to the consumer or for catering purposes and to certain aspects relating to the presentation thereof.

Summary of replies

While several countries agreed to amend the scope text of the GSLPF as proposed to improve its clarity, they also noted that joint presentation and multipack formats are already within the scope of the standard.

Another Member stated that the current wording of the GSLPF could indicate that prepackaged foods in a joint presentation and multipack formats are included in the standard. However, they are of the opinion that this should be mentioned in a clearer and more obvious manner, leaving no doubt about it.

One Member suggested that, for ease of reading, the Committee could consider placing the text in a footnote within the scope section rather than within the main body of the text.

While other countries - some in agreement and others in disagreement with the modification of the text - presented as an alternative the clarification of the definition of prepackaged foods to include the formats in question.

Considering the above, and in search of consensus, it is proposed not to modify the scope of the GSLPF and instead adjust the definition of "Prepackaged", as follows:

"Prepackaged" means any food that is wrapped, packaged or made up in advance in a container ready to be offered to the consumer or for catering purposes, including joint presentation and multipack formats.

Question 2:

Do you agree with the proposed definitions of "multipack format" and "joint presentation" as shown below?

"Multipack format": Is a presentation that consist of a secondary sales container, opaque or transparent, specially designed to contain and display two or more units of the same or different prepackaged food, each of them labeled or tagged individually.

"Joint presentation": Is a presentation that consist of a secondary container that contains two or more units of food of different nature, individually prepackaged and labeled or tagged together (they have a single label that lists the foods that compose it), which are complemented or mixed for consumption.

Summary of replies

Most countries suggested editorial changes to the proposed definitions to avoid confusion and provide greater clarity. In addition, they pointed out the importance of further discussing the issue and eliminating some terms that are not particularly relevant. Among the comments presented are:

It might be helpful to add a note with an example to each definition.

Outer and inner container may be more understandable terms in the context of these foods.

Some words that are not critical to the definition could be eliminated, such as "specially designed". It may also be unnecessary to indicate in the definitions whether the individual units are labeled individually or jointly, as this could be addressed in the labeling requirements themselves rather than in the description of the container.

If it is decided that these definitions are necessary, they could be useful as mentioned in the proposed sections of "4.3 Net content and drained weight".

It should be noted that the GSLPF includes these presentation within the definition of "Container", in that sense, the introduction of new definitions would imply the revision of the previous definition.

Based on the above, the following definition alternatives are proposed:

"Multipack format": Is a presentation that consists of a secondary sale an outer container, opaque or transparent, specially designed to contain and display that contains two or more units of the same or different prepackaged food, each of them labeled or tagged individually which are intended to be consumed separately.

Note: For example, small packages of different flavors of crisps/chips in a larger package.

"Joint presentation": Is a presentation consisting of a secondary an outer container that contains more than one type of food, which are intended to be consumed together two or more units of food of different nature, individually prepackaged and labeled or labeled together (that have a single label that lists the foods that compose it), which are supplemented or mixed for consumption.

Note: For example, yogurt and cereal.

"Container" means any packaging of food for delivery as a single item, whether by completely or partially enclosing the food and includes wrappers. A container may enclose several units or types of packages when such is offered to the consumer, *such as multipack formats or joint presentation.*

Question 3:

Do you agree to include the include the text underlined and in red font in subsection 4.1 "name of the food" shown below?

4.1.3 The names or denomination of each of the prepackaged foods contained within the packaging in a joint presentation or in a multipack format must be listed without exception in the secondary container, unless it is transparent and such names can be seen in the primary containers or packaging. A single denomination that encompasses all the foods contained in the packaging may also be included.

Summary of replies

Most Members do not agree to include subsection 4.1.3, as they consider that this aspect is sufficiently covered in various sections of the standard. However, one country suggested adding notes to section 8 of the GSLPF to strengthen the clarity not only to the name of the product for this type of format, but also to the other mandatory information that must be contained in the packaging.

Among the comments presented are:

The current standard allows flexibility regarding how this information is provided; therefore, a new subsection 4.1.3 is unnecessary and should not be included.

The addition to section 8 of the GSLPF, in combination with clear definitions for joint presentation and multipack formats, makes it clear how these packaging formats should be labelled and thus eliminates the need to make specific reference in separate sections of the GSLPF.

To achieve consensus, the proposal is accepted to not to include subsection 4.1.3 and to add instead a subsection to section 8 that would cover this aspect. However, since subsection 8.1.4 refers to the name of the product, it is suggested to include a footnote as follows:

8.1.4 *The name* and net contents of the food shall appear prominently and in the same field of vision.*

**It shall be included in the outer packaging of joint presentation and multipack formats, when the packaging is opaque and does not allow the names of the foods contained therein to be seen.*

Question 4:

Do you agree, as shown below, to include the text underlined and in red font in subsection 4.2 "List of ingredients"?

4.2.1.1 The list of ingredients shall be headed or preceded by an appropriate title which consists of or includes the term 'ingredient'.

***Note 1:** For foods in joint presentation, the list of ingredients for each food shall be headed or preceded by the name of the food, followed by the term "ingredient". It will apply in the same way to foods in multipack formats whose secondary packaging does not allow to view the list of ingredients of the units it contains.*

Summary of replies

Some countries agreed to include footnote 1 to subsection 4.2.1.1 of the GSLPF, however, they agreed that adjustments can be made to the draft to provide greater clarity. Some countries do not consider necessary to include such footnote as they point out that the GSLPF adequately covers the list of ingredients, allowing flexibility

on how such information is provided. Many others, despite not agreeing with the footnote, formulated alternatives that could clarify the issue, one of which suggests making the adjustments in subsection 4.2.1.3. On the other hand, they indicate that section 8 on mandatory information could be appropriate to address the visibility of mandatory information in the case of products that have inner and outer packaging or containers.

The proposal is accepted not to include subsection 4.2.1.1 and to add instead a subsection to section 8 to cover this aspect, as follows:

8.1.3.1 The list of ingredients must be included on the outer packaging of joint presentation and multipack formats, when the packaging is opaque and does not allow such information to be viewed on the food it contains.

Question 5:

Do you agree, as shown below, to include the text underlined and in red font to subsection 4.3 "Net content"?

4.3.4 In the case of food in a joint presentation in different state, the content of each of the products that make up this presentation and the total net content must be indicated.

4.3.5 For foods in multipack format containing the same type of food, the net content in International Metric System units, corresponding to the total content, shall be declared. In the case they include different types of foods, the number of units, the net content of each unit and, by reference, the total net content shall be declared.

***Note:** Next to the net content, the number of units per type of food that make up the multipack must be indicated. Example 600ml (3 units of 200ml).*

Summary of replies

Most Members agree with the need to clarify the net content requirements for foods sold in joint presentation and multipack formats, as they would improve understanding. However, there is no consensus regarding the section where the amendment should be applied. Divided opinions were offered, some pointing to subsection 4.3 and others to section 8.

Among the comments are:

In the case of joint presentation and multipack formats, for each type or category of food, the net content declaration must include the number of units, the net content of each unit and the total net content of the entire package.

Some countries require "total net content" to be indicated on the multipack format label, while others do not, depending on consumer preferences. Therefore, they propose the following wording: *In multipack formats and joint presentation retail packaging, the net content will appear on the exterior of the unit/package sold to the consumer and will include the number of individual units, the net content of each individual unit and may include the total net content of the multipack format.*

It can be confusing when declaring "total net content by reference". Perhaps it may be important to have a definition for these terms. On the other hand, the declaration of "total net content" in a joint presentation for food in different states may be very redundant.

In the case of foods in multipack formats, we believe that declaring the number of units and their contents may be important, especially if the net content of each prepackaged unit is not shown on its label (e.g., small units that are not intended to be sold individually).

In accordance with the above proposal, it is suggested not to include additional subsections in 4.3 and to add instead a subsection to section 8 covering this aspect. However, since section 8.1.4 refers to the net content, it is suggested to include a footnote stating the following:

8.1.4 The name and net content of the food shall appear prominently and in the same field of vision.**

***In a multipack format, the labelling should provide information on the net content and number of units so that the net content of the individual units and the number of units of food can be easily determined., The multipack would preferably indicate the total net content.*

***Note:** The number of units per type of food that make up the multipack must be indicated next to the net content. Example: 600ml (3 units of 200ml).*

Question 6:

Do you agree to include, as shown below, the text underlined and in red font to subsection 4.4 "Name and address"?

The name and address of the manufacturer, packer, distributor, importer, exporter or seller of the food shall be indicated.

Note 1: For food in multipack formats, the name and address of the person responsible for the food must be indicated when it is different from the manufacturer, packer, importer or exporter of the product.

Summary of replies

Most Members do not agree with incorporating a specific subsection for joint presentation and multipack formats, as there are no gaps in the GSLPF on how this information is provided and the current standard allows for flexibility in how this information should be provided. One Member commented that they could support the rationale for providing this information. However, they did not understand the need to include a new concept of "responsible for the product", when the current GSLPF already includes "manufacturer, packer, distributor, importer, exporter or seller" in an integral way. This should be sufficient for the purposes of any prepackaged food, including joint presentation and multipack formats.

The proposal was accepted not to include subsection 4.4 and instead to add a subsection to section 8 that covers this aspect, as follows:

8.1.3.2 The name and address of the product packer must be included on the outer container of a joint presentation and multipack format, when the packaging is opaque and does not allow such information to be visible on the food it contains, or when it is different from the manufacturer, packer, importer or exporter of the products inside.

Question 7:

Do you agree to include, as shown below, the text underlined and in red font to subsection 4.6 "Identification of the lot"?

Each package must be engraved or marked in any other manner, but indelibly, an indication in code or in clear language, which allows the identification of the producing factory and the lot.

Note 1: Prepackaged foods in joint presentation and multipack formats must indicate the identification of the lot in each of the foods that compose them in a way that allows the traceability of the packer.

Note 2: When the secondary packaging does not allow the lots to be displayed in the units inside the multipack, a sticker system may be used if it is guaranteed that it is impossible for it to be removed without breaking the multipack format.

Summary of replies

Most of the Members do not agree with inclusion of the texts in section 4.6 "Identification of the lot", within the comments they point out that:

The proposed notes are already addressed in sections 8.1.2 and 8.1.3 of the GEAP:

The outer container is the prepackaged product to which the lot identification requirement applies. If the joint presentation or multipack products are disassembled and the inner packaging is sold at the retail level, the inner packaging would be subject to the existing section 4.6 of the GSLPF.

The current standard allows for flexibility in how such information can be provided and is not necessary to have two specific notes for joint presentation and multipack formats.

We consider that the information about the lot is more for the purpose of traceability and that the consumer does not necessarily require by the consumer to take information (Sic).

Considering the arguments made by the EWG Members, the proposed notes were deleted.

Question 8:

Do you agree to include, as shown below, the text underlined and in red font to subsection 4.7 "Date marking and storage instructions"?

4.7 Date marking and storage instructions.

Note 1: The declarable date on prepackaged foods in joint presentation and/or multipack formats will be that of the product with the expiration period closest to completion. This does not exempt each of the products that comprise the presentation from having their own expiration date.

Summary of replies

Most of the Members agree with the inclusion in subsection 4.7 *Date marking and storage instructions* of a note, stating the following:

We agree with the note, but we are nevertheless concerned that this could lead to an increase in food waste (from products with a longer expiry date, within a multipack with a shorter expiry date) and would therefore welcome a discussion on how this issue could be addressed.

It is suggested that the best-before date should also be considered and included in this text, as it is different from an expiration date.

Currently, it is confusing to define the expiration date of a multipack format or a joint presentation when individual products have different dates.

It should be considered that the GSLPF does not only refer to the expiry date, but also includes the best date of use, to consume preferably before or the best quality date, so the wording must be broad.

While Members who disagree argue that:

In the case of multipacks, the individual inner packs must have a date stamp that is visible through the outer pack, or if this information is not legible through the outer pack, it must be provided on the outer pack, either for each type of inner pack or for the total contents of the pack. This is no different from the requirements for other labelling elements and would be covered if the proposed additions to section 8 are approved, meaning that no specific language is required for this clause.

Thus, the proposal not to include the note in subsection 4.7 and instead to add a subsection to section 8 that covers this aspect is accepted, as follows:

8.1.3.3 The date marking on prepackaged foods in joint presentation and/or in multipack formats shall be that of the product with the date closest to completion. This does not exempt each of the individual products that make up the presentation from having their respective date marking.

Question 9:

Do you think that there is another section of the standard that requires amendment?

The following comments were obtained in response:

The addition to section 8 of the GSLPF, in combination with clear definitions for joint presentation and multipack formats, makes it clear how these packaging formats should be labelled and thus eliminates the need to make specific reference to joint presentation and multipack formats in separate sections of the GSLPF.

This work should be closely linked to the ongoing work on allergen labelling (including precautionary allergen labelling) to ensure that all requirements for allergen declarations are present on the individual containers of a multipack format, regardless of whether they are present on the outer container. This is not considered necessary for foods that are presented together, as those containers would include allergen information on the outer container and the individual foods it contains are intended to be consumed together.

Other sections of the standard could benefit from amendments. Specifically, the inclusion of detailed warnings and disclaimers of responsibility are crucial. Any relevant warnings, such as those related to food safety, allergens, or specific dietary requirements, should be prominently displayed to ensure safety and consumer awareness. In addition, detailed instructions for the use or preparation of each food must be included within the joint presentation or multipack format. If the items are intended to be consumed together or used in a specific way, this should be explicitly stated to appropriately guide the consumers. In addition, it is important to declare the nutrition information, especially on foods prepackaged in multipack formats, particularly when the secondary packaging is opaque and makes the nutrition information unreadable. These amendments would improve consumer protection and clarity, aligning with our commitment to quality and food safety standards.

Referring to joint presentation and multipack formats in each section of the GSLPF could make it difficult to reach consensus. Establishing appropriate definitions and adding additional language to Section 8 would provide adequate guidance for applying, as needed, the labeling elements in the GSLPF providing a clearer and more streamlined approach to this work.

Given that throughout the consultation, and regarding this last question, several Members agreed to suggest that amendments to the topic in question, instead of the proposed subsections, could be made in section 8 "mandatory reporting, we are proceeding to adjust and submit the new document for review.

APPENDIX II**DRAFT AMENDMENTS TO THE GENERAL STANDARD FOR THE LABELLING OF PREPACKAGED FOODS (CXS 1-1985)- PROVISIONS RELEVANT TO JOINT PRESENTATION AND MULTIPACK FORMATS**

(For comments through CL 2024/56-FL)

(Proposed amendments to GSLPF are presented as underlined and red)

2. DEFINITION OF TERMS

"**Container**" means any packaging of food for delivery as a single item, whether by completely or partially enclosing the food and includes wrappers. A container may enclose several units or types of packages when such is offered to the consumer, such as multipack formats or joint presentations.

"**Prepackaged**" means packaged or made up in advance in a container, ready for offer to the consumer, or for catering purposes including joint presentation and multipack formats.

"**Multipack format**" means that presentation that is made up of a secondary outer container and two or more units of the same or different prepackaged food, which are intended to be consumed separately.

Note: For example, small packages of French fries of different flavors in a larger package.

"**Joint presentation**" means a consisting of an outer container that contains two or more units of food of different nature, which are supplemented or mixed for consumption.

Note: For example, yogurt and cereal.

8. PRESENTATION OF MANDATORY INFORMATION**8.1 General**

8.1.3. Where the container is covered by a wrapper, the wrapper shall carry the necessary information or the label on the container shall be readily legible through the outer wrapper or not obscured by it.

8.1.3.1 The list of ingredients must be included on the outer packaging of joint presentation and multipack format, when the packaging is opaque and does not allow such information to be viewed on the food it contains.

8.1.3.2 The name and address of the product packer must be included on the outer packaging of joint presentations and multipack formats when the container is opaque and does not allow such information to be viewed on the food it contains, or when it is different from the manufacturer, packer, importer or exporter of the inside products.

8.1.3.3 The date marking on prepackaged foods in joint presentation and/or multipack formats shall be that of the product with the date closest to completion. This does not exempt each of the individual products that make up the presentation from having their respective date marking.

8.1.4 The name* and net content** of the food shall appear in a prominent position and in the same field of vision.

*It must be included in the outer packaging of the joint presentations and multipack formats, when the container is opaque and does not allow the names of the foods it contains to be legible.

**In a multipack, the labelling should provide information on the net content and number of units so that the net content of the individual units and the number of units of food can be easily perceived. Preferably, the multipack would indicate the total net content.

Note: The number of units per type of food that make up the multipack must be indicated next to the net content. Example: 600ml (3 units of 200ml).