PROCYMIDONE (136)

EXPLANATION

Procymidone was reviewed by the Joint Meeting in 1981, 1989 and 1990. The 1992 CCPR held all MRLs at step 7B in view of the need to ensure that the available residue data, most of which were reviewed in 1981, reflected current GAP.

The manufacturer indicated that residue data on common beans, cucumbers, grapes, head lettuce, bulb onions and tomatoes, together with information on current GAP, would be submitted to the JMPR.

Extensive information was provided by the manufacturer and some member countries on use patterns, with some residue data from supervised field trials and the monitoring of food commodities. The new information is evaluated in this monograph.

USE PATTERNS

Procymidone is a preventive and curative fungicide which is moderately systemic. It is especially effective for the control of Botrytis, Cocliobolus, Helmintosporium, Sclerotinia and Monilia species in fruits, vegetables, field crops and ornamental plants.

The current recommended or registered use patterns are summarized in Table 1.

RESIDUES RESULTING FROM SUPERVISED TRIALS

Additional residue data were provided from several countries (Tables 2-8). Most of the trials were conducted in accordance with the national use patterns or at about double rates. In the Tables the countries are indicated by the following codes: ARG-Argentina, AUL-Australia, AUS-Austria, BEL-Belgium, FRA-France, GER-Germany, HUN-Hungary, ITA-Italy, JPN-Japan, LUX-Luxembourg, NET-Netherlands, NZE-New Zealand, POR-Portugal, SAF-South Africa, SPA-Spain, SWI-Switzerland, URU-Uruguay, VEN-Venezuela. Underlined residues are from treatments according to GAP.

<u>Cherries, sour.</u> A cherry orchard was treated with Sumilex 50 WP at the maximum rate according to registered uses in Hungary. Samples were taken from days 1 to 21 after application. The residues found are shown in Table 2.

Grapes. Residue trials were conducted by Landis Europe SA at sites in seven regions in Europe where wine grapes are grown. Two of the trials were located in France, two in Italy, two in Spain, and one in Hungary. Six separate trials at each of the sites (a total of 42 trials) provided data on the residues of procymidone in or on mature, whole wine grapes and in wine prepared from them. In each test, four applications of Sumisclex 50 WP or Sumisclex 50 L were made using ground equipment. The first three applications were at growth stages of petal fall, cluster closing and colour change. The last application was at a prescribed time before harvest (28, 21, 15, and 5 days) in order to test the effect of the PHI on the residue level. Application was at the maximum rate found on any labels (1x) for four tests per trial site and at twice the maximum rate (2x) for two tests per site for the respective countries. These application rates were equivalent to 250 and 500 g ai/ha for Spain, and 750 and 1500 g ai/ha for France, Italy and Hungary.

Table 1. Registered or approved uses of procymidone

| Crop | Country | | Applic | ation | | PHI, days |
|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------------------|---------|----------|--------------|
| | | Formulation | No. | g ai/hl | g ai/ha | |
| Almond | Lebanon | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 14 |
| Apple | Lebanon | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 14 |
| Apple | Japan | 50 WP | 4 | 25 | | 90 |
| Apricot | Bulgaria | 50 WP | 3-4 | 75 | | 14 |
| Apricot | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | * | 75 | | 15 |
| Apricot | Japan | 50 WP | 3 | 37.5 | | 14 |
| Apricot | Jordan | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 14 |
| Apricot | Lebanon | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 14 |
| Apricot | Turkey | 50 WP | * | 100 | | 15 |
| Barley | Czechoslovakia | 50 WP | 1 ¹ | | 1500 | |
| Beans, Adzuki | Japan | 50 WP | 4 | 25-50 | | 21 |
| Beans | Chile | 50 WP | * | | 375-600 | |
| Beans | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | 1-2 | | 375 | 15 |
| Beans | Jordan | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Beans | Lebanon | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Beans | New Zealand | 25 FL | 2 | | 1000 | 3 |
| Beans | Poland | 50 WP | 2-3 | | 500 | |
| Beans | South Africa | 25 SC | 2 | | 375 | 14 |
| Beans | Thailand | 50 WP | At 7-10 d intervals | 15-30 | | |
| Beans (seed) | Czechoslovakia | 50 WP | 2 | 50 | | |
| Beans, Dwarf | Austria | WG 50 % | * | 50 | | 7 |
| Beans, Dwarf | Germany | WG 50 % | 3 | | 380 | 7 |
| Beans, Dwarf | Netherlands | 50 WP/FL | 2 | | 500 | 14 |
| Beans, Faba | Australia | 50 WP | 4-5 | | 250 | |
| Beans, Faba | Australia | 27.5 FL | 4-5 | | 275 | 2 |
| Beans, Green | Australia | 50 WP | 2 ⁶ | 50 | 500-750 | |
| Beans, Green | Australia | 27.5 FL | 2 | | 550-825 | 2 |
| Beans, Haricot | Belgium | 50 WP | | | 500 | 14 |
| Beans, Kidney | Japan | 50 WP | 4 | 25-50 | | 21 |
| Beans, Navy | Australia | 50 WP | 2 | | 500-750 | |
| | Australia | 27.5 FL | 2 | | 550-825 | 2 |
| Beans, Runner | Netherlands | 50 WP/FL | 1-2 | | 1000 | 14 |
| Blackberries | Switzerland | 50 WG | 2 | | 750-1000 | 14 |
| Cabbage | Greece | WG 50 % | 8-10 | 62.5 | | 3 |
| Cabbage | Japan | 50 WP | 4 | 50-75 | | 14 |
| Cabbage | Jordan | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Cacao | Venezuela | 50 WP | * | | 500-750 | 3 |
| Carrot | Hungary | 50 WP | 2-3 | | 500 | 14 |
| Carrot | Yugoslavia | 50 FL | | | | |

| Crop | Country | | Appli | cation | | PHI, days |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------|--------------|
| | | Formulation | No. | g ai/hl | g ai/ha | aays |
| Cauliflower | Greece | WG 50 % | 8-10 | 62.5 | | 3 |
| Celery | Japan | 50 WP | 5 | 25-50 | | 14 |
| Celery | Jordan | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Celery | Venezuela | 50 WP | * | | 500-750 | 3 |
| Cherries | Hungary | 50 WP | 3 | | 600-750 | 14 |
| Cherries | Japan | 50 WP | 3 | 25-37.5 | | 14 |
| Cherries | Jordan | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 14 |
| Cherries | Lebanon | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 14 |
| Cherries, sour | Yugoslavia | 50 WP | | 50 | | 28 |
| Cherries, sour | Yugoslavia | 50 FL | | 75 | | 28 |
| Chicory | France | 50 WP/(50 FL) | 3-4 | | 750 | 21 |
| Citrus | Peru | 50 WP | 1 | 25-50 | | |
| Clover | Czechoslovakia | 50 WP | 1-2 | | 500 | |
| Coffee | Thailand | 50 WP | At 7-10 d intervals | 15-30 | | |
| Cotton | Thailand | 50 WP | At 7-10 d intervals | 15-30 | | |
| Cucumbers | China | 50 WP | * | | 300-375 | |
| Cucumbers | CIS | 50 WP | * | 30-37.5 | | |
| Cucumbers | Greece | WG 50 % | 8-10 | 50 | | 1 |
| Cucumbers | Italy | 12.3/49 WP ² | 1-2 | 12.3-24.6 | | |
| Cucumbers | Japan | 25 D | 6 | | 750 | 1 |
| | Japan | 30 SA | 6 | | 1800 | 1 |
| | Japan | 50 WP | 6 | 25 | | 1 |
| Cucumbers | Jordan | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Cucumbers | Lebanon | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Cucumbers | Netherlands | 50 WP, 50 FL | * | 25 | | 3 |
| Cucumbers | Poland | 50 WP | * | 50-75 | | 3 |
| Cucumbers | Portugal | 50 WP | * | 75 | | 7 |
| Cucumbers | Rep. of Korea | 50 WP | 3 | | 800 | 5 |
| Cucumbers | Turkey | 50 WP | * | 37.5 | | 15 |
| Currant | Czechoslovakia | 50 WP | 1 | 75 ³ | | |
| Durian | Thailand | 50 WP | *1 | 25-50 | | |
| Egg plant | Greece | WG 50 % | 8-10 | 50 | | |
| Egg plant | Japan | 25 D | 6 | | 750 | 1 |
| | Japan | 30 SA | 6 | | 1800 | 1 |
| | Japan | 50 WP | 6 | 25-50 | | 1 |
| Egg plant | Jordan | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Egg plant | Lebanon | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Egg plant | Netherlands | 50 WP, 50 FL | * | 25 | | 3 |
| Egg plant | Poland | 50 WP | * | 50-75 | | 3 |
| Endive | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | 13 | 30 | | |
| | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | 14 | | 1500 | |

| Crop | Country | | Appli | cation | | PHI, days |
|----------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | Formulation | No. | g ai/hl | g ai/ha | _ |
| | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | 1-25 | | 1500 | |
| Endive | Netherlands | 50 WP, 50 FL | 2 | | 750 | |
| Fruits | Thailand | 50 WP | 1 ⁶ | 40-80 | | |
| Garlic | Australia | 27.5 FL | 1 | | 5.5 g/kg | |
| | Australia | 50 WP | 1 | | 5 g/kg ⁷ | |
| Garlic | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | 18 | | 1.5 g/kg ⁸ | |
| Garlic | Poland | 50 WP | 18 | | 2 g/kg | |
| | Poland | 50 WP | 1 | 150 | | |
| Garlic | Thailand | 50 WP | At 10-14 d intervals | 25-50 | | |
| Garlic | Uruguay | 50 WP | 1 | | 500 | |
| Garlic | Venezuela | 50 WP | 1 | | 500-1000 | 1 |
| Gherkins | Netherlands | 50 WP, 50 FL | * | 25 | | 3 |
| Grapes | Argentina | 50 WP | 2 | 37.5-50 | | 28 |
| Grapes | Australia | 27.5 FL | 4 | | 550 | 5 |
| | Australia | 50 WP | 2 | | 500 | 5 |
| | Austria | WG 50 % | 2 | 37.5-50 | | 21 |
| Grapes | Bulgaria | 50 WP | 4 | 50 | | 14 |
| Grapes | Chile | 50 WP | * | 25-37.5 | | |
| Grapes | CIS | 50 WP | 4 | | 500-750 | 30 |
| Grapes | Czechoslovakia | 50 WP | 1-2 | 50 | | 21 |
| Grapes | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | 1-2 | | 750 | 14 |
| Grapes | Germany | WG 50 % | 3 | 37.5 | 380-680 | 28 |
| Grapes | Greece | WG 50 % | * | 50 | | 28 ⁹ |
| | Greece | WG 50 % | * | 62.5 | | 14 ¹⁰ |
| Grapes | Hungary | 50 WP | 2 | | 500-750 | 14 |
| Grapes | Italy | 12.3/49 WP ² | 3-4 | 24.6 | | 21 |
| Grapes | Italy | 22.5/45 WP ¹¹ | * | 45-56.2 | | 21 |
| | Italy | 25 SC | 3-4 | 50-75 | | 21 |
| | Italy | 50 FL | 3-4 | 50-75 | | 21 |
| | Italy | 50 WP | 3-4 | 50-75 | 250-500 ¹² | 21 |
| | Italy | 5 D | 3-4 | | 1000-1250 | 21 |
| | Italy | 75 DFL | 3-4 | 52.5-75 | | 21 |
| Grapes | Luxembourg | 50 WP | 3 | 37.5 | | 28 |
| Grapes | New Zealand | 25 FL | 3 | | 1000 | 1 |
| Grapes | Peru | 50 WP | 2 | | 250-500 | |
| Grapes | Portugal | 50 WP | 4 | 75 | | 21 |
| Grapes | Rep. of Korea | 50 WP | * | | 1250 | 3 |
| Grapes | Romania | 50 WP | * | | 500-750 | |
| Grapes | South Africa | 25 SC | 1-2 | 50 | | 7 ⁹ , 28 ¹⁰ |
| Grapes | Spain | 3 E 3 D) | * | | 600-900 | 15 |
| | Spain | 50 WP | * | 75 | | 5 |

| Crop | Country | | Applic | ation | | PHI, days |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------|---------------------|--------------|
| | | Formulation | No. | g ai/hl | g ai/ha | |
| Grapes | Switzerland | 50 WG | 4 | 50 | 1000 | |
| Grapes | Thailand | 50 WP | At 7-10 d intervals | 10-15 | | |
| Grapes | Turkey | 50 WP | * | 37.5 | | 21 |
| Grapes | Uruguay | 50 WP | 1-2 | 37.5-50 | 500-750 | 28 |
| Grapes | Venezuela | 50 WP | * | | 500-1000 | 3 |
| Grapes | Yugoslavia | 50 FL | 4 | | 500 | 28 |
| Grapes | Yugoslavia | 50 WP | | | 500 | 28 |
| | Zimbabwe | 50 WP | 4 | | 500 | 21 |
| Kiwifruit | Italy | 25 SC | 5 | 75-100 | | 14 |
| Lemon | Thailand | 50 WP | At 10-14 d intervals | 25-50 | 25-50 | |
| Lettuce | Argentina | 50 WP | * | 50 | | 7 |
| Lettuce | Australia | 27.5 FL | 3-4 | | 310-660 | 2 |
| | Australia | 27.5 FL | 1 | 275 | 1000 | |
| | Australia | 50 WP | 3-4 | | 281-600 | 2 |
| | Australia | 50 WP | 1 | 250 | 1000 | 2 |
| Lettuce | Austria | WG 51 % | * | 50 | | 7 |
| Lettuce | Belgium | 50 WP | | | 5-7.5 g/acre | |
| Lettuce | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | 3-4 | | 750 | 21 |
| Lettuce | Greece | WG 50 % | 8-10 | 62.5 | | |
| Lettuce | Japan | 50 WP | 5 | 25-50 | | 7 |
| Lettuce | Jordan | 50 WP | * | | | 3 |
| Lettuce | Lebanon | 50 WP | * | | | 3 |
| Lettuce | Netherlands | 50 WP, 50 FL | 1 | | 2000 | |
| Lettuce | Poland | 50 WP | 2 | | 500-750 | 21 |
| Lettuce | Rep. of Korea | 50 WP | * | | 700 | 3 |
| Lettuce | Taiwan | 50 WP | 4 | | 375-500 | 3 |
| Lettuce | Uruguay | 50 WP | * | 50 | | |
| Lettuce | Venezuela | 50 WP | * | | 500-750 | 3 |
| Lettuce | Yugoslavia | 50 FL | 3 | | 300 | 35 |
| | Yugoslavia | 50 WP | | | 300-750 | |
| Litchi | Thailand | 50 WP | At 10-14 d intervals | 25-50 | | |
| Longan | Thailand | 50 WP | At 10-14 d intervals | 25-50 | | |
| Lupins | Australia | 50 WP | | | 1 g/kg ⁷ | |
| | Australia | 27.5 FL | | | 1.1 ml/kg | |
| Mango | Thailand | 50 WP | At 10-14 d intervals | 25-50 | | |
| Melon | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | * | | 750 | 7 |
| Melon | Netherlands | 50 WP, 50 FL | * | 25 | | 3 |
| Melon | Poland | 50 WP | * | 50-75 | | 3 |

| Crop | Country | | Applio | cation | | PHI, days |
|-----------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | | Formulation | No. | g ai/hl | g ai/ha | |
| | | | intervals | | | |
| Nectarine | Uruguay | 50 WP | * | | 500-750 | 7 |
| Onions | Argentina | 50 WP | * | 50 | | 7 |
| Onions | Australia | 27.5 FL | 1 | | 11 g/kg | 28 |
| | Australia | 27.5 FL | 2 | | 1000 | 28 |
| | Australia | 27.5 FL | 1 | 55 ⁷ | | 28 |
| | Australia | 50 WP | 1 | | 10 g/kg red | 28 |
| | Australia | 50 WP | 1 | | 2000 | 28 |
| | Australia | 50 WP | 1 | | 1000 | 28 |
| | Australia | 50 WP | 1 | 500 ⁷ | | 28 |
| Onions | Austria | WG 50 % | * | 50 | | 7 |
| Onions | Egypt | 50 WP | | | 5 g/kg ⁸ | |
| Onions | Greece | WG 50 % | 8-10 | 62.5 | | 1 |
| Onions | Japan | 50 WP | 5 | 25 | | 1 |
| Onions | Jordan | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Onions | Netherlands | 50 WP, 50 FL | 1 | | 250 | 28 |
| Onions | New Zealand | 10 % granule | 1 | | 2000 | 1 |
| | New Zealand | 25 FL | * | | 1000 | 21 |
| Onions | Poland | 50 WP | 11 | | 2.5 g/kg | 14 |
| | Poland | 50 WP | 1 | | 5-15 g/kg | 14 |
| | Poland | 50 WP | 17 | 150 | | 14 |
| Onions | Spain | 50 WP | | 75 | | 5 |
| Onions | Thailand | 50 WP | At 10-14 d intervals | 25-50 | | |
| Onions | Uruguay | 50 WP | * | | 750 | |
| Onion | Venezuela | 50 WP | * | | 500-1000 | 1 |
| Orange | Japan | 25 D | 3 | | 2000 | |
| | Japan | 30 SA | 3 | | 3000 | |
| | Japan | 50 WP | 3 | 37.5-75 | | |
| Papaya | Thailand | 50 WP | At 10-14 d intervals | 25-50 | | |
| Parsley | Hungary | 50 WP | 2-3 | | 500 | 14 |
| Peaches | Chile | 50 WP | * | | 375-600 | |
| Peaches | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | 2 | 75 | | 8 |
| Peaches | Italy | 12.3/49 WP ² | 3-5 | 24.6-30.75 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 25 SC | 3 | 75-100 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 25 SC | 4-5 | 50-75 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 50 WP | 3 | 75-100 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 50 WP | 4-5 | 50-75 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 50 FL | 3 | 75-100 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 50 FL | 4-5 | 50-75 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 75 DFL | 3 | 70-97.5 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 75 DFL | 4-5 | 52.5-75 | | 14 |

| Crop | Country | | Appli | cation | | PHI, days |
|-----------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|--------------|
| | | Formulation | No. | g ai/hl | g ai/ha | |
| Peaches | Japan | 50 WP | 3 | 25-37.5 | | 3 |
| Peaches | Jordan | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Peaches | Lebanon | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 14 |
| Peaches | Morocco | 50 WP | * | 250 | | 3 |
| Peaches | Romania | 50 WP | * | 50-75 | | |
| Peaches | South Africa | 25 SC | 2 | 37.5 | | 7 |
| Peaches | Uruguay | 50 WP | * | 25-37.5 | 500-750 | 7 |
| Peaches | Venezuela | 50 WP | * | | 500-750 | 3 |
| Peanut | Japan | 50 WP | 4 | 25-50 | | 21 |
| Peanut | South Africa | 25 SC | 2-3 | | 375 | 14 |
| Peanut | Venezuela | 50 WP | * | 50-100 | | 21 |
| Pear | Italy | 50 WP | * | 50-75 | | |
| | Italy | 50 FL | * | 50-75 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 25 SC | * | 50-75 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 75 DFL | * | 52.5-75 | | 14 |
| Peas | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | 1-2 | | 375 | 15 |
| Peas | Germany | WG 50 % | 1 | | 500 | 14 |
| Peas | South Africa | 25 SC | 2-3 | | 375 | 14 |
| Peppers | Austria | WG 50 % | * | 50 | | 7 |
| Peppers | Greece | WG 50 % | 8-10 | 62.5 | | 3 |
| Peppers | Jordan | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Peppers | Lebanon | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Peppers | Portugal | 50 WP | * | 75 | | 7 |
| Peppers | Thailand | 50 WP | At 7-10 d intervals | 15-30 | | |
| Peppers, Chilli | Thailand | 50 WP | At 7-10 d intervals | 15-30 | | |
| Peppers, Green | Hungary | 50 WP | * | | 500 | 3 |
| Peppers, Green | Japan | 30 SA | 5 | | 1800 | 1 |
| | Japan | 50 WP | 5 | 25-50 | | 7 |
| Peppers, Green | Netherlands | 50 WP, 50 FL | * | 25 | | 3 |
| Peppers, Red | Poland | 50 WP | * | 50-75 | | 3 |
| Peppers, Red | Rep. of Korea | 50 WP | 5 | | 800 | 7 |
| Plum | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | 1 | 75 | | 8 |
| Plum | Lebanon | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 14 |
| Prune, dried | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | 2-3 | 75 | | 8 |
| Pome fruits | Switzerland | 50 WG | 2 | | 1000 | |
| Potatoes | Japan | 50 WP | 4 | 25-37.5 | | 21 |
| Potatoes | Jordan | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Potatoes | Lebanon | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Potatoes | South Africa | 25 SC | 1-2 | | 125-250 | 35 |
| Potatoes | Thailand | 50 WP | At 10-14 d intervals | 25-50 | | |

| Crop | Country | | Appli | cation | | PHI, days |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------|--------------|
| | | Formulation | No. | g ai/hl | g ai/ha | |
| Quince | Turkey | 50 WP | * | 100 | | 15 |
| Rambutan | Thailand | 50 WP | At 10-14 d intervals | 25-50 | | |
| Rape seed | China | 50 WP | * | | 225-450 | |
| Rape seed | CIS | 50 WP | * | | 225-450 | |
| Rape seed | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | 1-2 | | 375-750 | |
| Rape seed | Germany | WG 50 % | 1 | | 500 | 56 |
| Rape seed | Poland | 50 WP | * | | 750 | 56 |
| Rape seed | Yugoslavia | 50 WP | | | 300-750 | 42 |
| Raspberries | Hungary | 50 WP | 3 | | 500-600 | 14 |
| Raspberries | Poland | 50 WP | 2 | | 1250 | 7 |
| Raspberries | Switzerland | 50 WG | 2 | | 750-1000 | 14 |
| Rice | Thailand | 50 WP | At 7-10 d intervals | 15-30 | | |
| Shallot | Netherlands | 50 WP, 50 FL | 17 | | 1000 | 14 |
| Soya bean | Japan | 50 WP | 4 | 25-50 | | 21 |
| Soya bean | Venezuela | 50 WP | * | | 500-1000 | 21 |
| Squash, Summer (Courgettes) | Netherlands | 50 WP, 50 FL | * | 25 | | 3 |
| Stone fruits | Australia | 27.5 FL | 1 | 27.5-55 ⁶ | | 1 |
| | Australia | 50 WP | 4-5 | 25-37.5 | | 1 |
| | Australia | 50 WP | 1 | 50 ⁶ | | |
| Stone fruits | Chile | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | |
| Stone fruits | Greece | WG 50 % | * | 50-75 | | |
| Stone fruits | New Zealand | 25 FL | 2-3 | | 750-1000 | 1 |
| Stone fruits | Switzerland | 50 WG | 2 | 50 | | |
| Strawberries | Austria | WG 50 % | * | 37.5 | | 10 |
| Strawberries | Belgium | 50 WP | | | 750 | 3 |
| Strawberries | Bulgaria | 50 WP | 3 | 50 | | 14 |
| Strawberries | Chile | 50 WP | * | 25-37.5 | | |
| Strawberries | CIS | 50 WP | 2 | | 500 | |
| Strawberries | Czechoslovakia | 50 WP | 2 | 50 | 1000 | |
| Strawberries | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | 3-4 | | 750 | 7 |
| Strawberries | Germany | WG 50 % | 3 | 37.5 | 750 | 7 |
| Strawberries | Greece | WG 50 % | * | 50-62.5 | | 3 |
| Strawberries | Hungary | 50 WP | 3 | | 500-600 | 14 |
| Strawberries | Italy | 12.3/49 WP ² | 3 | 24.6-30.7 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 22.5/45 WP | * | 45-56.2 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 25 SC | 3 | 40-50 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 50 FL | 3 | 40-50 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 50 WP | 3 | 40-50 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 5 D | 3 | | 1000 | 14 |
| | Italy | 75 DFL | 3 | 37.5-52.5 | | 14 |

| Crop | Country | Application | | | | | | |
|--------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|------|--|--|
| | | Formulation | No. | g ai/hl | g ai/ha | days | | |
| Strawberries | Japan | 25 D | 3 | | 750 | 7 | | |
| | Japan | 30 SA | 3 | | 1800 | 1 | | |
| | Japan | 50 WP | 3 | 50 | | 3 | | |
| Strawberries | Jordan | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 | | |
| Strawberries | Netherlands | 50 WP, 50 FL | * | | 225-375 | 14 | | |
| Strawberries | New Zealand | 25 FL | 3 | | 500-1000 | 1 | | |
| Strawberries | Peru | 50 WP | 1-3 | | 250-500 | 3 | | |
| Strawberries | Poland | 50 WP | 1-2 | | 750-1250 | 7 | | |
| Strawberries | Portugal | 50 WP | * | 75 | | 7 | | |
| Strawberries | Romania | 50 WP | * | 50 | | | | |
| Strawberries | Rep. of Korea | 50 WP | * | | 700 | 2 | | |
| | Rep. of Korea | FW Smoke pellet | 3 | | 360 | 2 | | |
| Strawberries | Spain | 3 E (3 D) | * | | 600-900 | 5 | | |
| | Spain | 50 WP | | 75 | | 5 | | |
| Strawberries | Switzerland | 50 WG | 2 | 50 | | 14 | | |
| Strawberries | Taiwan | 50 WP | 1-2 | | 250 | 5 | | |
| Strawberries | Thailand | 50 WP | | 10-157 | | | | |
| Strawberries | Uruguay | 50 WP | 1-3 | | 500-750 | | | |
| Strawberries | Venezuela | 50 WP | * | | 500-1000 | 1 | | |
| Strawberries | Yugoslavia | 50 FL | 3 | | 750 | 21 | | |
| | Yugoslavia | 50 WP | | | 300-750 | 21 | | |
| Sugar beet | Czechoslovakia | 50 WP | | 150 | | | | |
| Sugar beet | Poland | 50 WP | 1 ⁶ | 0.5 | | | | |
| | Poland | 50 WP | 1 ¹ | 0.75-1 | | | | |
| Sunflower | Bulgaria | 50 WP | * | | 500 | 14 | | |
| Sunflower | CIS | 50 WP | 111 | | 2 g/kg | | | |
| Sunflower | Czechoslovakia | 50 WP | 2 | | 500 | | | |
| Sunflower | Hungary | 50 WP | * | | 500 | 21 | | |
| Sunflower | Romania | 50 WP | | | 500 g/ton ¹ | | | |
| Sunflower | Yugoslavia | 50 WP | | | 300-750 | 42 | | |
| Tea | Thailand | 50 WP | At 7-10 d intervals | 15-30 | | | | |
| Tomatoes | Australia | 27.5 FL | 2-3 | | 310-660 | 2 | | |
| | Australia | 50 WP | 2-3 | | 281-600 | 2 | | |
| Tomatoes | Bulgaria | 50 WP | * | 50 | | 14 | | |
| Tomatoes | Chile | 50 WP | * | 25-37.5 | | | | |
| Tomatoes | China | 50 WP | * | | 375-750 | | | |
| Tomatoes | CIS | 50 WP | * | | | | | |
| Tomatoes | France | 50 WP, 50 FL | As needed | | 750 | 7 | | |
| Tomatoes | Greece | WG 50% | 8-10 | 50 | | 1 | | |
| Tomatoes | Hungary | 50 WP | * | | 500 | 3 | | |

| Crop | Country | | Appli | cation | | PHI, days |
|------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------|----------|--------------|
| | | Formulation | No. | g ai/hl | g ai/ha | |
| | Italy | 22.5/45 WP | 8-10 | 45-56.2 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 25 SC | * | 40-50 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 50 FL | * | 40-50 | | 14 |
| Tomatoes | Italy | 50 WP | At 15 d intervals | 40-50 | | 14 |
| | Italy | 5 D | * | | 750-1000 | 14 |
| | Italy | 75 DFL | * | 37.5-52.5 | | 14 |
| Tomatoes | Japan | 25 D | 3 | | 750 | 3 |
| | Japan | 30 SA | 3 | | 1800 | 1 |
| | Japan | 50 WP | 3 | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Tomatoes | Jordan | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Tomatoes | Lebanon | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Tomatoes | Netherlands | 50 WP, 50 FL | * | | 370-750 | 3 |
| Tomatoes | Morocco | 50 WP | 3-4 | | 375-500 | 7 |
| Tomatoes | New Zealand | 25 FL | 3 | | 1000 | 3 |
| Tomatoes | Poland | 50 WP | * | 50-75 | | 3 |
| Tomatoes | Portugal | 50 WP | * | 75 | | 7 |
| Tomatoes | Rep. of Korea | 50 WP | 5 | | 800 | 3 |
| Tomatoes | Romania | 50 WP | * | 50 | | |
| Tomatoes | South Africa | 25 SC | 1-3 | 25 | | 3 |
| Tomatoes | Thailand | 50 WP | At 7-10 d intervals | 15-30 | | |
| Tomatoes | Turkey | 50 WP | * | 37.5 | | 15 |
| Tomatoes | Venezuela | 50 WP | * | | 500-750 | 3 |
| Tomatoes | Yugoslavia | 50 FL | | | 500 | 21 |
| | Yugoslavia | 50 WP | | | 300-750 | |
| Tomatoes | Zimbabwe | 50 WP | 1-2 | | 250 | 7 |
| Vegetables | Algeria | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 21 |
| Vegetables | Chile | 50 WP | * | | 375-600 | |
| Vegetables | Spain | 3 E (3 D) | * | | 600-900 | 5 |
| | Spain | 50 WP | * | 75 | | 5 |
| Vegetables | Thailand | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | |
| Watermelon | Japan | 25 D | 5 | | 750 | 21 |
| Watermelon | Jordan | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |
| Watermelon | Lebanon | 50 WP | * | 25-50 | | 3 |

Notes: see next page.

Notes to Table 1:

- * Not specified
- 1 Seed treatment
- 2 Procymidone/thiram 12.3/49 WP
- ³ Conservation in cold store
- 4 Forcing
- ⁵ Forcing in a forcing bed
- Stored product protection
- Oil treatment before sowing/planting
- ⁸ Bulb treatment against white rot before planting
- ⁹ Wine grapes
- 10 Table grapes
- 11 Procymidone/chlorothalonil 22.5/45 WP
- 12 Dry treatment

For tests using 2x rates, grapes were harvested 15 and 5 days after the last application. Grapes were treated at the higher rates in order to ensure sufficient residues for wine-processing trials. All analyses were performed at the same laboratory. The results are summarized in Table 3.

Examination of the results indicates that average procymidone levels from treatments at the 1x application rate varied considerably between sites. In all, 84 separate analyses of grapes were completed for 1x applications at PHIs of 15 days or longer. None of the 84 results exceeded the 5 mg/kg TMRL for procymidone in grapes. An additional 28 analyses were completed on grapes after 1x application and a 5-day PHI. Only one residue exceeded 5 mg/kg, with a level of 5.39 mg/kg.

Raspberry. Raspberry fields were treated with Sumilex 50 WP at maximum rates according to registered uses in Hungary and Poland. Samples were taken from 1 to 14 days after application. The residues found are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Residues of procymidone in various crops from supervised trials.

| Commodity, Country, Year | i | Application | | Residues applicati | (mg/kg) a .on | nt days a | fter last | | Ref. |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------------|-----|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|--|--|------|
| | Form. | kg ai/ha | No. | 0-1 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 21 | |
| Cherries, Sour, HUN, 1992 | WP | 0.7 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.63 | 0.52 | 0.77 ² 0.52 | 0.32 | 3 |
| Egg plant, POL, 1992 | WP | 0.6 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.93 | 0.82 | 0.53 ² 0.42 | | 9 |
| Potato ⁶ , JPN, 1977 | WP | 0.5 | 4 | | | | 0.023 | 0.05 0.03 ⁴ 0.02 ⁵ | 22 |
| Potato, JPN, 1977 | WP | 0.5 | 4 | | | | 0.083 | $\frac{0.05^{4}}{0.02} \\ \frac{0.03}{0.03}^{5}$ | 23 |
| Raspberry, HUN, 1992 | WP | 0.6 | 1 | 4.38 | 3.98 | 0.97 | $0.56^{2} \\ \underline{0.52}^{7} \\ \underline{0.21}^{8}$ | | 1 |
| Raspberry, POL, 1992 | WP | 1.25 | 2 | 3.1 | 2 | 1.4 | 0.73 ² 0.51 | | 2 |
| Green beans, SPA, 1990 | WP | 0.75 | 1 | 1.28 | 0.731 | 0.59 | 0.36 ² 0.51 | | 21 |
| | WP | 0.75 | 1 | 1.0 | 0.811 | 0.46 | 0.43 ² 0.27 | | 21 |
| | WP | 0.75 | 1 | 1.56 | 0.651 | 0.56 | 1.15 ² 0.39 | | 21 |

| Commodity, Country, Year | 1 | Application | | Residues applicati | | ıt days ai | fter last | | Ref. |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------------|-----|-----------------------|-------|-------------|---------------------------|--|------|
| | Form. | kg ai/ha | No. | 0-1 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 21 | |
| | WP | 0.75 | 1 | 1.31 | 0.691 | 0.67 | 0.89 ² 0.17 | | 21 |
| Green beans, SPA, 1992 | WP | 0.45 | 1 | 0.98 | 0.67 | <u>0.38</u> | 0.30 | 0.08 | 21 |
| | WP | 0.45 | 1 | 0.99 | 0.78 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 21 |
| | WP | 0.45 | 1 | 0.95 | 0.86 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.08 | 21 |
| Haricot beans, FRA, 1991 | L | 0.75 | 2 | | | 0.39 | | | 14 |
| | L | 0.75 | 1 | | | 0.54 | | | 14 |
| | L | 0.75 | 1 | | | 0.57 | | | 14 |
| Sunflower | WP | 0.5 | 3 | | | | | $\frac{0.12^4}{0.11} \\ \frac{0.04}{0.04}$ | 6 |

Strawberry. Supervised trials were reported from Hungary and Spain. Sumilex 50 WP was applied according to registered uses at rates of 0.6-1 kg ai/ha. Residues detected in samples taken between days 0 and 21 following application are shown in Table 4.

Shallots. Procymidone was used for seed treatment in France at a rate of 150 g ai/100 kg seed. At harvest the crop contained 0.05 mg/kg residue 116 days after sowing (Macdonald et al., 1992e).

Table 3. Residues of procymidone in grapes from supervised trials

| Country, location | | Application | n | Residues (mg/ | kg) at days a | fter last app | lication |
|-------------------|-------|-------------|-----------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Form. | kg ai/ha | Sray 1/ha | 5 | 15 | 21 | 28 |
| FRA, Tours | 50L | 0.79 | 198 | 2.16 2.63 2.68 3.05 | 2.59 2.24 2.64 2.65 | 2.45 2.32 2.51 1.69 | 2.12 2.16 1.93 2.40 |
| | 50L | 1.57 | 198 | 9.62 9.66 5.45 5.47 | 5.72 7.09 5.51 8.87 | | |
| FRA, Avignon | 50L | 0.75 | 194 | 1.12 1.31 1.20 1.38 | 1.06 1.07 0.86 0.86 | 1.07 0.92 1.09 1.50 | 0.55 0.92 1.08 0.98 |
| | 50L | 1.50 | 194 | 4.21 3.88 3.49 5.03 | 2.16 3.11 2.90 2.85 | | |
| SPA, Toledo | 50 WP | 0.25 | 200 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.74 \\ \hline 1.72 \\ \hline 1.53 \\ \hline 1.98 \end{array}$ | 1.47 0.55 0.95 1.43 | 0.59 0.56 3.10 1.47 | 0.99 0.84 0.61 0.41 |
| | 50 WP | 0.48 | 200 | 4.82 3.75 4.03 2.60 | 2.24 2.94 3.29 2.39 | | |
| SPA, Cordoba | 50 WP | 0.24 | 197 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.42 \\ \hline 0.42 \\ \hline 0.34 \end{array}$ | 0.56 0.36 0.40 | 0.28 0.37 0.40 | 0.18 0.30 0.29 |

Samples were taken 2 days after application Samples were taken 10 days after application Samples were taken 19 days after application Samples were taken 28 days after application Samples were taken 30 days after application Peeled potatoes
Unripe fruits
Ripe fruits

| Country, location | | Application | n | Residues (mg/ | kg) at days a | fter last appl | lication |
|-------------------|-------|-------------|-----------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| | Form. | kg ai/ha | Sray 1/ha | 5 | 15 | 21 | 28 |
| | | | | <u>0.35</u> 0.55 | | 0.32 | 0.26 |
| | 50 WP | 0.50 | 197 | 1.04 0.69 1.08 0.96 | 1.06 0.70 0.80 1.27 | | |
| SPA (Ref. 21) | WP | 0.6 | | 0.40 | 0.24 | 0.22 | |
| ITA, Asti | 50 WP | 0.74 | 324 | 2.93 2.53 2.18 1.78 | 2.14 2.46 2.13 2.50 | $ \begin{array}{r} 1.83 \\ \hline 2.93 \\ \hline 1.99 \\ \hline 2.61 \\ \end{array} $ | 2.72 3.62 2.28 2.69 |
| | 50 WP | 1.42 | 324 | 4.62 4.09 3.28 3.74 | 5.64 4.83 4.84 6.55 | | |
| ITA, Bologna | 50 WP | 0.74 | 1200 | 2.83 2.72 2.11 3.19 | 2.94 2.85 3.53 3.15 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.56 \\ 2.62 \\ \hline 3.17 \\ \hline 2.56 \end{array}$ | 2.22 2.72 2.06 2.13 |
| | 50 WP | 1.49 | 1200 | 7.24 5.29 7.44 5.80 | 6.29 5.23 5.05 4.97 | | |
| HUN, Balaton | 50 WP | 0.75 | 168 | 2.30 5.39 1.33 4.16 | 4.60 3.63 1.59 1.89 | 2.11 2.04 2.56 2.70 | 1.81 1.35 2.12 2.19 |
| | 50 WP | 1.52 | 168 | 3.95 6.79 4.80 3.84 | 4.36 6.25 5.26 4.47 | | |

Table 4. Residues of procymidone in strawberries from supervised trials. All single applications of WP.

| Country Year | Kg ai/ha | Residue applica | | rg) at day | ys afte | r last | Ref. |
|-----------------|----------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------|--------|------|
| | | 0 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 21 | |
| HUN, 1987 | 0.6 | 0.39 0.59 0.42 | 0.3 0.44 0.42 | 0.17* 0.4* 0.22* | | | 10 |
| SPA, 1985 | 1 | 2.77 | 2.20 | 1.30 | 0.50 | | 21 |
| SPA, 1986 | 1 | 1.70 | 1.06 | <u>3.71</u> | 1.60 | 0.80 | 21 |
| | | 1.90 | 1.30 | <u>3.50</u> | 2.10 | 0.80 | |
| | | 1.74 | 0.92 | 4.24 | 1.50 | 0.96 | |
| SPA, 1987 | 0.75 | 4.20 | 2.55 | 1.33 | 0.80 | 0.52 | 21 |
| | | 4.90 | 4.30 | <u>1.65</u> | 1.25 | 0.46 | |
| | | 4.80 | 4 | 1.80 | 1.50 | 0.52 | |
| SPA, 1988 | 1.25 | 2.80 | 1.50 | 1.06 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 21 |

| | 1.75 | 1.35 | 0.95 | 0.56 | 0.40 | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|--|
| | 1.80 | 1.30 | 0.87 | 0.40 | 0.28 | |

^{*} Samples taken 5 days after application.

<u>Cucumber</u>. Field trials were conducted in Spain in 1990 and 1991, applying procymidone one to five times at rates of 0.5-0.91 kg ai/ha. The residues found in samples taken between 2 and 16 days after application are shown in Table 5.

 $\underline{\text{Egg plant}}$. Residues from a supervised field trial in Poland, applying procymidone at a rate of 0.6 kg ai/ha, are shown in Table 2.

<u>Peppers, Sweet</u>. The results of supervised trials in glasshouses in Spain are summarized in Table 6.

 $\underline{\text{Tomatoes}}$. Supervised trials were conducted in France and Italy following recommended use patterns. Procymidone was applied 4 or 6 times at rates of 0.5 and 0.75 kg ai/ha respectively. Residues at 0 to 21 days after the lastp application are presented in Table 7.

Table 5. Residues of procymidone in cucumbers from supervised trials in Spain in 1990 and 1991. All WP. Reference 13.

| Year | Application | | Resi | Residues (mg/kg) at days after last application | | | | | | |
|------|-------------|-----|------|--|-------|-------|------|--|--|--|
| | kg ai/ha | No. | 2 | 5 | 8 | 12-13 | 16 | | | |
| 1991 | 0.5 | 5 | 0.06 | 0.38 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.06 | | | |
| | 0.56 | 5 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 0.09 | | | |
| | 0.56 | 5 | 0.73 | 0.29 | 0.16 | 0.08 | 0.08 | | | |
| 1990 | 0.75 | 1 | 0.28 | 0.091 | 0.112 | 0.073 | | | | |
| 1991 | 0.91 | 5 | 0.90 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.07 | 0.06 | | | |

¹ Samples were taken 7 days after last application.

² Samples were taken 10 days after last application.

³ Samples were taken 15 days after last application.

Table 6. Residues of procymidone in peppers, grown indoors, from supervised trials in Spain. All single applications of WP, 0.75 kg ai/ha. Reference 21.

| Year | Resi | Residues (mg/kg) at days after last application | | | | | | | | | |
|------|------|---|-------------|-----------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 0 | 2-3 | 7 | 10 -11 | 15 | | | | | | |
| 1989 | 2.61 | 0.42 | 0.73 | 0.85 | 0.32 | | | | | | |
| | 2.51 | 0.52 | 0.57 | 0.72 | 0.48 | | | | | | |
| | 1.17 | 0.71 | 1.30 | 0.51 | 0.52 | | | | | | |
| | 0.88 | 0.44 | 0.72 | 0.42 | 0.52 | | | | | | |
| 1988 | | 0.91 | 1.2 | 0.51 | 0.27 | | | | | | |
| | | 0.33 | 1.07 | 0.42 | 0.34 | | | | | | |
| | | 0.9 | <u>0.17</u> | 0.22 | 0.16 | | | | | | |
| | | 0.93 | <u>0.34</u> | 0.33 | 0.18 | | | | | | |

<u>Lettuce</u>. Supervised field trials were performed in France and Spain applying Sumilex 50 WP and L formulations one to four times at rates of 0.75 or 0.85 kg ai/ha. Residues measured in samples taken between days 0 and 21 are summarized in Table 8.

<u>Beans</u>. Green beans and haricot beans were treated according to registered uses with powder and liquid formulations of procymidone in France and Spain. Residues found after 0-21 days are shown in Table 2.

<u>Potato</u>. Trials were reported from Japan in which Sumilex 50 WP was applied four times at 0.5 kg ai/ha. Whole and peeled potatoes were analyzed for procymidone residues. The results are shown in Table 2.

Table 7. Residues of procymidone in tomatoes from supervised trials in France and Italy, 1991.

| Country | | Application | | Residues (| Residues (mg/kg) at days after last application | | | | | | |
|---------|-------|-------------|-----|--------------|---|------|-------|------|----|--|--|
| | Form. | kg ai/ha | No. | 0-1 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 21 | | | |
| FRA | L | 0.75 | 6 | | 0.96 | | | | 11 | | |
| | L | 0.75 | 6 | | 0.41 | | | | 11 | | |
| ITA | WP | 0.5 | 4 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 0.51 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 12 | | |
| | WP | 0.5 | 4 | 0.93 | 1.08 | 0.32 | 0.46 | 1.58 | 12 | | |
| | WP | 0.5 | 4 | 0.56 | 0.40 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 12 | | |
| | WP | 0.5 | 4 | 0.78 | 0.67 | 0.28 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 12 | | |
| | WP | 0.5 | 4 | 0.39 0.37 | 0.111 | 0.15 | 0.122 | | 12 | | |
| | WP | 0.5 | 4 | 0.35 | 0.46 | 0.32 | 0.172 | | 12 | | |

¹ Sample was taken 4 days after last application.

<u>Sunflower</u>. Supervised field trials were conducted in Hungary, applying Sumilex 50 WP one and three times at the maximum registered rate. Samples were taken 21 and 28 days after the last application. The residues detected in the seeds are shown in Table 2.

Table 8. Residues of procymidone in lettuce from supervised trials.

| Country, Year | Application | | | Residues (| Ref. | | | | |
|------------------|-------------|----------|-----|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|----|
| | Form. | kg ai/ha | No. | 0 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 21 | |
| SPA, 1990 | WP | 0.75 | 1 | 3.8 4.05 9.6 | 1.3 0.9 2.1 | 0.98 0.85 1.05 | 0.38 0.4 0.38 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ \hline 0.42 \\ \hline 0.4 \end{array}$ | 20 |
| FRA, 1991 | L | 0.75 | 3 | | | | | 0.17 | 15 |
| | L | 0.75 | 4 | | | | | 0.15 | 15 |
| | L | 0.75 | 3 | | | | | 0.17 | 15 |
| SPA, 1989 | WP | 0.85 | 1 | 4.85 4.5 4.25 | | 0.65 0.55 0.53 | | 0.07* 0.05* 0.07* | 20 |

 $^{^{\}star}$ Samples were taken 22 days after last application

FATE OF RESIDUES

In processing

 $\frac{\text{Grapes}}{\text{above}}$ were harvested from the 42 separate treated test plots detailed $\frac{\text{above}}{\text{above}}$ ("Residues resulting from supervised trials", where other details are given) and fermented into wine. At each site at least 50 kg of grapes were collected from the control plot. For the treated plots, at least 14 kg of grapes were collected from each of the sub-plots (replicates), and subsequently combined at the laboratory into a 50-kg processing sample for vinification. The processing samples were shipped to the processing laboratory in Grabels, France. All shipments were completed within 24 hours of harvest.

² Samples were taken 10 days after last application.

Processing of the grapes began shortly after receipt. The vinification procedure used for grapes from each site was chosen to match the procedure used locally in the country or region of origin. The use of different procedures, each typical of the locale in which the grapes originated, allowed a realistic estimate of residues to be expected in commercially-produced wine.

The process for making white wine is shown in Figure 1. The basic steps followed in producing all white wines are pressing to remove pomace, decantation, alcoholic fermentation, clarification and filtration before bottling. The principal differences between the local practices were in the amount and point of addition of potassium bisulphite, the amount of added rehydrated yeast, the addition of sugar to the fermentation media, the addition of clarification aids, and the use of a pectolytic enzyme.

The process for making red wine is showed in Figure 2. The basic steps followed in producing all red wines are crushing, alcoholic fermentation, pressing to remove pomace, malolactic fermentation, clarification, and filtration before bottling. The principal differences between local practices were in the amount, if any, of added rehydrated yeast and the use of natural or added malolactic bacteria.

Each grape lot was sampled and analyzed for procymidone. Fresh wine was sampled at the time of bottling, which varied with the vinification process, and analyzed for procymidone and 3,5-dichloroaniline (DCA) which may be formed during or just after vinification.

The results are summarized in Table 9. Apparent residues of procymidone in or on control samples of whole grapes from the 7 locations were <0.01 mg/kg (undetectable) or 0.01 mg/kg (just detectable). Average levels of procymidone in the grapes used for vinification ranged between 0.20 mg/kg and 2.68 mg/kg in or on the 84 samples from tests at 1x application rates which were harvested after 5, 15, 21 or 28 days. Residues of procymidone ranged between 0.81 mg/kg and 7.48 mg/kg in or on the corresponding 42 samples from application at the 2x rate harvested after PHIs of 5 and 15 days. Procymidone residues in 56 samples of fresh wine from test plots treated at the 1x rate ranged between 0.01 and 0.43 mg/kg. Residues of procymidone in 26 samples of fresh wine from treatments at the 2x rate ranged between 0.13 and 1.35 mg/kg.

Figure 1. The basic process for making white wine.

MATURE GRAPES

Pressing (pomace removed)

Decantation

Alcoholic fermentation

 ${\tt Malolactic\ fermentation\ (if\ required)}$

Clarification

Filtration

WINE

Bottling

Residues of DCA were below the limit of detection (0.01 mg/kg) in untreated control samples of fresh wine, representing wine from all seven locations. Residue levels of DCA in fresh wine from each location at the 1x application rates ranged between <0.01 mg/kg and 0.08 mg/kg. DCA levels in wine produced from the grapes treated at the 2x rate ranged between 0.01 and 0.20 mg/kg.

Table 9. Residues of procymidone and 3.5-dichloroaniline (DCA) in fresh wine prepared from grapes treated with Sumilex 50WP or 50L.

| Country, Location | Rate kg ai/ha | | Residues (| mg/kg) at day | ys after last | application | |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | Commodity | Compound | 5 | 15 | 21 | 28 |
| FRA, Tours | 0.79 | grape wine | parent parent DCA | 2.4 0.32 0.07 | 2.26 0.31 0.07 | 2.46 0.34 0.07 | 1.14 0.30 0.06 |
| | 1.57 | grape wine | | | 7.42 5.53 1.01 0.98 0.20 0.15 | | |
| FRA, Avignon | 0.75 | grape wine | parent parent DCA | 0.90 0.19 0.05 | 1.45 0.24 0.03 | 0.87 0.22 0.03 | 1.16 0.20 0.03 |
| | 1.5 | grape wine | parent parent DCA | 2.99 0.54 0.09 | 3.39 0.48 0.09 | | |
| SPA, Toledo | 0.25 | grape wine | parent parent DCA | 1.22 0.28 0.03 | 1.07 0.19 0.04 | 0.42 0.15 0.04 | 0.67 0.20 0.04 |
| | 0.48 | grape wine | parent parent DCA | 4.85 * * | $\frac{2.28}{0.59}$ | | |
| SPA, Cordoba | 0.24 | grape wine | parent parent DCA | 0.33 0.04 <0.01 | 0.34 0.03 <0.01 | 0.35 0.03 <0.01 | 0.20 0.01 <0.01 |
| | 0.50 | grape wine | parent parent DCA | 0.81 0.19 0.02 | $\frac{1.16}{0.13}$ | | |
| ITA, Asti | 0.74 | grape wine | parent parent DCA | 2.08 0.36 0.06 | 1.72 0.41 0.03 | $\frac{2.24}{0.38}$ $\frac{0.07}{0.07}$ | 2.37 0.39 0.08 |
| | 1.42 | grape wine | parent parent DCA | 4.52 0.81 0.16 | 5.15 0.78 0.15 | | |
| ITA, Bologna | 0.74 | grape wine | parent parent DCA | 2.26 0.25 0.05 | 1.89 0.14 0.03 | $\frac{2.68}{0.11}$ | 1.90 0.12 0.02 |
| | 1.49 | grape wine | parent parent DCA | 5.32 0.48 0.11 | 3.74 0.48 0.08 | | |
| HUN, Balaton | 0.75 | grape wine | parent parent DCA | 2.11 0.43 0.04 | $\frac{1.76}{0.40} \\ \hline 0.03$ | $\frac{2.70}{0.35}$ 0.03 | 1.74 0.21 0.02 |
| | 1.52 | grape wine | parent parent DCA | 7.48 1.35 0.10 | 4.65 0.74 0.06 | | |

^{*} Samples were not received

Figure 2. The basic process for making red wine

MATURE GRAPES

Crushing

Alcoholic fermentation

Pressing (pomace removed)

Malolactic fermentation

Clarification

Filtration

WINE

Bottling

Sunflower seeds treated one or three times at rates of 0.5 kg ai/ha were processed with small-scale laboratory equipment. However, the processing technology very closely resembled the industrial process. The scheme of processing, the yield and the loss of oil at various steps are shown in Figure 3. The residues in the seed and crude and refined oil are summarized in Table 10 (Ambrus, 1992c). The results indicate concentration factors ranging between about 2 and 3 from seed to crude oil, and about 1 and 2 from seed to refined oil.

Figure 3. Production of oil from sunflower seed.

SUNFLOWER SEED

hulls 13 % Dehulling

meal

press cake

Pressing [at 98°C]

CRUDE OIL

Filtration

CRUDE OIL 34% of seed (filtered)

 $[0.1% \frac{DE-GUMMING}{H_3PO_4 (65% w/w)}]$

 $\frac{\text{NEUTRALIZATION}}{\text{SAPONIFICATION}} \\ [\text{NaOH (20% w/w)}]$

gum + soap

 $\frac{\texttt{PRECIPITATION}}{\texttt{FILTRATION}}$

WASHING, DRYING
[3 times with water]
[1.3-3.9 kPa vacuum at 90°C]

BLEACHING [0.5% Tonsilt surfactant]

STEAMING

[0.6-1.3 kPa vacuum at 180°C]

VINTERIZATION [24 hr at 10°C]

precipitated wax FILTRATION

REFINED OIL

| 1 7 | 1 0 | _ ' ' | / /1 \ | _ | | | C 7 | | | |
|---------|-------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------|----|-------------|------|-----|----------|
| 'l'ahle | 1() | REGIUNTEG | (ma/ka) | \cap t | procymidone | ın | giint Lower | GEEG | and | \cap 1 |
| Tabic | T O . | ICDIAACD | (1119/329/ | O_{\perp} | procymitatic | | Builtiowci | bcca | ana | \circ |

| Seed | Crude oil | Refined oil |
|------|-----------|-------------|
| 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.13 |
| 0.11 | 0.34 | 0.14 |
| 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.08 |

Stability of residues in stored analytical samples

Fresh grapes were fortified at levels of 0.05, 0.50 and 5.0 mg/kg, and the samples were stored at about -20°C . Over a period of 12 months, no loss of procymidone was observed at any level. Procymidone residues have been demonstrated to be stable in wine at -20°C for at least one month, in an on-going investigation. The interim results show that at the level of 0.5 mg/kg, DCA recovery was approximately 75% after storage for 30 days (Roberts et al., 1992).

Strawberry samples were fortified at 0.05 and 0.5 mg/kg and stored at about -26°C. Residues determined after 6 months and one year did not show any significant changes (Halasz-Laky, 1992).

RESIDUES IN FOOD IN COMMERCE OR AT CONSUMPTION

In 1987 and 1988, 37 samples of grape juice, 144 samples of young vines and 9 samples of cognac were examined in The Netherlands. Residues above the limit of determination (0.02 mg/kg) were found in 2 samples of grape juice (both 0.03 mg/kg), and in 41 samples of French vines, ranging from 0.02 to 0.32 mg/kg. No residues of procymidone were detected in vines from Spain, Italy or Germany, or in the French cognac (Netherlands, 1993).

In Finland about 3000 consignments of imported fruits and vegetables were analyzed during 1990-1991 (Finland, 1993). Table 11 shows the results.

METHODS OF RESIDUE ANALYSIS

Procymidone residues reported in this monograph were determined by similar methods to those used for the earlier trials. Samples with a high water content were extracted with either acetone or methanol and extraction was followed by partition into dichloromethane, clean-up on Silica gel, Florisil or alumina, if necessary, and GLC with an ECD or nitrogen/phosphorus thermionic detector. Capillary and packed columns were equally suitable. The limit of determination ranged from 0.01 to 0.02 mg/kg with recoveries between 70 and 120%.

Analytical methods suitable for the determination of procymidone residues in various plant commodities have been reviewed recently (Ambrus et al., 1991).

For the determination of DCA in wine a sample aliquot was transferred to a Merck Extelut 20 solid-phase extraction column, rinsed in with methanol-water and eluted with hexane. The hexane was evaporated, the residue was taken up in methanol and determined directly on a LiChrosorb RP-18 reversed-phase HPLC column, eluting with acetonitrile-water (1:1 v/v) and detecting by absorption at 250 nm (Roberts $et\ al.$, 1992).

Table 11. Residues of procymidone detected in imported commodities in Finland.

| Commodity | Numbe | r of | Highest residue, mg/kg |
|-----------------|--------------|------------|---------------------------|
| | Consignments | Positives. | |
| Broccoli | 18 | 5 | 0.42 |
| Celery | 82 | 6 | 0.8 |
| Chinese cabbage | 44 | 3 | 0.04 |
| Cucumber | 196 | 93 | 0.43 |
| Dill | 144 | 11 | 6.2 |
| Lettuce | 39 | 3 | 0.11 |
| Lollo Rosso | 28 | 14 | 6.2 |
| Nectarine | 52 | 3 | 0.23 |
| Plum | 89 | 3 | 0.13 |
| Parsley | 93 | 9 | 2.3 |
| Peach | 53 | 4 | 0.23 |
| Pear | 210 | 136 | 1.6 |
| Pepper, Sweet | 331 | 87 | 0.32 |
| Squash, Summer | 28 | 7 | 0.16 |
| Strawberry | 32 | 10 | 0.75 |
| Tomato | 213 | 32 | 0.92 |

NATIONAL MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS

National MRLs reported to the Meeting are shown in the Tables below.

Country codes: ARG-Argentina, AUL-Australia, AUS-Austria, BEL-Belgium, FRA-France, GER-Germany, HUN-Hungary, ITA-Italy, JPN-Japan, LUX-Luxembourg, NET-Netherlands, NZE-New Zealand, PER-Peru, POR-Portugal, ROK-Republic of Korea, SAF-South Africa, SPA-Spain, SWI-Switzerland, URU-Uruguay, VEN-Venezuela.

| Commodity/Country | | MRL, mg/kg | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----|------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | AUL | AUS | ARG | BEL | FRA | GER | HUN | ITA | LUX | NET |
| apricot | | | | | 2 | | | | | |
| beans | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| beans, dwarf, fresh dry | | 2 | | | | 1 0.1 | | | | |
| beans, faba | 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| beans, green | 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| beans, haricot | | | | 0.2 | | | | | | |
| beans, navy | 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| beans, runner | | | | | | | | | | 1 |

| Commodity/Country | MRL, mg/kg | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------|----|-----|------|-----|--------|---|-----|---|-----|
| carrot | | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| cherry, sour | | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| chicory | | | | | 5 | | | | | |
| cucumbers | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| egg plant | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| endive | | | | | 5 | | | | | 1 |
| garlic | 5 | | | | 2 | | | | | |
| gherkins | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| grapes grapes, wine | | 2 | 1.5 | | 5 | 5 8 | 3 | 1.5 | 5 | |
| kiwifruit | | | | | | | | 1.5 | | |
| lettuce | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0.02 | 5 | | | | | 1 |
| melon | | | | | 2 | | | | | 1 |
| okra | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| onions | 0.2 | 2 | 1 | | | | | | | 0.5 |
| parsley | | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| patisson | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| peaches | | | | | 2 | | | 1.5 | | |
| pear | | | | | | | | 1.5 | | |
| peas, fresh peas, dry | | | | | | 1 0.1 | | | | |
| pepper | | 2 | | | | | 1 | 1.5 | | |
| pepper, green, sweet | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| plum | | | | | 2 | | | | | |
| prune, dried | | | | | 2 | | | | | |
| rape seed | | | | | 1.5 | 1 | | | | |
| rape seed oil | | | | | 5 | | | | | |
| raspberries | | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| shallot | | | | | | | | | | 0.2 |
| squash, summer (courgettes) | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| stone fruits | 10 | | | | | | | | | |
| strawberries | | 10 | | 5 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 1.5 | | 3 |
| sunflower | | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| tomatoes | 2 | | | | 2 | | 1 | 1.5 | | 1 |
| watermelon | | | | | | | | | | 1 |

| Commodity/Country | NEZ | PER | POR | ROK | SAF | SPA | SWI | URU | VEN |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| beans | 2 | | | | 1.0 | | | | |
| blackberries | | | | | | | 1.5 | | |
| cacao | | | | | | | | | 3 |
| celery | | | | | | | | | 2 |
| citrus | | 3 | | | | | | | |
| cucumbers | | | 2 | 2 | | | | | |

| Commodity/Country | NEZ | PER | POR | ROK | SAF | SPA | SWI | URU | VEN |
|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|
| garlic | | | | | | | | 5 | 2 |
| grapes | 5 | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| grape juice | | | | | | | 2 | | |
| lettuce | | | | 5 | | | | | 2 |
| nectarine | | | | | | | | 5 | |
| onions | 0.1 | | | | | 0.2 | | 5 | 2 |
| peaches | | | | | 10 | | | 5 | 3 |
| peanut | | | | | 0.5 | | | | 2 |
| peas | | | | | 0.1 | | | | |
| pepper | | | 5 | | | | | | |
| pepper, red | | | | 5 | | | | | |
| pome fruits | | | | | | | 0.05 | | |
| potato | | | | | 0.2 | | | | |
| raspberries | | | | | | | 1.5 | | |
| soya bean | | | | | | | | | 2 |
| stone fruits | 3 | | | | | | 0.05 | | |
| strawberries | 0.5 | 3 | 10 | 10 | | 5 | 1.5 | 5 | 2 |
| tomatoes | 1 | | 5 | 5 | 3 | | | | 2 |
| vegetables | | | | | | 2 | | | |
| watermelon | | | | 1 | | | | | |

APPRAISAL

Procymidone was reviewed by the Joint Meeting in 1981, 1989 and 1990. The 1992 CCPR retained all MRLs at step 7B in view of the need to ensure that the residue data which were reviewed in 1981 reflected current GAP.

Residue data for common beans, cucumbers, grapes, lettuce, bulb onions and tomatoes were required together with information on current GAP.

In response to the request of the CCPR extensive information was provided by the manufacturer and some member countries on use patterns, together with some residue data from supervised field trials and monitoring.

In order to estimate maximum residue levels, the residues resulting from supervised trials published in the previous evaluations which accorded with current use patterns (GAP) were also taken into consideration.

<u>Apples</u>. GAP was reported from Japan and the Lebanon. Two trials reported from Japan in 1981 do not reflect GAP in either country. The previous recommendation (5 mg/kg) is withdrawn.

<u>Cherries</u>. GAP was reported from 6 countries. The maximum dosage rate is 0.75 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 14-28 days. A single trial in Hungary corresponds to current GAP. However the initial residues (0.8 mg/kg) are much lower than in the Australian trials (2.1-6.2 mg/kg) reflecting GAP which were reported in 1990. The previous recommendation (5 mg/kg) is replaced by 10 mg/kg.

<u>Beans.</u> GAP was reported from 6 countries with PHIs ranging between 2 and 21 days. Residues, reported in 1981, 1989 and 1993, deriving from corresponding national GAP (7-14 days, maximum 0.75 kg ai/ha) range from 0.1 to 0.8 mg/kg. The current GAP leads to lower residues, consequently the recommended limit is 1 mg/kg.

<u>Cucumbers and gherkins</u>. GAP was reported from 12 countries with PHIs ranging between 1 and 15 days. Trials reported in 1981 from Japan which reflect current GAP showed residues of 0.33-1.2 mg/kg at day 1. The previous recommendation (2 mg/kg) is maintained.

<u>Currants</u>. GAP was reported from one country where procymidone is used for stock treatment. Two trials reported in 1981 involved foliar applications to black currants. The residue limit established previously is not supported by current GAP, so the recommendation (10 mg/kg) is withdrawn.

Egg plants. GAP was reported from 6 countries with PHIs of 1-3 days. Residues from a trial in Poland ranged from 0.6 to 0.93 mg/kg at days 1 and 3. A trial reported from France in 1981 showed a residue level of 1.5 mg/kg at 14 days. The data are insufficient to estimate a maximum residue level, so the recommendation (2 mg/kg) is withdrawn.

<u>Grapes</u>. GAP was reported from 27 countries with PHIs of 1-28 days, 1-4 applications at 0.25-1.0 kg ai/ha. An extensive trial programme was conducted in seven wine-growing regions of Europe. Dosage and pre-harvest intervals were selected according to the relevant national GAP which cover the world-wide uses. Residues deriving from recommended uses ranged from 0.34 mg/kg to 4.6 mg/kg. They are in the same range as those obtained in earlier trials. The present limit (5 mg/kg) is reaffirmed and it should no longer be temporary.

<u>Kiwifruit</u>. GAP was reported from Italy. The trial conditions reported from New Zealand in 1981 reflect the current Italian GAP, but there was no information on the comparability of climatic conditions and cultural practices. Consequently the previous recommendation (7 mg/kg) is withdrawn.

<u>Lettuce</u>. GAP was reported from 16 countries with PHIs of 2-35 days. The number of applications is from 1 to 10, and the rates are between 0.28 and 2 kg ai/ha. Residues reported from France (indoor and outdoor) and Spain were in the range of 0.07 to 3.4 mg/kg 21-22 days after the last application. The residues derived from glass-house applications were about 2 to 4 times those from trials conducted outdoors. The previous recommendation (5 mg/kg) is reaffirmed.

Melons. GAP was reported from 4 countries. Trials reported in 1981 reflect the current use patterns, but no residues were reported in the whole commodity. The data base is considered inadequate for estimating a maximum residue level. The previous recommendation (1 mg/kg) is withdrawn.

<u>Onions</u>. GAP was reported from 14 countries with PHIs of 1-28 days. Trial conditions reported in 1981 are within the current recommended uses and lead to residues in the range of 0.01-0.14 mg/kg which support the present limit (0.2 mg/kg).

<u>Peaches and nectarines</u>. GAP for foliar and post-harvest applications was reported from 15 countries with PHIs of 1-14 days and maximum rates of 0.37-1.0 kg ai/ha applied 2-5 times. The trial conditions reported from Australia and New Zealand in 1981 and 1990 are in line with present use recommendations. The previous recommendation (10 mg/kg) is reaffirmed.

<u>Peppers</u>. GAP was reported from 11 countries on sweet, green and chilli peppers with PHIs of 1-7 days. The trials reported from Japan in 1981 reflect the current use and lead to residues up to 3.8 mg/kg one day after the last application. The present limit (5 mg/kg) is reaffirmed.

<u>Potatoes</u>. GAP was reported from 5 countries with PHIs of 3-35 days. In two Japanese trials, carried out in 1977 but reported in 1981 and again in 1993, the residues were 0.02, 0.03, 0.05 and 0.08 mg/kg in potatoes 19-28 days after the last application. The data base was considered inadequate to estimate a maximum residue level. The previous recommendation (0.1 mg/kg) is withdrawn.

<u>Raspberries</u>. GAP was reported from 3 countries with PHIs of 7-14 days. In German trials reported in 1989 residues ranged from 0.59 to 6.9 mg/kg at 14 days after applications according to current GAP. Trials in France in 1989 and in Hungary and Poland in 1992 resulted in lower residues, but the combined data support the present limit (10 mg/kg).

<u>Rice</u>. GAP was reported from Thailand where the application is repeated every 7-10 days. Results reported in 1981 were from samples taken 19-22 days after the last application with about 2.5 times the rate registered in Thailand. The trial conditions cannot be related to GAP, so the recommendations (rice, husked: 3 mg/kg and rice, polished: 1 mg/kg) are withdrawn.

<u>Strawberries</u>. GAP was reported from 27 countries with PHIs of 2-21 days and application rates of 0.23-1.0 kg ai/ha. Residues from field trials in France, Germany, The Netherlands and Poland in 1981 ranged from 0.4 to 5.1 mg/kg. Following glasshouse application in Japan, the residues were between 0.9 and 8.0 mg/kg. Residues reported from Spain in 1993 were in the range of 1.3-4.24 mg/kg. The previous recommendation (10 mg/kg) is maintained.

<u>Sunflower seed</u>. GAP was reported from 6 countries with PHIs of 14-42 days. Residues deriving from treatments with recommended and double rates were in the range of 0.02 to 0.12 mg/kg 14-28 days after the last application. The Meeting considered the results of a single trial leading to high residues atypical and estimated a maximum residue level of 0.2 mg/kg which replaces the previous recommendation (2 mg/kg).

<u>Tomatoes</u>. GAP was reported from 25 countries with PHIs of 1-21 days and maximum rates of 0.5-1.8 kg ai/ha. Residues reported from France, Japan and New Zealand in 1981, from New Zealand in 1990 and from Italy in 1993 ranged from 0.1 to 2.1 mg/kg with a residue of 2.5 mg/kg at day 1 from a glasshouse trial in Japan. The previous recommendation (5 mg/kg) is maintained.

The fate of residues in wine processing was extensively studied. Grapes were harvested from 42 separately treated test plots and fermented into wine. The vinification procedure used for grapes from a given site was chosen to match the procedure used locally in the country or region of origin. The use of different procedures, each typical of the locale in which the grapes originated, allows a realistic estimation of residues expected in commercially-produced wine.

In addition to procymidone, 3,5-dichloroaniline (DCA), which may be formed during or just after vinification, was also determined in the wine.

When grapes were treated according to GAP, the wine contained procymidone residues between 0.04 and 0.59 mg/kg. The level of DCA ranged from <0.01 to 0.07 mg/kg in the same samples.

The results indicate that procymidone residues remaining in or on grapes after treatment show no tendency to concentrate in the wine. The average wine/grape ratio for procymidone ranged between 0.07 and 0.27, with an overall average of 0.16. DCA amounted to a maximum of 20% of the procymidone concentration in wine.

Sunflower seeds, containing residues of 0.04-0.12 mg/kg, were processed to oil. The

crude and refined oil samples contained residues of 0.1-0.34 mg/kg and 0.08-0.14 mg/kg respectively. The concentration factors were between 2 and 3 for seed to crude oil, and between 1 and 2 for seed to refined oil.

A survey of procymidone residues in fresh fruits and vegetables imported by Finland gave positive results in 16 commodities. The maximum values were below the recommended limits in all cases. The commodities in which the positive results exceeded 10% were the following: broccoli 28%, cucumber 47%, pear 65%, sweet pepper 26%, strawberry 32%, tomato 15%. It is to be noted that maximum residue levels have not been estimated by previous Meetings for broccoli or pears. Furthermore, information on current GAP indicates that the compound is registered for pears only in Italy and not at all for broccoli.

RECOMMENDATIONS

On the basis of data on residues from supervised trials the Meeting concluded that the residue levels listed below are suitable for establishing maximum residue limits.

Definition of the residue: procymidone

| Commodity | Recommended MRI | PHI on which based, days | |
|--|-----------------|--------------------------|------|
| CCN Name | New | Previous | |
| FP 0226 Apple | W | 5 | |
| FS 0013 Cherries | 10 | 5 | 1 |
| VP 0526 Common bean (pods and/or immature seeds) | 1 | 2 | 7-14 |
| VC 0424 Cucumber | 2 | 2 | 1-3 |
| FB 0021 Currants, Black, Red, White | W | 10 | |
| VO 0440 Egg plant | W | 2 | |
| VC 0425 Gherkin | 2 | 2 | 1-3 |
| FB 0269 Grapes | 5 | 5 T | 5-21 |
| FI 0341 Kiwifruit | W | 7 | |
| VL 0482 Lettuce, Head | 5 | 5 | 211 |
| VC 0046 Melons, except Watermelon | W | 1 | |
| FS 0245 Nectarine | 10 | 10 | 1 |
| VA 0385 Onion, Bulb | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1-14 |
| FS 0247 Peach | 10 | 10 | 1 |
| VO 0051 Peppers | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| VR 0589 Potato | W | 0.1 | |
| FB 0272 Raspberries, Red, Black | 10 | 10 | 14 |

| Commodity | Recommended MRI | PHI on which based, days | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------|
| CCN Name | New | Previous | |
| CM 0649 Rice, husked | W | 3 | |
| CM 1205 Rice, polished | W | 1 | |
| FB 0275 Strawberry | 10 | 10 | 1-3 |
| SO 0702 Sunflower seed | 0.2 | 2 | 14-28 |
| OR 0702 Sunflower seed-oil, edible | 0.5 | | |
| VO 0448 Tomato | 5 | 5 | 1-3 |

¹ In glasshouse

REFERENCES

- 1. Ambrus, A., Buys, M., Miyamoto, J.,Otto, S. and Smart, N.A., 1991. Analysis of residues of dicarboximide fungicides in food. Pure & Appl. Chem., Vol 63, No. 5, pp. 747-762.
- 2. Ambrus, A., 1992. Determination of procymidone residues in raspberry. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Ltd. Osaka , Unpublished Report No.: BR-21-0277
- 3. Ambrus, A., 1992a. Determination of procymidone residues in sour cherry. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Ltd. Osaka, Unpublished Report No.: BR-21-0279
- 4. Ambrus, A., 1992b. Determination of procymidone residues in sunflower seed. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Ltd. Osaka, Unpublished Report No.: BR-21-0281
- 5. Ambrus, A., 1992c. Determination of procymidone residues in crude and refined sunflower seed oil. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Osaka, Unpublished Report No.: BR-21-0282
- 6. Finland, 1993. Information on compounds on the CCPR priority list.
- 7. Halasz-Laky, V., 1992a. Final report on determination of procymidone storage stability in strawberry samples. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Osaka, Unpublished Report No.: BR-21-02838.
- 8. Halasz-Laky, V., 1992b. Final report on determination of procymidone residues in raspeberry samples. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Osaka, Unpublished Report No.: BR-21-0284
- 9. Halasz-Laky, V., 1992c. Determination of procymidone residue in egg plant samples. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Osaka, Unpublished Report No.: BR-31-0294
- 10. Hungary, 1990. Information on compounds on the CCPR priority list.
- 11. Macdonald, I. A., Gillis, N. A. and Howie D., 1992a. Determination of residual concentrations in tomatoes from field trials in France. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Osaka, Unpublished Report No.: BR-21-0260.
- 12. Macdonald, I. A., Gillis, N. A. and Howie, D. 1992b. Determination of residual concentrations in tomatoes from field trials in Italy. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Osaka, Unpublished Report No.: BR-21-0261
- 13. Macdonald, I. A., Gillis, N. A. and Howie, D. 1992c. Determination of residual concentrations in cucumbers from field trials in Spain. Sumitomo

W: the limit is withdrawn

- Chemical Co. Osaka, Unpublished Report No.: BR-21-0264
- 14. Macdonald, I. A., Gillis, N. A. and Howie, D. 1992d. Determination residual procymidone concentrations in haricot beans from field trials in France. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Osaka, Unpublished Report No.: BR-21-0265
- 15. Macdonald, I. A., Gillis, N. A. and Howie, D. 1992e. Determination of residual concentrations in lettuce from field trials in France. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Osaka, Unpublished Report No.: BR-21-0266
- 16. Macdonald, I. A., Gillis, N. A. and Howie, D. 1992f. Determination of residual concentrations in shallots from field trials in France. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Osaka, Unpublished Report No.: BR-21-0268
- 17. Netherlands, 1993. Information on compounds on the CCPR priorites list.
- 18. New Zealand, 1993. Information on compounds on the CCPR priority list.
- 19. Roberts, N. L., Macdonald, I. A. and Gillis, N. A. 1992. Procymidone Magnitude of residues in grapes and processed commodities of grapes 1991 European trials. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Osaka, Japan, Unpublished Report No.: BR-21-0258
- 20. Spain, 1992. Information on compounds on the CCPR priority list.
- 21. Spain, 1993. Information on compounds on the CCPR priority list.
- 22. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Ltd. 1992. Residue report of procymidone on potatoes. Unpublished, Report No.: BR-70-0256
- 23. Sumitomo Chemical Co. Ltd. 1992. Residue report of procymidone on potatoes. Unpublished, Report No.: BR-70-0257