

CFS IN-DEPTH DEBATE ON ENHANCED EFFORTS TO INCREASE AWARENESS, OWNERSHIP, USE AND USEFULNESS OF CFS POLICY OUTCOMES AT ALL LEVELS (2023-2024): PRELIMINARY DISCUSSION

EXCERPTS FROM THE DRAFT 2024-2027 CFS MULTI-YEAR PROGRAMME OF WORK (MYPoW)

Objectives and expected outcomes:

The workstream is intended to foster an in-depth debate on enhanced efforts by CFS stakeholders on how to increase its impact, promote the dissemination, ownership, use and uptake of all CFS policy agreements, leading to increased awareness, policy coherence and coordination at all levels.

- An inclusive and participatory process will be established for CFS stakeholders, which will aim at maximizing the engagement from capitals, HQ and the field, as appropriate. This will result in the preparation of an Action Plan to be presented for endorsement by the CFS Plenary. The Action Plan will identify the areas of work where improvement is needed, recommend relevant follow-up actions and outline roles and responsibilities of different actors.
- After the approval of the Action Plan, a follow-up process is foreseen to implement its recommendations.

Process:

Following the identification of a Rapporteur among CFS Members, the Bureau and its Advisory Group will define a workplan and timeline for the in-depth debate to take place during the 2023-2024 intersessional period. An Open-Ended Working Group, open to interested CFS Members and Participants, will be established to discuss and prepare the Action Plan that will be presented for consideration by the Plenary at CFS 52. Follow-up activities that will be defined in the Action Plan expected to be agreed at CFS 52 will be included through the regular updates of the CFS MYPoW.

GUIDING QUESTIONS

- 1) What type of information could CFS stakeholders provide to serve as a point of departure for the in-depth debate?
- 2) How to identify (and engage with) the key individuals, institutions, and stakeholders at the country/regional level to be involved in the *“inclusive and participatory process”* agreed to be *“established for CFS stakeholders, which will aim at maximizing the engagement from capitals, HQ and the field, as appropriate”*?
- 3) Which areas of work, and which of the roles of CFS, require the most improvement and should be addressed under this workstream?
- 4) How can the different roles and responsibilities of CFS stakeholders regarding the uptake of CFS policy outcomes be taken into account?
- 5) Who would be a suitable candidate as rapporteur for this workstream?