

# CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION



Food and Agriculture  
Organization of the  
United Nations



World Health  
Organization

Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy - Tel: (+39) 06 57051 - E-mail: [codex@fao.org](mailto:codex@fao.org) - [www.codexalimentarius.org](http://www.codexalimentarius.org)

Agenda Item 7(d)

CX/PR 19/51/9-Add.1

March 2019

## JOINT FAO/WHO FOOD STANDARDS PROGRAMME

### CODEX COMMITTEE ON PESTICIDE RESIDUES

51<sup>st</sup> Session

Macao SAR, P.R. China, 8-13 April 2019

#### PROPOSED TABLES ON EXAMPLES OF REPRESENTATIVE COMMODITIES FOR COMMODITY GROUPS IN DIFFERENT TYPES UNDER CLASS C – PRIMARY ANIMAL FEED COMMODITIES AND CLASS D – PROCESSED FOOD COMMODITIES OF PLANT ORIGIN

(For inclusion in the *Principles and Guidance for the Selection of Representative Commodities for the Extrapolation of Maximum Residue Limits for Pesticides to Commodity Groups (CXG 84-2012)*)

(AT STEP 3)

Comments submitted at Step 3 in reply to CL 2019/03-PR  
by Canada, Egypt and Ghana

#### Canada

##### BACKGROUND

- The practice adopted by CCPR in the revision of the Classification is to retain the revised commodity groups (e.g. fruit commodity groups, vegetable commodity groups, etc.) waiting for the final compilation of the related commodity groups and the corresponding tables on examples of representative commodities for inclusion in the *Classification of Food and Feed* and the *Principles and Guidance on the Selection of Representative Commodities for the Extrapolation of MRLs for Pesticides to Commodity Groups*) respectively

##### CURRENT STATUS

- The EWG on the revision of the Classification are proposing examples for the selection of representative commodities for Class C – Primary Animal Feed Commodities and Class D – Processed Food Commodities of Plant Origin based on (i) the Terms of Reference agreed upon by CCPR50 for the revision of both classes; and (ii) the discussion, conclusions and recommendations provided under Agenda Items 7(a-c).
- Appendix I of CX/PR 19/51/9 includes proposed examples for the selection of representative commodities for groups and subgroups for Class C, Type 11.
- Appendix II of CX/PR 19/51/9 includes proposed examples for the selection of representative commodities for Class D.
- It was not possible to establish representative commodities for all groups / subgroups under the different types, because of the diversity of commodities in various groups/subgroups.

CCPR is invited to consider the proposals for examples of representative commodities for the revised Class C (Appendix I) and Class D (Appendix II). Such consideration should take into account the conclusions and recommendations in relation to the revision of these classes and the proposals for the transfer of processed commodities from Class D to Class C in the relevant working documents under Agenda Items 7(a-c).

#### **Canada's Position on the proposed examples of representative commodities for commodity groups in Class C (Primary Animal Feed Commodities) and Class D (Processed Food Commodities of Plant Origin)**

- As a member of the Electronic Working Group on the Revision of the Classification, Canada provided comments through this working group on the development of examples of representative commodities for Class C and Class D.

- Canada is in agreement with the examples of representative commodities for the different subgroups under Group 050 (Legume feed products) and Group 051 ((Cereal grains and grasses (including pseudocereals) feed products)). Canada supports the creation of a separate subgroup 051D for Grasses with examples of representative crops to be determined if this proposal for a new subgroup is accepted by CCPR. Canada is in agreement that it is not possible to propose example representative commodities for Group 052 (Miscellaneous Feed Products). By nature of the group, there is a broad range of crops in this group and no single commodity could be considered as representative of the whole group.
- Canada is in agreement with the examples of representative commodities for Class D, Type 12 Secondary food commodities of plant origin. For those groups for which no example commodity is proposed, Canada agrees that these groups contain a diverse range of commodities such that no single commodity would be representative of the group.

## **Egypt**

### **Egypt agrees on the classification mentioned in the following documents:**

**Document no. CL 2019/03-PR related to:** Revision of the Classification of Food and Feed (CXM 4-1989) Proposed tables on examples of representative commodities for commodity groups in different types under Class C - Primary Animal Feed Commodities and Class D – Processed Food Commodities of Plant Origin (for inclusion in the Principles and Guidance for the Selection of Representative Commodities for the Extrapolation of Maximum Residue Limits for Pesticides to Commodity Groups (CXG 84-2012)) Request for comments at Step 3.

## **Ghana**

**Position:** We propose that the representative commodity for the Subgroup 050A – products of legume with high water content (forage) should be amended to read “bean, forage and/or pea, vines” to give the possibility of selection of either bean, forage or pea, vines as the representative commodity depending on the commodity of interest.

**Rationale:** The criterion allows for flexibility for setting sub-group tolerances.