# IPRODIONE (111)

## EXPLANATION

Iprodione, originally evaluated by the JMPR in 1977 and re-evaluated for residues in 1980, is included in the CCPR periodic review programme. Re-evaluation (ALINORM 89/24A, para 298; Appendix V and VI) was originally scheduled for 1992 but postponed because of the work-load and the late submission of some of the data.

Codex Maximum Residue Limits (CXLs) exist for apple, beans (dry), cucumber, black, red and white currants, garlic, grapes, kiwifruit, head lettuce, bulb onion, peach, pear, sweet peppers, plums, raspberries, husked rice, strawberry, tomato and witloof chicory.

The Meeting reviewed information from the manufacturer in response to the 1977 requests (repeated in 1980), as well as information on GAP and residue trials on other commodities. Additional information was submitted by The Netherlands, Spain, New Zealand, Canada and Germany.

## IDENTITY

ISO common name: iprodione

Chemical names

| | |
|---|---|
| (IUPAC): | 3-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-*N*-isopropyl-2,4-dioxoimidazolidine-1-carboxamide |
| (C.A.): | 3-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-*N*-(1-methylethyl)-2,4-dioxo-1-imidazolidinecarboxamide |
| CAS No: | 36734-19-7 |
| Synonyms: | glycophene (non-official: rejected common name proposal) |

Structural formula:

Molecular formula: $C_{13}H_{13}Cl_2N_3O_3$

Molecular weight: 330.17

**Physical and chemical properties**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Vapour pressure: | <0.133 mPa (20°C) | | |
| Melting point: | 136°C | | |
| Octanol/water partition coefficient: | log $P_{ow}$ = 3.1 | | |
| Solubility (20°C): | water | | 0.013 g/l |
| | ethanol | 25 g/l | |
| | acetone | 300 g/l | |
| | dichloromethane | 500 g/l | |

**USE PATTERN**

Extensive information on GAP was given in the 1977 monograph. The Meeting received additional or revised information on current GAP (Table 1).

**Formulations**

Rovral WP (50% WP); Rovral Aqua Flo (500 g/l SC); Rovral Flo = Kidan = Verisan (255 g/l SC); Rovral 4F (480 g/l SC); Rovral TS (35% iprodione, 17.5% carbendazim WP); Rovral TS Flo = Calidan = Quintal IC (175 g/l iprodione, 87. 5 g/l carbendazim SC); Rovral UFB (350 g/l iprodione, 175 g/l carbendazim FS); Rhapsodie (350 g/l iprodione, 50 g/l imazalil SC); Sumistar (160 g/l iprodione, 24 g/l diniconazole and 80 g/l carbendazim SC); Sirocco (100 g/l iprodione, 150 g/l fenpropimorph).

Table 1. Registered uses of iprodione.

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. | Rate, kg ai/ha | Spray conc., kg ai/hl | | |
| Alfalfa | Australia | | 0.13-0.25 | 0.012-0.025 | 7 | (1) |
| (1) PHI before grazing | | | | | | |
| Almonds | France | | 0.75 | 0.075 | | |
| | USA | 4 | 0.56 | 0.03 | | (1) |
| (1) Last application up to 5 weeks after petal fall | | | | | | |
| Apple | Australia | 1 | | 0.05 | - | (1) |
| | Greece | 2 | | 0.05 | 14 | |
| | Italy | 1 | | 0.05-0.075 | 10 | (1) (2) |
| | Norway | 1-2 | | 0.15 | 14 | |
| | Spain | 1 | | 0.05 | | (1) |

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. | Rate, kg ai/ha | Spray conc., kg ai/hl | | |
| | Switzerland | 2 | 1.0 | 0.05 | 14 | |
| (1) Post-harvest treatment<br>(2) PHI is time of storage before marketing | | | | | | |
| Apricot | Australia | 1 | | 0.05 | - | (1) |
| | | 2-3 | | 0.025-0.037 | - | (2) |
| | France | 2-3 | | 0.075 | 3 | |
| | Greece | 2 | | 0.05 | 14 | |
| | New Zealand | 2-3 | up to 1.0 | 0.037 | 1 | |
| | Spain | 2 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05-0.075 | 14 | |
| | USA | 5 | 0.56-1.12 | 0.03-0.06 | 0 | |
| (1) Post-harvest dipping<br>(2) Pre-harvest spraying | | | | | | |
| Asparagus | Belgium | 1-4 | 0.75 | | - | (1) |
| | Luxembourg | 1-4 | 0.75 | 0.075 | - | (1) |
| | Netherlands | 3-4 | 0.75 | | - | (1) |
| | New Zealand | | 0.75 | | - | (1) |
| | Switzerland | 3 | 0.75 | | | |
| (1) Application after harvesting to extend the "green period" of the plant, thus increasing the yield in the following year | | | | | | |
| Banana | Spain | 1 | | 0.03 | | (1) |
| (1) Post-harvest treatment | | | | | | |
| Barley | France | 1-2 | 0.4 | | | |
| | Belgium | | 0.4-0.47 | | 42 | |
| | Germany | 1 | 0.5-0.7/t seed | | - | st[1] |
| | Luxembourg | | 0.4-0.47 | | 42 | |
| | UK | 1-2 | 0.5 | 0.125-0.25 | - | (1) |
| (1) Last application up to growth stage Zadoks 59 | | | | | | |
| Bean, Common | Canada (4) | 1 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.17-0.25 | | (5) |
| | (6) | 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | | |
| | Denmark | 1-2 | 0.5-0.75 | | 21 | (7) |
| | France | 2-4 | 0.5-0.75 | | 3 | |
| | Greece | 1-2 | | 0.075 | 7 | |
| | Netherlands | 1-2 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.025-0.037 | 14 F[2] | (3) |
| | Norway | 2 | 1.5 | | 14 | |
| | Portugal | 4 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 3 | |
| | Sweden | 1 | 0.5 | 0.07 | 14 | |
| | Switzerland | 2 | 0.8 | | - | (8) |
| | UK | 1-2 | 0.5 | 0.08-0.25 | 21 | |
| | USA (1) | 2 | 0.84-1.12 | up to 0.3 | | (2) |
| (1) Dry snap beans<br>(2) Last application not later than full bloom. Do not allow foraging for 14 days after last application. Do not feed snap bean hay to livestock. Do not feed dry bean hay to livestock until 45 days after last application. Do not feed succulent bean hay to livestock.<br>(3) Dwarf snap beans<br>(4) Dry common beans<br>(5) Apply when 25-75% of blooms have opened<br>(6) Snap beans<br>(7) Field beans<br>(8) Application during flowering | | | | | | |
| Beetroot | France | 1 | 2.5 g/kg seed | | | |
| | Norway | 1-2 | 1.0 | | 14 | |
| Berries | Australia | | | 0.5 | 1 | (1) |
| | Denmark | 3 | 0.5-0.75 | | 14 | |
| | Netherlands | 2-4 | 1.13 | 0.075 | 14 | |
| | New Zealand | 3 | up to 1.0 | 0.05 | 1 | |

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. | Rate, kg ai/ha | Spray conc., kg ai/hl | | |
| | Sweden | 2 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.06 | 30 | |
| (1) Post-harvest dipping | | | | | | |
| Blackberries | Netherlands | 2 | 1.13 | 0.075 | 7 | |
| | New Zealand | 3 | up to 1.0 | 0.05 | 1 | |
| | Switzerland | 1-2 | | 0.05 | 14 | |
| Brassica vegetables, Head cabbages, Flowerhead brassicas | Australia | 1 | | 0.075<br>200 ml/plant | - | (1) |
| | Denmark | 1-3 | 0.5 | | 14 | |
| | France | 1 | 2.5 g/kg seed | | - | st |
| | | 2-3 | 0.5-0.75 | | 15 | |
| | Greece | 1-2 | | 0.075 | 14 | |
| | New Zealand | 1 | 2.5 g/kg seed | | | st |
| | Norway | 1-2 | 1.5 | | 14 | |
| | Spain | 4 | 0.75-1.12 | 0.05-0.075 | 0-1 | F,G[3] |
| | Sweden | 1-2 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 14 | |
| | Switzerland | 1 | 0.2 | | | |
| | UK | 1 | | 0.05 | 60 | drench (2) |
| | | | | 27 l/400 cabbages | | stored cabbages |
| | | 1 | 2.5 g/kg seed | | - | st |
| (1) Pre-emergence application<br>(2) Soil drench at planting | | | | | | |
| Brassica vegetables (seed crops) | UK | 1-3 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.125-0.375 | 21 | |
| Broccoli | Netherlands | 1 | 2.0 | | -F,G | (1) |
| | | 1-2 | 0.5 | | 14F,G | |
| | Norway | 1-2 | 1.5 | | 14 | |
| | UK | 3 | 0.5 | 0.08-0.25 | 21 | |
| | USA | 2 | 1.12 | up to 0.3 | 0 | |
| (1) Pre-emergence application | | | | | | |
| Brussels sprouts | Belgium | 1-3 | 0.5 | | 14 | |
| | Luxembourg | 1-3 | 0.5 | | 14 | |
| | Netherlands | 1-2 | 0.5 | | 14F,G | |
| | Norway | 1-2 | 1.5 | | 14 | |
| | UK | 3 | 0.5 | 0.08-0.25 | 21 | |
| Bulb vegetables | Spain | 4 | 0.75-1.12 | 0.05-0.075 | 1F,G | |
| | | 2-4 | 0.4-0.6 | | | |
| Cabbage, Head | Belgium | 1 | 2.5 g/kg seed | | | st |
| | Denmark | 1-3 | 0.5 | | 14 | |
| | France | 1 | 2.5 g/kg seed | - | | st |
| | | 2-3 | 0.5-0.75 | | 15 | |
| | Greece | 1-2 | | 0.075 | 14 | |
| | Luxembourg | 1 | 2.5 g/kg seed | | | |
| | Netherlands | 1-2 | 0.5 | | 14F,G | st |
| | Norway | 1-2 | 1.5 | | 14 | |
| | Sweden | 1-2 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 14 | |
| | UK | 3 | 0.5 | 0.08-0.25 | 21 | |
| Canola | Canada | 1 | 0.5-0.75 | up to 1.6 | | (1) |
| (1) Application when 20-30% of blooms have opened | | | | | | |
| Caneberries | USA | 4 | 0.56-1.12 | up to 0.12 | 0 | |
| Caraway | Belgium | 2-3 | 0.75 | | 28 | |

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. | Rate, kg ai/ha | Spray conc., kg ai/hl | | |
| | Luxembourg | 2-3 | 0.75 | | 28 | |
| | Netherlands | 1-2 | 0.5 | | 28 | |
| Carrot | Belgium | 3-4 | 0.75 | | 21 | |
| | Denmark | 1-2 | 0.5 | | 14 | |
| | France | 1 | 2.5 g/kg seed | | | st |
| | | 2-3 | 0.75 | | | |
| | Luxembourg | 3-4 | 0.75 | | 21 | |
| | Netherlands | 1 | 0.5 g/kg seed | | | st |
| | | 1 | 0.03 kg/t roots | | | (1) |
| | | 1-4 | 0.75 | | 28F | |
| | Norway | 3-4 | 1.5 | | 14 | |
| | Sweden | 2-3 | 0.5 | 0.06 | 14 | |
| | Switzerland | 2-3 | 0.3-0.8 | 0.05 | 21 | |
| | USA | 4 | 0.56-1.12 | up to 1.2 | 0 | |
| (1) pre-storage treatment | | | | | | |
| Cauliflower | Belgium | 2-3 | 0.125 | | 14 | |
| | France | 1 | 2.5 g/kg seed | | - | st |
| | | 2-3 | 0.75 | | 15 | |
| | Luxembourg | 2-3 | 0.125 | | 14 | |
| | Norway | 1-2 | 1.5 | | 14 | |
| | Netherlands | 1 | 2.0 | | -F,G | (1) |
| | | 1-2 | 0.5 | | 14F,G | |
| | UK | 3 | 0.5 | 0.08-0.25 | 21 | |
| (1) Pre-emergence application | | | | | | |
| Celeriac | Netherlands | 1-2 | 0.5 | | 14F | |
| | Norway | 1-2 | 1.5 | | 14 | |
| Celery | Australia | 5 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 1 | |
| Cherries | Australia | 1 | | 0.05 | - | (1) |
| | | 2-3 | | 0.025-0.037 | - | (2) |
| | Canada | | 0.75-0.88 | 0.025 | 1 | |
| | Greece | 2 | 1.0 | 0.05 | 14 | |
| | Netherlands | 2 | 1.5 | 0.075 | 7 | |
| | New Zealand | 2-3 | up to 1.0 | 0.037 | 1 | |
| | Norway | 1-5 | | 0.15 | 14 | |
| | Spain | 2 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05-0.075 | 14 | |
| | USA | 5 | 0.56-1.12 | 0.03-0.06 | - | |
| | | 1 | | 0.24 | | (1) |
| (1) Post-harvest treatment<br>(2) Pre-harvest spraying | | | | | | |
| Chicory | Norway | 1-2 | 0.75 | | 14 | |
| | Switzerland | 2 | 0.3-0.8 | | | (1) |
| (1) Last application 14 days after planting | | | | | | |
| Chinese cabbage | Belgium | 2 | 2.5 | | 14 | |
| | Luxembourg | 2 | 2.5 | | 14 | |
| | Netherlands | 2 | 0.5-0.75 | | 14F,G | |
| | Norway | 1-2 | 1.5 | | 14 | |
| | Switzerland | 2 | 0.3-0.8 | 0.05 | 21 | |
| Chives | Spain | 4 | 0.75-1.12 | 0.05-0.075 | 1F,G | |
| | | 2-4 | 0.4-0.6 | | | |
| Citrus fruits | Italy | 1 | | 0.05-0.075 | 30 | (1) (2) |
| (1) Post-harvest treatment | | | | | | |

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. | Rate, kg ai/ha | Spray conc., kg ai/hl | | |
| (2) PHI is time before marketing | | | | | | |
| Corn salad | Belgium | 2-3 | 0.75 | | 28 | |
| | France | 1 | 2.5 g/kg seed | | | st |
| | | 3-4 | 0.75 | | 21 | |
| | Luxembourg | 2-3 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 28 | |
| | Netherlands | 1 | 1.0-2.0 | | - | (1) |
| (1) Application within 7 days after sowing | | | | | | |
| Cress | France | 1 | 2.5 g/kg seed | | - | st |
| Crucifers, oilseed | Finland | 1 | 0.5-0.75 | | 10 | |
| | France | 1-2 | 0.5 | 0.005 | 21 | |
| Cucumber | Canada | | | 0.05 | 2G | |
| | Denmark | 1-3 | | 0.05 | 3G | |
| | | | | | 14F | |
| | France | 2-7 | 0.75 | | 3 | |
| | Greece | 3 | | 0.025 | 7 | |
| | Netherlands | 2-3 | 0.63-1.25 | 0.025-0.05 | 3G | |
| | Norway | 1-6 | 1.0 | | 4 | |
| | Portugal | 3 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 7 | |
| | Sweden | 1-3 | 0.5 | 0.06 | 2 | |
| | UK | 4 | | 0.05 | 2 | |
| Cucurbits | Belgium | 1-2 | | 0.05 | 3 | |
| | Greece | 3 | | 0.025 | 7 | |
| | Luxembourg | 1-2 | | 0.05 | 3 | |
| | Spain | 3 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05-0.075 | 7 | |
| Dandelion | France | 1 | 0.05 /$m^3$ soil | | | |
| Dewberries (incl. Boysenberry and Loganberry) | Australia | 1 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 1 | |
| Egg plant | Belgium | 2-3 | | 0.05 | 3 | |
| | France | 2 | 0.75-1.0 | | | |
| | Greece | 3 | | 0.05 | 7 | |
| | Italy | 2-3 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05-0.075 | 21 | |
| | Luxembourg | 2-3 | | 0.05 | 3 | |
| | Netherlands | 2-3 | 0.63-1.25 | 0.025-0.05 | 3G | |
| Endive | Belgium | 3 | 0.75 | | 28 | |
| | France | 1 | | 0.06 | | (2) |
| | | 1 | 0.05 /$m^3$ soil | 0.005 | | |
| | Italy | 2 | 0.75 | 0.075-0.1 | 21 | |
| | Netherlands | 1-2 | 0.75-2.0 | | -F,G | (1) |
| (1) Application within 14 days after planting<br>(2) Plant dipping | | | | | | |
| Fennel | Netherlands | 1-2 | 0.75 | | 14 | |
| | Spain | 4 | 0.75-1.12 | 0.05-0.075 | 1F,G | |
| | | 2-4 | 0.4-0.6 | | | |
| Fruiting vegetables, cucurbits | Spain | 4 | 0.75-1.12 | 0.05-0.075 | 7F,G | |
| | | 2-4 | 0.4-0.6 | | 28 | |
| Garlic | Canada | 1 | 0.4 | | | seed dip |
| | France | 1 | 1.5 kg/ | | | |
| | | | 0.75 | | | |
| | Greece | 1-2 | | 0.075 | 14 | |
| | USA | 1 | 2.2 | up to 1.2 | - | (1) |
| (1) Application in the furrow at planting | | | | | | |

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. | Rate, kg ai/ha | Spray conc., kg ai/hl | | |
| Gherkin | France | 2-3 | 0.75 | | | |
| | Netherlands | 2 | 0.63-1.25 | 0.025-0.05 | 3G | |
| | | 2-3 | 0.63-1.25 | 0.025-0.05 | 3F | |
| Ginseng | Canada | 3 | 0.55 | up to 0.028 | 30 | |
| | USA | 5-7 | 0.56-1.12 | up to 1.2 | 36 | |
| Grapes | Australia | 4 | min. 0.5 | 0.05 | 7 | |
| | Canada | 2 | 0.75 | 0.083 | - | (3) |
| | France | 1-4 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 15 | |
| | Germany | 3 | up to 0.75 | 0.037 | 28 | |
| | Greece | 4 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 28 | |
| | Italy | 2-3 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 28 | |
| | Luxembourg | | | 0.037 | | |
| | New Zealand | 3 | up to 1.0 | 0.037-0.05 | 1 | |
| | Portugal | 4 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 21 | |
| | Spain | 3 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05-0.075 | 14 | (1) |
| | | 3 | 0.4-0.6 | | 28 | (2) |
| | Switzerland | 2 | 1.0 | 0.05 | 21 | |
| | USA | 4 | 0.56-1.1 | up to 0.24 | 0 | |
| (1) Foliar spray<br>(2) Dust<br>(3) Last application before complete bunch closure | | | | | | |
| Hazelnuts | France | | 0.75 | 0.075 | | |
| Kale | Greece | 1-2 | | 0.075 | 14 | (1) |
| | UK | 3 | 0.5 | 0.08-0.25 | 21 | |
| (1) Leaf brassicas | | | | | | |
| Kale (seed crop) | UK | 1-3 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.13-0.38 | 21 | |
| Kiwifruit | Australia | 5 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 7 | |
| | Italy | 1-2 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05-0.075 | 15 | |
| | New Zealand | 2-3 | up to 0.75 | 0.037 | 1 | |
| Kohlrabi | Belgium | | 2.0 | | 14 | |
| | Luxembourg | | 2.0 | | 14 | |
| | Norway | 1-6 | 1.0 | | 14 | |
| | Netherlands | 1 | 2.0 | | 14F,G | |
| Leafy vegetables | Spain | 4 | 0.75-1.1 | 0.05-0.075 | 14F,G | (1) |
| | | 2-4 | 0.4-0.6 | | | |
| (1) Except chives | | | | | | |
| Leek | Spain | 4 | 0.75-1.1 | 0.05-0.075 | 16,F | |
| | | 2-4 | 0.4-0.6 | | | |
| Legume vegetables | Spain | 4 | 0.75-1.1 | 0.05-0.075 | 5F,G | |
| Lentil | France | 2 | 0.52 | | | |
| Lettuce, Head | Australia | | 0.5 | 0.05 | 7 | |
| | Belgium | 3 | 0.75 | | 28 | |
| | Denmark | 1-3 | 0.25-0.5 | 0.025-0.05 | 14G | |
| | France | 3-4 | 0.75-0.8 | 0.075 | 21F,G | |
| | Germany | 3 | 0.5 | 0.083 | 14F, 21G | |
| | Greece | 1-2 | 0.075 | | 14 | |
| | Italy | 2 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 21 | |
| | Luxembourg | 3 | 0.75 | | 28 | |
| | Netherlands | 1-2 | 0.75-2.0 | | -F,G | (3) |
| | Norway | 1-5 | 0.75 | | 14 | |
| | Portugal | | 0.75 | 0.075 | 15F,21G | |

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. | Rate, kg ai/ha | Spray conc., kg ai/hl | | |
| | Switzerland | 2 | 0.3-0.8 | 0.05 | - | (4) |
| | UK | 7 | 0.25 | 0.025 | 7F,G | (1) |
| | | 3 | 0.25 | 0.025 | 28G | (2) |
| | USA | 3 | 0.84-1.12 | up to 0.3 | 14 | |
| (1) Treated between March and September<br>(2) Treated between October and February<br>(3) Application within 14 days after planting (four for iceberg lettuce)<br>(4) Application within 14 days after planting | | | | | | |
| Lettuce, Leaf | Australia | 3 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 7 | |
| | Belgium | 3 | 0.75 | | 28 | |
| | Denmark | 1-3 | 0.25-0.5 | 0.025-0.05 | 14G | |
| | France | 3-4 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 21 | |
| | Greece | 1-2 | 0.075 | | 14 | |
| | Italy | 2 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 21 | |
| | Luxembourg | 3 | 0.75 | | 28 | |
| | Netherlands | 1-2 | 0.75-2.0 | | -F,G | (1) |
| | Norway | 1-5 | 0.75 | | 14 | |
| | Switzerland | 2 | 0.3-0.8 | 0.05 | - | (1) |
| | USA | 3 | 0.84-1.1 | up to 0.3 | 14 | |
| (1) Application within 14 days after planting | | | | | | |
| Lima bean | USA | 2 | 0.84-1.1 | up to 0.3 | | (1) |
| (1) Do not allow foraging for 14 days after last application. Do not feed dry bean hay to livestock until 45 days after last application. Do not feed succulent bean hay to livestock. Last application not later than full bloom. | | | | | | |
| Linseed | UK | 1 | 2.5 g/kg seed | | - | st |
| Lupin | Australia | 1 | 1.0-1.3 kg/t seed | | - | st |
| Melons | Denmark | 1-2 | 0.5 | | 3G | |
| | Greece | 1-2 | | 0.075 | 7 | |
| | Netherlands | 2-3 | 0.63-1.3 | 0.025-0.05 | 3G | |
| Mushrooms | Spain | 1 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05-0.075 | 45 | |
| Mustard, Chinese | USA | 4 | 0.56 | up to 0.12 | 10 | |
| Mustard (seed crop) | UK | 1-3 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.13-0.38 | 21 | |
| Nectarine | Australia | 1 | | 0.05 | - | (1) |
| | | 2-3 | | 0.025-0.037 | - | (2) |
| | New Zealand | 2-3 | up to 1.0 | 0.038 | 1 | |
| | USA | 5 | 0.56-1.1 | 0.03-0.66 | 0 | |
| | | 1 | | 0.24 | | (1) |
| (1) Post-harvest treatment<br>(2) Pre-harvest spraying | | | | | | |
| Oats | Belgium | 1 | 0.4 | | 42 | |
| | Germany | 1 | 0.5-0.7 kg/t seed | | - | st |
| | Luxembourg | 1 | 0.4 | | 42 | |
| Onion, Bulb | Canada | 2 | 0.75 | 0.15-0.25 | 15 | |
| | | | 0.38 | 0.075-0.13 | 15 | |
| | Denmark | 1-3 | 0.5 | | 14 | |
| | France | 1 | 1.5 kg/t bulbs | | | |
| | | 1 | 1.5 g/kg seed | | | st |
| | | | 0.75 | | | |
| | Greece | 1-2 | | 0.075 | 14 | |
| | Netherlands | 2-4 | 0.25-0.5 | | 28 | |
| | New Zealand | 1 | 125 g/kg seed | | - | |
| | Sweden | 2-3 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.25 | 14 | |
| | Switzerland | 1 | 1-1.25 | 0.025-0.03 | 21 | |

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. | Rate, kg ai/ha | Spray conc., kg ai/hl | | |
| | UK | 6 | 0.5 | 0.08-0.16 | 7 | |
| | USA | 5 | 0.56-0.84 | up to 0.18 | 7 | (1) |
| | | | | up to 0.9 | 7 | (2) |
| Onions, Salad | UK | 4 | 0.5 | 0.08-0.16 | 7 | |
| Parsley | France | 1 | 2.5 g/kg seed | | - | st |
| | | | 0.75 | | | |
| Passion fruit | Australia | | 0.5 | 0.05 | 7 | |
| Peach | Australia | 1 | | 0.05 | - | (3) |
| | | 2-3 | | 0.025-0.037 | - | (4) |
| | Canada | | 0.75-0.88 | 0.025 | 1 | (4) |
| | France | 2-3 | 1.5 | 0.075 | 3-15 | (4) |
| | Greece | 2 | | 0.05 | 14 | |
| | New Zealand | 2-3 | up to 1.0 | 0.037 | 1 | (4) |
| | Spain | 2 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05-0.075 | 14 | |
| | USA | 5 | 0.56-1.1 | 0.03-0.06 | 0 | (4) |
| | | 1 | | 0.24 | | (3) |
| (1) Ground application<br>(2) Aerial application<br>(3) Post-harvest treatment<br>(4) Pre-harvest spraying | | | | | | |
| Peanut | Australia | | | 0.11 | 12 | |
| | USA | 3 | 1.1 | up to 0.3 | 10 | |
| Pear | Australia | 1 | | 0.05 | - | (1) |
| | Greece | 2 | | 0.05 | 14 | |
| | Italy | 1 | | 0.05-0.075 | 10 | (1) (2) |
| | | 3-4 | 1.1 | 0.075 | 21 | |
| | Spain | 1 | | 0.05 | - | (1) |
| | Switzerland | 2 | 1.0 | 0.05 | 14 | |
| (1) Post-harvest treatment<br>(2) PHI is time of storage before marketing | | | | | | |
| Peas | Belgium | 2 | 0.7 | | | |
| | France | 2 | 0.52-0.75 | | 30 | |
| | Denmark | 1-2 | 0.5-0.75 | | 14 | |
| | Germany | 1 | 0.76 | 0.19 | 35 | (3) |
| | Luxembourg | 2 | 0.7 | | | |
| | Netherlands | 1-2 | 0.5-0.75 | | 14 | (1) |
| | | | | | 21 | (2) |
| | Norway | 1-2 | 1.5 | | 14 | |
| | Sweden | 1 | 0.75 | 0.25 | - | |
| | UK | 1-2 | 0.5 | 0.13-0.25 | 21 | |
| (1) PHI for dry peas<br>(2) PHI for fresh peas<br>(3) Field peas | | | | | | |
| Peppers, Sweet | Denmark | 1-3 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 3 | |
| | France | 2-4 | 0.75-1.0 | | 3 | (1) |
| | Greece | 1-2 | | 0.075 | 3 | |
| | Netherlands | 2-3 | 0.63-1.3 | 0.025-0.05 | 3G | |
| | Spain | 4 | 0.75-1.1 | 0.05-0.075 | 14F,G | |
| | | 2-4 | 0.4-0.6 | | 7 | |
| (1) Green pepper | | | | | | |
| Pimento | Portugal | 3 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 7 | |
| Plums | Australia | 1 | | 0.05 | - | (1) |
| | | 2-3 | | 0.025-0.037 | - | (2) |

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. | Rate, kg ai/ha | Spray conc., kg ai/hl | | |
| | France | 2-3 | | 0.075 | 3 | |
| | New Zealand | 2-3 | up to 1.0 | 0.037 | 1 | |
| | Spain | 2 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05-0.075 | 14 | |
| | USA | 5 | 0.56-1.1 | 0.03-0.06 | 0 | |
| | | 1 | | 0.24 | | (1) |
| (1) Post-harvest treatment<br>(2) Pre-harvest spraying | | | | | | |
| Potato | Australia | | 0.25-0.5 | 0.025-0.05 | | |
| | Denmark | 1 | 0.4-1.3 | | 14 | |
| | USA | 4 | 0.56-1.1 | up to 1.2 | 14 | |
| Potato (seed crop) | Australia | 1 | 0.2 kg/t | | - | |
| | Belgium | 1 | 0.2 kg/t | | - | |
| | | 1 | | 0.75 | - | (1) |
| | Denmark | 1 | | 0.75 | - | (1) |
| | | 1 | | 0.375 | - | (2) |
| | France | 1 | 0.75-0.1 kg/t | | - | (2) |
| | | 1 | 0.3-0.4 | | - | (1) |
| | Greece | 1 | 0.6-0.8 | | - | (1) |
| | Luxembourg | 1 | 0.2 kg/t | | - | |
| | | 1 | | 0.75 | - | (1) |
| | Netherlands | 1 | 0.1-0.2 kg/t | | - | |
| | UK | 1 | 0.1 kg/t | | - | |
| (1) Dipping at planting<br>(2) Spraying at planting | | | | | | |
| Prunes | USA | 5 | 0.56-1.1 | 0.03-0.06 | 0 | |
| Radish | Netherlands | 1-2 | 2.0 | | 14F,G | |
| Radish, Black | Netherlands | 1-2 | 2.0 | | 14F,G | |
| Rape, oilseed | Belgium | 2 | 0.43 | | | |
| | Denmark | 1-2 | 0.5 | | 21 | |
| | France | 1 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.005 | | |
| | Germany | 1 | 0.76 | 0.13-0.15 | 56 | |
| | Italy | 1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 45 | |
| | Luxembourg | 2 | 0.43 | | | |
| | Netherlands | 1-2 | 0.5 | | - | (1) |
| | Sweden | 1 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.25 | - | |
| | UK | 1-3 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.13-0.38 | 21 | |
| | | | 2.5 g/kg seed | | - | |
| (1) Application at beginning/end of flowering | | | | | | |
| Raspberries | Australia | 1 | | 0.05 | 1 | |
| | Norway | 3-4 | 1.5 | | 14 | |
| | Netherlands | 2 | 1.1 | 0.075 | 7 | |
| | New Zealand | 3 | up to 1.0 | 0.05 | 1 | |
| | Switzerland | 1-2 | | 0.05 | 14 | |
| | UK | | 0.75 | 0.075 | 7 | |
| Rice | USA | 2 | 0.56 | up to 0.6 | | (1) |
| (1) Last application not later than 75% heading | | | | | | |
| Root and tuber vegetables | Spain | 4 | 0.75-1.1 | 0.05-0.075 | 3F,G | |
| | | 2-4 | 0.4-0.6 | | | |
| Rye | Germany | 1 | 0.5-0.7/t seed | | - | |
| Shallot | Belgium | 1 | 200g/100kg bulbs | | - | |
| | | 1 | | 0.75 | 28 | |

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. | Rate, kg ai/ha | Spray conc., kg ai/hl | | |
| | France | 1 | 1.5 g/kg seed | | | st |
| | | | 0.75 | | | |
| | Luxembourg | 1 | 2.0 g/kg seed | | - | st |
| | | 1 | | 0.75 | 28 | |
| | Netherlands | 2-4 | 0.25-0.5 | | 28 | |
| Soya bean | Australia | 2 | 0.5 | 0.13-0.25 | 51 | |
| Squash, Summer (= courgette, zucchini) | France | 2-3 | 0.75 | | | |
| | Netherlands | 2-3 | 0.63-1.3 | 0.025-0.05 | 3G | |
| Stone fruits | Belgium | 2 | | 0.1 | 14 | |
| | Greece | 2 | 1.0 | 0.05 | | |
| | Italy | 1 | 1.1 | 0.075 | 40 | |
| | Luxembourg | 2 | | 0.1 | | |
| | New Zealand | 2-3 | up to 1.0 | 0.037 | 1 | (1) |
| | | 1 | | 0.05 | 1 | |
| | Spain | 2 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05-0.075 | 14 | |
| | Switzerland | 2 | 1.0 | 0.05 | 14 | |
| (1) Dip, post-harvest | | | | | | |
| Strawberry | Australia | | 0.5 | 0.05 | 1 | |
| | Belgium | 4-5 | 1.0 | | 14 | |
| | Canada | | 1.0 | up to 0.1 | 1 | |
| | Denmark | 1-3 | 0.5-0.75 | | 14 | |
| | France | 3-4 | 0.75-1.0 | 0.1 | 2-3 | |
| | Germany | 3 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 10F | |
| | Greece | 4 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 7 | |
| | Italy | 3 | 0.75-1.0 | 0.075-0.1 | 21 | |
| | Luxembourg | 4-5 | 1.0 | | 14 | |
| | Netherlands | 2 | 1.12-1.5 | 0.1 | 14G | |
| | | 2 | 1.12-1.5 | 0.1 | 4F | |
| | New Zealand | 3 | up to 1.0 | 0.05 | 1 | |
| | Norway | 3-4 | 1.5 | | 14 | |
| | Portugal | 2 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 3 | |
| | Spain | 4 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05-0.075 | 3F,G | (1) |
| | | 2-3 | 0.4-0.6 | | 28F,G | (2) |
| | Sweden | 1-3 | 0.75 | 0.07 | 14 | |
| | UK | 4 | 0.75 | 0.037 | 1G | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.037 | 1F | |
| | USA | 4 | 0.84-1.1 | up to 0.12 | 0 | |
| (1) Foliar spray<br>(2) Dust | | | | | | |
| Sugar beet | France | 1 | 1.5 kg/t seed | | | st |
| | Greece | 1 | 4.0 kg/t seed | | | st |
| Sunflower | France | 2 | 0.7 | | - | (1) |
| (1) Second treatment at flower bud visible | | | | | | |
| Tangelo | New Zealand | | | 0.05 | 14 | |
| Tomato | Australia | 1-6 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 7 | |
| | Belgium | | | 0.05 | 3 | |
| | Canada | | | 0.05 | 2G | |
| | Denmark | 1-3 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 3G | |
| | | | | | 14F | |
| | France | 2-3 | 0.75-1.0 | | 3 | |
| | Greece | 2-3 | | 0.05-0.075 | 7 | |

| Crop | Country | Application | | | PHI, days | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | No. | Rate, kg ai/ha | Spray conc., kg ai/hl | | |
| | Italy | 3-4 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05-0.075 | 21G | |
| | | 1-3 | | | F | |
| | Luxembourg | 1-6 | | 0.05 | 3 | |
| | Netherlands | 2-3 | 0.63-1.3 | 0.025-0.5 | | |
| | Norway | 1-4 | 1.0 | | 14 | |
| | New Zealand | | | 0.05 | 3G | |
| | Portugal | 3 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 7 | |
| | Switzerland | 2 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.05 | 3 | |
| | UK | 6 | | 0.05 | 1G | |
| | | 6 | | 0.05 | 2F | |
| Tree nuts | Greece | 2 | | 0.05 | 14 | |
| Turnip, stubble | UK | 2 | 0.5 | 0.13-0.25 | 21 | |
| Vegetables | France | 1 | 2.5 g/kg seed | - | | st |
| | Greece | 3 | 0.05-0.075 | | 7 | |
| | Spain | 2-4 | 0.4-0.6 | | 28 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75-1.1 | 0.05-0.075 | 3-21 F,G | (1) |
| | Sweden | 1-3 | 0.5-0.75 | 0.15 | 14 | |
| (1) For PHIs see individual crops or crop groups | | | | | | |
| Wheat | Belgium | 1 | 0.35-0.43 | | 42 | |
| | France | 1-2 | 0.4 | | | |
| | Germany | 1 | 0.5-0.76 | 0.12-0.25 | 35 | |
| | | 1 | 0.52-0.70/t seed | | - | st |
| | Luxembourg | 1 | 0.35-0.43 | | 42 | |
| | UK | 1-2 | 0.38-0.5 | 0.1-0.25 | | (1) |
| (1) Last application up to growth stage Zadoks 71 | | | | | | |
| Witloof chicory (sprouts) | Belgium | 1 | | 0.3 | | (3) |
| | Luxembourg | 1 | | 0.3 | | (3) |
| | Netherlands | 1 | 0.065 kg/t tubers | | | (1) |
| | | 1 | 0.004/$m^2$ | | | (2) |
| (1) Tuber pre-storage treatment<br>(2) Tuber treatment at planting<br>(3) Drench before planting | | | | | | |

[1] st = seed treatment
[2] F = Field (outdoor)
[3] G = Glasshouse

## RESIDUES RESULTING FROM SUPERVISED TRIALS

The Meeting reviewed supervised trial data for fruits (citrus, pome and stone fruit, berries, grapes, bananas, kiwifruit), vegetables (brassica, bulb, leafy, legume, root and tuber vegetables, stalk and stem vegetables, cucumber, tomato, peppers), cereals, nuts, oilseed, and other seeds.

**List of Tables in this section**

45 Residues of iprodione in wheat.
46 Residues of iprodione in rice.
47 Residues of iprodione in maize.
48 Residues of iprodione in almonds.
49 Residues of iprodione in oil-seed rape.
50 Residues of iprodione in sunflower.
51 Total residues of iprodione and its metabolites in bovine milk.
52 Total residues of iprodione and its nonhydroxylated metabolites in bovine tissues.

Underlined residues in Tables 2-50 are from treatments according to GAP.

**Crop residues**

Citrus fruits (Table 2). Data were available from spraying trials in Japan, New Zealand and Israel.

In post-harvest trials in Italy fruits were dipped once for 20 seconds in a suspension of Rovral WP50. Residues were about 0.7-1 mg/kg and 0.4-0.6 mg/kg after, respectively, 10-60 days and 120-180 days of storage at -12°C.

Table 2. Residues of iprodione in citrus fruits.
New Zealand : Oranges
Japan and Israel: Mandarins
Italy : Lemons

| Country/Year | Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | | | |
| New Zealand | Rovral Flo | 2 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.65 | 152 |
| | | 3 | 1.3 | 1 | 1.9 | 152 |
| 1989 | | | | 3 | 1.8 | |
| | | | | 7 | 1.4 | |
| | | | | 14 | 1.1 | |
| Japan | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 2.5 | 7 | 1) 0.32 | 3 |
| 1981 | | | | 14 | 0.34 | |
| | | | | 30 | 0.03 | |
| | | | | 7 | 2) 20 | |
| | | | | 14 | 13 | |
| | | | | 30 | 2.6 | |
| | | | | | 3) 0.04 | |
| | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 2.5 | 8 | 1) 0.12 | 3 |
| | | | | 15 | 0.2 | |
| | | | | 31 | 0.11 | |
| | | | | 8 | 2) 23 | |
| | | | | 15 | 23 | |
| | | | | 31 | 15 | |

| Country/Year | Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | | | |
| | | | | | 3) 0.14 | |
| Israel | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 2.0 | 145 | <0.05-0.33 | 61 |
| 1990 | | | | | | |
| 1992 | | 6 | 1.5 | 172 | 019, 0.34 | 258 |
| | | 6 | 1.5 | 144 | 0.32,0.38 | |
| | | 8 | 1.5 | 109 | 0.34,0.51 | |
| Italy* | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | | 10 | 0.88 | 11 |
| 1985 | | | | 60 | 0.72 | |
| | | | | 120 | 0.49 | |
| | | | | 180 | 0.42 | |
| | | 1 | | 10 | 1.02 | 11 |
| | | | | 60 | 0.94 | |
| | | | | 120 | 0.58 | |
| | | | | 180 | 0.46 | |

1) Pulp 2) Peel 3) Juice
* Post-harvest dip

**Pome fruit**

Apple. Residues after spraying are summarized in Table 3 and after post-harvest dipping treatment and cool storage in Table 4.

Table 3. Residues of iprodione in apples.

| Country/Year | Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | | | |
| Japan | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2.9 | 136 |
| 1975 | | | | 7 | 2.6 | |
| | | | | 10 | 2.3 | |
| | | 10 | 5 | 10 | 6.5 | 136 |
| | | 10 | 5 | 20 | 5.8 | |
| | | 10 | 5 | 30 | 3.8 | |
| Japan | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2.2 | 135 |
| 1975 | | | | 7 | 1.8 | |
| | | 1 | 5 | 10 | 0.38 | 135 |
| | | 10 | 0.5 | 10 | 3.4 | 135 |
| | | 10 | 0.5 | 20 | 2 | |
| | | 10 | 0.5 | 30 | 1.7 | |
| France | Calidan** | 2 | 0.52 | 2 | 0.6 | 63 |
| 1986 | | 2 | 0.57 | 2 | 1.2 | |
| | | | | 71* | 1.2 | |

* PHI 3 days + 68 days cold storage
** Not registered for this use in France

Apples, post-harvest treatment. Post-harvest dipping trials were carried out in various countries and residues of iprodione were measured by gas chromatography on the day of treatment and after cool storage: see Table 4.

Table 4. Residues of iprodione in apples, post-harvest dip treatment, all single applications.

| Country,Year | Application | | Storage, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | kg ai/hl | | | |
| France | Rovral WP 50* | 0.1 | 198 | 2.4 | 50 |
| 1980 | | 0.1 | 36 | 0.8 | |
| | | 0.1 | 0 | 1.5 | |
| France | Rovral WP 50* | 0.1 | 0 | 4.8 | 112 |
| 1979 | | | 97 | 4.5 | |
| | | | 222 | 3 | |
| | | | | Pulp 1.3 | 113 |
| | | | | Pulp AC[1] 0.85 | |
| | | | | Peel 17.4 | |
| France | Rovral WP 50* | 0.05 | 181 | 2.1 | 144 |
| 1979 | | 0.05 | 222 | 2.3 | |
| | | 0.1 | 181 | 3.2 | |
| | | 0.1 | 222 | 3.0 | |
| | | 0.2 | 181 | 5.7 | |
| | | 0.2 | 222 | 5.7 | |
| France | Rovral Aqua Flo | 0.1 | 0 | 0.8 | 204 |
| 1989 | | | 28 | 2.4 | |

| Country,Year | Application | | Storage, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | | 153 | 2.2 | |
| | 500 g/l | 0.1 | 0 | 0.78 | |
| | | | 28 | 1.7 | |
| | | | 153 | 1.2 | |
| | | 0.1 | 0 | 0.23 | |
| | | | 32 | 1.3 | |
| | | | 159 | 1.0 | |
| | | 0.1 | 0 | 0.84 | |
| | | | 32 | 0.82 | |
| | | | 159 | 1.6 | |
| | | 0.1 | 0 | 1.3 | |
| | | | 28 | 0.74 | |
| | | | 153 | 1.9 | |
| | | 0.1 | 0 | 1.0 | |
| | | | 32 | 0.69 | |
| | | | 159 | 1.1 | |
| Italy | Rovral WP 50 | 0.05 | 10-12 | 2.2 | 11 |
| 1985 | | | 60 | 1.4 | |
| | | | 120 | 0.67 | |
| | | | 180 | 0.61 | |
| | | 0.075 | 10-12 | 2.4 | |
| | | | 60 | 2.0 | |
| | | | 120 | 1.2 | |
| | | | 180 | 1.0 | |

*Not registered for this use
[1]AC: pulp after cooking for 60 min. at 100°C

Pears. Residues from the spray treatment of pears in France and Italy are summarized in Table 5.

Table 5. Residues of iprodione in pears.

| Country/Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Italy | Rovral WP 50 | 6 | 1.35 | 0.075 | 6 | 2.0 | 142 |
| 1979 | | | | | | | |
| 1980 | | 7 | 1.35 | 0.075 | 21 | 3.6 | 146 |
| | | | | | 28 | 3.2 | |
| | | 4 | 1.35 | 0.075 | 21 | 2.4 | |
| | | | | | 28 | 2.3 | |
| 1992 | | 6 | 1.35 | 0.075 | 0 | 2 | 221 |
| | | | | | 10 | 1.1 | |
| | | | | | 20 | 0.51 | |
| | | | | | 30 | 0.39 | |
| | | | | | 40 | 0.33 | |
| France 1983 | Rovral WP 50* | 1 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 10** | 0.25 | 150 |

* Not registered for this use in France
**10 days PHI plus 3 months cold storage

**Stone fruit**.

Apricots. Residues of iprodione in apricots were determined at one location in France during 1980 (Table 6).

Table 6. Residues of iprodione in apricots (France, 1980).

| Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | kg ai/ha | | | |
| Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 0.75 | 10 | 0.3 | 114 |
| | 2 | 0.75 | 10 | 0.95 | |

Cherries. Residues of iprodione in cherries treated with various formulations of iprodione are shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Residues of iprodione in cherries.

| Country/Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 6 | 0.7 | 0.025 | 0 | 4.0 | 29 |
| 1978 | | | | | 1 | 3.9 | |
| | | | | | 5 | 2.5 | |
| | | | | | 8 | 2.6 | |
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 7 | 0.875 | | 1 | 1.1,1.4 | 170 |
| 1982 | | 8 | 0.875 | | 1 | 1.4,6.5 | |
| France | Calidan SC | 1 | 0.146 | | 8 | 0.11 | 203 |
| 1989 | | 2 | 0.46 | | 1 | 0.18 | |
| | | 1 | 0.439 | | 13 | 0.13 | |
| | | 2 | 0.439 | | 2 | 0.26 | |
| France | Kidan SC | 1 | 0.625 | | 8 | 0.12 | 202 |
| 1989 | | 2 | 0.625 | | 1 | 0.30 | |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | | 13 | 0.32 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 2 | 0.66 | |

Peaches and nectarines. Residues of iprodione from trials in France, Canada, Australia, Italy, Japan and South Africa on peaches and in Australia and France on nectarines treated with various formulations are shown in Table 8.

Table 8. Residues of iprodione in peaches and nectarines.

| Country, Year | Application | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|

| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Australia | Rovral WP 50 | 5 | 1.4 | 0.05 | 6 | 5.9* | 237 |
| 1976 | | 7 | 1.4 | 0.05 | 3 | 5.4 | 239 |
| | | 3 | 1.4 | 0.05 | 4 | 1.7 | 239 |
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 5 | 1.0 | | 0 | 2.6 | 124 |
| 1974 | | | | | 3 | 2.0 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 2.2 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 2.2 | |
| | | 5 | 1.0 | | 0 | 7.6 | |
| | | | | | 4 | 9.0 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 10 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 8.5 | |
| | | 5 | 1.0 | | 0 | 6.2 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 4.1 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 3.4 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 2.9 | |
| | | 4 | 1.0 | | 33 | 1.7 | |
| | | 5 | 1.0 | | 0 | 6.5 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 4.6 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 4.9 | |
| | | 4 | 1.0 | | 40 | 1.5 | |
| | | 7 | 1.0 | | 0 | 2.3 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 2.0 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.3 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 1.3 | |
| | | 6 | 1.0 | | 11 | 1.8 | |
| | | | | | 12 | 1.1 | |
| | | | | | 15 | 2.0 | |
| | | | | | 23 | 0.9 | |
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 6 | 0.8 | 0.75 | 7 | 0.82, 1.9 | 20 |
| 1977 | | 5 | 0.8 | 0.75 | 7 | 0.67, 1.2 | |
| | | 5 | 0.8 | 0.75 | 7 | 0.3, 0.86 | |
| | | 5 | 0.8 | 0.75 | 7 | 0.59, 1.3 | |
| | | 5 | 0.8 | 0.75 | 7 | 1.1, 1.5 | |
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | 0.75 | | 20 | 0.76 | 47 |
| 1978 | | 3 | 0.75 | | 1 | 3.8 | |
| | | 3 | 0.75 | | 7 | 1.4 | |
| | | 3 | 0.75 | | 14 | 0.8 | |
| | | 3 | 0.75 | | 22 | 0.36 | |
| | | 3 | 0.75 | | 6 | 1.3 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 1 | 2.2 | |
| | | | | | 8 | 1.8 | |
| | | | | | 15 | 0.72 | |
| | | | | | 22 | 0.65 | |
| | | 3 | 0.70 | | 0 | 2.7 | |
| | | | | | 1 | 2.9 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 2.3 | |
| | | | | | 5 | 1.8,2.8 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 2.5 | |
| France | Calidan SC | 2 | 0.92 | | 7 | 1.2 | 63 |
| 1985 | | 3 | 0.92 | | 4 | 0.62 | |
| France | Calidan SC | 3 | 0.43 | | 15 | 0.3 | 45 |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| 1987 | | 3 | 0.43 | | 3 | 0.85 | |
| France | Calidan SC | 4 | 0.74 | | 0 | 1.5 | 46 |
| 1987 | | 3 | 0.45 | | 0 | 0.54 | |
| | | 4 | 0.54 | | 0 | 0.8 | |
| | | 5 | 0.52 | | 0 | 1.7 | |
| | | 4 | 0.52 | | 0 | 2.4 | |
| France | Calidan SC | 4 | 0.43 | | 0 | 0.5 | 201 |
| 1988 | | 4 | 0.43 | | 0 | 0.8 | |
| | | 4 | 0.78 x 3 | | 0 | 1.0 | |
| | | | 0.43 x 1 | | | | |
| France | Calidan SC | 3 | 0.43 | | 18 | 0.31 | 205 |
| 1989 | | 4 | 0.43 | | 4 | 0.37 | |
| | | 4 | 0.43 | | 1 | 0.41 | |
| France | Kidan SC | 4 | 1.2 | | 0 | 1.8 | 46 |
| 1987 | | 3 | 0.78 | | 0 | 1.1 | |
| | | 4 | 0.93 | | 0 | 1.2 | |
| | | 5 | 0.9 | | 0 | 0.91 | |
| | | 4 | 0.9 | | 0 | 2.7 | |
| France | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | 0.75 | | 16 | 0.34* | 99 |
| 1977 | | 2 | 1 | | 16 | 0.53* | |
| | | 2 | 0.9 | | 3 | 0.73* | |
| | | 2 | 0.9 | | 13 | 1.7 | |
| France | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 0.75 | | 15 | 0.69 | 45 |
| 1987 | | 3 | 0.75 | | 3 | 1.8 | |
| France | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 1.27 | | 0 | 4.3 | 46 |
| 1987 | | 3 | 0.78 | | 0 | 1.3 | |
| | | 4 | 0.93 | | 0 | 0.81 | |
| | | 5 | 0.9 | | 0 | 1.6 | |
| | | 4 | 0.9 | | 0 | 2.8 | |
| Italy 1990 | Rovral WP 50 | 1<br>1 | 1.1<br>1.1 | | 21<br>14 | 0.05<br>0.15 | 208 |
| Italy | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | 1.35 | | 0 | 3.6 | 220 |
| 1991 | | | | | 10 | 2.6 | |
| | | | | | 20 | 1.1 | |
| | | | | | 30 | 0.83 | |
| | | | | | 40 | 0.35 | |
| Japan | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | 4.0 | | 1 | 3.7 | 137 |
| 1975 | | | | | 3 | 2.1 | |
| | | 3 | 4.0 | | 1 | 4.6 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 2.9 | |
| | | 2 | 3.0 | | 1 | 6.3 | 137 |
| | | | | | 3 | 4.8 | |
| | | 3 | 3.0 | | 1 | 6.8 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 5.8 | |
| South Africa | Rovral WP 50** | 1 | 0.5 | | 3 | 4.8 | 21 |
| 1978 | | 1 | 0.5 | | 10 | 2.6 | |
| | | 1 | 0.5 | | 14 | 5.1 | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | 3 | 8.7 | |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | 1 | 1.0 | | 3 | 7.5 | |
| | | 1 | 1.0 | | 10 | 7.9 | |
| | | 1 | 1.0 | | 14 | 7.8 | |
| | | 2 | 1.0 | | 3 | 21 | |

* Nectarines
** Not sold in South Africa

Plums. In Australia plums were harvested 7 days after the last of 4 applications of a 0.05% ai suspension of Rovral WP50 to run-off (2800 l/ha; Woods, 1976). Trials were also carried out in France (two treatments with Rovral WP50, Kidan or Rovral Aqua Flo at the rate of 0.75 kg ai/ha) and South Africa. See Table 9.

Table 9. Residues of iprodione in plums.

| Country/Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Australia 1975 | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 1.4 | | 7 | 2.2 | 238 |
| France | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | 0.75 | | 10 | 1.5 | 81 |
| 1979 | | 2 | 0.75 | | 16 | 0.45 | |
| France | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 0.5 | | 13 | 4.2 | 123 |
| 1974 | | 3 | 1.0 | | 13 | 6.8 | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | 52 | 0.19 | |
| | | 2 | 1.0 | | 52 | 0.64 | |
| France 1979 | Rovral Aqua Flo* SC 500 | 2<br>2 | 0.75<br>0.75 | | 10<br>16 | 1.3<br>0.35 | 81 |
| France | Kidan SC 255 | 2 | 0.75 | | 10 | 1.3 | 81 |
| 1979 | | 2 | 0.75 | | 16 | 0.65 | |
| South | Rovral Flo SC 255 | 2 | | 0.0375 | 0 | 1.2 | 4 |
| Africa | | | | | 1 | 1.7 | |
| 1982 | | | | | 2 | 1.2 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 1.6 | |
| | | 2 | | 0.05 | 0 | 0.55 | 4 |
| | | | | | 1 | 1.5 | |
| | | | | | 2 | 0.51 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 1.4 | |
| | | 2 | | 0.075 | 0 | 2.1 | 4 |
| | | | | | 1 | 2.8 | |
| | | | | | 2 | 1.4 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 3.3 | |
| South | Rovral Flo SC 255 | 2 | | 0.05 | 0 | 0.6 | 182 |
| Africa | | | | | 2 | 0.8 | |
| 1985 | | | | | 3 | 0.7 | |
| | | 2 | | 0.05 | 0 | 1.1 | 182 |
| | | | | | 2 | 1.6 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 1.6 | |

| Country/Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | | | | 5 | 2.3 | |
| | | 2 | | 0.05 | 0 | 1.8 | 182 |
| | | | | | 2 | 1.9 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 1.1 | |
| | | | | | 5 | 1.5 | |
| | | 2 | | 0.05 | 0 | 1.3 | 182 |
| | | | | | 2 | 1.7 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 1.3 | |
| | | | | | 5 | 1.6 | |

* Not yet registered for plums in France

**Berries and other small fruits**

Currants and gooseberries. Supervised trials with iprodione were carried out on currants in 1981-1984 in The Netherlands and on gooseberries in 1980 in the UK (Table 10).

Table 10. Residues of iprodione in currants and gooseberries.

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Netherlands | Rovral | 5 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 78 | 5.4 | 43 |
| 1984* | WP 50 | 5 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 78 | 3.21 | |
| | | 9 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 8 | 15 | |
| | | 9 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 8 | 9.9 | |
| Netherlands | Rovral | 2 | 3.75 | 0.075 | 14 | 20 | 36 |
| 1982* | WP 50 | | | | | | |
| Netherlands | Rovral | 5 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 31 | 7.1 | 36 |
| 1981* | WP 50 | 6 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 10 | 12 | |
| UK 1980** | Rovral WP50 | 3 | 0.75 | 0.035 | 6 | 0.34 | 159 |

* Currants
** Gooseberries

Blackberries. Iprodione residue trials were carried out 1986 in Oregon (USA) on blackberries with Rovral WP50. The rate of application was 1.1 kg ai/ha. Five applications were made with the first two in the bloom stage and the remainder at bi-weekly intervals thereafter with the last application on the day of harvest. The results are summarized in Table 11.

Table 11. Residues of iprodione in blackberries (USA, 1986).

| Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | kg ai/ha | | | |
| Rovral WP 50 | 5 | 1.1 | 0 | 5.8 | 69 |
| | 5 | 1.1 | 0 | 11 | |
| | 5 | 1.1 | 0 | 15 | |
| | 5 | 1.1 | 0 | 22 | |

Raspberries. Residue data from trials in Canada, France and the UK are given in Table 12.

Table 12. Residues of iprodione in raspberries.

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 6 | 1.0 | | 1 | 7.9 | 169 |
| 1981 | | | | | 3 | 5.5 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 4.8 | |
| Canada | | 6 | 1.0 | | 1 | 17 | 169 |
| 1981 | | | | | 3 | 14 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 7.1 | |
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 5 | 1.0 | | 1 | 4.6,31 | 178 |
| 1982 | | 5 | 1.0 | | 1 | 12, 30 | |
| France | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 0.8 | 0.05 | 18 | 1.1 | 33 |
| 1974 | | 3 | 0.53 | 0.033 | 18 | 0.85 | |
| UK | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 6 | 1.2 | 12 |
| 1977 | | 5 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 8 | 2.6 | |
| UK | Rovral WP 50 | 5 | 1.12 | 0.05 | 17 | 1.6 | 125 |
| 1974 | | 3 | 1.12 | 0.05 | 15 | 2.0 | |
| | | 4 | 1.12 | 0.05 | 0 | 7.9 | |
| | | | | | 1 | 6.0 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 5.0 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 2.8 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 2.1 | |
| UK | Rovral Flo* | 1 | 0.75 | | 1 | 2.7 | 163 |
| 1981 | | 4 | 0.75 | | 1 | 13 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 1 | 8.3 | |
| UK | Rovral Flo* | 4 | 0.75 | | 1 | 2.7 | 173 |
| 1982 | | 4 | 0.75 | | 1 | 9.6 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 3 | 1.5 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 3 | 7.7 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 7 | 0.92 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 7 | 5.4 | |

* No registration for this use in the UK

Strawberries. Trials were conducted in many countries to obtain information on residues in strawberries treated with iprodione. The results are summarized in Table 13.

Table 13. Residues of iprodione in strawberries.

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| USA | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 1.1 | | 0 | 0.5-6.5 (5) | 245 |
| 1985/1986 | | 2 | 1.1 | | 0 | 1.9-7.9 (5) | |
| | | 3 | 1.1 | | 0 | 1.9-7.5 (5) | |
| | | 4 | 1.1 | | 0 | 9.1 | |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | 5 | 1.1 | | 0 | 0.4-6.7 (7) | |
| | | 6 | 1.1 | | 0 | 0.5-9.9 (11) | |
| | | 7 | 1.1 | | 0 | 0.4-8.5 (11) | |
| | | 8 | 1.1 | | 0 | 0.5-7.2 (8) | |
| | | 9 | 1.1 | | 0 | 0.5-5.0 (7) | |
| | | 10 | 1.1 | | 0 | 0.3-6.6 (7) | |
| | | 11 | 1.1 | | 0 | 0.4-4.8 (2) | |
| | | 12 | 1.1 | | 0 | 0.6-6.0 (2) | |
| | | 17 | 1.1 | | 0 | 0.4-1.9 (5) | |
| Belgium | Rovral WP 50 | 5 | 0.75 | 0.125 | 13-16 | 1.9,4.9 | |
| 1974 | | 5 | 1 | 0.167 | 13-16 | 2.5,6.0 | |
| Netherlands | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 18 | 1.1 | 8 |
| 1974 | | 5 | 0.75 | | 0 | 26 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 14 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.67 | |
| 1978 | | 3 | 1.0 G | 0.1 | 12 | 3.3 | |
| | | 3 | 1.0 G | 0.1 | 14 | 8.1 | |
| 1979 | | 4 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 15 | 5.3 | |
| France | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 10 | 0.2 | 245 |
| 1981 | | 4 | 0.5 | 0.075 | 19 | 0.07 | |
| 1982 | | 3 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 6 | 0.15 | |
| 1977 | | 3 | 1.5 | 0.075 | 17 | 2.3 | |
| | | 3 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 17 | 4.3 | |
| 1974 | | 4 | 0.5 | 0.033 | 13 | 1.2, 2.5 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 13 | 1.9, 3.2 | |
| | | 4 | 1.0 | 0.067 | 13 | 2.8, 5.6 | |
| | | 3 | 0.75 | 0.375 | 33 | 0.53 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 10 | 1.75 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 20 | 0.35 | |
| | | 3 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 33 | 1.1 | |
| | | 4 | 1.0 | | 10 | 2.2 | |
| | | 4 | 1.0 | | 20 | 0.44 | |
| Germany | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 0.94 | 0.0375 | 0 | 5.3 | |
| 1974 | | | | | 4 | 2.7 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 2.3 | |
| | | | | | 10 | 1.1 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 1.0 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.38 | |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | 3 | 1.25 | 0.05 | 0 | 9.1 | |
| | | | | | 4 | 3.6 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 3.4 | |
| | | | | | 10 | 2.0 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 1.9 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.67 | |
| Germany | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 1.0 | 0.05 | 0 | 33 | |
| 1978 | | | | | 3 | 32 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 18 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 4.7 | |
| | | 3 | 1.25 | 0.05 | 0 | 8.4 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 5.5 | |
| | | | | | 5 | 7.2 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 4.5 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 2.2 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 1.0 | |
| | | 3 | 1.25 | 0.05 | 0 | 8.8 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 4.3 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 2.4 | |
| | | | | | 10 | 2.3 | |
| Germany | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 1.0 | 0.05 | 12 | 0.13-0.31 (3) | |
| 1976 | | | | | 16 | 0.14-0.23 (3) | |
| | | | | | 22 | 0.14-0.17 (3) | |
| Germany | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 1.25 | 0.05 | 0 | 34 | |
| 1977 | | | | | 3 | 13 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 7.5 | |
| | | | | | 10 | 4.5 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.75 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.68 | |
| | | | | | 30 | 0.23 | |
| Germany | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 1.25 | 0.05 | 0 | 9.0 | |
| 1978 | | | | | 3 | 5.7 | |
| | | | | | 5 | 5.2 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 3.8 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 1.4 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.5 | |
| | | 3 | 1.25 | 0.05 | 0 | 4.0 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 4.5 | |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | | | | 5 | 3.3 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 2.5 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.8 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.4 | |
| Denmark | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 0.37 | | 32 | 0.21 | |
| 1980 | | | | | | | |
| New | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | 0.35 | | 1 | 2.0 | |
| Zealand | | 2 | 0.65 | 0.022 | 3 | 4.1 | |
| 1979 | | 5 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 4 | 2.5 | |
| 1985 | | 3 | 0.7 | 0.05 | 1 | 2.9 | |
| | | 3 | 0.7 | 0.05 | 1 | 3.9 | |
| Spain | Rovral WP 50 | | 1.5[2] | 0.075 | 0 | 12 | 10 |
| 1986 | | | | | 3 | 6.9 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 4.9 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 2.9 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 1.3 | |
| Spain | Rovral WP 50 | | 0.75[3] | 0.025 | 0 | 2.2 | |
| 1987 | | | | | 3 | 1.6 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.0 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.8 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.4 | |
| Spain | Rovral WP50 | | 0.75[4] | | 0 | 3.4 | |
| 1988 | | | | | 3 | 2.0 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.6 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.6 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.6 | |
| UK | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 36 | 1.7 | 245 |
| 1974 | | 4 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 7 | 4.5 | |
| | | 4 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 15 | 4.7 | |
| | | 2 | 0.98 | 0.1 | 28 | 0.3 | |
| | | 3 | 0.98 | 0.1 | 20 | 0.7 | |
| 1976 | | 3 | 1.0 | | 8 | 1.6 | |
| | | 3 | 1.0 | | 16 | 0.6 | |
| UK | | 4 | 0.75 | | 1 | 1.9 | 245 |
| 1980 | | 4 | 0.75 | | 1 | 2.5 | |
| UK | Rovral Flo | 4 | 0.74 | 0.035 | 2 | 1.5,2.1 | |
| 1979 | | 4 | 0.74 | 0.035 | 2 | 3.1,5.3 | |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.035 | 1 | 2.3,4.2 | |
| 1980 | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.036 | 1 | 2.0,3.8 | |
| 1981 | | 4 | 0.75 | | 1 | 2.1,4.8 | |
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 3.1-3.5 (3) | 6 |
| 1974 | | | | | 1 | 2.8-3.0 (3) | |
| | | | | | 3 | 1.8-2.4 (3) | |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.4-1.6 (3) | |
| | | | | | 10 | 0.75-0.95 (3) | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.85-1.1 (3) | |
| | | 3 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.9 | |
| | | | | | 18 | 0.83 | |
| | | | | | 30 | 0.23 | |
| 1982 | | 6 | 1.0 | | 1 | 3.5,6.0 | |
| 1983 | | 1 | 1.0 | 0.15 | 0 | 0.94 | |
| | | | | | 1 | 0.97 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 0.99 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.56 | |
| Italy | Rovral Flo | 3 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 45 | 3.1 | 245 |
| 1982 | | 3 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 51 | 4.6 | |

G = Glasshouse
[1] Nos. of samples in ranges are in parentheses
[2] Application indoors, no. and time not reported
[3] Application outdoors, March-April 1987, no. not reported
[4] Application indoors, April-May 1988, no. not reported

Grapes. Trials were in France (48), Chile (2), Portugal (10), Spain (7), Italy (14), Germany (6), Morocco (1), Canada (7) and the USA (6). Results are summarized in Table 14.

Table 14. Residues of iprodione in grapes.

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg (no. of samples in ranges) | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| France 1979 | Kidan | 4 | 0.75 | | 14 | 4.4 | 111 |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 15 | 1.6 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 22 | 2.4 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 27 | 2.4 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 29 | 2.8 | |
| France | Kidan | 4 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 17 | 2.0 | 49 |
| 1980 | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.3 | 20 | 0.9 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.63 | 23 | 0.4 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 23 | 0.9 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.38 | 30 | 0.3 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 31 | 2.0 | |
| | | 3 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 40 | 0.7 | |
| France | Kidan | 4 | 0.75 | | 13 | 4.9 | 42 |
| 1984 | | 4 | 0.75 | | 23 | 3.8 | |
| France | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 18 | 5.1 | 100 |
| 1977 | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 22 | 4.7 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 28 | 4.7 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 36 | 3.3 | |
| France | Rovral WP 50 | 5 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 10 | 5.4 | 49 |
| 1979 | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.38 | 14 | 1.5 | 139 |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.35 | 27 | 1.7 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 29 | 2.1 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.69 | 15 | 1.9 | |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | 0.38 | 7 | 5.7 | |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 12 | 5.4 | |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 15 | 3.6 | |
| France | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 25 | 1.5 | 139 |
| 1975 | | 4 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 27 | 3.4 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.38 | 25 | 3.1 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.38 | 27 | 6.3 | |
| France | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.5-1 | | 20 | 3.1-9.7 (3) | 126 |
| 1974 | | 4 | 0.5-1 | | 22 | 3.2-7.8 (3) | |
| | | 4 | 0.5-1 | | 27 | 2.2-6.3 (3) | |
| | | 4 | 0.5-1 | | 30 | 1.9-5.1 (3) | |
| | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.75 | 0.375 | 0 | 8.4 | 126 |
| | | | | | 7 | 6.4 | |
| | | | | | 15 | 4.8 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 4.2 | |
| | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 29 | 0.45-0.75 (3) | 126 |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg (no. of samples in ranges) | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 29 | 0.52-1.1 (3) | |
| | | 4 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 29 | 1.0 -1.8 (3) | |
| | | 3 | 0.75 | 0.38 | 13 | 2.7 | |
| France | Rovral WP 80 | 2 | 0.75 | | 14 | 0.64* | 207 |
| 1989 | | 2 | 0.75 | | 14 | 11* | |
| France | Rovral Aqua Flo | 4 | 0.75 | | 14 | 1.2 | 111 |
| 1979 | | 4 | 0.75 | | 15 | 1.9 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 22 | 1.8 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 28 | 1.8 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 28 | 1.9 | |
| France | Rovral Aqua Flo | 4 | 0.75 | | 8 | 0.46 | 219 |
| 1991 | | 4 | 0.75 | | 14 | 1.2 | |
| Chile | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.75 | 0.063 | 7 | 5.4 | 148 |
| 1982 | | 5 | 0.67 | 0.063 | 55 | 3.0 | |
| Portugal | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.6 | 0.05 | 35 | 6.6 | 245 |
| 1974 | | 4 | 0.6 | 0.05 | 28 | 2.3 | |
| | | 4 | 0.9 | 0.075 | 28 | 5.0 | |
| | | 4 | 0.9 | 0.075 | 35 | 10 | |
| | | 4 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 28 | 8.0 | |
| | | 4 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 35 | 10 | |
| Portugal | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 23 | 2.6 | 89 |
| 1975 | | 4 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 30 | 1.7 | |
| | | 4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 23 | 3.4 | |
| | | 4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 30 | 5.9 | |
| Spain | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.5 | 0.17 | 11 | 2.4 | 127 |
| 1974 | | 4 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 47 | 6.1 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 15 | 4.2 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 47 | 10.0 | |
| Spain | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 38 | 2.4 | 88 |
| 1975 | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 38 | 4.6 | |
| Spain | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 0.9 | 0.075 | 0 | 7.5 | 10 |
| 1986 | | | | | 2 | 4.7 | |
| | | | | | 5 | 3.8 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 3.0 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 1.1 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.8 | |
| Italy | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 28 | 3.6 | 84 |
| 1974 | | 4 | 0.5 | 0.033 | 38 | 0.65 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 28 | 8.2 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 38 | 1.9 | |
| Italy | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.5 | 0.033 | 8 | 3.5 | 90 |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg (no. of samples in ranges) | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| 1975 | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 8 | 5.5 | |
| | | 4 | 0.5 | 0.033 | 35 | 0.8 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 35 | 2.0 | |
| Italy | Rovral Flo | 4 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 21 | 12 | 115 |
| 1980 | | | | | 28 | 6.9 | |
| Italy | Rovral Flo | 4 | 0.5 | 0.03 | 21 | 3.6 | 120 |
| 1981 | | | | | 28 | 2.9 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 21 | 3.9 | 120 |
| | | | | | 28 | 3.7 | |
| Italy | Rovral Flo | 4 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 21 | 6.6 | 38 |
| 1982 | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 28 | 5.8 | |
| Italy | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 0.75 | | 0 | 4.3* | 206 |
| 1990 | | | | | 9 | 3.7* | |
| | | | | | 20 | 3.6* | |
| | | | | | 30 | 2.7* | |
| | | | | | 40 | 2.1* | |
| Germany | Rovral WP 50 | 5 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 8 | 2.7 | 85 |
| 1974 | | | | | 31 | 3.4 | |
| | | | | | 62 | 1.4 | |
| | | | | | 71 | 0.55 | |
| | | 4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 3.9 | 85 |
| | | | | | 28 | 2.1 | |
| | | | | | 42 | 1.9 | |
| | | | | | 84 | 1.3 | |
| | | 5 | 0.6 | 0.125 | 8 | 4.9 | 85 |
| | | | | | 31 | 2.9 | |
| | | | | | 62 | 2.4 | |
| | | | | | 71 | 2.1 | |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 0 | 5.8 | 85 |
| | | | | | 28 | 3.9 | |
| | | | | | 42 | 2.8 | |
| | | | | | 84 | 2.2 | |
| Germany | Rovral WP 50 | 5 | 0.75 | 0.0375 | 0 | 2.1-7.3 (5) | 101 |
| 1977 | | | | | 7 | 2.2-6.8 (5) | |
| | | | | | 14 | 2.0-6.7 (5) | |
| | | | | | 21 | 1.5-5.1 (5) | |
| | | | | | 28 | 1.5-5.9 (5) | |
| Germany | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.75 | | 14 | 0.71-5.2 (6) | 91 |
| 1975 | | | | | 42 | 0.37-2.7(6) | |
| | | | | | 53 | 0.42-2.8(6) | |
| | | | | | 70 | 0.6 -1.2(6) | |
| | | | | | 75 | 2.8 | |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg (no. of samples in ranges) | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Morocco 1984 | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | 0.75 | | 7 | 1.2 | 226 |
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.75 | | 42 | 2.5 | 156 |
| 1979 | | 1 | 1.0 | | 0 | 2.5 | |
| | | | | | 2 | 2.4 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.2 | |
| | | | | | 15 | 1.0 | |
| | | | | | 19 | 1.1 | |
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.75 | | 41 | 1.5 | 179 |
| 1980/81 | | 5 | 0.75 | | 34 | 1.7,3.0 | |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | | 48 | 2.3 | |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | | 39 | 1.4 | |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | | 45 | 2.2 | |
| USA | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 1.1 | | 0 | 0.88,4.7 | 55 |
| 1988 | | 4 | 1.1 | | 0 | 2.7, 4.4 | |
| | | 4 | 1.1 | | 0 | 0.9, 4.1 | |
| | | 4 | 1.1 | | 0 | 1.5, 2.1 | |
| | | 4 | 1.1 | | 0 | 2.7, 4.6 | |
| | | 4 | 1.1 | | 0 | 0.12,0.66 | |

* Table grapes

## Tropical and sub-tropical fruit

Bananas. Results of supervised trials from the Philippines (foliar spray) and Spain (post-harvest treatment, one location) are summarized in Table 15.

Table 15. Residues of iprodione in bananas.

| Country, Year | Application | | | | Post-treatment period, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Philippines 1980 | Rovral WP 50 | 41 | 0.5 | 0.37 | 9 | Peel: 0.15, 1.5<br>Pulp: <0.03-0.06 | 145 |
| 1981 | | 9 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 21 | Peel: <0.1<br>Pulp: <0.1 | 121 |
| 1981 | Rovral Aqua Flo* | 9 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 21 | Peel: <0.1<br>Pulp: <0.1 | 121 |
| Spain 1991 | EC 100 g/l | 1[1] | | 0.05 | 0 | whole fruit: 3.4<br>Peel: 7<br>Pulp: <0.1 | 10 |
| | | | | | 3 | Peel: 6.8<br>Pulp: <0.1 | |
| | EC 100 g/l | 1[1] | | 0.03 | 0 | whole fruit: 2.5<br>Peel: 5.1 | 10 |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | Post-treatment period, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | | | | | Pulp: <0.1 | |
| | | | | | 3 | Peel: 5.1<br>Pulp: <0.1 | |
| | EC 100 g/l | 1[1] | | 0.025 | 0 | whole fruit: 1.7<br>Peel: 4<br>Pulp: <0.1 | 10 |

* Not registered for this use in the Philippines
[1] Post-harvest treatment

Kiwifruit. Residues of iprodione have been determined in kiwifruit treated one to eight times with Rovral at various sites in France and New Zealand (Table 16).

Table 16. Residues of iprodione in kiwifruit (pre-harvest treatment).

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg[1] | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| New Zealand | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 0.73 | 0.038 | 74 | 0.1-0.29 (4) | 154, |
| 1979/80 | | 5 | 0.75 | | 0 | 3.5 | |
| | | | | | 1 | 3.9 | 157, |
| | | | | | 4 | 3.4 | 231 |
| | | | | | 8 | 2.2 | 18 |
| | | | | | 15 | 0.12 | |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | | 1 | 3.7 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 4.5 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.23 | |
| | | | | | 22 | 1.8 | |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | | 0 | 3.2 | 18 |
| | | | | | 1 | 2.6 | |
| | | | | | 4 | 2.4 | |
| | | | | | 15 | 1.7 | |
| | | | | | 23 | 0.92 | |
| 1981/82 | Rovral WP 50 | 8 | 0.75 | | 0 | 4.7 | 18 |
| | | | | | 3 | 3.4 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 4.2 | |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg[1] | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | Rovral Flo | 8 | 0.75 | | 0 | 4.7 | 18 |
| | | | | | 3 | 3.8 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 2.5 | |
| | Rovral Flo | 8 | 0.75 | | 0 | 9.3 | 18 |
| | | | | | 3 | 3.7 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 2.9 | |
| 1991 | Rovral Flo | 3 | 0.75 | | 1 | 0.28-4.5 (10) | 41 |
| 1979/80 | Rovral WP 50 | 5 | 0.75 | 0.038 | 1 | Pulp: 0.3 | 213 |
| | | | | | 3 | 0.42 | |
| | | | | | 1 | 0.16 | |
| | | | | | 8 | 0.19 | |
| | | | | | 1 | 0.91 | |
| | | | | | 4 | 0.14 | |
| France | Kidan* | 1 | 0.75 | | 1 + a[2] | 2.3 [1.3][2] | 193 |
| 1986/87 | | | | | 15 + a | 2.4 [1.5] | |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | | 2 + b | 2.5 [1.4] | |
| | | | | | 12 + b | 0.55 [1.1] | |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | | 2 + c | 2.1 [1.6] | |
| | | | | | 15 + c | 1.9 [1.2] | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 1 + a | 3.8 [2.0] | |
| | | 2 | 1.5 | | 2 + b | 4.7 [2.6] | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 2 + c | 2.0 [3.0] | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 3 + d | 1.4 [1.5] | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 3 + d | 1.0 [1.1] | |
| | | | | | 17 + d | 0.55 [1.2] | |

* Kidan = Rovral Flo
[1] Nos. of samples in ranges are in parentheses
[2] [ ] after storage at 0°C for a = 131 days; b = 175 days; c = 161 days; d = 112 days

Kiwifruit. Treatment by post-harvest dip or spray. In a post-harvest residue trial in Australia (1989), mature fruits were dipped in Rovral WP 50 at 500 and 1000 mg/l for 30 seconds before being packed in commercial kiwifruit trays with polyethylene liners and cool-stored at 20°C. Residue analyses on pulp and whole fruit did not show a consistent decrease with increased withholding period (0 to 14 days). The residue of iprodione at 500 mg/l in the whole fruit was less than 10 mg/kg, but at 1000 mg/l was up to 13 mg/kg. At both rates the residue in the pulp was 1 mg/kg (Anon., 1990).

In New Zealand (1988), post-harvest application of Rovral Flo by means of a "Singulator" spray apparatus at a rate of 1.5 ml/l resulted in a mean iprodione residue level of 1.36 mg/kg on a whole-fruit basis and 0.05 mg/kg in the pulp. Residue levels in the whole fruit were significantly lower, and those in the pulp somewhat lower, than those from post-harvest dipping (Heffernan, 1990).

**Bulb vegetables**

Fennel. Results of one supervised trial (three replicates) on fennel (bulbs) were reported to the Meeting by The Netherlands (see Table 17).

Table 17. Residues of iprodione in fennel bulbs (Netherlands, 1983).

| Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | kg ai/ha | | | |
| WP 50 | 3 | 0.75 | 16 | 0.05 | 8 |
| | | | 24 | <0.05 | |

Garlic. Residues were about 5 mg/kg in garlic harvested approximately nine months after pre-planting treatment with Rovral WP 50. They were almost wholly in the outer sheaths of the bulbs (Table 18).

Table 18. Residues of iprodione in garlic (France, 1975-6).

| Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | kg ai/t | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 1.5 | | 268 | 4.6 * | 96 |
| | 1 | 1.5 | | 275 | ≤ 0.1 ** | |
| | | | | | 15.3 *** | |

* Whole bulb ** Cloves *** Sheaths

Onions. Analyses were carried out by GLC to determine residues of iprodione in onions after spray applications of Rovral formulations. Results from several countries are summarized in Table 19.

Table 19. Residues of iprodione in onions.

| Country, Year | Application | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg (no. of samples) | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|

| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| France 1991 | Rovral Aqua Flo | 5 | 0.75 | | 21 | <0.02 | 215 |
| Netherlands | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 36 | ≤0.05 | 107 |
| 1979 | | | | | | | |
| Denmark | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | until run-off | 0.05 | 0 | 3.0 | 14 |
| 1980 | | | | | 7 | 0.14 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.25 | |
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | 1.0 | 0.06 | 13 | 0.05 | 17 |
| 1978, 1979 | | 3 | 0.75 | 0.23 | 19 | 0.05 | 31 |
| | | 3 | 0.75 | 0.23 | 19 | 0.07 | |
| 1980 | | 5 | 0.75 | | 15 | 0.18 | 160 |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | | 15 | <0.1 | |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | | 19 | <0.1 | |
| USA 1985 | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 1.1 | | 4 | <0.05 | 74 |
| 1989 | Rovral 4F | 5 | 0.75 | | 7 | <0.05 (3) | 53 |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | | 7 | 0.06, 0.11 | |

Brassica vegetables, head cabbage, flowerhead brassicas, broccoli. In the USA, Rovral WP50 was applied once or twice (at thinning and on the day of harvest) to broccoli. See Table 20.

Table 20. Residues of iprodione in broccoli (USA).

| Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg (no of samples) | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| 1983 | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 1.1 | | 49 | 0.05 | 70 |
| 1984 | | 2 | 1.1 | | 0 | 4.1-9.1 (5) | 58 |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 0 | 14 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 0 | 14 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 0 | 22 | |

Chinese cabbage. Table 21. Residue trials on Chinese cabbage were conducted in the USA in 1984 and 1986. From two to five post-emergence applications were made with Rovral WP50, at rates of 0.5 and 1.1 kg ai/ha/application.

In Denmark, at one site during 1980, Chinese cabbage was sprayed once to run-off with an aqueous suspension of Rovral WP50 containing 0.5 g ai/l. A trial was carried out in Germany (1978) with four treatments at 0.5 kg ai/ha.

Table 21. Residues of iprodione in Chinese cabbage.

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg (No. of samples) | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg (No. of samples) | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Canada | Rovral | 3 | 0.75 | 0.14 | 0 | 9.8 | 6 |
| 1990 | WP 50 | | | | 1 | 17 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 12 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 2.5 | |
| | | | | | 10 | 3.0 | |
| | | | | | 15 | 0.27 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 0.16 | |
| Germany | Rovral | 4 | 0.5 | 0.083 | 0 | 0.18-8.4 (6) | 106 |
| 1978 | WP 50* | | | | 3 | 0.23-3.8 (6) | |
| | | | | | 5 | ≤0.1-0.5 (6) | |
| | | | | | 7 | ≤0.1-3.4 (6) | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.04-2.8 (6) | |
| | | | | | 21 | ≤0.03-4.9 (6) | |
| Denmark | Rovral | 1 | until | 0.5 | 0 | 22 | 13 |
| 1980 | WP 50 | | Run-off | | 7 | 1.1 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.23 | |
| USA | Rovral | 4 | 0.5 | | 0 | 0.42 | 75 |
| 1984 | WP 50** | 4 | 0.5 | | 0 | 1.83 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 18 | 0.3 | |
| | | 4 | 1.1 | | 0 | 1.1 | |
| | | 4 | 1.1 | | 0 | 5.7 | |
| 1986 | | 5 | 1.1 | | 0 | 5.0, 12 | 67 |
| | | 5 | 1.1 | | 0 | 9.7 | |
| | | 5 | 1.1 | | 0 | 11 | |
| | | 5 | 1.1 | | 0 | 12 | |

* Not registered for this use ** Registration expected for this use in the USA

Red cabbage. In trials in the UK at one site (1978-1979) a single post-harvest treatment with Rovral WP50 as a 0.1% w/v ai drench was followed by cold storage for 90 days. See Table 22.

Table 22. Residues of iprodione in red cabbage (UK, 1978-9).

| Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | ai concn. | | | |
| Rovral WP 50 | 1* | 0.1% w/v (drench) | 90 | 0.94, 3.1 | 28 |

White cabbage. Iprodione residues from Canadian and German spraying trials and UK post-harvest treatments are shown in Table 23.

Table 23. Residues of iprodione in white cabbage.

| Country, Year | Application | PHI or storage period, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|

| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 0.75 | 0.094 | 0 | 0.30 | 6 |
| 1990 | | | | | 2 | 0.37 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.03 | |
| | | | | | 9 | 0.05 | |
| Germany | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | | 0.15 | 0 | 0.9 | 147 |
| 1979/80 | | | | | 7 | 1.1 | |
| | | | | | 10 | 0.95 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 1.3 | |
| | | | | | 50 | 1.2 | |
| UK | Rovral WP 50 | 1* | 5% dust | | 188 | 0.85 | 240 |
| 1976 | | 1* | 50% dust | | 188 | 2.0 | |
| | | 1* | 0.1% dip | | 188 | 5.0 | |
| 1977 | Rovral WP 50 | 1* | 10% w/v spray | | 103 | 0.47 | 242 |
| | | | | | 118 | 0.24 | |
| | | 1* | 0.1% w/v spray | | 103 | 0.1 | |
| | | | | | 118 | 0.06 | |
| | | 1* | 0.05% w/v spray | | 214 | 0.05 | |
| 1977/78 | Rovral WP 50 | 1* | 0.05% drench | | 220 | 5.9 | 16 |
| | | 1* | 0.05% dip | | 217 | 0.86 | |

* Post-harvest treatment

Kohlrabi. The results of five supervised trials (two locations) in The Netherlands in 1978 using Rovral WP 50 as a pre-harvest treatment are summarized in Table 24.

Table 24. Residues of iprodione in kohlrabi (Netherlands, 1978).

| Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 2.0 | 0.33 | 14 | 0.15 | 104 |
| | 1 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 60 | 0.1 | |
| | 2 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 103 | 0.05 | |
| | 1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 117 | 0.1 | |
| | 1 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 117 | 0.1 | |

Cauliflower. The results of residue trials in France and Canada during 1986 to 1991 are summarized in Table 25.

Table 25. Residues of iprodione in cauliflower.

| Country, Year | Application | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|

| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 0.75 | 0.094 | 1 | 4.15 | 6 |
| 1991 | | | | | 3 | 4.55 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.8 | |
| | | | | | 10 | 1.7 | |
| | | | | | 15 | 1.0 | |
| 1990 | | 3 | 0.75 | 0.094 | 0 | 2.3 | 6 |
| | | | | | 2 | 1.7 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.68 | |
| | | | | | 9 | 0.56 | |
| France | Kidan* | 1 | 0.75 | | 57 | 0.02 | 227 |
| 1986 | | 2 | 1.5 | | 14 | 0.04 | |
| | | 2 | 1.25 | | 55 | 0.16 | |
| 1987 | | 1 | 0.75 | | 85 | <0.05 | 195 |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 42 | <0.05 | |
| 1991 | Rovral Aqua Flo | 2 | 0.75 | | 26 | 0.02 | 224 |

* Not registered for this use in France

**Fruiting vegetables, cucurbits**

Cantaloupes. Residues of iprodione in cantaloupes treated with a 500 g/l suspension concentrate formulation of Rovral Aqua Flo are shown in Table 26.

Table 26. Residues of iprodione in cantaloupes (France, 1991).

| Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Rovral | 3 | 0.75 | | 10 | 0.14 | 216 |
| Aqua | 2 | 0.75 | | 21 | <0.02 | |
| Flo | 3 | 0.75 | | 0 | 0.04 | 218 |
| | | | | 4 | <0.02 | |
| | | | | 7 | 0.03 | |
| | | | | 13 | 0.02 | |

Cucumbers. The results of trials in France, the UK, Denmark, Canada and Japan are shown in Table 27.

Table 27. Residues of iprodione in cucumbers.

| Country, Year | Application | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|

| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| France | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.75 | 5 | 0.15 | 105 |
| 1978 | | | | | | | |
| 1991 | Kidan | 1 | 0.93 | | 3 | 1.8 | 214 |
| | | | | | 8 | 1.8 | |
| UK | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 1.1 | | 37 | 0.02, 0.07 | 22 |
| 1977 | | 4 | 1.1 | | 2 | 0.06, 0.23 | |
| | | 11 | 1.1 | | 9 | <0.02 | |
| | | 12 | 1.1 | | 2 | 0.49 | |
| Denmark | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | until | 0.05 | 0 | 0.68 | 19 |
| 1980 | | | run-off | | 4 | 0.2 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.27 | |
| 1981 | | 1 | until | 0.075 | 1 | 0.50 | 168 |
| | | | run-off | | 2 | 0.51 | |
| | | | | | 4 | 0.44 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.22 | |
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | | 0.05 | 1 | <0.1 | 171 |
| 1982 | | 9 | | 0.05 | 1 | 0.18, 0.28 | |
| | | 3 | | 0.05 | 1 | 0.58 | |
| Japan | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 1 | 1.7 | 92 |
| 1975 | | | | | 3 | 1.0 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.36 | |
| | | 3 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 1 | 1.6 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 0.93 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.67 | |
| | | 4 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 1 | 2.2 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 1.5 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.2 | |
| | | 1 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 1 | 1.2 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 1.0 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.25 | |
| | | 3 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 1 | 2.0 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 1.4 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.29 | |
| | | 4 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 1 | 1.8 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 0.98 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.0 | |

Peppers. Peppers in California, Florida, New Jersey, North Carolina and Texas were treated 8 times with Rovral 4F (480 g/l SC) at 0.85 or 1.7 kg ai/ha. See Table 28.

Table 28. Residues of iprodione in peppers from ground foliar treatments (USA, 1990).

| Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | kg ai/ha | | | |

| Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | kg ai/ha | | | |
| Rovral | 8 | 0.85 | 0 | 0.55-2.4* | 56 |
| 4 F | 8 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.62-3.9* | |
| | 8 | 0.85 | 0 | 0.49-4.9** | |
| | 8 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.47-4.8** | |

* Sweet pepper (7 trials) ** Hot pepper (6 trials)

Tomatoes. Residue trials on tomatoes were conducted in several countries with Rovral WP 50, Rovral Flo or Rovral Aqua Flo. Results are summarized in Table 29.

Table 29. Residues of iprodione in tomatoes.

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| UK | Rovral WP 50 | 5* | until run-off | 0.05 | 0 | 3.1 | 83 |
| 1974 | | | | | 2 | 3.4 | |
| | | | | | 5 | 3.0 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 2.5 | |
| | | | | | 9 | 2.1 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 2.7 | |
| | | 4* | until run-off | 0.05 | 14 | 1.7 | 83 |
| | | 5* | | 0.05 | 14 | 2.3 | |
| | | 6* | | 0.05 | 14 | 2.9 | |
| | | 7* | | 0.05 | 14 | 3.7 | |
| | | 8* | | 0.05 | 14 | 4.2 | |
| | | | | | 28 | 5.8 | |
| | | 5* | until run-off | 0.05 | 14 | 2.7 | 83 |
| | | 6* | | 0.05 | 14 | 3.8 | |
| | | 7* | | 0.05 | 14 | 5.0 | |
| | | 8* | | 0.05 | 14 | 4.2 | |
| | | | | | 27 | 2.3 | |
| | | | | | 41 | 3.3 | |
| 1977 | Rovral WP 50 | 2* | 1.1 | | 2 | 0.24, 1.0 | 243 |
| | | 4* | 1.1 | | 2 | 1.4 | |
| | | 2* | 0.5 | | 2 | 0.1 | |
| | | 4* | 0.5 | | 3 | 0.23 | |
| | | 4* | 0.5 | | 2 | 1.4 | |
| | | 5* | 0.5 | | 3 | 0.28 | |
| France | Rovral WP 50 | 1* | 0.82 | 0.75 | 9 | 0.19 | 102 |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| 1978 | | 4* | 0.75 | 0.15 | 7 | 0.85 | |
| France | Rovral Aqua Flo | 3 | 2.2 | | 0 | 0.1 | 212 |
| 1991 | | | | | 4 | <0.05 | |
| | | | | | 7 | <0.05 | |
| | | | | | 14 | <0.05 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 19 | <0.05 | 213 |
| Japan | Rovral | 3 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 1 | 2.1 | 134 |
| 1975 | WP 50 | | | | 3 | 3.4 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.8 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 3.0 | |
| | | 4 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 1 | 4.6 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 3.6 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 4.1 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 2.8 | |
| | | 5 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 1 | 4.5 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 4.1 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 3.9 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 3.8 | |
| | | 1* | 2.5 | 0.1 | 1 | 1.3 | 132 |
| | | | | | 3 | 1.4 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.2 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 0.79 | |
| | | 3* | 2.5 | 0.1 | 1 | 5.3 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 3.5 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 3.0 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 2.4 | |
| | | 4* | 2.5 | 0.1 | 1 | 5.6 | |
| | | | | | 3 | 5.4 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 4.3 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 3.5 | |
| Italy | Rovral Flo | 3 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 15 | 0.06 | 38 |
| 1982 | | 3 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 28 | 0.03 | |
| Denmark | Rovral WP 50* | 1* | until run-off | 0.05 | 0 | 1.3 | 15 |
| 1980 | | | | | 4 | 0.74 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.49 | |
| 1981 | | 7* | 1.35 | | 1 | 1.9 | 166 |
| | | | | | 3 | 1.7 | |
| | | | | | 5 | 0.9 | |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.0 | |
| | | | | | 14 | 1.0 | |
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 3* | | 0.05 | 2 | 0.15 | 6 |
| 1981/82 | | | | | 7 | 0.41 | |
| | | 3* | | 0.1 | 2 | 0.54 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 0.47 | |
| | | 2* | until run-off | 0.05 | 3 | <0.1, 0.39 | |
| USA | Rovral WP 50 | 5 | 1.1 | | 0 | 0.22-1.6 | 68 |
| 1986 | | 5 | 2.2 | | 0 | 0.46-2.8 | |
| | | 3 | 1.1 | | 0 | <0.05-0.6 | 52 |
| | | 3 | 1.1 | | 0 | 2.2 | |

* Greenhouse

## Leafy vegetables

Trials on witloof chicory, lettuce and dandelions are summarized in Tables 30-32.

Table 30. Residues of iprodione in witloof chicory.

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Belgium 1975 | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 30 *** | | 48 | leaf: 0.41<br>root: 1.5 | 153 |
| | | | | | 59 | leaf: 0.09<br>root: 2.7 | |
| | | | | | 93 | leaf: 0.77<br>root: 6.2 | |
| | | | | | 104 | leaf: 0.55<br>root: 2.7 | |
| Belgium 1975 | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 60 *** | | 48 | leaf: 0.36<br>root: 3.1 | 153 |
| | | | | | 59 | leaf: 0.21<br>root: 4.4 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 93 | leaf: 0.59<br>root: 10.0 | |
| | | | | | 104 | leaf: 1.0<br>root: 3.7 | |
| France 1987 | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | 40 *** | | 24 | leaf: 1.0<br>root: 3.0 | 194 |
| | | 2 | 40 *** | | 24 | leaf: 0.8<br>root: 5.1 | |
| 1987 | | 3 | 0.6 * | 0.12 | 21 | leaf: <0.05<br>root: 2.2 | 196 |
| | | | 0.3 ** | 0.06 | | | |
| | | | 40 *** | 8.0 | | | |
| | | 3 | 1.25 * | 0.25 | 21 | leaf: <0.05<br>root: 1.9 | |
| | | | 0.3 ** | 0.06 | | | |
| | | | 40 *** | 8.0 | | | |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| 1992 | Rovral Aqua Flo | 1 | 40 *** | | 20 | leaf: 0.03<br>root: 0.73 | 225 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1992 | | 1 | 40 *** | | 21 | leaf: 0.08<br>root: 3.8 | |
| 1992 | | 1 | 40 *** | | 21 | leaf: 0.03<br>root: 0.89 | |
| | | 1 | | 0.06 ** | 28 | leaf: 0.03<br>root: 1.0 | |
| | | 2 | 0.06 ** | | 21 | leaf: <0.02<br>root: 2.2 | |
| | | | 40 *** | | | | |
| Netherlands | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 40 **** | | 25 | leaf: <0.1 | 140 |
| 1977 | | | | | 25 | root: 13 not washed | |
| | | | | | | 2.8 washed | |
| 1977 | | 1 | 40 **** | | 62 | leaf: <0.1<br>root: <0.1<br>not washed & washed | |

* Foliar spray ** Dipping
*** Stem base drenching **** Spray after roots arranged for forcing

Table 31. Residues of iprodione in lettuce. All trials with Rovral WP 50.

| Country, Year | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Head lettuce | | | | | | |
| UK | 2 | 0.25 | | 7 | 4.0, 4.3 | 26 |
| 1978/79 | 2 | 0.25 | | 7 | 0.59, 0.94 | |
| | 3 | 0.25 | | 13 | 1.0, 2.0 | 27 |
| | 4 | 0.25 | | 7 | 7.2, 9.1 | |
| | 5 | 0.25 | | 7 | 3.8 | |
| 1977 | 5 | 0.25 | | 7 | <0.2 | 241 |
| | 5 | 0.25 | | 14 | 2.7, 3.3 | |
| | 6 | 0.25 | | 6 | 7.7 | |
| 1974/75 | 5* | 0.5 | 0.05 | 37 | H 0.4 | 86 |
| | | | | | OL 20 | |
| | | | | | WL 13 | |
| | 3* | 0.56 | 0.05 | 19 | 4.9 | 34 |
| | 3* | 0.56 | 0.05 | 22 | 8.8 | |
| | 4* | 0.56 | 0.05 | 0 | 19, 59 | |
| | | | | 1 | 16, 40 | |
| | | | | 7 | 14, 41 | |
| | | | | 14 | 21 | |
| Japan | 2* | 3.0 | 0.1 | 7 | 4.6 | 130 |
| 1975 | | | | 21 | 0.05 | |
| | 3* | 3.0 | 0.1 | 7 | 11 | |
| | | | | 21 | 0.1 | |
| | 4* | 3.0 | 0.1 | 7 | 7.4 | |
| | | | | 21 | 0.11 | |
| | 2* | 1.5 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.48 | 133 |
| | | | | 21 | 0.39 | |
| | 3* | 1.5 | 0.1 | 7 | 1.9 | |

| Country, Year | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | | | 21 | 1.2 | |
| | 4* | 1.5 | 0.1 | 7 | 2.3 | |
| | | | | 21 | 1.7 | |
| Netherlands | 1* | 7.5 (soil) | | 54 | 0.09 | 8 |
| | 1* | 7.5 (soil) | | 67 | 0.08 | |
| 1975 | 2 | 0.75 | | 10 | 9.2 | |
| | | | | 17 | 3.3 | |
| | | | | 24 | 0.05 | |
| | | | | 32 | <0.05 | |
| | 2 | 0.75 | | 21 | 8.2 | 93 |
| | | | | 28 | 4.9 | |
| | | | | 35 | 1.8 | |
| | | | | 42 | 0.65 | |
| France | 4* | 0.75 | 0.075 | 30 | 0.6 | 86 |
| 1977/78 | 4 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 36 | 0.06 | |
| | 4 | 0.9 | 0.075 | 13 | 4.3 | 250 |
| | 3* | 0.75 | 0.075 | 23 | 4.7 | |
| | 4 | 0.75 | 0.094 | 26 | 0.07 | |
| | 3 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 26 | ≤0.1 | |
| | 3* | 0.75 | 0.075 | 52 | 0.67 | |
| 1976 | 3* | 0.75 | 0.075 | 39 | 6.7 | 95 |
| 1975 | 7 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 45 | 0.16 | 87 |
| | 7 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 45 | 0.29 | |
| 1974/75 | 4 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 53 | WL 0.75 | 251 |
| | | | | | OL 2.5 | |
| | | | | | H 0.08 | |
| 1974 | 6 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 31 | 0.03 | 35 |
| | 6 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 31 | 0.03 | |
| | 6 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 31 | 0.03 | |
| Germany | 3* | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0 | 28 | 98 |
| 1977 | | | | 7 | 8.0 | |
| | | | | 14 | 2.4 | |
| | | | | 21 | 1.4 | |
| | | | | 28 | 0.8 | |
| | 3* | 0.5 | 0.05 | 0 | 48 | 98 |
| | | | | 7 | 18 | |
| | | | | 14 | 8.6 | |
| | | | | 21 | 3.7 | |
| | | | | 28 | 1.6 | |
| 1978 | 1* | 1.5 | 0.25 | 0 | 96 | 103 |
| | | | | 14 | 15 | |
| | | | | 21 | 6.8 | |
| | | | | 28 | 3.6 | |
| | | | | 35 | 2.0 | |
| | | | | 42 | 0.3 | |
| | 3* | 0.5 | 0.083 | 0 | 32 | 103 |
| | | | | 7 | 22 | |
| | | | | 14 | 21 | |
| | | | | 21 | 6.9 | |
| | | | | 28 | 3.2 | |
| 1976 | 2* | 0.5 | 0.05 | 32 | 0.17 | 97 |

| Country, Year | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| 1977 | 3* | 0.3 | 0.05 | 0 | 28, 32 | 97 |
| | | | | 7 | 8.0, 8.7 | |
| | | | | 14 | 1.9, 2.4 | |
| | | | | 21 | 0.47, 1.4 | |
| | | | | 28 | 0.08, 0.78 | |
| 1977 | 3* | 0.5 | 0.083 | 0 | 38, 48 | 97 |
| | | | | 7 | 5.9, 18 | |
| | | | | 14 | 2.3, 8.6 | |
| | | | | 21 | 0.75, 3.7 | |
| | | | | 28 | 0.55, 1.6 | |
| 1976 | 3* | 0.75 | 0.15 | 0 | 22, 46 | 97 |
| | | | | 5 | 14, 6.3 | |
| | | | | 7 | 4.8, 11 | |
| | | | | 10 | 2.2, 8.0 | |
| | | | | 14 | 3.6, 7.2 | |
| 1976 | 3 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 0 | 16 | 97 |
| | | | | 7 | 1.8 | |
| | | | | 14 | 0.6 | |
| | | | | 21 | ≤0.05 | |
| 1980 | 1* | 1.0 | 0.17 | 0 | 88 | 117 |
| | | | | 7 | 60 | |
| | | | | 14 | 43 | |
| | | | | 21 | 36 | |
| | | | | 28 | 4.5 | |
| 1976 | 3 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 0 | 16 | 97 |
| | | | | 7 | 1.8 | |
| | | | | 14 | 0.6 | |
| | | | | 21 | ≤0.05 | |
| | | | | 28 | ≤0.05 | |
| 1976 | 3 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 0 | 13, 18 | 97 |
| | | | | 5 | 4.3, 5.5 | |
| | | | | 7 | 3.0, 4.2 | |
| | | | | 10 | 2.3, 3.0 | |
| 1977 | 3 | 0.5 | 0.083 | 0 | 6.9 | 97 |
| | | | | 7 | 0.66 | |
| | | | | 14 | 0.25 | |
| | | | | 21 | <0.03 | |
| 1979 | 1* | 1.0 | 0.17 | 0 | 141 | 109 |
| | | | | 14 | 11 | |
| | | | | 28 | 0.55 | |
| | | | | 35 | 0.3 | |
| | | | | 42 | 0.05 | |
| 1979 | 1* | 1.0 | 0.25 | 18 | 1.8 | 109 |
| | | | | 25 | 4.1 | |
| | | | | 32 | 3.7 | |
| | | | | 39 | 2.4 | |
| | | | | 46 | 2.1 | |
| 1978 | 1* | 1.5 | 0.25 | 0 | 79 | 103 |
| | | | | 14 | 8.4 | |
| | | | | 21 | 3.2 | |

| Country, Year | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | | | 28 | 0.9 | |
| 1978 | 1* | 1.5 | 0.25 | 0 | 122 | 103 |
| | | | | 14 | 25 | |
| | | | | 21 | 6.4 | |
| | | | | 28 | ≤0.1 | |
| 1979 | 1 | 1.0 | 0.17 | 0 | 70 | 109 |
| | | | | 14 | 30 | |
| | | | | 21 | 23 | |
| | | | | 28 | 3.2 | |
| Germany | 1* | 1.0 | 0.17 | 7 | 32 | 7 |
| 1980 | | autumn - | | 14 | 15 | |
| | | winter | | 21 | <0.1 | |
| | | | | 28 | 3 | |
| | | | | 63 | <0.1 | |
| | 1* | 1.0 | 0.17 | 7 | 81 | |
| | | autumn - | | 14 | 60 | |
| | | winter | | 21 | 23 | |
| | | | | 29 | 17 | |
| | | | | 48 | 6 | |
| | 1* | 1.0 | 0.17 | 7 | 0.8 | |
| | | autumn | | 14 | 0.2 | |
| | | | | 21 | <0.1 | |
| | | | | 28 | <0.1 | |
| | 1* | 1.0 | 0.17 | 14 | 1.5 | |
| | | autumn | | 21 | <0.1 | |
| | | | | 28 | 0.1 | |
| | | | | 48 | <0.1 | |
| | 1* | 1.0 | 0.17 | 14 | 41 | |
| | | spring | | 21 | 8 | |
| | | | | 28 | 1.2 | |
| | 1* | 1.0 | 0.17 | 14 | 2.1 | |
| | | summer | | 22 | <0.1 | |
| | | | | 28 | <0.1 | |
| | | | | 35 | <0.1 | |
| | 1* | 1.0 | 0.25 | 14 | 2.5 | |
| | | autumn | | 21 | 0.5 | |
| | | | | 28 | 0.1 | |
| | | | | 35 | <0.1 | |
| Spain | | 1.5[1] | 0.075 | 0 | 51 (3) | 10 |
| 1986 | | | | 3 | 42 (3) | |
| | | | | 7 | 35 (3) | |
| | | | | 14 | 25 (3) | |
| | | | | 21 | 10 (3) | |
| Spain | 1 | 0.75 | | 0 | 5.4 (3) | |
| 1989 | | | | 7 | 0.5 (3) | |
| | | | | 22 | 0.1 (3) | |
| Leaf lettuce | | | | | | |
| Canada | 3 | 0.75 | 0.136 | 0 | 14 | 6 |
| 1987 | | | | 1 | 5.8 | |
| | | | | 3 | 4.1 | |
| | | | | 7 | 0.86 | |

| Country, Year | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | | | 10 | 0.39 | |
| | | | | 14 | 0.19 | |
| | | | | 21 | 0.13 | |
| Canada 1982 | 3 | 0.75 | 0.136 | 0 | 31 | |
| Cos lettuce | | | | 1 | 11 | |
| | | | | 3 | 9.4 | |
| | | | | 7 | 4.7 | |
| | | | | 10 | 2.2 | |
| | | | | 14 | 1.0 | |
| Canada 1982 | 3 | 0.75 | 0.136 | 0 | 42 | |
| Leaf Lettuce | | | | 1 | 16 | |
| | | | | 3 | 17 | |
| | | | | 7 | 4.2 | |
| | | | | 10 | 1.7 | |
| | | | | 14 | 1.2 | |
| Canada | 3 | 0.75 | 0.136 | 0 | 25 | |
| 1982 | | | | 1 | 12 | |
| | | | | 3 | 5.9 | |
| | | | | 7 | 4.6 | |
| | | | | 10 | 2.8 | |
| | | | | 14 | 1.5 | |
| Spain | | 7.0[1] | 0.25 | 0 | 7.4 (3) | 10 |
| 1987 | | | | 3 | 4.9 (3) | |
| | | | | 7 | 3.8 (3) | |
| | | | | 14 | 1.9 (3) | |
| | | | | 21 | 1.7 (3) | |
| | | | | 28 | 0.9 (3) | |
| Spain | 1 | 0.7 | 0.035 | 0 | 5.0 (3) | |
| 1988 | | | | 3 | 3.4 (3) | |
| | | | | 7 | 0.6 (3) | |
| | | | | 14 | 0.5 (3) | |
| | | | | 21 | 0.1 (3) | |
| Denmark | 1 | run-off | 0.05 | 0 | 19 | 13 |
| 1980 | | | | 7 | 1.7 | |
| | | | | 14 | 0.44 | |
| 1981 | 1* | 0.25 | | 1 | 4.8 | 167 |
| | | | | 3 | 4.3 | |
| | | | | 5 | 4.9 | |
| | | | | 7 | 3.6 | |
| | | | | 14 | 1.1 | |
| USA | 3 | 1.1 | | 5 | 11 | 77 |
| 1985/86 | 3 | 1.1 | | 10 | 7.9 | |
| Bibb Lettuce | 3 | 1.1 | | 10 | 1.1 | |
| | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 4.7 | |
| | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 14 | |
| | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 9.0 | |
| | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 4.9 | |
| USA | 3 | 1.1 | | 10 | 3.8 | 77 |
| 1984/ | 3 | 1.1 | | 10 | 1.7 | |
| 85/86 | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 20 | |
| Romaine Lettuce | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 0.18 | |

| Country, Year | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 7.4 | |
| | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 0.07 | |
| | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 5.4 | |
| | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 5.3 | |
| | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 1.8 | |
| USA | 3 | 1.1 | | 10 | 1.4 | 77 |
| 1985/86 | 3 | 1.1 | | 13 | 17 | |
| Escarole Lettuce | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 0.16 | |
| | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 22 | |
| | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 0.17 | |
| | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 17 | |
| | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 16 | |
| | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 2.6 | |
| | 3 | 1.1 | | 14 | 0.32 | |

* Greenhouse lettuce; H Hearts; OL Outer leaves; WL Whole lettuce
[1] Application outdoor March-April, no. not reported
(3) Mean of 3 replicates

Table 32. Residues of iprodione in dandelions (France, 1991).

| Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | kg ai/ha | | | |
| Rovral | 1 | 5 | 15 | 2.1 | 209 |

**Legume vegetables**

Beans. Data on residues in succulent and dried beans are summarized in Table 33.

Table 33. Residues of iprodione in beans.

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Succulent beans | | | | | | | |
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 0.75 | | 27 | SB <0.1 | 177 |
| 1982 | | 2 | 0.75 | | 14 | SB 0.15 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 15 | SB 0.21 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 15 | SB 0.33 | |
| Netherlands | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | 1.1 | 0.075 | 2 | 1.5 | 108 |
| 1979 | | | | | 6 | 1.5 | |
| | | | | | 9 | 0.6 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | 0.075 | 8 | 0.85 | 108 |
| | | | | | 12 | 0.85 | |
| | | | | | 15 | 0.8 | |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| France | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 6 | FB 0.71 | 141 |
| 1978 | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 11 | FB 0.49 | |
| 1978 | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 7 | FB 0.1 | 143 |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 12 | FB 0.3 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 11 | FB 0.6 | |
| 1988 | | 1 | 0.75 | | 8 | FBP <0.25 | 199 |
| | | | | | | W <0.1 | |
| 1979 | Kidan* | 1 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 7 | FB 0.25 | 143 |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 12 | FB 0.25 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 11 | FB 0.6 | |
| 1981 | | 3 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 6 | FB 0.25 | 252 |
| 1982 | Kidan* | 3 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 4 | FB 0.83 | 39 |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 17 | FB 0.13 | |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 18 | FB 0.22 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 14 | FB 0.31 | |
| 1983 | Kidan* | 2 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 8 | FB 0.74 | 149 |
| 1979 | Kidan* | 1 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 12 | FB 0.25 | 143 |
| 1991 | Rovral Aqua Flo | 4 | 0.75 | | 5 | FB 0.49 | 217 |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 4 | FB 0.28 | |
| Germany | Rovral WP 50* | 2 | 0.5 | 0.083 | 0 | FB 1.8 | 103 |
| 1978 | | | | | 7 | FB 0.6 | |
| | | | | | 14 | FB 0.6 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.125 | 0 | FB 1.9 | |
| | | | | | 7 | FB 0.9 | |
| | | | | | 14 | FB 0.6 | |
| Germany | Rovral WP 50* | 2 | 0.5 | 0.083 | 0 | FB 2.3 | 253 |
| 1979 | | | | | 7 | FB 0.2 | |
| | | | | | 14 | FB 0.1 | |
| | | | | | 21 | FB 0.05 | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | 0.125 | 0 | FB 1.8 | |
| | | | | | 14 | FB 0.4 | |
| | | | | | 21 | FB 0.1 | |
| Belgium | Quintal IC* | 2 | 0.7 | | 20 | 0.05 | 254 |
| Spain | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 1.125 | | 0 | FB 1.4 | 10 |
| 1990 | | | | | 3 | 1.1, 1.7, 1.3, 1.4 | |
| | | | | | 7 | FB 1.4[1] | |
| | | | | | 10 | FB 0.5[1] | |
| | | | | | 14 | FB 0.4[1] | |
| Portugal | Rovral WP 50 | 6 | | 0.075 | 6 | 1.1 | |
| 1983 (G) | | 1 | | 0.075 | 1 | 2.7 | |
| | | | | | 4 | 1.9 | |
| | | | | | 7 | 1.0 | |
| | | 1 | | 0.075 | 4 | 2.9 | |
| | | 1 | | 0.075 | 3 | 2.4 | |
| | | 1 | | 0.075 | 2 | 3.9 | |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| USA | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | 1.1 | | 21 | LB 0.08 | 57 |
| 1983 | | | | | | BF 0.89 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 3 | SB 0.84 | 57 |
| | | | | | | BF 11 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1** | | 9 | SB 0.1 | |
| | | | | | | BF 1.4 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 9 | SB 0.32 | |
| | | | | | | BF 13 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1** | | 10 | SB 0.07 | |
| | | | | | | BF 2.9 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 15 | SB 0.33 | |
| | | | | | | BF 11 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1** | | 15 | SB 0.06 | |
| | | | | | | BF 2.9 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 18 | SB 0.11 | |
| | | | | | | BF 11 | |
| 1984 | | 2 | 1.1** | | 17 | SB <0.05 | 71 |
| 1985 | | 2 | 1.1 | | 24 | SB 0.58 | 78 |
| | | | | | | BF 75 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 14 | SB 0.82 | |
| | | | | | | BF 66 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 14 | SB 0.7 | |
| | | | | | | BF 48 | |
| 1985 | | 2 | 1.1 | | 14 | LB 1.3 | 78 |
| | | | | | | BF 27 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 14 | LB 1.2 | |
| | | | | | | BF 28 | |
| 1992 | | 2 | 1.1** | | 15 | SB <0.05 | 256 |
| Dried beans | | | | | | | |
| Canada | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 0.85 | | 60 | WB <0.02 | 23 |
| 1977 | | | | | 76 | WB <0.02 | |
| | | 1 | 1.1 | | 60 | WB 0.06 | |
| | | | | | 76 | WB <0.02 | |
| 1979 | | 1 | 0.5 | | 39 | WB ≤0.1 | 32 |
| | | | | | 41 | WB ≤0.1 | |
| 1982 | | 2 | 0.75 | | 50 | WB <0.1 | 175 |
| | | | | | 53 | WB <0.1 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 50 | KB <0.1 | 176 |
| | | | | | 68 | KB <0.1 | |
| Japan | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 14 | KB <0.05 | 138 |
| 1975 | | | | | 28 | KB <0.05 | |
| | | 3 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 21 | KB <0.05 | 131 |
| | | | | | 28 | KB <0.05 | |
| UK 1983 | Rovral Flo | 2 | 0.5 | | 28 | <0.1 | 186 |
| 1984 | | 2 | 0.5 | | 66 | <0.1 | 188 |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | 66 | <0.1 | |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | 73 | <0.1 | |

BF Bean forage; LB Lima beans
FB French beans; SB Snap beans
FBP Processed French beans; WB White beans
KB Kidney beans; W Water
(G) Glasshouse application
* Not registered for this use; ** Aerial application
[1] Mean of four replicates

Peas. Residues in fresh and dried peas are shown in Table 34. Information on the portion of the commodity analysed (peas or peas plus pods) was available only from The Netherlands.

Table 34. Residues of iprodione in peas.

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Fresh peas | | | | | | | |
| France | Kidan* | 1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 25 | 0.1 | 39 |
| 1982 | | 1 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 25 | 0.13 | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 18 | 0.16 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 18 | 0.48 | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.28 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 10 | 0.39 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 14 | 0.2 | 190 |
| 1987 | | 2 | 0.52 | | 30 | 0.1 | 198 |
| | | 2 | 0.52 | | 32 | 0.1 | |
| 1991 | Rovral Aqua Flo | 3 | 1.5 | | 7 | <0.05 | 211 |
| Belgium 1988 | Quintal IC | 2 | 0.7 | | 63 | 2.2 | 228 |
| Netherlands | Rovral Aqua Flo | 3 | 0.75 | | 3 | 0.53** | 197 |
| 1987 | | 3 | 0.75 | | 3 | 0.71** | |
| Dried peas | | | | | | | |
| France 1986 | Calidan | 2 | 0.52 | | 33 | 0.05 | 192 |

Calidan = Quintal IC : Iprodione 175 g/l + carbendazim 87.5 g/l
* Not registered for this use in France
** Peas + pods

**Root and tuber vegetables**

Carrots, post-harvest treatment. Residues of iprodione were determined in stored carrots treated at three sites in The Netherlands (Table 35).

Table 35. Residues of iprodione in carrots, post-harvest treatment (Netherlands, 1983 and 1985).

| Year | Application | | | | Storage period, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | g ai/t | kg ai/hl | | | |
| 1983 | Rovral Flo | 1* | 8 | | 126 | 7.4 | 8 |
| 1985 | Rovral Flo | 1* | 15 | | 0 | 2.8 | |
| | | | | | 63 | 3.5 | |
| | | | | | 130 | 3.0 | |
| | | 1* | 30 | | 0 | 4.5 | |
| | | | | | 63 | 7.9 | |
| | | | | | 130 | 6.7 | |
| | | 1* | 61 | | 0 | 13 | |
| | | | | | 63 | 14 | |
| | | | | | 130 | 8.7 | |
| 1985 | Rovral | 1* | 15 | | 0 | 3.4 | |
| | Flo | | | | 53 | 3.3 | |
| | | | | | 120 | 3.6 | |
| | | 1* | 30 | | 0 | 4.5 | |
| | | | | | 53 | 4.2 | |
| | | | | | 120 | 8.0 | |
| | | 1* | 61 | | 0 | 9.3 | |
| | | | | | 53 | 8.0 | |
| | | | | | 120 | 7.6 | |

* Post-harvest spray treatment

Carrots, pre-harvest treatment. Rovral WP50 was applied to carrot plots in the USA (1985) at two rates, 1.13 and 2.25 kg ai/ha. Eight or thirteen applications were made, starting with the onset of early leaf and continuing weekly until maturity. The last foliar spray was made on the day of harvest. For this study, a total of ten trials were conducted in Arizona (1), California (3), Florida (1), Michigan (1), New Jersey (1), Oregon (1) and Texas (2). The trials covered the various market seasons and agricultural practices. Additional data from one trial (Netherlands, 1993) were reported by The Netherlands (PHI 30-37 days). Spain reported two additional trials from New Zealand. Table 36 shows the results from all the trials.

Table 36. Residues of iprodione in carrots. Pre-harvest treatment.

| Country, Year | Application | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg (No. of samples) | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|

| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| USA | Rovral WP 50 | 8 | 1.3 | | 0 | 0.49-3.1 (9) | 60 |
| 1984 | | 8 | 2.3 | | 0 | 0.77-7.2 (8) | |
| | | 13 | 1.3 | | 0 | 1.3 | |
| | | 13 | 2.3 | | 0 | 2.2 | |
| Netherlands | Rovral WP 50 | 7 | 0.75 | 0.075 | 30 | 1.4 | 8 |
| 1981 | | | | | 37 | 1.2 | |
| New Zealand | EC | 3 | 0.5 | | 19 | 0.16, 0.23 | 9 |
| 1983 | 250 g/l* | 3 | 0.75 | | 19 | 0.30, 0.33 | |

* Not registered for this use in New Zealand

Radishes. Results of two supervised trials at two locations in The Netherlands using Rovral WP 50 as a pre-harvest treatment are summarized in Table 37.

Table 37. Residues of iprodione in radishes (Netherlands, 1978).

| Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 2.0 | 0.33 | 21 | 0.2 | 8 |
| | | | | | 0.25 | |
| | | | | | 0.35 | |
| | 1 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 12 | 2.0 | |
| | | | | | 1.8 | |
| | | | | | 2.2 | |

Potatoes. Residues of iprodione applied as either Rovral WP50 or Calidan have been measured in potatoes. After treatment of tubers at planting or spraying of plants, residues in the tubers at harvest were all less than 0.05 mg/kg (Table 38).

Seed potatoes. Residues of iprodione in potato tubers following post-harvest treatment with Rhapsodie at three sites in The Netherlands and of seed potatoes in the UK and France are shown in Table 39.

Table 38. Residues of iprodione in potatoes (USA).

| Year | Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg, in tubers | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | | | |
| 1985 | Rovral WP 50 | 4F | 1.1 | 6 | <0.05 | 76 |
| | | | | 12 | <0.05 | |
| | | | | 14 | <0.05 | |
| | | | | 30 | <0.05 | |
| | | 4F | 2.2 | 6 | <0.05 | 76 |
| | | | | 12 | <0.05 | |
| | | | | 14 | <0.05 | |
| | | | | 30 | <0.05 | |
| 1986 | | 4F | 5.5 | 14 | 0.17 | 64 |
| 1986 | | 4F | 11 | 14 | 0.32 | 64 |
| 1984 | | 2 | 0.5 | 48 | <0.05 | 73 |
| | | | | 89 | <0.05 | |

F Foliar spray

Table 39. Residues of iprodione in seed potatoes.

| Country, Year | Application | | | | Storage period or PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/t | kg ai/hl | | | |
| UK 1978 | Rovral WP 50 | 1P | 0.04-0.1 | | 91-123 | pulp <0.02<br>whole<0.02 | 25 |
| France 1982 | Calidan | 1D | | 0.21 | 136 | <0.05 | 40 |
| 1982 | | 1D | | 0.21 | 169 | 0.05 | |
| | | 1M | | 0.7 | 136 | <0.05 | |
| | | 1M | | 0.7 | 169 | 0.1 | |
| 1976 | Rovral WP 50 | 1D* | | 0.2-0.3 | 162 | culls <0.01<br>pulp <0.01 | 40 |
| | | 1M* | 0.05-0.1 | | 162 | culls <0.01<br>pulp <0.01 | 40 |
| | | 1D** | | 0.2 | 34-70 | peel 27<br>pulp 0.4<br>tuber 3.0 | 40 |
| | | 1D** | 0.2 | | 34-70 | peel 27<br>pulp 0.27<br>tuber 5.0 | 40 |
| | | 1S** | 0.05 | | 34-70 | peel 160<br>pulp 0.5<br>tuber 25 | 229 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | 1S** | 0.05 | | 34-70 | peel 100<br>pulp 0.5<br>tuber 25 | 229 |
| | | 1S** | 0.1 | | 34-70 | peel 340<br>pulp 1.0<br>tuber 58 | 229 |
| | | 1S** | 0.1 | | 34-70 | peel 205<br>pulp 2.2<br>tuber 67 | 229 |
| | | 1S** | 0.15 | | 34-70 | peel 320<br>pulp 1.5<br>tuber 120 | 229 |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | Storage period or PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/t | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | 1S** | 0.15 | | 34-70 | peel 330<br>pulp 3.2<br>tuber 140 | 229 |
| Netherlands | Rhapsodie 350 g/l | 1 | 0.07 | | 5 | 28 | 48 |
| 1987*** | | 1 | 0.07 | | 56 | 27 | |
| | | 1 | 0.09 | | 56 | 35 | |
| | | 1 | 0.1 | | 105 | 3.2 | 232 |
| 1990*** | | 1 | 0.1 | | 0 | 0.55, 16 | 210 |
| | | | | | 91 | 2.0 | |
| | | | | | 92 | 9.6 | |
| | | | | | 97 | 2.9, 8.4 | |

D* Dipping before planting
D** Dipping during storage
D Dipping at planting
M* Misting before planting
M Misting at planting
P Pre-planting treatment
S** Spraying during storage
SI Sprinkler irrigation system
*** treatment for storage

Sugar beet. Sugar beet was grown from seed treated with Rovral WP50 at a rate of 1.5 g iprodione/kg seed at three sites in the UK. Roots and tops from sugar beet harvested 22 or 26 weeks after drilling contained less than 0.1 mg/kg iprodione.

In France residues 42 days after the last of two foliar treatments with Sumistar were less than 0.05 mg/kg in the roots. The tops contained 0.8 mg/kg (Table 40).

Table 40. Residues of iprodione in sugar beet.

| Country, Year | Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | g ai/kg seed | | | |
| UK 1986 | Rovral WP | 1 | 1.5 | 154 | R <0.1<br>T <0.1 | 185 |
| | | 1 | 1.5 | 154 | R <0.1<br>T <0.1 | |
| | | 1 | 1.5 | 182 | R <0.1<br>T <0.1 | |
| France 1988 | Sumistar* | 2 | 0.4 kg ai/ha | 42 | R <0.05<br>T 0.81 | 200 |

R Roots
T Tops
* Not registered for this use in France

Swedes and turnips. The data are from trials in the UK at 3 sites (Table 41).

Table 41. Residues of iprodione in swedes and turnips (UK).

| Year | Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | | | |
| 1985* | Rovral Flo | 3 | 0.5 | 28 | roots ≤0.1<br>leaves 2.6 | 183 |
| | | | | 28 | roots ≤0.1<br>leaves 2.9 | |
| 1986* | | 3 | 0.5 | 28 | roots ≤0.1<br>leaves 1.2 | 184 |
| 1984** | Rovral Flo | 3 | 0.5 | 28 | ≤0.1 | 187 |
| 1985** | | 3 | 0.5 | 21 | roots 0.7<br>leaves 32 | 183 |
| | | 3 | 0.5 | 26 | roots <0.1<br>leaves 1.8 | |

* Swedes ** Turnips

**Stem vegetables**

Celery. Trials in the USA with Rovral WP50 applied as a directed spray at weekly intervals from planting to harvest yielded the residues shown in Table 42.

Table 42. Residues of iprodione in celery (USA, 1984).

| Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg (No. of samples) | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | kg ai/ha | | | |
| Rovral WP 50 | 11 | 1.1 | 0 | 8.7 | 65 |
| | 11 | 1.1 | 0 | 8.7 | |
| | 12 | 1.1 | 0 | 17 | |
| | 13 | 1.1 | 0 | 6.2-48 (4) | |
| | 11 | 2.2 | 0 | 20, 25 | |
| | 12 | 2.2 | 0 | 31 | |
| | 13 | 2.2 | 0 | 7.5-69 (4) | |

Cumin seed. Results of one trial reported by The Netherlands are summarized in Table 43.

Table 43. Residues of iprodione in cumin seed (Netherlands, 1985).

| Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Rovral Flo | 2 | 0.5 | 0.125 | 55 | 1.5 | 8 |

| Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | | | 55 | 1.9 | |

**Cereal grains**

Barley and oats. Results from Germany and the UK are summarized in Table 44. In some trials iprodione was used as a seed dressing (Rovral TS).

Table 44. Residues of iprodione in barley and oats.

| Country, Year | Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | | | |
| Barley | | | | | | |
| UK 1981 | Rovral Flo | 2 | 0.5 | 65 | G 0.19, 0.3 | 181 |
| 1981/82 | | 3 | 0.5 | 52 | G 0.56, 0.6 | 180 |
| | | 3 | 0.5 | 64 | G <0.1, 0.2<br>S 0.63, 0.8 | |
| 1987 | | 3 | 0.5 | 41 | G 1.3, 1.5<br>S 3.0 | 2 |
| | | 3 | 0.5 | 49 | G 0.6, 0.8<br>S 1.5, 1.8 | |
| | | 3 | 0.5 | 51 | G 0.4<br>S 1.4, 2.3 | |
| 1986 | Sirocco | 3 | 0.5 | 51 | G <0.1<br>S 1.5, 2.2 | 1 |
| | | 3 | 0.5 | 44 | G 0.14 | |
| | | | | | S 3.8, 5.5 | |
| Germany 1980 | Rovral TS *, ** | 1 | 53 g/100 kg seed | 310 | G ≤0.05<br>S ≤0.05 | 118 |
| 1979 | | 1 | 53 g/100 kg seed | 121 | G ≤0.05<br>S ≤0.05 | 110 |
| | | 1 | 70 g/100 kg seed | 121 | G ≤0.05<br>S ≤0.05 | 110 |
| | | 1 | 53 g/100 kg seed | 125 | E ≤0.1<br>S ≤0.1 | |
| | | 1 | 70 g/100 kg seed | 302 | G <0.1<br>S 0.12 | 110 |
| Oats | | | | | | |
| Germany 1979 | Rovral TS *, ** | 1 | 70 g/100 kg seed | 150 | G 0.1<br>S ≤0.05 | 110 |

G Grain E Ear * Seed dressing
S Straw ** Not registered in Germany.

Wheat. Results of trials in The Netherlands (1986) after one foliar treatment of wheat at one site and in the UK after 3 treatments with Rovral Flo or Sirocco are summarized in Table 45. In Germany

residues in grain and associated straw samples from wheat grown after seed dressing with Rovral TS did not exceed 0.1 mg/kg.

Table 45. Residues of iprodione in wheat.

| Country, Year | Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | | | |
| Netherlands 1986 | Sumidione* | 1 | 0.4 | | G 0.09<br>S4.6 | 236 |
| UK 1981 | Rovral Flo | 3 | 0.5 | 57 | G <0.1, 0.1 | 180 |
| 1981/82 | | 3 | 0.5 | 74 | G <0.1<br>S 3.3, 3.9 | 180 |
| | | 3 | 0.5 | 67 | G <0.1<br>S 1.8, 4.7 | 180 |
| | | 3 | 0.5 | 68 | G 0.1 | 180 |
| 1987 | | 3 | 0.5 | 42 | G <0.1 | 2 |
| | | | | 59 | G <0.1 | |
| | | | | 81 | G 0.1 | |
| 1986 | Sirocco* | 3 | 0.5 | 50 | G <0.1 | 1 |
| | | | | 53 | G <0.1 | |
| Germany 1980 | Rovral TS** | 1 | 70 g/100 kg seed | 307 | G ≤0.01<br>S <0.05 | 118 |
| | | 1 | 70 g/100 kg seed | 336 | G <0.1<br>S <0.05 | |
| 1979 | | 1 | 53 g/100 kg seed | 305 | G <0.1<br>S <0.1 | 110 |
| | | 1 | 70 g/100 kg seed | 305 | G <0.1<br>S <0.1 | |

G Grain * Not registered
S Straw ** Seed dressing

Rice. Residues of iprodione in grain and straw from rice treated with Rovral WP50 are shown in Table 46.

Table 46. Residues of iprodione in rice.

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Japan 1975 | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 14 | G* 0.1<br>S 16 | 94, 255 |
| | | | | | 21 | G* 0.1<br>S 15 | |
| | | 1 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 28 | G* 0.1<br>S 10 | |
| | | 3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 21 | G* 2.2<br>S 45 | |
| | | 3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 22 | G+ 0.79<br>S 49 | |
| | | 3 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 30 | G* 1.5<br>S 32 | |
| | | 4 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 22 | G+ 1.9 | |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | | | | | S 44 | |
| | | 1 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 15 | G+ 0.31<br>S 32 | |
| | | | | | 22 | G+ 0.37<br>S 13 | |
| | | | | | | G* S | 59 |
| USA | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | 0.5 | | 32 | 1.2 3.6 | |
| 1985 | | 2 | 0.5 | | 32 | 0.74 1.0 | |
| Arkansas | | 2 | 0.5 | | 33 | 7.1 7.5 | |
| Louisiana | | 2 | 0.5 | | 34 | 1.4 2.8 | |
| Mississippi | | 2 | 0.5 | | 35 | 2.0 2.5 | |
| Texas | | 2 | 0.5 | | 36 | 2.5 9.7 | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | 38 | 0.55 1.6 | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | 42 | 0.2 2.3 | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | 43 | 0.2 0.74 | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | 43 | 2.5 4.6 | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | 58 | 0.66 0.5 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 35 | 3.6 4.1 | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | 28 | 0.51 | 51 |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | 28 | 0.59 | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | 28 | 0.54 | |
| | | | | | 47 | 0.09 | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | 28 | 0.92 | |
| | | | | | 47 | 0.89 | |
| 1985 | | 2 | 0.5 | | 35 | G* 2.0<br>PR 0.14<br>H 8.9<br>B 5.2<br>S 2.5 | 59 |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 35 | G* 3.6<br>PR 0.42<br>H 18<br>B 5.8<br>S 4.1 | 59 |
| 1982 | | 2 | 1.1 | | 40 | H/S 9.9<br>S 36<br>H 6.2<br>M 8.3<br>B 4.5<br>BR 0.78<br>PR 0.26 | 230 |
| Italy 1986 | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 100 g/100 kg | | 107 | <0.05 | 234 |

B Bran-polish. Bran with a small amount of kernel
BR Brown rice (kernel with bran). There is 1-4% bran in rice grain
G+ Grain decorticated, not polished rice
G* Grain, unpolished rice
H/S Head/stalks
H Hulls
PR Polished rice
M Millfeed: Hull with a small amount of kernel
S Straw

Maize. In Italy maize grain was treated before sowing by dusting with Rovral WP50, at a rate of 100 g/100 kg seed. At harvest, 236 days after treatment, grain grown from the seeds contained less than 0.05 mg iprodione/kg (limit of detection).

In the USA maize grain was treated with Rovral WP50 at rates of 5, 10 and 20 mg/kg before

being placed in a storage silo. Table 47 shows the residues in grain during 84 days of storage.

Table 47. Residues of iprodione in maize.

| Country, Year | Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | g ai/100 kg seed | | | |
| Italy 1986 | Rovral WP 50 | 1+ | 100 | 236 | <0.05 | 235 |
| USA 1986 | Rovral WP 50* | 1^ | 0.5 | 0 | 6.2 | 66 |
| | | | | 28 | 4.8 | |
| | | | | 56 | 4.3 | |
| | | | | 84 | 3.6 | |
| | | 1^ | 1.0 | 0 | 8.9 | 66 |
| | | | | 28 | 8.6 | |
| | | | | 56 | 4.6 | |
| | | | | 84 | 4.9 | |
| | | 1^ | 2.0 | 0 | 17 | 66 |
| | | | | 28 | 19 | |
| | | | | 56 | 10 | |
| | | | | 84 | 14 | |

^ Before storage
+ Before sowing
* Not yet registered for this use in the USA.

**Tree nuts**

Almonds. Almond orchards in California were treated four times with Rovral WP50 at 0.5 kg ai/ha. In one trial the last spray was at 1.1 instead of 0.5 kg ai/ha. The interval between the last application and harvest ranged from 132 to 144 days. The results are summarized in Table 48.

Table 48. Residues of iprodione in almonds (USA, 1984).

| Application | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | kg ai/ha | | | |
| Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 0.5 | 139 | Hulls 1.1 | 72 |
| | 4 | 0.5 | 144 | Hulls 1.2 | |
| | 4 | 0.5 | 144 | Hulls 0.6 | |
| | 4 | 0.5+1.1 | 132 | Hulls 1.3 | |
| | 4 | 0.5 | 139 | Nut <0.05 | |
| | 4 | 0.5 | 144 | Nut <0.05 | |
| | 4 | 0.5 | 144 | Nut <0.05 | |
| | 4 | 0.5+1.1 | 132 | Nut 0.18 | |

**Oilseed**

Rape. Residues of iprodione in oil-seed rape treated with iprodione as either a 255 g/l SC or a 50% WP are shown in Table 49.

Table 49. Residues of iprodione, and carbendazim where applicable, in rape seed and straw.

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| Canada 1977 | Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 1.0 | | 59 | seed <0.1 | 24 |
| 1980 | | 1 | 0.5 | | 40 | seed <0.1 | |
| | | 1 | 0.5 | | 50 | seed <0.1 | 161 |
| France 1980 | Rovral WP 50 | 2 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 48 | seed <0.02 | 116 |
| 1981 | | 1 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 77 | seed <0.1 | 119 |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | 0.16 | 87 | seed <0.1 | |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | 2.5 | 45 | seed 0.15 | |
| | | 1 | 0.38 | 0.075 | 64 | seed <0.1 | |
| 1981 | Kidan SC | 1 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 77 | seed <0.1 | |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | 0.16 | 87 | seed <0.1 | |
| | | 1 | 0.38 | 0.075 | 64 | seed <0.1 | |
| 1982 | | 1 | 0.5 | 0.13 | 43 | seed 0.13 | 37 |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | 0.18 | 43 | seed 0.13 | 37 |
| 1985 | Calidan SC | 1 | 0.61i<br>0.30c | 0.20i<br>0.1c | 61 | seed 0.16i<br><0.05c | 62 |
| | | 1 | 0.54i<br>0.27c | 0.11i<br>0.05c | 60 | seed 0.09i<br>0.09c | |
| | | 1 | 0.43i<br>0.21c | 0.13i<br>0.06c | 77 | seed <0.02i<br><0.05c | |
| | | 1 | 0.52i<br>0.26c | 0.1i<br>0.05c | 63 | seed 0.03i<br><0.05c | |
| Germany 1980 | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 0.75 | | 43 | seed <0.02 | 116 |
| | | 3 | 0.75 | | 55 | seed <0.02<br>straw <0.05 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 77 | seed <0.1<br>straw <0.1 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 95 | seed <0.1<br>straw <0.1 | |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | | 298 | seed <0.1<br>straw <0.1 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 67 | seed <0.02<br>straw 0.55 | |
| | | 2 | 0.75 | | 70 | seed <0.02<br>straw <0.05 | |
| | | 3 | 0.75 | | 72 | seed 0.04<br>straw 0.1 | |
| 1981 | | 2 | 0.5 + 0.75 | 0.083 + 0.13 | 73 | seed <0.01 | 122 |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 73 | seed 0.025 | |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 80 | seed ≤0.02 | |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 66 | seed ≤0.02 | |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 69 | seed ≤0.02 | |
| 1981 | Rovral Flo | 1 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 73 | seed 0.025 | 122 |
| | SC | 1 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 80 | seed ≤0.02 | |

| Country, Year | Application | | | | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 92 | seed ≤0.02<br>straw 0.2 | |
| | | 1 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 66 | seed ≤0.02<br>straw 0.2 | |
| Germany | SC 255 g/l | 1 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 48 | pods <0.05 | 7 |
| 1983 | | | | | 55 | pods <0.05 | |
| | | | | | 62 | pods <0.05 | |
| | | | | | 82 | seed <0.01 | |
| | SC 255 g/l | 1 | 0.75 | 0.19 | 78 | seed <0.01 | |
| | SC 255 g/l | 1 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 56 | pods <0.05 | |
| | | | | | 74 | seed <0.01 | |
| | SC 255 g/l | 1 | 0.75 | 0.19 | 75 | seed <0.01 | |
| Netherlands 1983 | Rovral Flo SC | 1 | 0.5 | 0.17 | 17 | seed 0.27 | 8 |
| UK 1981 | Rovral Flo | 1 | 0.5 | | 39 | seed 0.43 | 162 |
| | SC | 1 | 0.5 | | 41 | seed <0.1, 0.13 | |
| | | 1 | 0.5 | | 40 | seed 0.19, 0.24 | |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | 20 | seed 0.20, 0.41 | |
| 1982 | | 2 | 0.5 | | 22 | seed 0.12, 0.16 | 174 |
| | | 2 | 0.5 | | 22 | seed 0.12, 0.14 | |
| USA 1989 | Rovral 2F SC | 2 | 1.1 | | 57 | seed <0.05, 0.32 | 54 |
| | or 4F SC | 2 | 1.1 | | 50 | seed 0.25, 0.32 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 52 | seed 0.13, 0.21 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 59 | seed <0.05 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 56 | seed <0.05, 0.25 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | | 48 | seed 0.34, 0.77 | |

i iprodione c carbendazim

Sunflower. Table 50 shows the results of trials in France (registered) and Italy (not registered).

Table 50. Residues of iprodione in sunflower.

| Country, Year | Application | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|

| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| France | Calidan | 2 | 0.7 | | 75 | <0.04 | 151 |
| 1986 | | 3 | 0.7 | | 70 | 0.06 | |
| | | 2 | 0.7 | | 85 | <0.04 | |
| 1990 | Sumistar | 2 | 0.4 | | 61 | Seed 0.11<br>Oil <0.05<br>Cake <0.05 | 222 |
| 1990 | | 2 | 0.4 | | 61 | S 0.36<br>O <0.05<br>C <0.05 | 222 |
| 1991 | | 2 | 0.4 | | 98 | S <0.05 | 223 |
| | | 2 | 0.4 | | 90 | S <0.05 | |
| | | 2 | 0.4 | | 114 | S <0.05 | |
| | | 2 | 0.4 | | 101 | S <0.05 | |
| Italy 1986 | Rovral | 2 | 0.75 | | 41 | S 0.07 | 233 |
| | WP 50* | 1 | 150 g/100 kg seed | | 133 | S <0.05 | |

Coffee. In two trials during 1981/82 at one site in Brazil plants were treated four times with Rovral WP50 at 0.5 or 1 kg ai/ha. The seed contained 0.8 and 0.9 mg/kg iprodione after 35 days (ref. 191).

**Animal transfer studies**

Cows. Dairy cattle were treated once daily for 29 days with iprodione at levels corresponding to 5, 15, 50 and 200 ppm in the feed; the cows (3 per group) were slaughtered on the morning of the 29th day. Milk samples collected on treatment days 8, 17 and 28 were analyzed for iprodione and its non-hydroxylated and hydroxylated metabolites. Tissue samples (muscle, kidney, liver and fat) collected at slaughter were analyzed for iprodione and its non-hydroxylated metabolites.

The total residue of iprodione and its metabolites in the milk and tissues of the cattle increased in proportion to the level fed, reaching a plateau level by day 7. At 5 ppm there were no detectable levels of iprodione or its metabolites in the milk (<0.01 mg/kg) or tissues (<0.05 mg/kg) except a single value of 0.05 mg/kg in kidney (Craig *et al*., 1982 (ref. 265); see Tables 51 and 52). The kidneys contained the highest residue levels. The residues in the liver, kidney and fat were roughly proportional to the feeding level; the muscle values were too low for reliable comparison.

Table 51. Total residues of iprodione and its metabolites in bovine milk.

| Feeding level, ppm | Cow | Total residues (mg/kg expressed as iprodione) at treatment day and time 8 am | 8 pm | 17 am | 17 pm | 28 am | 28 pm |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 200 | 1 | 0.34 | 0.31 | 0.39 | 0.29 | 0.3 | 0.33 |
| | 2 | 0.31 | 0.38 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.26 |
| | 3 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.29 |
| 50 | 4 | 0.096 | 0.2 | 0.089 | 0.17 | 0.073 | 0.14 |
| | 5 | 0.041 | 0.064 | 0.026 | 0.043 | 0.042 | 0.104 |
| | 6 | 0.52 | 0.044 | 0.031 | 0.104 | 0.068 | 0.11 |
| 15 | 7 | 0.022 | 0.099 | 0.017 | 0.019 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| | 8 | 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.016 | 0.025 | 0.016 | 0.019 |
| | 9 | 0.024 | 0.029 | 0.018 | 0.027 | 0.022 | 0.035 |
| 5 | 10 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| | 11 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 |
| | 12 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 |

Table 52. Total residues of iprodione and its non-hydroxylated metabolites in bovine tissues.

| Feeding level, ppm | Cow | Total residues (mg/kg expressed as iprodione) in Muscle | Kidney | Fat | Liver |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 200 | 1 | 0.13, 0.06 | 2.2, 1.7 | 0.5, 0.52 | 1.9, 1,95 |
| | 2 | 0.1, <0.05 | 2.4, 2.1 | 0.44, 0.45 | 1.2, 1.2 |
| | 3 | 0.07, 0.06 | 2.2, 2.9 | 0.4, 0.46 | 1.2, 1,4 |
| 50 | 4 | 0.07, 0.06 | 0.8, 0.76 | 0.21, 0.18 | 0.66,0.58 |
| | 5 | <0.05,<0.05 | 0.58, 0.8 | 0.13, 0.13 | 0.42,0.44 |
| | 6 | <0.05,<0.05 | 0.36, 0.45 | 0.09, 0.12 | 0.43,0.34 |
| 15 | 7 | <0.05 | 0.08 | <0.05 | 0.13 |
| | 8 | <0.05 | 0.06 | <0.05 | 0.08 |
| | 9 | <0.05 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.13 |
| 5 | 10 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 |
| | 11 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 |
| | 12 | <0.05 | 0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 |

Hens. A study by Wargo and Guardigli (1983, ref. 266) was conducted to determine the residue levels of iprodione and its metabolites in the tissues and eggs of laying hens fed with three levels of iprodione.

Three groups of hens were dosed by capsule for 28 days at levels corresponding to 2, 20 and 100 ppm in the diet or 0.15, 1.5 and 7.5 mg/kg bw. Tissues were collected at slaughter and eggs were collected every third day and on the last three days during the treatment period and on every third day during withdrawal.

The mean residues in eggs at the plateau levels reached after day 7 of treatment were 0.1 mg/kg, 0.64 mg/kg and 1.9 mg/kg and had decreased to non-detectable levels (<0.01 mg/kg) by day 9 of withdrawal at the 2 and 20 ppm feeding levels and day 12 in the 100 ppm group. The residues in liver, muscle, kidney and fat at the 2 ppm feeding level on the 28th day of feeding were 0.53, <0.05, 0.29 and 0.15 mg/kg respectively and had decreased to non-detectable levels (<0.05 mg/kg) by day 14 of withdrawal in all three groups. At the highest dosage level of 100 ppm in the diet, the maximum residues in liver, muscle, kidney, fat and eggs were 9.13 mg/kg, 1.3 mg/kg, 6.46 mg/kg, 5.66 mg/kg and 1.9 mg/kg, after 28 days feeding.

## FATE OF RESIDUES

Nomenclature of metabolites

| Code | Chemical name |
|---|---|
| RP25040 | 3-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)hydantoin |
| RP30228 | *N*-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-3-isopropyl-2,4-dioxoimidazolidine-1-carboxamide |
| RP32490 | 3-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-2,4-dioxoimidazolidine-1-carboxamide |
| RP32596 | 3,5-dichloroaniline |
| RP36112 | *N*-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-2,4-dioxoimidazolidine-1-carboxamide |
| RP36114 | 1-(3,5-dichloro-4-hydroxyphenyl)biuret |
| RP36115 | 1-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)biuret |
| RP36221 | 1-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-5-isopropylbiuret |
| RP44160 | 3-(3,5-dichlorophenylcarbamoyl)ureidoacetic acid |
| RP44247 | 3,5-dichlorophenylurea |

**In animals**

The metabolism of iprodione in goats, dairy cows and chickens is extensive and follows a similar qualitative pathway. Excretion into urine and faeces is extensive and rapid.

The major pathway from iprodione leads through RP30228 via hydrolysis and rearrangement to a major metabolite RP36112. Other hydrolysis reactions lead to 3,5-dichlorophenylurea (RP44247) as one of the major metabolites.

Iprodione does not accumulate in the milk or tissues of these animals.

In general, residues of iprodione and its metabolites increase linearly in the tissues and milk of dairy cattle and in the tissues and eggs of chickens and bobwhite quail fed increasing amounts of iprodione in their diets. However, withdrawal of the iprodione from the diet leads to the decrease of the residues in milk, eggs and tissues, indicating that neither iprodione nor its metabolites accumulate in dairy cattle or avian species fed the parent compound.

Dairy cows. Wilkes and Herrera (1981, ref. 267) showed that iprodione is excreted rapidly and extensively in cows. Two cows were dosed orally with [U-$^{14}$C]phenyl-labelled iprodione at a daily rate of approximately 2 mg/kg bw, which corresponds to approximately 60 ppm in the daily feed. One cow received a single dose; the other received multiple doses over a period of 5 days. Excretion was monitored daily and became insignificant 7 days after the last dose, at which time the cow was slaughtered. Liver, kidney, muscle, fat, heart and blood were collected from each carcase. Urine, faeces and milk were collected throughout the treatment and withdrawal periods.

The fate of iprodione in the lactating cow was characterized by extensive urinary and faecal excretion. From 41.6 to 47% and from 27.4 to 45.9% of the total dose was found in the urine and faeces, respectively, over the entire dosing period.

A maximum of 0.3% of the dose was recovered in milk, where the total residue expressed as iprodione peaked at 0.17 mg/kg (single dose) and at 0.43 mg/kg (multiple doses). The depletion of the milk residue level during withdrawal was rapid; the residue decreased to half of the maximum within 36 hours.

Of the total dose, only an estimated 0.7% (single dose) and 0.3% (multiple doses) were retained in tissues. With the exception of the liver, none of the analyzed tissues contained more than 0.05 mg/kg total residue expressed as iprodione; 0.003 mg/kg was found in muscle. The liver contained higher residue levels (0.13 mg/kg from the single dose; 0.45 mg/kg from multiple doses).

It can be concluded that there is no significant accumulation of residues of iprodione or its metabolites in the tissues or milk. The major metabolites (> 10% of the total $^{14}$C residue) in urine and milk were RP36114 and RP32490. In faeces, iprodione and RP36114 were the predominant compounds.

A supplementary study was conducted to demonstrate the stability of residues of iprodione in milk and animal tissue samples stored at <0°C for 13 to 22 months. Iprodione and its

hydroxylated and non-hydroxylated metabolites remained stable in milk, and iprodione and its non-hydroxylated metabolites remained stable in liver, during prolonged freezer storage.

Lactating goats. In this study (Wilkes and Herrera, 1982, ref. 268; Piznik and Wargo, 1983b, ref. 259) a goat was dosed orally for five days with [U-$^{14}$C]phenyl-labelled iprodione at a daily rate of approximately 2 mg/kg body weight, which corresponded to approximately 200 ppm in the daily feed. Slaughter was 4 hours after the final dose. Liver, kidney, muscle, fat and blood were collected from the carcase. Urine, faeces and milk were collected throughout the treatment period. Total $^{14}$C residue levels were determined in urine, faeces, milk and tissues. Samples of tissue and urine were extracted and the extracts analyzed by thin-layer chromatography to identify the metabolites.

Iprodione is excreted rapidly and metabolized extensively in lactating goats, with no significant accumulation of residues of iprodione or its metabolites in tissues or milk. The major compounds (>10% of the total $^{14}$C residue) found in the goat were RP36114, RP32490, RP36115, 3,5-dichloro-4-hydroxyphenylurea and iprodione itself. The metabolism is similar to that in other ruminant and avian species.

The fate of iprodione in the lactating goat was primarily characterized by extensive urinary and faecal excretion within 7 hours of dosing. The urine and faeces contained 50.7% and 8.7% of the total dose of [$^{14}$C]iprodione respectively.

Only 0.3% of the total dose was recovered in the milk, where the total residue expressed as iprodione reached a maximum of 1.5 mg/kg after 4 days of dosing. Of the total dose, an estimated 4% was retained in the tissues. The liver contained the highest level of $^{14}$C (7 mg/kg as iprodione).

Laying hens. Fifteen hens were dosed orally with [$^{14}$C]iprodione for 15 consecutive days at a daily rate equivalent to approximately 10 ppm in the feed which corresponds to approximately 0.7 mg/kg body weight. Five of the hens were slaughtered at each of the following intervals after the last dose: 2 hours, 3 days, and 7 days. Liver, kidney, heart, gizzard, breast muscle, thigh muscle, fat, skin, and blood were collected from each carcase. Eggs and excreta were collected throughout the treatment and withdrawal periods. Total $^{14}$C residues were determined in all samples. Samples of liver, kidney, muscle, fat, egg and excreta were extracted and the extracts analyzed by thin-layer chromatography to identify the metabolites (Wilkes *et al*., 1982, ref. 264).

Iprodione is excreted rapidly and extensively in laying hens, with no significant build-up of residues of iprodione or its metabolites in chicken tissues or eggs. The major metabolites of iprodione in chickens were RP32490, RP36112, RP36115, RP36114, unknown Y and unknown Z.

Most of the iprodione was excreted: 85% of the administered dose was recovered from the excreta. The $^{14}$C residue levels in the eggs and tissues were very low. When a plateau was reached after 10 days of dosing, the eggs contained approximately 0.6 mg/kg expressed as iprodione. Of the tissues, the liver contained the highest $^{14}$C residue (3 mg/kg iprodione equivalents 2 hours after the last dose). The residue levels in the eggs and tissues decreased rapidly during the 7-day withdrawal period.

Figure 1: Metabolic pathways of iprodione in plants.

**In plants**

Several studies carried out on plants with $^{14}$C-labelled iprodione showed that the chemical is not systemic by foliar application, which is the normal method of treatment, and that root uptake is a minor route of absorption (Prost, 1992b). The parent compound is generally the main component of the total residue resulting from foliar application. Metabolites (and especially RP30228) account for a significant proportion of the total residue in some plants such as lettuce and rice (straw).

Wheat and strawberries. From a study by Gouot *et al*. (1977, ref. 269), after foliar applications of [U-$^{14}$C]phenyl-labelled iprodione in a greenhouse the half-life of the parent compound ranged from 30 to 60 days. The principal compounds isolated were iprodione itself, its isomer (RP30228) and a desisopropylated metabolite (RP32490).

Peaches. Peaches were obtained from a field experiment in which [U-$^{14}$C]phenyl-labelled iprodione was sprayed at a rate equivalent to 1.1 kg ai/ha. Three applications were made: at pink bud, petal fall and pre-harvest stages (i.e. 93, 72 and 8 days before harvest, respectively).

Almost all (95%) of the total radioactive residue found in or on mature peaches was identified as unchanged iprodione. Again, the isomer of iprodione (RP30228) and the desisopropyl compound (RP32490) were found in small but detectable amounts (Gereck *et al.*, 1981, ref. 270).

Lettuce. Iprodione was applied to young lettuce under glasshouse conditions six weeks after sowing by foliar spraying, at a dose of 750 mg ai/l. Thirty-eight days after treatment, the $^{14}$C compounds in the aerial portion of the plant consisted mainly of the parent compound (81% of the total radioactivity), with some RP30228 (9%) and a small amount of RP32490 (Gouot *et al*., 1981, ref. 271).

All these metabolism studies demonstrate the same metabolic pathway.

Rice. Outdoor rice plots were treated twice with iprodione in a wettable powder formulation at a rate equivalent to 1.1 kg ai/ha, once at the "booting" stage and once at the "heading" stage (Piznik and Wargo, 1983, ref. 230). The results from this study were similar to those reported above and the residues in all the samples analyzed consisted mainly of the parent compound iprodione and its isomer RP30228. Small amounts of the desisopropyl analogue RP32490 and its isomer RP36112 were also found, as well as minor amounts of a product of further metabolism RP25040 (3-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)hydantoin).

Figure 1 above shows the metabolic pathways of iprodione in plants.

**In soil**

Iprodione is not persistent in the soil. It is converted rapidly into its isomer RP30228, which is the most abundant degradation compound, and several minor products including RP36221, 3,5-dichloroaniline (RP32596), bound residues, and $CO_2$ which was found to be the ultimate product of degradation.

3,5-Dichloroaniline has been identified in traces (3% maximum) with a very short half-life (15 days maximum), so no build-up would occur in soil.

The half-life of iprodione depends on several factors including the temperature and pH of the soil. Values at 25°C under aerobic or anaerobic conditions range from 20 to 80 days in most cases (20 to 60% of the applied compound is bound to the soil within 3 to 4 months), and 90% degradation times are in the range 100 to 320 days under the same conditions. In some cases light has been shown to accelerate the degradation process.

Accumulation does not occur after intensive use of iprodione, as demonstrated by many field trials: they showed that there was a progressive increase in the rate of degradation of iprodione with successive treatments (Prost, 1992b).

**In storage and processing**

Blackcurrant juice. In the UK blackcurrant bushes were treated five times with Rovral WP50 at four sites during 1981 at a rate of 0.75 kg ai/ha. Blackcurrants harvested between 6 and 9 days after the last application contained between 2.6 and 5.4 mg iprodione/kg. Juice obtained from the treated fruit contained between 0.25 and 0.37 mg iprodione/l.

At one site in 1979, blackcurrants treated five times with Rovral Flo at a rate of 1.5 kg ai/ha and harvested 7 days after the last application contained 2 mg/kg iprodione, whilst the associated juice contained 0.74 mg/l.

In 1981, blackcurrants treated 4 times at a rate of 0.75 kg ai/ha at two sites and harvested 7 days after the final application contained between 8.7 and 16 mg/kg iprodione. Juice prepared from the treated fruit contained between 1.1 and 1.4 mg/l (see Table 53).

Table 53. Residues of iprodione in black currants and their juice in the UK.

| Year | Form | Application No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | PHI, days | Residue mg/kg: Fruit | Juice | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1974 | Rovral WP 50* | 4 | 1.1 | 0.05 | 62 | 3.9 | | 125 |
| | | 4 | 1.1 | 0.05 | 62 | 4.6 | | |
| 1979 | Rovral WP 50* | 5 | 0.75 | | 7 | 6.1 | | 30 |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | | 7 | 5.9 | | |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | | 7 | 1.9 | | |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | | 7 | 3.7 | | |
| | | 5 | 1.5 | | 7 | 1.5 | 0.61 | 155 |
| 1981 | Rovral WP 50* | 5 | 0.75 | | 6 | 2.9 | 0.37 | 165 |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | | 7 | 4.8 | 0.27 | |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | | 7 | 2.6 | 0.25 | |
| | | 5 | 0.75 | | 9 | 3.2 | 0.36 | |
| 1979 | Rovral Flo* | 5 | 1.5 | | 7 | 2.0 | 0.74 | 155 |
| 1981 | Rovral Flo* | 4 | 0.75 | | 7 | 14 | 1.4 | 164 |
| | | 4 | 0.75 | | 7 | 8.7 | 1.1 | |

* Not registered for this use in the UK.

Hops and beer. In Germany, hops were treated with Rovral WP50 at the rate of 0.75 to 1.3 kg ai/ha and residues of iprodione were determined in the hops and beer brewed with them. Data summarized in Table 54 show that the highest residue in beer was 0.05 mg/kg (Laurent and Chabassol, 1980, 1981, refs. 260, 261).

Table 54. Residues of iprodione in hops and beer in Germany.

| Year | Form | Application No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1979 | Rovral WP 50** | 2 | 0.75 | 0.037 | 7 | hops 41 | 248 |
| | | + | 1.2 | 0.037 | 14 | 23 | |
| | | | | | 21 | 26 | |
| | | | | | 28 | 25 | |
| | | | | | 28 | beer 0.05 | |
| 1980 | | 2 | 1.3 | 0.037 | 21 | hops* 11 | 248 |
| | | | | | | beer 0.025 | |
| | | 2 | 1.1 | 0.037 | 22 | hops* 12 | |
| | | | | | | beer 0.025 | |

* Dried cones
** Not registered for this use in Germany.

Grape fractions: juice, pomace and raisins. (Residues in wine and spirits are discussed in the 1977 monograph). In the USA (Chow *et al*., 1982, ref. 262), Rovral WP50 was applied to grape vines at eleven test sites located in California (6), New York (3), Ohio (1) and Pennsylvania (1) at a rate of 1.1 kg ai/ha. Generally, each site received 4 treatments: two before bunch closing and two more before harvest. All tests were small-scale except two in California where commercial ground equipment was used. Grapes, pomace, juice and raisins were analysed for iprodione and its metabolites RP 30228 and RP 32490. Residues in juice were generally lower than in grapes. Results are summarized in Table 55.

*Grapes*. There is a striking difference in the residue picture between the large- and small-plot trials: about one week after the last application, the iprodione residues ranged from 16 to 49 mg/kg (mean 32 mg/kg) in the small plots but the corresponding residues from the two large plots were 1.02 and 1.7 mg/kg.

Iprodione decline data from the three New York trials show only a slight decrease in iprodione during the first week after the last application, followed by a more pronounced decline towards the end of the test period.

*Pomace*. As with grapes, iprodione constituted the greatest proportion of the residue. In the small-scale trials, the iprodione content in or on pomace ranged from 6.8 to 39 mg/kg in those samples taken 7 or 8 days after the last application. The iprodione residues from the large plots were 0.74 and 1.5 mg/kg. Generally, the iprodione residues in pomace were higher than in the corresponding fresh grape samples.

The detection of iprodione residues in the untreated control samples indicates that there was a contamination problem, possibly occurring during processing.

*Juice*. The iprodione residues in juice made from the grapes taken one week after the last application ranged from 3.9 to 19 mg/kg in the small-scale trials but were lower in juice from the two large-scale sites (*c*. 2 mg/kg). As with the pomace, contamination of the untreated samples was noted.

*Raisins*. In raisins prepared from the fresh grapes, excluding the large trial plots, iprodione residues ranged from 43 to 130 mg/kg. The residues in or on samples from the large plots were 5.3

and 9.6 mg/kg. The iprodione content in raisins was higher than in the corresponding grapes owing to the drying of the grapes.

Table 55. Residues of iprodione, RP 32490 and RP 30228 in grapes, pomace, juice and raisins (USA, 1981). Rovral WP 50, 4 applications of 1.1 kg ai/ha, 7/8 day PHI (reference 248).

| Commodity | Residue, mg/kg | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | iprodione | RP 32490 | RP 30228 |
| grapes | 16-49(mean 32)*<br>1.02, 1.7** | <0.05-1.9 | 0.06-0.47 |
| pomace | 6.8-39*<br>0.74, 1.48** | 2.9<br>(maximum) | 0.51<br>(maximum) |
| juice | 3.9-19*<br>2** | 0.58<br>(maximum) | 0.07<br>(maximum) |
| raisins | 43-130*<br>5.3, 3.7** | 1.8<br>(maximum) | 3.7<br>(maximum) |

* small plots ** large plots

Tomato fractions. Iprodione residue trials on tomatoes were conducted in the USA on small plots sprayed with Rovral WP50. The rates were 1.1 kg ai/ha, the maximum use rate, and 2.2 kg ai/ha. Five applications were made at weekly or bi-weekly intervals, the last being on the day of harvest. Random representative fruits were picked from each treatment of each plot for residue analysis, and a processing study was conducted to obtain samples of wet pomace, dry pomace, juice and ketchup. Table 56 shows the results.

Residues in the various fractions were in the order dry pomace > wet pomace > ketchup > puree = juice. Residue levels in wet pomace and dry pomace were respectively about 5 times and 21 times those in the whole tomatoes. In the other fractions (juice, puree and ketchup) residue levels were lower than in the whole fruit.

Table 56. Residues of iprodione in tomato processed fractions (USA, 1986).

| Form | Application No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | Residue, PHI, days | mg/kg | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rovral WP 50 | 5 | 1.1 | | 0 | WP 1.5<br>DP 5.1<br>J 0.12<br>P 0.08<br>K 0.16<br>F 0.22,1.6 | 68 |
| Rovral WP 50 | 5 | 2.2 | | 0 | WP 1.4<br>DP 8.7<br>J 0.17<br>P 0.29<br>K 0.59<br>F 0.46,2.8 | |

WP Wet pomace J Juice F Fruit
DP Dry pomace P Puree K Ketchup

Processed potatoes: chips, flakes, granules. In the USA, plants were sprayed initially with Rovral WP50 at approximately row closing at the rates of 1.1 and 2.2 kg ai/ha. Three additional sprays were made at approximately two-week intervals with the last spray 14 days before harvest. In some cases the pre-harvest interval was shorter or longer than the targeted 14 days. The trials were on autumn, spring and summer crops. At harvest representative, random samples of commercial tubers

and culls were collected from each treatment for residue analysis. In addition to the tubers and culls, potatoes from two trials were used to prepare potato flakes and chips (residues were <0.05 mg/kg).

No finite residues were found in potato chips or granules (<0.05 mg/kg; USA, 1986, reference 64) after treating potatoes with 4 x 11.0 kg ai/ha. In potato flakes 0.16 mg/kg was found in the sample treated at the high rate while no residues were detected in the low-rate sample. No residues (<0.05 mg/kg) were found in the stock feed (potato peels) from the granule processing after treatment at either rate. The stock feed from the flake and the chip processing contained respectively 0.32 and 0.27 mg/kg iprodione after treatments at 5.5 kg ai/ha and 0.1 and 0.69 mg/kg from those at 11 kg ai/ha. The concentration factor for the residues from the whole potato to the peel varied from about 0.3 to 2.

Analyses have also been carried out in the UK to show the effects of cooking on residues of iprodione in potatoes treated post-harvest with an aqueous flowable formulation containing 500 g/l iprodione, at a rate of 100 g ai/tonne. Samples were removed from the farm store approximately one month after treatment.

Raw, washed potatoes contained 13 mg/kg iprodione. It was found that in boiled and chipped potatoes iprodione levels were reduced to 0.57 and 1.7 mg/kg respectively, while in oven-baked and microwaved potatoes the residues were 12 and 15 mg/kg respectively. Results are summarized in Table 57.

Table 57. Residues of iprodione in processed potatoes.

| Country year | Form | Application No | kg ai/ha | PHI, days | | Residue mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| USA 1985 | Rovral WP 50 | 4 | 1.1 | 6 | T | <0.05 | 76 |
| | | | | 12 | | <0.05 | |
| | | | | 14 | | <0.05 (11) | |
| | | | | 14 | | <0.05 (2) | |
| | | | | 30 | | <0.05 (2) | |
| | | | | 6 | C | <0.05 | |
| | | | | 12 | | 0.08 | |
| | | | | 14 | | <0.05 (11) | |
| | | | | 14 | | 0.09, 0.11 | |
| | | | | 30 | | <0.05 (2) | |
| 1985 | | 4 | 2.2 | 6 | T | <0.05 | 76 |
| | | | | 12 | | <0.05 | |
| | | | | 14 | | <0.05 (9) | |
| | | | | 14 | | <0.05 (4) | |
| | | | | 30 | | <0.05 (2) | |
| | | | | 6 | C | <0.05 | |
| | | | | 12 | | <0.05 | |
| | | | | 14 | | <0.05 (9) | |
| | | | | 14 | | 0.05-0.1 (4) | |
| | | | | 30 | | <0.05 (2) | |
| 1985 | | 4 | 1.1 | 14 | T | <0.05 | 76 |
| | | | | | FL | <0.05 | |
| | | | | | CH | <0.05 | |
| | | 4 | 2.2 | 15 | T | <0.05 | |
| | | | | | FL | <0.05 | |
| | | | | | CH | <0.05 | |
| 1986 | | 4 | 5.5 | 14 | T | 0.17 | 64 |
| | | | | | FL | <0.05 | |
| | | | | | CH | <0.05 | |
| | | | | | SC | 0.27 | |
| | | | | | SF | 0.32 | |
| | | | | | SG | <0.05 | |
| | | | | | GR | <0.05 | |
| | | 4 | 11.0 | 14 | T | 0.32 | 64 |
| | | | | | FL | 0.16 | |
| | | | | | CH | <0.05 | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | SC 0.69<br>SF 0.10<br>SG <0.05<br>GR <0.05 | |
| UK<br>1990/91 | Rovral Aqua Flo | 1 | 0.1 kgai/t | 30 | tuber,raw<br>13.0<br>tuber, boiled<br>0.5<br>tuber, baked<br>12.0<br>tuber, fried<br>1.7<br>tuber,microwaved<br>15.0 | | 257 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| T | Tubers | SC | Stock feed from chip processing |
| C | Culls | SF | Stock feed from flake processing |
| FL | Flakes | SG | Stock feed from granule processing |
| CH | Chips | GR | Granules |

Cereal fractions, flour and bread. In the USA, maize grain was treated with Rovral WP50 at rates of 2 and 4 kg ai/t before being placed in a storage silo.

Table 58 shows the residues in the grain after storage up to 84 days and in the grits, meal, flour, crude oil and refined oil from dry and wet milling operations. The residues in grits, flour, meal and oil (crude and refined) were lower than in the grain, demonstrating that the residues were not concentrated by processing. A reduction in the residue of over 100-fold was observed from crude to refined oil.

Table 58. Residues of iprodione in processed fractions of maize (USA, 1986).

| Application | | | | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Form | No | g ai/ 100 kg | Residue, mg/kg | |
| Rovral WP 50 | 1 | 2 | grain 18<br>grits 1.9<br>flour 14<br>meal 9.9<br>crude oil 10.4<br>refined oil 0.06<br>starch 10.4 | 66 |
| | 1 | 4 | grain 44<br>grits 4.0<br>flour 35<br>meal 24<br>crude oil 11<br>refined oil 0.06<br>starch 24 | 66 |

In the UK, flour from wheat treated three times with iprodione at a rate of 0.5 kg ai/ha and harvested between 5 and 47 days after the last application contained 0.14-0.73 mg/kg iprodione, whilst the corresponding bread samples contained <0.1-0.45 mg/kg. Flour from wheat treated four times with iprodione at a rate of 0.5 kg ai/ha and harvested on the day of the last application contained 0.63-1.1 mg/kg iprodione and the corresponding bread 0.30-0.48 mg/kg (Brockelsby *et al*., 1987, ref. 263; see Table 59).

Table 59. Residues of iprodione in wheat flour and bread (UK, 1986).

| Form | Application No | kg ai/ha | PHI, days | Residue, mg/kg | Ref. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sirocco | 3 | 0.5 | 47 | flour 0.21,0.22<br>bread 0.13,0.14 | 248 |
| | 3 | 0.5 | 9 | flour 0.14,0.20<br>bread <0.1,0.12 | |
| | 3 | 0.5 | 5 | flour 0.39,0.73<br>bread 0.29,0.45 | |
| Sirocco<br>Rovral Flo | 4 | 0.5 | 0 | flour 0.66,0.85<br>bread 0.33,0.37 | 248 |
| | 4 | 0.5 | 0 | flour 0.63,1<br>bread 0.30,0.38 | |
| | 4 | 0.5 | 0 | flour 0.88,1.1<br>bread 0.47,0.48 | |

Peanut processed fractions. Rovral WP50 was applied to peanut trial plots in the major peanut-producing areas of the USA in 1983 and 1984. Three foliar applications were made to the plants at 1.1 or 2.2 kg ai/ha per application. Residues in hay, hulls, nut-meat and processed fractions are shown in Table 60.

*Hay*. Iprodione accounted for the greatest part of the residues. The highest residue of iprodione after 11 days was 65 mg/kg.

*Hulls* contained residues up to 5.2 mg/kg of iprodione.

*Nut-meat*. No residues (<0.05 mg/kg) were present in any of the 1983 samples, but residues of 0.21 and 0.27 mg/kg iprodione from the low and high application rates respectively were found in the 1984 samples owing to contamination from the hulls during commercial shelling of the peanuts.

*Processed fractions*. In the 1984 trials 0.54 and 0.67 mg/kg of iprodione were found in the screw-press crude oil but none in the solvent-extracted crude oil, refined oil or peanut meal. The residues in the screw-press oil were caused by surface contamination from the hulls during their commercial separation from the nut-meat. Soapstock contained residues of 1.3 and 2.6 mg/kg iprodione.

Table 60. Residues of iprodione in processed fractions of peanuts (USA, ref. 248).

| Year | Application | | | | Residue, |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Form | No | kg ai/ha | kg ai/hl | PHI, days | mg/kg (No. of samples) |
| 1983 | Rovral WP 50 | 3 | 1.1 | | | hay |
| | | | | | 0 | 28-147 (3) |
| | | | | | 3 | 57 |
| | | | | | 4 | 16- 77 (3) |
| | | | | | 5 | 56 |
| | | | | | 9 | 90 |
| | | | | | 11 | 25 -65 (3) |
| | | | | | | hulls |
| | | | | | 0 | 0.57-5.2 (3) |
| | | | | | 3 | 0.39 |
| | | | | | 4 | 1.2-5.2 (3) |
| | | | | | 5 | 0.67 |
| | | | | | 9 | 0.61 |
| | | | | | 11 | 0.26-1.1 (3) |
| | | | | | | nut-meat |
| | | | | | 0-11 | <0.05 (12) |
| 1984 | | 3 | 1.1 | | 4 | hulls 2.3 |
| | | | | | 4 | nut-meat 0.21 |
| | | | | | 4 | SPCO 0.54 |
| | | | | | 4 | SECE <0.05 |
| | | | | | 4 | refined oil<0.05 |
| | | | | | 4 | soapstock 1.3 |
| | | 3 | 2.2 | | 4 | hulls 4.3 |
| | | | | | 4 | nut-meat 0.27 |
| | | | | | 4 | SPCO 0.67 |
| | | | | | 4 | SECE <0.05 |
| | | | | | 4 | R. oil <0.05 |
| | | | | | 4 | soapstock 2.6 |
| | | | | | 4 | peanut meal<0.05 |

SPCO screw-press crude oil SECE solvent-extracted crude oil
R.oil refined oil

## RESIDUES IN FOOD IN COMMERCE OR AT CONSUMPTION

The results of enforcement analyses by the Governmental Food Inspection Services in The Netherlands in 1987-1991 were brought to the attention of the Meeting.

In 1991 65 commodities were analysed (1058 samples). Residues above the MRLs were found in only a few samples in eight commodities (see Table 61).

Table 61. Enforcement analyses for iprodione of the Governmental Food Inspection Services in The Netherlands (1991).

| Commodity | No. of samples | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Analysed | <MRL | >MRL |
| scarole | 144 | 142 | 2 |
| kiwifruit | 29 | 28 | 1 |
| lettuce, head incl. cos lettuce | 246 | 244 | 2 |
| nectarine | 8 | 6 | 2 |
| sugar pea (young pods) | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| leek | 8 | 7 | 1 |
| turnip tops, incl. rucola | 19 | 15 | 4 |
| corn salad | 27 | 26 | 1 |

The results of enforcement analyses in 1987-1990 are summarized in Table 62.

Table 62. Results of enforcement analyses for iprodione in The Netherlands, 1987-1990.

| Commodity | No.* | Mean | Std. dev. | Median | Min. | Max. | 95% max. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Currants, Black, Red, White | 32 | 1.171 | 1.793 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 8.1 | 5.6 |
| Strawberry | 398 | 1.163 | 1.984 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 22 | 4 |
| Scarole | 493 | 0.841 | 2.875 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 41.5 | 3 |
| Apple | 33 | 0.602 | 0.671 | 0.5 | 0.02 | 3.1 | 1.6 |
| Egg plant | 35 | 0.419 | 0.653 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 2.95 | 2.2 |
| Celery, blanched | 57 | 0.368 | 0.772 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 3.54 | 2.56 |
| Kale | 5 | 0.338 | 0.472 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 1.18 | 1.18 |
| Beans (runner/snap/slicing) | 79 | 0.159 | 0.422 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 3.7 | 0.56 |
| Blackberries | 22 | 1.073 | 0.982 | 0.905 | 0.05 | 4.4 | 2.5 |
| Broccoli | 23 | 0.24 | 0.264 | 0.1 | 0.03 | 1 | 0.75 |
| Chinese cabbage, amsoi, choisum and paksoi | 33 | 0.328 | 0.424 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 1.7 | 1.32 |
| Grapes | 41 | 0.463 | 0.564 | 0.28 | 0.02 | 2.5 | 1.7 |
| Raspberries | 12 | 1.086 | 1.315 | 0.55 | 0.05 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| Crisphead lettuce | 48 | 0.185 | 0.235 | 0.1 | 0.02 | 1.3 | 0.5 |
| Cherries | 7 | 0.911 | 0.928 | 0.683 | 0.11 | 2.72 | 2.72 |
| Kiwifruit | 27 | 0.805 | 1.056 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 5 | 2.4 |
| Celeriac | 5 | 0.614 | 0.512 | 0.62 | 0.1 | 1.35 | 1.35 |
| Fennel, bulb | 27 | 1.979 | 3.592 | 0.5 | 0.03 | 15.5 | 11.8 |
| Cucumber | 23 | 0.095 | 0.201 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 1 | 0.14 |
| Lettuce, Head incl. cos lettuce | 1351 | 0.72 | 1.982 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 42 | 2.9 |
| Lettuce, Leaf | 43 | 0.663 | 1.363 | 0.21 | 0.03 | 8.1 | 2.1 |
| Melons, except watermelon | 10 | 0.063 | 0.076 | 0.04 | 0.003 | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| Other agricultural crops | 6 | 0.772 | 1.258 | 0.21 | 0.09 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| Other vegetables | 40 | 1.179 | 1.887 | 0.33 | 0.02 | 9 | 4.5 |
| Other agricultural and horticultural crops | 33 | 0.241 | 0.516 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 2.6 | 1.54 |
| Sweet and chilli peppers | 148 | 0.346 | 0.762 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 4.99 | 2.1 |
| Peach | 14 | 0.241 | 0.222 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.64 | 0.64 |
| Parsley, root parsley | 23 | 0.832 | 1.155 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 4.23 | 3.35 |
| Winter squash, courgette and patisson | 9 | 0.201 | 0.113 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Purslane | 6 | 1.303 | 1.512 | 0.785 | 0.21 | 4.18 | 4.18 |
| Leek | 24 | 0.521 | 0.496 | 0.38 | 0.02 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Plums, inc. prunes | 10 | 0.197 | 0.153 | 0.195 | 0.03 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| Turnip, tops inc. rucola | 60 | 5.308 | 7.594 | 2.24 | 0.05 | 30.6 | 29 |
| Rhubarb | 8 | 0.34 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.04 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Radish | 226 | 0.289 | 0.629 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 5 | 1.3 |
| Radish, Black | 10 | 0.274 | 0.608 | 0.075 | 0.04 | 2 | 2 |
| Celery leaves | 59 | 1.544 | 4.613 | 0.2 | 0.03 | 27.8 | 7 |
| Leaf lettuce | 17 | 0.355 | 0.652 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Spinach | 65 | 0.74 | 1.433 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 9.5 | 2.6 |
| Cabbage, pointed | 5 | 0.258 | 0.183 | 0.19 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Brussels sprouts | 49 | 0.788 | 1.467 | 0.1 | 0.02 | 6 | 4 |
| Tomato | 21 | 0.172 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.55 | 0.52 |
| Corn salad | 208 | 2.77 | 3.916 | 1.425 | 0.02 | 28 | 8.54 |
| Fennel | 7 | 0.624 | 1.144 | 0.16 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 |

| Commodity | No.* | Mean | Std. dev. | Median | Min. | Max. | 95% max. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Winter carrot | 16 | 0.368 | 0.325 | 0.215 | 0.03 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Witloof chicory (sprouts) | 73 | 0.394 | 0.861 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 4.8 | 2.6 |
| Carrot | 65 | 0.915 | 1.34 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 5.28 | 3.63 |

* Only the numbers of samples with residues were reported

## METHODS OF RESIDUE ANALYSIS

General method for plant substrates. Analytical methods for determining residues in plant substrates are specific for the parent compound iprodione and when needed (as in the USA) for its metabolites RP30288 (isomer of iprodione) and RP32490 (desisopropylated metabolite). Determination is by GLC with an EC detector after solvent extraction of the substrate and clean-up by Florisil column chromatography. The limit of determination in most crop and animal samples is between 0.01 and 0.1 mg/kg (Prost, 1992d).

## NATIONAL MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS

The following national MRLs were reported to the Meeting.

| Country | Commodity | MRL,mg/kg |
|---|---|---|
| Argentina | Grapes | 1 |
| | Lettuce | 0.5 |
| | Strawberries | 0.5 |
| Australia | Beans | 0.2 |
| | Berry fruit | 12 |
| | Celery | 2 |
| | Grapes | 20 |
| | Kiwifruit | 10 |
| | Lettuce | 5 |
| | Lupin seed | 0.1 |
| | Meat | 0.1 |
| | Milk and milk products | 0.1 |
| | Passion fruit | 10 |
| | Peanut | 0.05 |
| | Peanut forage (green) | 20 |
| | Pome fruits | 3 |
| | Potato | 0.05 |
| | Soya bean (dry) | 0.05 |
| | Soya bean forage (green) | 5 |
| | Stone fruits | 10 |
| | Tomato | 2 |
| Belgium | Banana | 5 |
| | Carrot | 2 |
| | Citrus | 5 |
| | Corn salad | 10 |
| | Grapes | 10 |
| | Oilseed rape | 0.2 |
| | Other commodities of plant origin | 0.05 |
| | Potatoes | 0.5 |
| | Shallot | 5 |
| | Stone fruit | 5 |
| | Strawberries | 10 |
| | Vegetables | 5 |
| | Witloof (leaves) | 1 |
| Brazil | Carrots | 0.02 |
| | Coffee | 0.8 |
| | Cotton | 0.1 |
| | Garlic | 0.1 |
| | Grapes | 1 |
| | Lettuce | 1 |
| | Onion | 0.01 |
| | Peaches | 5 |
| | Potatoes | 0.02 |
| | Strawberries | 1 |
| | Sugar cane | 2.5 |
| | Sweet pepper | 4 |

| Country | Commodity | MRL,mg/kg |
|---|---|---|
| | Tomatoes | 4 |
| | Wheat | 0.3 |
| Canada | Beans | 0.3 |
| | Cherries | 5 |
| | Cucumber | 0.5 |
| | Ginseng | 0.1* |
| | Grapes | 10 |
| | Kiwifruit | 0.5 |
| | Onion | 0.1* |
| | Peach | 5 |
| | Strawberry | 5 |
| | Tomato | 0.5 |
| Denmark | Berries and small fruits | 10 |
| | Cabbage | 10 |
| | Leafy vegetables | 10 |
| | Other vegetables | 5 |
| | Pome fruits | 10 |
| | Potato | 5 |
| | Stone fruits | 10 |
| Finland | Boysenberry | 10 |
| | Kiwifruit | 10 |
| | Other small fruits and berries | 5 |
| | Vegetables | 5 |
| France | Beans, French | 5 |
| | Berries, other | 7 |
| | Carrots | 2 |
| | Cereals, grain | 0.05 |
| | Cucumbers | 5 |
| | Grapes | 10 |
| | Kiwifruit | 7 |
| | Lettuce | 10 |
| | Onions | 2 |
| | Pome fruit | 10 |
| | Potato | 0.02 |
| | Stone fruit | 10 |
| | Strawberry | 10 |
| | Tomato | 5 |
| | Rape seed | 0.5 |
| | Witloof | 1 |
| Germany | Cereal grains | 0.5 |
| | Cucumber | 5 |
| | Grapes | 5 |
| | Kiwifruit | 5 |
| | Kiwifruit, peeled | 0.5 |
| | Lettuce | 10 |
| | Oilseed | 0.2 |
| | Other commodities of plant origin | 0.02 |
| | Peas, field | 0.1 |
| | Peppers, Sweet | 5 |
| | Plums | 3 |
| | Strawberry | 10 |
| | Tomato | 5 |

| Country | Commodity | MRL,mg/kg |
|---|---|---|
| | Witloof chicory (sprouts) | 1 |
| Hungary | Apples | 3 |
| | Cabbage | 0.2 |
| | Grapes | 5 |
| | Nuts | 0.2 |
| | Pears | 3 |
| | Soft fruit | 3 |
| | Stone fruit | 5 |
| | Strawberries | 3 |
| | Sugar beet | 0.2 |
| | Sunflower | 3 |
| Italy | Apples | 3 |
| | Cabbage | 0.5 |
| | Egg plant | 0.5 |
| | Endive | 0.5 |
| | Grapes | 5 |
| | Kiwifruit | 3 |
| | Lemon | 1 |
| | Lettuce | 0.5 |
| | Oilseed rape | 0.05 |
| | Pears | 5 |
| | Pineapple (whole fruit) | 5 |
| | Rice | 0.5 |
| | Stone fruits | 5 |
| | Strawberry | 0.5 |
| | Tomato | 0.5 |
| Japan | Banana | 10 |
| | Beans | 1 |
| | Beans (Soya, Broad) | 0.2 |
| | Cereals (wheat and barley) | 10 |
| | Cherries | 10 |
| | Chicory | 1 |
| | Citrus | 10 |
| | Mango | 10 |
| | Melon | 10 |
| | Papaya | 10 |
| | Pineapple | 10 |
| | Potatoes | 0.1 |
| | Rice | 3 |
| | Sugar beet | 1 |
| | Vegetables (incl. Cucumber) | 5 |
| | Watermelon | 10 |
| Netherlands | Beans (fresh) | 1 |
| | Beans (dry) | 0.2 |
| | Berries, other | 5 |
| | Blackberries | 5 |
| | Caraway, seed | 2 |
| | Cherries | 5 |
| | Corn salad | 10 |
| | Carrots (post-harvest) | 10 |
| | Currant, black | 5 |
| | Currant, red | 15 |

| Country | Commodity | MRL,mg/kg |
|---|---|---|
| | Garlic | 0.1 |
| | Grapes | 10 |
| | Kiwifruit | 5 |
| | Kohlrabi | 5 |
| | Lettuce, leaf | 5 |
| | Meat | 0.05* |
| | Milk | 0.05* |
| | Onions | 0.1 |
| | Other food commodities | 0.05* |
| | Peaches | 10 |
| | Pome fruits | 10 |
| | Plums | 10 |
| | Prunes | 10 |
| | Pulses (dry) | 0.2 |
| | Raspberries | 5 |
| | Rice | 3 |
| | Squash | 1 |
| | Strawberries | 10 |
| | Vegetables, other | 5 |
| New Zealand | Berries | 10 |
| | Grapes | 10 |
| | Kiwifruit | 7 |
| | Stone fruits | 10 |
| | Tangelo | 2 |
| | Tomato | 5 |
| South Africa | Grapes (table, wine) | 5 |
| | Onions | 0.5 |
| | Peaches | 5 |
| | Peaches (canned) | 0.05 |
| | Pears | 0.05 |
| | Tomato | 2 |
| Spain | Banana | 5 |
| | Bulb vegetables | 1 |
| | Citrus fruits | 5 |
| | Grapes | 10 |
| | Kiwifruit | 5 |
| | Leafy vegetables | 10 |
| | Fruiting vegetables | 5 |
| | Pineapple | 5 |
| | Pome fruits | 10 |
| | Root and bulb vegetables | 1 |
| | Stone fruits | 10 |
| | Strawberry | 10 |
| Sweden | Strawberry | 10 |
| | Vegetables | 5 |
| Switzerland | Asparagus | 0.05 |
| | Beans | 0.5 |
| | Blackberries | 2 |
| | Carrot | 2 |
| | Chinese cabbage | 1 |
| | Grapes | 7 |
| | Kiwifruit | 5 |

| Country | Commodity | MRL,mg/kg |
|---|---|---|
| | Kiwifruit (peeled) | 0.5 |
| | Lettuce | 6 |
| | Onions | 0.1 |
| | Pome fruits | 0.05 |
| | Raspberries | 2 |
| | Stone fruits | 0.05 |
| | Tomato | 6 |
| | Wine | 2 |
| United Kingdom | Apples | 10 |
| | Bulb vegetables | 0.1 |
| | Cabbage | 3 |
| | Cucumbers | 5 |
| | Common bean | 3 |
| | Currants (red, black, white) | 5 |
| | Grapes | 10 |
| | Lettuce | 10 |
| | Nectarines | 10 |
| | Onions | 0.1 |
| | Peas | 3 |
| | Peaches | 10 |
| | Pears | 10 |
| | Plums | 10 |
| | Raspberries | 5 |
| | Strawberry | 10 |
| | Tomato | 5 |
| USA | Almond hulls | 2 |
| | Almond nut-meat | 0.3 |
| | Apricots | 20 |
| | Bean hay, forage | 90 |
| | Beans (dry, succulent) | 2 |
| | Blackberries | 25 |
| | Blueberries | 15 |
| | Boysenberries | 15 |
| | Broccoli | 25 |
| | Carrots | 5 |
| | Cherries, sour | 20 |
| | Cherries, sweet (pre- and post-harvest) | 20 |
| | Currants (import MRL) | 15 |
| | Field corn grain (stored) | 20 |
| | Garlic | 0.1 |
| | Ginseng (dry) | 4 |
| | Ginseng (fresh) | 2 |
| | Grape pomace | 225 |
| | Grapes | 60 |
| | Lettuce (head) | 25 |
| | Loganberries | 25 |
| | Nectarines, peaches | 20 |
| | Onions, Bulb | 0.5 |
| | Peanut | 0.5 |
| | Peanut forage, dry and hay | 150 |
| | Peanut hulls | 7 |
| | Plums | 20 |

| Country | Commodity | MRL,mg/kg |
|---|---|---|
| | Potatoes | 0.5 |
| | Prunes (fresh) | 20 |
| | Raisin waste | 300 |
| | Raisins | 300 |
| | Raspberries | 15 |
| | Rice bran | 30 |
| | Rice grain | 10 |
| | Rice hulls | 50 |
| | Rice straw | 20 |
| | Strawberries | 15 |
| | Tomatoes | 3 |
| | Youngberries | 25 |
| Yugoslavia | Apples | 3 |
| | Grapes | 2 |
| | Strawberries | 2 |

## APPRAISAL

Iprodione, used as a fungicide for a variety of crops, was reviewed in the CCPR periodic review programme. It is applied by pre- or post-harvest foliar spray, dipping of plants or roots, and as a seed treatment. It is formulated as WP or SC, and for foliar spraying it is applied between one and five times at a rate of 0.25-1.5 kg ai/ha. In the post-harvest treatment of fruits and vegetables it is used at spray concentrations of 0.075-0.5 kg ai/hl and for seed treatment at rates up to 2.5 g/kg seed. Residue data have been received from supervised trials on citrus, pome and stone fruits, berries, grapes, bananas, kiwifruit, vegetables (brassica, bulb, leafy, legume, root and tuber, stalk and stem vegetables, cucumbers, tomatoes and peppers), cereals, nuts and seeds.

Metabolism studies in plants have shown that iprodione is degraded to *N*-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-3-isopropyl-2,4-dioxoimidazolidine-1-carboxamide (RP30228), which is the only significant metabolite in certain crops, and also to the desisopropyl derivative (RP32490), a relatively minor metabolite.

The parent compound is generally the main component of the total residue resulting from foliar application. Except in potatoes, certain rice fractions (especially straw) and peanut hay, residues of metabolites are undetectable.

Iprodione is metabolized extensively in goats, chickens and dairy cows. Excretion in urine and faeces is extensive and rapid. Iprodione does not accumulate in the milk, eggs or tissues. Residues of iprodione and its metabolites decrease rapidly when the dose is withdrawn. Metabolism is similar in goats, chickens and dairy cattle.

Iprodione has low water solubility, is not volatile and is expected to dissipate rapidly in water under natural field conditions. Under laboratory conditions it shows no significant degradation at pH 3, a half-life of 20 days at pH 6 and complete degradation in less than 24 hours at pH 9.

Iprodione has low mobility in various soil types and does not accumulate in soil: its half-life generally varies, in the laboratory, between 20 and 80 days. Light has been shown to accelerate degradation under aerobic conditions. Field trials showed that there was a progressive increase in the

rate of degradation with successive treatments.

Processing studies on black currants, grapes, tomatoes, potatoes, maize, wheat, peanuts and hops showed a reduction of the residues in juice, beer, flour and potato flakes and chips, but a concentration in dry pomace and raisins.

Methods of analysis are based on GLC with an EC detector after solvent extraction of the substrate and clean-up by Florisil column chromatography. The limit of determination in most crop and animal samples is between 0.01 and 0.1 mg/kg. The methods determine the parent compound, and the two metabolites if required.

The residue data from supervised trials are on the parent compound only and were evaluated as follows.

Citrus fruits. Data were available from New Zealand, Japan and Israel, but there was no information on GAP. As there were only two trials with dip treatments (0.05-0.075 kg ai/hl, in Italy), the data were also rather limited. No MRL could be recommended.

Pome fruits. Trials on apples with spray treatments in Japan were not in line with GAP. Only three results could be evaluated for pears from spray treatments (Italy, 4-7 treatments, application rate 1.35 kg ai/ha, PHI 20 to 21 days). The residues were 0.51-3.6 mg/kg. These results are not sufficient to recommend an MRL, particularly as according to GAP there should be only 2-3 treatments.

Post-harvest dipping trials (one treatment, 0.05-0.075 kg ai/hl) carried out in France and Italy on apples could be used to estimate a maximum residue level. As there was no decrease in residues during storage, ten results from storage periods between 0 and 222 days could be evaluated (minimum residue 0.61 mg/kg, maximum 2.4 mg/kg). The Meeting agreed to replace the recommendation for apples and pears (10 mg/kg Po), by a recommendation for pome fruits of 5 mg/kg Po.

Apricots. There were only two trials from France, and samples were not taken within the recommended PHI. An MRL could not be recommended.

Cherries. There were five values from Canadian spray trials. There were 6-8 treatments at rates of 0.7-0.875 kg ai/ha with a one-day PHI (residues 1.1-6.5 mg/kg). The Meeting considered the data from cherries and from other stone fruits to be mutually supportive and estimated a maximum residue level of 10 mg/kg for cherries.

Peaches. There were nine values from Canadian trials, based on 2-5 pre-harvest spray treatments at rates of 0.75-1.0 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 0-1 day, similar to Canadian GAP. Residues were 2.2-7.6 mg/kg. In one trial the residues on days 4, 7 and 14 after treatment (9, 10 and 8.5 mg/kg respectively) were higher than on day 0 (7.6 mg/kg). There were no new data on post-harvest treatments. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 10 mg/kg for peaches to replace the previous recommendation (10 mg/kg Po).

Data from France and Australia on nectarines were made available to the Meeting, but there is no GAP in France. A single value from Australia was not sufficient to recommend an MRL.

Plums. Iprodione is registered in France, New Zealand and the USA. For pre-harvest spraying there are 2-3 treatments, a rate of 0.037-0.075 kg ai/hl and a PHI of 0-3 days. There were no residue data from these countries that could be evaluated. Data from South Africa were made available, but there was no GAP. The Meeting agreed to withdraw the recommendation for an MRL for plums (including prunes) of 10 mg/kg.

Gooseberry; Currants, Black, Red, White. The trials on currants and gooseberries carried out in the UK and The Netherlands were not in conformity with GAP, with one exception. The residues considerably exceeded the MRL recommended in 1977, the maximum being 20 mg/kg. The Meeting agreed to recommend withdrawal of the MRL for currants (5 mg/kg).

Blackberries and raspberries. Residue data from four trials on blackberries in the USA in 1986 were provided. The US GAP is included in the GAP for caneberries, which specifies a maximum of 4 applications. Five applications were used in the trials, but this was not regarded as significantly different from 4 in its effect on residues. The residues covered a wide range, from 5.8 to 22 mg/kg, reflecting the typical variation of residues in berry fruits. The Meeting noted that trials in Canada on raspberries with a similar use pattern gave similar residues. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 30 mg/kg for iprodione in blackberries.

In raspberry trials there were four results from the UK which corresponded to GAP: 4-5 treatments, 0.75 kg ai/ha and a PHI of 7 to 8 days. The residues were 0.92-5.4 mg/kg. The Meeting also evaluated Canadian raspberry data (residues 4.6 to 31 mg/kg) according to US GAP and used US blackberry data in support. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 30 mg/kg for raspberries to replace the previous recommendation (5 mg/kg).

Strawberries. There were many results from world-wide strawberry trials. The five US trials with 22 results (minimum residue 0.5 mg/kg, maximum 9.1 mg/kg) were based on 1-5 treatments at 1.1 kg ai/ha with a 0-day PHI. From Belgium there were 4 residues from trials according to GAP (1.9-6.0 mg/kg). In Germany (6 results), residues 10-12 days after the last of 3 treatments at 0.94-1,25 kg ai/ha were lower at 0.13-4.5 mg/kg. The UK provided 7 results from trials according to GAP (0.75 kg ai/ha, 1-day PHI) with residues of 1.9-4.8 mg/kg. There were 6 results from the similar Canadian GAP (1.1 kg ai/ha, 1-day PHI) (minimum residue 0.97 mg/kg, maximum 6 mg/kg) and two results each from New Zealand (3 treatments, 0.7 kg ai/ha, 1-day PHI) and Spain (2-3 treatments, 0.75 kg ai/ha, 3-day PHI) with values from 1.6 to 3.9 mg/kg. The Meeting agreed to maintain the current recommendation of 10 mg/kg for strawberries.

Grapes. Extensive data on grapes were available from France, Chile, Portugal, Spain, Italy, Germany, Morocco, Canada and the USA. The official application rates (mostly 0.75-1.0 kg ai/ha) and number of applications (mostly 3 or 4) were similar from country to country, but the official PHI varied from 0 days (USA) to 28 days (Germany and Italy). Iprodione residues were quite persistent, and sometimes higher at longer intervals than at the official PHI. Numerous residues were in the 1-5 mg/kg range, with three in the 6-10 mg/kg range and three at 10-11 mg/kg. The Meeting agreed to maintain the current recommendation of 10 mg/kg for grapes.

Bananas. In Spain, iprodione is registered as a post-harvest treatment (1 application, 0.03 kg ai/hl, no PHI). Three residue values from trials according to GAP were available (pulp <0.1 mg/kg, peel 4-7 mg/kg, whole fruit 1.7-3.4 mg/kg). Only three values from one country were not sufficient to

recommend an MRL.

Kiwifruit. The suggested MRL is based on 13 values from New Zealand 3-5 applications at 0.75 kg ai/ha and a 1-day PHI (minimum residue 0.28 mg/kg, maximum 4.5 mg/kg). The residues determined in six samples of peeled fruit were between 0.14 and 0.91 mg/kg. The Meeting agreed to maintain the current recommendation of 5 mg/kg for kiwifruit. No GAP was available for post-harvest treatment.

Fennel. The data from one supervised trial were insufficient to recommend an MRL.

Garlic. Data from two trials were available, but their validity could not be confirmed. The Meeting agreed to withdraw the recommendation for garlic (0.1 mg/kg).

Bulb onions. There were six results from Canada (2-5 spray treatments, 0.75-1 kg ai/ha, a 13 to 19-day PHI) which approximated GAP, giving residues of 0.05-0.18 mg/kg. The 5 values from two US trials according to GAP ranged from <0.05 mg/kg to 0.11 mg/kg. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 0.2 mg/kg for onions to replace the previous recommendation (0.1 mg/kg).

Broccoli. Eight values from 4 trials in the USA (1.1 kg ai/ha, 2 treatments) showed iprodione residues between 4.1 and 22 mg/kg. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 25 mg/kg for iprodione in broccoli.

Cauliflower. Trials from Canada could not be evaluated because no Canadian GAP was available. Some French trials were within the application conditions of GAP, but the intervals after treatment were much longer than the official PHI of 15 days. The data could not be used to estimate a maximum residue level.

Head cabbages. Trials from Canada, Germany and the UK were not in conformity with GAP. An MRL could not be recommended.

Chinese cabbage. Trials from Canada, Germany, Denmark and the USA were generally not in accord with GAP. An MRL could not be recommended.

Kohlrabi. There was only one residue value from a treatment according to GAP, from The Netherlands. An MRL could not be recommended.

Cantaloupe. Although there were two trials from France, there was no information on French GAP. An MRL could not be recommended.

Cucumbers. Residue data were available from 7 trials which did not closely reflect GAP. An evaluation of a total of 10 results from France (4 treatments, 0.075 kg ai/hl, a 5-day PHI), the UK (4 treatments, 1.1 kg ai/hl, a 2-day PHI), Denmark (2 to 4 treatments, 0.05 to 0.075 kg ai/hl, PHI 2 to 4 days) and Canada (3 to 9 treatments, 0.05 kg ai/hl, 1-day PHI) showed residues of <0.1-1.8 mg/kg). The Meeting therefore estimated a maximum residue level of 2 mg/kg to replace the previous recommendation (5 mg/kg).

Sweet peppers. Seven US trials based on 8 applications and a 0-day PHI were not in line with GAP (up to 4 treatments, PHI of 3-14 days). The Meeting agreed to withdraw the recommendation for

sweet peppers (5 mg/kg).

Tomatoes. Outdoor trials from the USA could not be evaluated because no US GAP was available. The conditions of application in indoor trials in Denmark, Canada and the UK (1 to 7 treatments, 0.05 kg ai/hl, a 0- or 1-day PHI) approximated GAP, but a total of 6 results was not sufficient to support an MRL for a major crop. The Meeting agreed to withdraw the previous recommendation for tomato (5 mg/kg).

Witloof chicory (sprouts). The MRL of 1 mg/kg for witloof chicory recommended in 1977 has been supported by trials in France (1987-1992). There was a total of 20 results which could be evaluated, with residues of 0.03-1 mg/kg. The Meeting agreed to maintain the current recommendation of 1 mg/kg.

Lettuce, Head and Leaf. Numerous trial results were available from many countries. Data on head lettuce were from six German greenhouse trials based on 3 treatments, 0.5 kg ai/ha and a 21-day PHI, two outdoor UK trials (2-6 treatments, 0.25 kg ai/ha, a 7-day PHI), two trials from The Netherlands (2 applications, 0.75 kg ai/ha, 10-42-day PHI, indoor) and one French trial (3 treatments, 0.75 kg ai/ha, 23-day PHI, indoor). The total of 24 residues from <0.02 to 9.2 mg/kg were within the range of the previous MRL. The Meeting agreed to maintain the current recommendation of 10 mg/kg for head lettuce.

Data from US trials on leaf lettuce (3 treatments, 1.1 kg ai/ha, 14-day PHI) were made available to the Meeting. The 18 residue values ranged from 0.16 to 22 mg/kg. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level for leaf lettuce of 25 mg/kg.

Dandelions. Iprodione is authorized for use in France, but there was only one residue trial which was not in line with GAP. An MRL could not be recommended.

Common beans (pods and/or immature seeds). Trials on succulent beans (snap and lima beans) from Canada and the USA approximated GAP. Residue data from 4 Canadian trials (1-2 treatments, 0.75 kg ai/ha) and 15 US trials (2 treatments, 1.1 kg ai/ha) were provided. In a total of 19 values residues were from <0.05 to 1.3 mg/kg. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 2 mg/kg for common bean (pods and/or immature seeds).

Beans (dry). An evaluation of 14 results from trials in Canada and Japan on white and kidney beans showed that after 14-76 days the residues were lower than 0.1 mg/kg. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level for dry beans of 0.1 mg/kg to replace the previous recommendation (0.2 mg/kg).

Peas. The description of the analyzed commodity in the submitted trials could not be verified and the data were considered inadequate for the estimation of a maximum residue level.

Carrots. There were two residue trials according to GAP from The Netherlands covering pre-storage treatment (1 post-harvest spray, 30.4 g ai/t). As the residues do not decrease during storage but even increase because of the water loss, residues at days 0, 63 and 130 were considered for evaluation. Residues were 4.1-7.9 mg/kg.

Results from pre-harvest trials using foliar sprays were available from the USA with rates

of application (1.3 to 2.25 kg ai/ha) and numbers of treatments (8 to 13) which were somewhat higher than GAP (4 treatments, 0.56 to 1.12 kg ai/ha). The residues, 0.49-3.1 mg/kg, were substantially below those from the post-harvest treatments. The data were consistent among the various post-harvest trials and the Meeting recommended an MRL of 10 mg/kg. The residues from pre-harvest treatments were lower and would be covered by the proposal for post-harvest treatment.

Radishes. Only three residues from GAP treatments were available, from The Netherlands (1 treatment, 2 kg ai/ha, a 12-day PHI). The residues were 1.8-2.2 mg/kg from a single trial. An MRL could not be recommended.

Potatoes. Four results from US trials after foliar spraying (4 treatments, 1.1 to 2.2 kg ai/ha, 14-day PHI) were in conformity with GAP. The residues in the tubers were below the limit of detection (<0.05 mg/kg). As there were only two trials and potatoes are a major crop no MRL is recommended. Post-harvest uses are registered only for seed potatoes; there is no GAP for the post-harvest treatment of potatoes for consumption.

Sugar beet. Iprodione is an authorized product for seed treatment in France and Greece. After seed treatment in UK trials (1.5 kg ai/tonne of seed), there were no residues above the limit of detection (<0.1 mg/kg). An MRL of 0.1* mg/kg is recommended.

Swedes and turnips. Only one trial from the UK corresponded to GAP. The data are not sufficient to estimate a maximum residue level.

Celery. Iprodione is authorized in Australia, but there were only residue values up to 69 mg/kg from US trials which did not correspond to GAP. An MRL could not be recommended.

Cumin Seed. A single trial was inadequate to recommend an MRL.

Barley. Thirteen residue values from UK trials in accordance with GAP (2-3 treatments, 0.5 kg ai/ha, PHI 41-65 days) were <0.1-1.5 mg/kg. After seed treatment according to German GAP, the residues in the grain were less than 0.05 mg/kg. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 2 mg/kg for barley.

Oats. A single trial of a seed treatment was inadequate to recommend an MRL.

Wheat. As with barley, the trials were in the UK (3 treatments, 0.5 kg ai/ha, a PHI of 42 to 74 days). The residues in grain (5 trials, 8 results) were all ≤0.1 mg/kg. The data were considered inadequate to estimate a maximum residue level for a major crop.

Maize. There were trials in Italy and the USA, but as there was no information on GAP an MRL could not be recommended.

Rice. USA GAP for the use of iprodione on rice permits 2 treatments and a rate of 0.56 kg ai/ha, with the last application not later than when the heading is 75% complete. There were 18 results on husked rice after PHIs of 28 to 58 days. Residues were 0.09-7.1 mg/kg. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 10 mg/kg for rice, husked, to replace the previous recommendation (3 mg/kg).

Almonds. USA GAP for the use of iprodione on almonds permits 4 treatments and a rate of 0.56 mg/kg ai/ha, with the last application within 5 weeks from petal fall. Four trials could be evaluated, which showed that the residues were mainly on the hulls. Those in the nuts were <0.1-0.18 mg/kg. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 0.2 mg/kg for almonds. This would be compatible with residues of 2 mg/kg in almond hulls.

Rape, oilseed. Data from UK trials (residues 0.12-0.43 mg/kg from 1 to 2 treatments at 0.5 kg ai/ha with a 21-day PHI) could be used to recommend an MRL. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 0.5 mg/kg for rape seed.

Sunflower seed. The use of iprodione on sunflower is registered in France. There were five values from France (2 to 3 treatments, 0.4 to 0.7 kg ai/ha, PHI 61-85 days) and 1 value from Italy (2 treatments, 0.75 kg ai/ha, 41-day PHI) that could be used. Residues were <0.04-0.36 mg/kg. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 0.5 mg/kg for sunflower seed.

Coffee. Although iprodione was applied to coffee in two trials, there was no information on GAP. The data were insufficient to recommend an MRL.

Milk, cow tissues. Dairy cattle were treated once daily for 29 days with iprodione at levels corresponding to 5, 15, 50 and 200 ppm in the feed. Milk samples were collected on treatment days 8, 17 and 28 and tissue samples at slaughter. At the 5 ppm feeding level there were no detectable residues of iprodione or its metabolites in milk (<0.01 mg/kg) or tissues (<0.05 mg/kg). At the 15 and 50 ppm feeding levels the total residues in milk were 0.026-0.052 mg/l) and in tissues <0.05-0.76 mg/kg. In the absence of detailed information on metabolism the Meeting was unable to recommend MRLs for milk or tissues.

Poultry. Three groups of hens were treated for 28 days by capsule with iprodione at nominal levels of 2, 20 and 100 ppm in the diet or 0.15, 1.5 and 7.5 mg/kg body weight. The total residues in eggs reached a plateau after day 7 of the treatment at 0.1 mg/kg, 0.64 mg/kg and 1.9 mg/kg at the three feeding levels. They had decreased to undetectable levels (<0.01 mg/kg) by day 9 for the 2 and 20 ppm feeding levels and day 12 in the 100 ppm group.

The total residues in liver, muscle, kidney and fat from the 2 ppm feeding level at day 28 were 0.53, <0.05, 0.23 and 0.15 mg/kg respectively, and had decreased to undetectable levels (<0.05 mg/kg) by day 14 of withdrawal at all three feeding levels. In the absence of detailed information on metabolism the Meeting was unable to recommend MRLs for poultry meat or eggs.

Animal feeds. Results of trials on bean fodder and the straw and fodder of cereal grains were available.

Bean forage. Residue data from 13 supervised US trials on snap and Lima bean forage covered a wide range. On the basis of the highest value of 75 mg/kg the Meeting could support a maximum residue level of 100 mg/kg for bean forage (green).

Straw and fodder (dry) of cereal grains. Residue data from supervised trials in the UK on the straw of barley (11 values) and wheat (4 values) were provided. Residues ranged from 0.63 to 5.5 mg/kg. Residues in the grains were of the order of 0.1 mg/kg (wheat) to 1.5 mg/kg (barley). The Meeting agreed the data could support a maximum residue level of 5 mg/kg for the straw and fodder (dry) of

cereal grains.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

On the basis of the residue data from supervised trials the Meeting concluded that the residue levels listed in Annex I are suitable for establishing MRLs.

Definition of the residue: iprodione

| Commodity | | Recommended MRL (mg/kg) | | PHI on which based, days |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| CCN | Name | New | Previous | |
| TN 0660 | Almonds | 0.2 | - | 132-144 |
| FP 0226 | Apple | W | 10 Po | |
| GC 0640 | Barley | 2 | - | 41-65 |
| VD 0071 | Beans (dry) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 41-76 |
| FB 0264 | Blackberries | 30 | - | 0 |
| VB 0400 | Broccoli | 25 | - | 0 |
| VR 0577 | Carrot | 10Po | - | 0-130 |
| VP 0562 | Common bean (pods &/or immature seeds) | 2 | - | 3-27 |
| FS 0013 | Cherries | 10 | - | 1 |
| VC 0424 | Cucumber | 2 | 5 | 1-5 |
| FB 0021 | Currants, black, red, white | W | 5 | |
| VA 0381 | Garlic | W | 0.1 | |
| FB 0269 | Grapes | 10 | 10 | 0-30 |
| FI 0341 | Kiwifruit | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| VL 0482 | Lettuce, head | 10 | 10 | 7-42 |
| VL 0483 | Lettuce, leaf | 25 | - | 10-14 |
| VA 0385 | Onion, bulb | 0.2 | 0.1 | 7-19 |
| FS 0247 | Peach | 10 | 10 Po | 0-1 |
| FP 0230 | Pear | W | 10 | |
| VO 0445 | Peppers, sweet | W | 5 | |
| FS 0014 | Plums (including Prunes) | W | 10 | |

| Commodity | | Recommended MRL (mg/kg) | | PHI on which based, days |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| CCN | Name | New | Previous | |
| FP 0009 | Pome fruits | 5 Po | | 0-222 |
| SO 0495 | Rape seed | 0.5 | - | 21 |
| FB 0272 | Raspberries, | 30 | 5 | 7-8 |
| VR 0596 | Sugar beet | 0.1* | - | 154-182 |
| SO 0702 | Sunflower seed | 0.5 | - | 41-85 |
| FB 0275 | Strawberry | 10 | 10 | 0-1 |
| CM 0649 | Rice, husked | 10 | 3 | 28-58 |
| VO 0448 | Tomato | W | 5 | |
| VS 0469 | Witloof chicory (sprouts) | 1 | 1 | 21-104 |

Po Post-harvest treatment
* Limit of determination
W Withdrawal

## REFERENCES

1. Adams, A.M. *et al*. 1988a. Report May and Baker D.Ag.868 of April 1988. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies on cereals (UK 1986). Unpublished.

2. Adams, A.M. *et al*. 1988b. Report May and Baker D.Ag. no 880 of May 1988. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies on cereals (UK 1987). Unpublished.

3. Anon. 1981. Report from Chemical Analysis Consultant, Japan, of 10.05.82. Results of iprodione residue on citrus. Unpublished.

4. Anon. 1986. Report SABS no 17/36/8 of 22.03.82. Iprodione residues in plums. Unpublished.

5. Anon. 1990. Report Department of Agriculture and Rural Affairs no F182-RPT BEZ-PB of 11.01.90. Rovral post-harvest dip for Botrytis control on kiwifruit. Unpublished.

6. Anon. 1993a. Information on GAP, MRLs and residue data on lettuce, endivie, cauliflower, chines cabbage and strawberry by the Delagation of Canada to be considered by the JMPR 1993 (Canada, 1993). Unpublished.

7. Anon. 1993b. Information on GAP, MRLs and residue data on lettuce and rape, oilseed by the Federal Biological Research Centre of Agriculture and Forestry, Braunschweig (Germany, 1993). Unpublished.

8. Anon. 1993c. Information on GAP, MRLs and residue data on commonbeans, carrots, cumin, currants, endivie, fennel, lettuce, kohlrabi, onions, potato, radish, rape seed, witloof and strawberry by the Delagation of The Netherlands to be considered by the JMPR 1993 (Netherlands, 1993). Unpublished.

9. Anon. 1993d. Information on GAP, MRLs by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries to be considered by the JMPR

1993 (New Zealand, 1993). Unpublished.

10. Anon. 1993e. Information on GAP, MRLs and residue data on banana, grapes, strawberry, beans, carrots, celeriac and lettuce by the Delagation of Spain to be considered by the JMPR 1993 (Spain, 1993). Unpublished.

11. Bertolini, P. *et al*. 1985. Informatore fitopatologico 12/85 51-54. Verifica dei residui di iprodione nel trattamento post-raccolta delle pomacae e degli agrumi. Unpublished.

12. Brockelsby, C.H. 1978. Report May and Baker no AR/1379 of March 1978. Iprodione - Residue studies on sprayed raspberries (UK 1977). Unpublished.

13. Brockelsby, C.H. 1981a. Report May and Baker AR/1842 of March 1981. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies in field
lettuces (Denmark 1980). Unpublished.

14. Brockelsby, C.H. and Cooper, I. 1981a. Report May and Baker AR/1841 of March 1981. Fungicide: Iprodione. Residue studies on onions (Canada,1979). Unpublished.

15. Brockelsby. C. and Cooper, I. 1981b. Report May and Baker AR/1843 of March 1981. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies in tomatoes (Denmark 1980). Unpublished.

16. Brockelsby, C.H. and Heijbroek, W.M. 1978). Report May and Baker AR/1428 of September 1977. Fungicides: Iprodione Residue studies on Dutch White cabbage (UK 1977 - 1978). Unpublished.

17. Brockelsby, C.H. and Maycey, P.A. 1979). Report May and Baker AR/1507 of March 1979. Fungicide: Iprodione Residue studies on onions (Canada,1978). Unpublished.

18. Brockelsby C.H. and Maycey, P.A. 1982. Report May and Baker no AG Tech 293 of 10.12.82. Fungicides: Iprodione-Residue studies in kiwi fruit (New Zealand 1981/82). Unpublished.

19. Brockelsby, C.H. and Sharpe, J.P. 1981. Report May and Baker AG/Tech/2 of April 1981. Iprodione residue studies on cucumbers (Denmark 1980). Unpublished.

20. Brockelsby, C.H. and Woods, L.S. 1978a. Report May and Baker AR/1378 of March 1978. Fungicides: Iprodione Residue studies on sprayed peaches (Canada 1977). Unpublished.

21. Brockelsby, C.H. and Woods, L.S. 1978b. Report May and Baker AR/1418 of July 1978. Fungicides: Iprodione Residue studies on fresh peaches (South Africa 1978). Unpublished.

22. Brockelsby, C.H. and Woods, L.S. 1978c. Report May and Baker AR/1370 of March 1978. Iprodione residue studies on cucumbers (UK 1977). Unpublished.

23. Brockelsby, C.H. *et al*. 1978a. Report May and Baker AR/1400 of May 1978. Fungicide: Iprodione residue studies on white beans (Canada 1977). Unpublished.

24. Brockelsby, C.H. *et al*.1978b. Report May and Baker AR/1389 of May 1978. Fungicide: Iprodione - Residue studies on rape (Canada 1977). Unpublished.

25. Brockelsby, C.H. *et al*.1978c. Report May and Baker AR/1450 of October 1978. Fungicide: Iprodione - Residue studies on potatoes (UK 1978). Unpublished.

26. Brockelsby, C.H. *et al*.1979a. Report May and Baker AR/1494 of January 1979. Fungicides: Iprodione Residue studies on field lettuces (UK 1978). Unpublished.

27. Brockelsby, C.H. *et al*.1979b. Report May and Baker AR/1574 of October 1979. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies on lettuces (Low volume spray)(UK 1979). Unpublished.

28. Brockelsby, C.H. *et al*. 1979c. Report May and Baker AR/1552 of July 1979. Fungicides: Iprodione Residue studies on Red Cabbage (UK 1978 -1979). Unpublished.

29. Brockelsby, C.H. *et al*. 1979d. Report May and Baker no AR/1515 of March 1979. Fungicide: 26019 RP - Residue studies on cherries (Canada 1978). Unpublished.

30. Brockelsby, C.H. *et al*. 1980a. Report May and Baker AR/1631 of February 1980. Fungicides: Iprodione Residue studies on blackcurrants (UK 1979). Unpublished.

31. Brockelsby, C.H. *et al*. 1980b. Report May and Baker AR/1651 of March 1980. Fungicide: Iprodione Residue studies on onions (Canada,1979). Unpublished.

32. Brockelsby, C.H. *et al*. 1980c. Report May and Baker AR/1655 of March 1980. Fungicide: Iprodione residue studies on white beans (Canada 1979). Unpublished.

33. Buys, M. and Laurent, M. 1974. Report SUCRP-DS an nord no 2534 of 30.08.74 26019 RP. Dosage de residues sur framboises (France 1974). Unpublished.

34. Buys, M. *et al*. 1974a. Report SUCRP-DS An Nord no 2529 of 06.09.74. 26 019 RP. Etude de résidus sur laitues (Grande-Bretagne, 1974). Unpublished.

35. Buys, M. *et al*. 1974b. Report SUCRP-DS An Nord no 2530 of 06.09.74. 26 019 RP. Dosage de résidus sur laitues (France, 1974). Unpublished.

36. Chabassol, Y. and Aublet, J.C. 1983a. Report CRV/CNG An no 4720 of 3.03.83. Iprodione (26019 RP) Résidus sur groseilles (Provenance Pays Bas 1981-82). Unpublished.

37. Chabassol, Y. and Aublet, J.C. 1983b. Report CRV/CNG An no 4744 of 12.04.83. Iprodione (26019 RP) Résidus sur colza (France 1982). Unpublished.

38. Chabassol, Y. and Aublet J.C. 1983c. Report CRV/CNG no 4745 of 12.04.83. Iprodione (26 019 RP)Résidus sur fraises, raisins et tomates (Italie, 1982). Unpublished.

39. Chabassol, Y. and Aublet, J.C. 1983d. Report CRV/CNG An no 4746 of 12.04.83. Iprodione (26019 RP). Résidus sur fraises, haricots et pois (France 1982). Unpublished.

40. Chabassol, Y. and Aublet, J.C. 1983e. Report CRV/CNG An no 4782 of 20.05.83. Iprodione + Carbendazime - Détermination des résidus dans les pommes de terre (France 1982). Unpublished.

41. Chow, W. *et al*. 1991. Report RP Ag Co NWZ/90/08F of 10.10.91. Residues of iprodione in/on kiwi fruit resulting form foliar applications of ROVFAL FLO 250 g/l. Unpublished.

42. Clavière, B. and Guyot, C. 1985a. Report AG/CRLD no 15824.85 of 23.09.85 - Iprodione, formulation Kidan. Résidus dans les raisins - Essais (France 1984). Unpublished.

43. Clavière, B and Guyot, G. 1985b. Report AG/CRLD no 15924.85 of 18.10.85. Iprodione - Formulation Rovral - Résidus dans les groseilles - Essais (Hollande 1984). Unpublished.

44. Clavière, B. and Guyot, C. 1985c. Report AG/CRLD no 16159.85 of 6.12.85. Iprodione - Formulation KIDAN. Résidus dans les carottes Essais (Hollande 1985). Unpublished.

45. Clavière, B. and Muller, M.A. 1988a. Report AG/CRLD no 15131.88 of 20.01.88. Iprodione. Formulation Calidan (SC) et Rovral (WP). Essais France 1987. Résidus dans les pêches.
Unpublished.

46. Clavière, B. and Muller, M.A. 1988b. Report AG/CRLD no 15061.88 of 13.01.88. Iprodione. Formulations KIDAN (SC), Calidan (SC) et Rovral (WP). Essais France 1987. Résidus dans les pêches. Unpublished.

47. Dobson, K. *et al*. 1979. Report May and Baker AR/1527 of May 1979. Fungicides: Iprodione Residue studies on peaches (Canada 1978). Unpublished.

48. Dupont, C. and Muller, M.A. 1987. Report AG/CRLD no 15828.87 of 31.07.87. Iprodione. Formulation EXP.2265 (SC). Essais Hollande 1987. Résidus dans les tubercules de pommes de terre. Unpublished.

49. Dupuy, M.G. 1981a. Report CRV/CNG-An no 21220 of 16.11.81. Iprodione Dosage de résidus dans les raisins, le vin et l'alcool (France, 1977-1980). Unpublished.

50. Dupuy, M.G. 1981b. Report C.R. Vitry/ C.N.G. no 21272 of 28.12.81. Iprodione (26019 R.P.). Traitements de post-récolte (trempage, thermonébulisation, cuisson conserve). Essais effectués de 1972 à 1981. Dosage des résidus. Unpublished.

51. Gemma, A, A. *et al*. 1986. Report RP Inc 86/BHL/326 AG (ASD NO 86/196) of May 1986. Iprodione - Aquatic field dissipation and field irrigated crop study. Unpublished.

52. Gillings, O. 1991a. Report RP AG CO no USA88RO8 of 29.07.91. Residues of iprodione and its metabolites in/on tomatoes resulting from aerial and ground applications of Rovral 50 WP. Unpublished.

53. Gillings, O. 1991b. Report RP Ag Co no USA89R16 of 1.10.91. Rovral Onions 1989. Aerial residue program. Unpublished.

54. Gillings, O. 1992. Report RP Ag Co no 41054 of 07.07.92. Rovral Canola (rape seed). 1989 Residue program. Unpublished.

55. Gillings, O. and Shaver, J.A. 1989. Report RPAgCo 40524 (Project no: USA 88R05) of 21.03.89. Residues of Iprodione and its metabolites in/on grapes resulting from commercial application of Rovral 50 WP. Unpublished.

56. Gillings, O. and Shaver, J.A. 1990. Report RPAgCo no 40759 of March 1990. Residues of iprodione and its metabolites in/on peppers resulting from broadcast foliar applications of Rovral 4F. Unpublished.

57. Gillings, O. *et al*. 1984. Report RP Inc no 84/BHL/546/AG (ASD no 84/097) of September 1984. Iprodione residue data on succulent dans dry bean, samples 82/83, Field programs. Unpublished.

58. Gillings, O. *et al*. 1985. Report RP Inc. 85/BHL/483/AG (ASD 85/150) of August 1985. Residue data for broccoli treated with Rovral, 1984, Field Program. Unpublished.

59. Gillings, O. *et al*. 1986a. Report RP Inc 86/BHL/380 AG (ASD no 86/201) of June 1986. Iprodione - Residue report for rice and rice fractions, 1985 field programs E-19 and E-20. Unpublished.

60. Gillings, O.J. *et al*. 1986b. Report RP INC. no 86/BHL/482/AG (ASD no 86/207) of September 1986. Residue data for carrots treated with Rovral. Unpublished.

61. Golner, U. 1991. Report from Ministery of Agriculture Plant Protection Department, Israël, of 10.02.91. Rovral residues in Mineola. Unpublished.

62. Guillet, M. and Muller, M.A. 1986. Report AG/CRLD no 15712.86 of 21.07.86. Iprodione-carbendazime. Formulation Calidan (Suspension concentrée). Résidus dans les grains de colza (France 1985). Unpublished.

63. Guillet, M. *et al*. 1986. Report AG/CRLD no 16013.86 of 27.10.86. Iprodione - formulation Calidan (France 1985) Résidus dans les pêches et les pommes. Unpublished.

64. Guyton, C. 1987a. Report RP Inc no 87/BHL/017/AG (ASD no 87/226) of January 1987. Residue data for potatoe

tubers, chips, flakes and granules treated at 20 and 40 lb ai/ha with Rovral. Unpublished.
Addendum to report by Gemma, A.A.1987). Residues of iprodione and its metabolites (RP30228 and RP32490) in stock feed (potatoe peels) from the processing of potatoes treated with Rovral 50 WP.

65. Guyton, C. 1987b. Report RP Inc. no 87/BHL/056/AG (ASD no 87/227) of February 1987. Residue data for celery treated with Rovral. Unpublished.

66. Guyton, C. 1987c. Report RP Inc 87/BHL/091/AG (ASD no 87/228) of March 1987. Iprodione - Residue data for stored corn and corn fractions. Unpublished.

67. Guyton, C. 1987d. Report RP Inc. 87/BHL/213/AG (ASD no 87/233) of April 1987. Rovral residue data on Chinese cabbage, Chinese mustard and Chinese broccoli. Unpublished.

68. Guyton, C. 1987e. Report RP Inc ref. 87/BHL/250/AG (ASD no 87.235) of May 1987. Rovral residue data for tomato and tomato fractions. Unpublished.

69. Guyton, C. 1987f. Report RP Inc ref. 87/BHL/294/AG no ASD/87-236 of May 1987. Rovral residue data for caneberries 1986 Field program E 29. Unpublished.

70. Guyton, C. and Guardigli, A. 1983. Report RP Inc. 83/BHL/860/AG (ASD 83/054) of November 1983. Iprodione, Residue data on California broccoli, Special 1983 Program. Unpublished.

71. Guyton, C. and Guargigli, A. 1984. Report RP Inc no 84/BHL/836/AG (ASD no 84/119) of December 1984. Iprodione residue data on beans and cannery waste fraction 1984, Field programs. Unpublished.

72. Guyton, C. and Guardigli, A. 1985a. Report RP Inc no 85/BHL/111/AG (ASD no 85/126 of March 1985. Iprodione - Residue data on almonds receiving multiple applications of Rovral (1984 Field Program E-2). Unpublished.

73. Guyton, C. and Guardigli, A. 1985b. Report RP Inc no 85/BHL/268/AG (ASD no 85/136) of May 1985. Residue data for potatoes treated with Rovral via Spinkler System. Unpublished.

74. Guyton, C. and Guardigli, A. 1985c. Report RP Inc no 85/BHL/352/AG (ASD no 85/142) of June 1985. Iprodione residue data on Texas onions receiving multiple aerial applications of Rovral, 1985, Field Program E-28. Unpublished.

75. Guyton, C. and Guargigli, A. 1985d. Report RP Inc no 85/BHL/425 AG (ASD 85/147) of July 1985. Residue data for bok choy treated with Rovral (special 1984 Field program). Unpublished.

76. Guyton, C. and Guardigli, A. 1986a. Report RP Inc no 86/BHL/033/AG (ASD no 86/169) of February 1986. Residue data for potatoe tubers, culls and processed fractions following multiple applications of Rovral 1985 Field program. Unpublished.

77. Guyton, C. and Guardigli, A. 1986b. Report RP Inc no 86/BHL/544/AG (ASD no 86/211) of September 1986. Iprodione residue data for leaf lettuce. Field programs FD/84 E-3 and FD/85 E-13. Unpublished.

78. Guyton, C.L. and Guargigli, A. 1986c. Report RP Inc no 84/BHL/398/AG (ASD no 86/203) of July 1986. Iprodione residue data on succulent and dry bean, sample from Michigan and California, 1985, Field programs. Unpublished.

79. Heffernan, P. 1990. Report Rhône-Poulenc LTD no D/AG/1382 of 09.01.90. Fungicide: Rovral-Residue levels from post-harvest dicarboximide fungicide spray application (New Zealand, 1988). Unpublished.

80. Laurent, M. 1975. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 2854-E of 04.11.75. 26 019 RP. Determination of residues in grapes and wine from Portugal (Portugal, 1974). Unpublished.

81. Laurent, M. 1980. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3935 of 11.06.80. Iprodione (26019 RP). Résidus sur prunes (France, 1979). Unpublished.

82. Laurent, M. and Aublet, J.C. 1984. Report ST/CRV/An no 5059 of 26.04.84. Iprodione (26019 RP) Résidus sur colza (Hollande 1983). Unpublished.

83. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1975a. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 2662 of 05.02.75. 26 019 RP Dosages de résidus sur tomates de serre (Grande Bretagne, 1974). Unpublished.

84. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1975b. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 2688 of 13.03.75. 26 019 RP. Dosage de résidus sur raisins et vin d'Italie (Italie, 1974). Unpublished.

85. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1975c. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 2691 of 18.03.75. 26 019 RP. Dosage de résidus sur raisins et vin (Allemagne, 1974). Unpublished.

86. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1975d. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 2734 of 07.05.75. 26 019 RP. Dosage de résidus sur laitues de serre (Grande-Bretagne, hiver 74-75). Unpublished.

87. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1975e. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 2808 of 27.08.75. Iprodione (26 019 RP). Dosage de résidus sur laitues (Lyon, 1975). Unpublished.

88. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1975f. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 2893 of 30.12.75. 2 019 RP. Dosage de résidus sur raisins Provenant d'Espagne (Espagne, 1975). Unpublished.

89. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1976a. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 2926 of 20.02.76. 26 019 RP. Dosage de résidus sur raisins (Portugal, 1975). Unpublished.

90. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1976b. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 2927 of 20.02.76. 26 019 RP. Dosage de résidus sur raisins (Italie, 1975). Unpublished.

91. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1976d. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 2939 of 15.03.76. 26 019 RP. Etude de résidus sur raisins, moût et vin (Allemagne, 1975). Unpublished.

92. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1976e. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 2942 of 16.03.76. 26019 RP and 30228 RP - Residues in cucumbers (Japan 1975). Unpublished.

93. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1976f. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3003 of 22.06.76. Iprodione (26 019 RP). Evolution des résidus de 26 019 RP et de ses métabolites possibles sur laitues provenant des Pays-Bas (essais, 1975). Unpublished.

94. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1976g. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3047 of 20.10.76. 26019 R.P. and 30228 R.P. Residues in rice (grain and straw) from Japan. Unpublished.

95. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1977a. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3159 of 28.04.77. Iprodione (26 019 RP). Dosage de résidus sur
laitues de serre (France, 1976). Unpublished.

96. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1977b. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 3255 of 20.09.77. 26019 RP - Dosage de résidus sur ail (France 1976). Unpublished.

97. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1977c. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3272 of 17.10.77. Iprodione (26 019 RP). Evolution des résidus sur laitues (Allemagne, 1976/77). Unpublished.

98. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1977d. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3273 of 17.10.77. Iprodione and Vinchlozoline. Etude comparée des résidus sur laitues (Allemagne, 1977). Unpublished.

99. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1977e. Report RP/RD/CNG no 3319 of 15.12.77. Rovral 26019 RP. Residues sur fruits à noyau (France 1977). Unpublished.

100. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1977f. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3321 of 12.12.77. Iprodione (26 019 RP). Etude comparative de résidus sur raisins après traitement avec une poudre mouillable ou une émulsion huileuse. Unpublished.

101. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1978. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3372 of 15.03.78. Iprodione. Evolution des résidus sur raisins (Allemagne, 1977). Unpublished.

102. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1979a. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3671 of 20.07.79. Iprodione (26 019 RP) Résidus sur tomates (France, 1978). Unpublished.

103. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1979b. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3700 of 21.08.79. Iprodione (26 019 RP). Evolution des résidus sur laitues pommées (Allemagne, 1978). Unpublished.

104. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1979c. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 3655 of 20.06.79. Iprodione (26019 RP). Dosage de résidus sur fraises, radis et choux raves (Pays Bas 1978). Unpublished.

105. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1979d. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3672 of 20.07.79. Iprodione (26 019 RP) Résidus sur laitues et concombres (France, 1978). Unpublished.

106. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1979e. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3703 of 21.08.79. Iprodione (26019 RP) Evolution des résidus sur choux de Chine (Allemagne, 1978). Unpublished.

107. Laurent, M. and Chabassol,Y. 1980a. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 3825 of 16.01.80. Iprodione (26019 RP) Résidus sur oignons (Pays Bas,1979). Unpublished.

108. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1980b. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 3821 of 16.01.80. Iprodione (26019 RP) Evolution des résidus sur haricots nains. (Pays Bas, 1979). Unpublished.

109. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1980c. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3853 of 11.02.80. Iprodione (26 019 RP) Evolution des résidus sur laitues pommées (Allemagne, 1979). Unpublished.

110. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1980d. Report CRV/CNG An no 3860 of 15.02.80. Iprodione (26019 RP) et carbendazime (21065 RP) Détermination des résidus dans l'orge, l'avoine et le blé (Allemagne, 1979). Unpublished.

111. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1980e. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3936 of 11.06.80. Iprodione (26 019 RP). Résidus sur raisins (France, 1979). Unpublished.

112. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1980f. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3971 of 31.07.80. Iprodione. Evolution des résidus sur pommes traitées en post-récolte, avant stockage (station d'Emerainville, 1979-1980). Unpublished.

113. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1980g. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3972 of 04.08.80. Iprodione (26019 RP). Dosage des résidus dans les pommes traitées après récolte puis entreposées 222 jours. Influence de la cuisson sur les résidus. Unpublished.

114. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1980h. Report CRV/CNG An no 4065 of 29.12.80. Iprodione (26019 RP) Résidus sur apricots (France, 1980). Unpublished.

115. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1980i. Report CRV/CNG-An no 4067 of 31.12.80. Iprodione. Résidus sur raisins (Italie, 1980) Unpublished.

116. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1981a. Report CRV/CNG An no 4070 of 08.01.81. Iprodione (26019 RP) Résidus sur colza (R.F.A. et France, 1980). Unpublished.

117. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1981b. Report CRV/CNG An no 4098 of 13.02.81. Iprodione (26019 RP). Evolution des résidus sur laitue (R.F.A., 1980). Unpublished.

118. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1981c. Report CRV/CNG An no 4120-E of 03.03.81. Iprodione (26019 RP) and

carbendazim (21065 RP) Determination of residues in barley and wheat (Germany, 1980). Unpublished.

119. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1981d. Report CRV/CNG An no 4341 of 30.10.81. Iprodione (26019 RP) Résidus sur colza (France, 1981). Unpublished.

120. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1982a. Report CRV/CNG-An no 4434 of 15.02.82. Iprodione. Résidus dans les raisins (Italie, 1981). Unpublished.

121. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1982b. Report CRV/CNG An no 11562 of 26.05.82. Iprodione - Dosage de résidus sur bananes de Philippines. Unpublished.

122. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1982c. Report CRV/CNG An no 4551 of 21.07.82. Iprodione (26019 RP) Résidus sur colza (R.F.A., 1981). Unpublished.

123. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1974a. Report SUCRP DS An Nord no 2582 of 16.10.74. 26019 RP. Dosage de résidus sur prunes et pruneaux (France, 1974). Unpublished.

124. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1974b. Report SUCRP/DSAn Nord no 2596- E of 14.11.74. 26019 RP. Residues studies on Canadian peaches (Canada, 1974). Unpublished.

125. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1974c. Report SUCRP-DS An Nord no 2597 of 25.11.74. 26019 RP. Dosage de résidus sur fraises, framboises et cassis. Unpublished.

126. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1974d. Report SUCRP-DS An Nord no 2604 of 02.12.74. 26 019 RP Determination of residues in grapes (France, 1974). Unpublished.

127. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1975a. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 2687-E of 13.03.75. 2 019 RP. Residue determination in grapes and wine from Spain. (Spain, 1974). Unpublished.

128. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1975b. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 2720 of April 1975. 26019 RP. Dosage des résidus sur endives (C.E. Ag Emerainville, 1974). Unpublished.

129. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1975c. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 2733 of 07.05.75. Iprodione (26 019 RP). Dosage de résidus sur laitues de plein champ (France, 1974/75). Unpublished.

130. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1975d. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 2890 of 30.12.75. 26 019 RP and 30 228 RP. Residues in lettuces from Japan. Unpublished.

131. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1976a. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 3077 of 13.12.76. 26019 RP and 30228 RP Residues in kidney beans (Japan, 1976). Unpublished.

132. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1976b. Report RP/RD/CNG/ An no 2944 of 16.03.76. 26019 RP and 30228 RP Residues in tomatoes (Japan, 1975). Unpublished.

133. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1976c. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 2941 of 16.03.76. 26 019 RP and 30 228 RP. Residues in lettuces from Japan. Unpublished.

134. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1976d. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 2970 of 09.06.76. 26 019 RP and 30 228 RP Residues in tomatoes (Japan, 1975). Unpublished.

135. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1976e. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 2971 of 9.06.76. 26019 RP and 30228 RP Residues in apples (Japan, 1975). Unpublished.

136. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1976f. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 2972 of 9.06.76. 26019 RP and 30228 RP-Residues in apples (Japan, 1975). Unpublished.

137. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1976g. Report RP/RD/CNG no 2975 and 2976 of 09.06.76. 26019 RP and 30228 RP. Residues in peaches (Japan, 1975). Unpublished.

138. Laurent, M. *et al*.1976 h. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 3049 of 20.10.76. 26019 RP and 30228 RP Residues in kidney beans (Japan, 1975). Unpublished.

139. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1977. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3146 of 05.04.77. 26 019 RP. Résidus sur raisins (France, 1975) Unpublished.

140. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1978. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 3331 of January 1978. Iprodione (26019 RP).Dosage des residus sur endives (Pays Bas, 1977). Unpublished.

141. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1979. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 3670 of 20.07.79. Iprodione (26019 RP) Evolution des résidus sur haricots verts (France, 1978). Unpublished.

142. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1980a. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 3835 of 28.01.80. Iprodione (26019 RP) Résidus sur poires (Italie, 1979). Unpublished.

143. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1980b. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 3934 of 10.06.80. Iprodione (26019 RP) Evolution des résidus sur haricots verts (France, 1979). Unpublished.

144. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1980c. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 3970 of 31.07.80. Iprodione (26019 R.P.). Résidus sur pommes traitées par trempage avant stockage (France,1979-1980). Unpublished.

145. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1980d. Report CRV/CNG An no 4062-E of 17.12.80. Iprodione (26019 RP) Residues on bananas (T80 The Philippines, 1980). Unpublished.

146. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1980e. Report CRV/CNG An no 4066 of 31.12.80. Iprodione (26019 RP) Résidus sur poires (Italie, 1980). Unpublished.

147. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1981. Report RP/RD/CNG-An no 4100 of 13.02.81. Iprodione (26019 RP) Evolution des résidus sur chou blanc (R.F.A., 1979 -1980). Unpublished.

148. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1982. Report CRV/CNG-An no 4524 of 17.06.82. Iprodione (26 019 RP). Résidus sur raisins (Chili, 1982). Unpublished.

149. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1984a. Report ST/CRV no 5140 of 12.09.84. Iprodione (26019 RP). Evolution des residus sur fraises et haricots (France, 1983). Unpublished.

150. Laurent, M. *et al*. 1984b. Report ST/CRV/An no 5138 of 6.09.84. Iprodione (26019 RP) Résidus sur poires (France, 1983). Unpublished.

151. Lusson, R. and Muller, M.A. 1989. Report AG/CRLD no 8915727 of 27.04.89. Iprodione. Formulation Calidan (Sc) Essais France 1988. Résidus dans les graines de tournesol. Unpublished.

152. Malcom, C.P. and P.T. Holland, P.T. 1989). Report from Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, New Zealand of 29.09.89 by Iprodione on tangelos. Unpublished.

153. Martens, P.H. and Detroux, L. 1977. Rapport CRP Gembloux no LZ 779-7710-7711-7712 of February 1977. Teneurs résiduaires en glycophène dans les chicons. Unpublished.

154. Maycey, P.A. (1980a. Report MAY and BAKER no AR 1680 of 14.05.80. Fungicides: Iprodione-Residue studies in kiwi fruit (New Zealand, 1979/80). Unpublished.

155. Maycey, P.A. 1980b. Report May and Baker AR/1690 of May 1980. Fungicide: Iprodione Residue studies in blackcurrants and the associated juice (UK, 1979). Unpublished.

156. Maycey, P.A. 1980c. Report May and Baker no AR 1693 of June 1980. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies in grapes (Canada, 1979). Unpublished.

157. Maycey, P.A. 1980d. Report May and Baker no AR/1711 of 5.08.80. Fungicide: Iprodione-Residue studies in kiwi fruit (New Zealand, 79/80). Unpublished.

158. Maycey, P.A. 1980e. Report May and Baker no AR/1784 of 5.08.80. Fungicide: Iprodione-Residue studies on peeled kiwi fruit (New Zealand, 79/80). Unpublished.

159. Maycey, P.A. 1980f. Report May and Baker no AR 1753 of November 1980. Iprodione - Residue studies on gooseberries - (UK, 1980). Unpublished.

160. Maycey, P.A. 1981a. Report May and Baker AG/Tech 23 of May 1981. Fungicide: Iprodione Residue studies on onions (Canada, 1980). Unpublished.

161. Maycey, P.A. 1981b. Report May and Baker AR/58 of August 1981. Fungicide: Iprodione - Residue studies on oilseed rape (Canada, 1980). Unpublished.

162. Maycey, P.A. 1982a. Report May and Baker AG Tech 108 of January 1982. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies on oilseed rape (UK, 1981). Unpublished.

163. Maycey, P.A. 1982b. Report May and Baker AG Tech 139 of January 1982. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies on raspberries (UK, 1981). Unpublished.

164. Maycey, P.A. 1982c. Report May and Baker AG Tech 145 of February 1982. Fungicide: Iprodione residue studies in blackcurrants. Flowable formulation (UK, 1981). Unpublished.

165. Maycey, P.A. 1982d. Report May and Baker AG Tech 152 of February 1982. Fungicides: Iprodione Residue studies on blackcurrants and associated juice (UK, 1981). Unpublished.

166. Maycey, P.A. 1982e. Report May and Baker AG/Tech/194 of 1982. Iprodione Residue studies on tomatoes (Denmark, 1981). Unpublished.

167. Maycey, P.A. 1982f. Report May and Baker AG Tech 195 of May 1982. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies on lettuce (Denmark, 1981). Unpublished.

168. Maycey, P.A. 1982g. Report May and Baker AG/Tech/196 of May 1982. Iprodione residue studies on cucumbers (Denmark, 1981). Unpublished.

169. Maycey, P.A. 1982 h. Report May and Baker no AG Tech 248 of August 1982. Iprodione - Residue studies on raspberries (Canada, 1981). Unpublished.

170. Maycey, P.A. 1983a. Report May and Baker no AG Tech 333 of February 1983. Fungicide : 26019 RP - Residue studies on cherries (Canada, 1982). Unpublished.

171. Maycey, P.A. 1983b. Report May and Baker AG/Tech/337 of February 1983. Iprodione residue studies on cucumbers (Canada, 1982). Unpublished.

172. Maycey, P.A. 1983c. Report May and Baker AG/Tech/338 of February 1983. Iprodione Residue studies on tomatoes (Canada, 1981/82). Unpublished.

173. Maycey, P.A. 1983d. Report May and Baker no AG Tech 341 of February 1983. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies on rasperries UK 1982 (Flowable formulation). Unpublished.

174. Maycey, P.A. 1983e. Report May and Baker AG Tech 342 of February 1983. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue

studies on oilseed rape (UK, 1982). Unpublished.

175. Maycey, P.A. 1983f. Report May and Baker AG Tech 346 of March 1983. Fungicide: Iprodione residue studies on white beans (Canada, 1982). Unpublished.

176. Maycey, P.A. 1983g. Report May and Baker AG Tech 347 of March 1983. Fungicide: Iprodione residue studies on kidney beans (Canada, 1982). Unpublished.

177. Maycey, P.A. 1983h. Report May and Baker AG Tech 348 of March 1983. Fungicide: Iprodione residue studies on snap beans (Canada, 1982). Unpublished.

178. Maycey, P.A. 1983i. Report May and Baker no AG Tech 372 of April 1983. Iprodione - Residue studies on raspberries (Canada, 1982). Unpublished.

179. Maycey, P.A. and Brockelsby, C.H. 1982a. Report May and Baker Ag Tech 200 of May 1982. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies in grapes (Canada, 1980/81). Unpublished.

180. Maycey, P.A. and Brockelsby, C.H. 1982b. Report May and Baker AG Tech 261 of October 1982. Fungicide Iprodione - Residue studies on cereals (UK, 1981-82). Unpublished.

181. Maycey, P.A. and Brockelsby, C.H. 1982c. Report May and Baker AG Tech 262 of October 1982. Fungicide Iprodione - Residue studies on barley (UK, 1981). Unpublished.

182. Maycey, P.A. and Outram J.R. 1986a. Report May and Baker D. Ag. 20 of July 1986. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies in plums (South Africa, 1985-86). Unpublished.

183. Maycey, P.A. and Outram, J.R. 1986b. Report May and Baker Ag. Tech. 1254 of June 1986. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies on swedes and turnips (UK, 1985). Unpublished.

184. Maycey, P.A. and Outram, J.R. 1987a. Report May and Baker D.Ag. 577 of September 1987. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies on swedes (UK, 1986). Unpublished.

185. Maycey, P A. and Outram, J.R. 1987b. Report May and Baker D.Ag 583 of September 1987. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies on sugar beet (UK, 1986). Unpublished.

186. Maycey, P.A. and Sharpe, J.P. 1984. Report May and Baker no AG.Tech 543 of March 1984. Fungicides: Iprodione residue studies on field beans (UK, 1983). Unpublished.

187. Maycey, P.A. and Sharpe, J.P. 1985a. Report May and Baker AG. Tech. 821 of May 1985. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies on turnips (UK, 1984). Unpublished.

188. Maycey, P.A. and Sharpe, J.P. 1985b. Report May and Baker no AG Tech 876 of July 1985. Fungicides: Iprodione residue studies on field beans (UK, 1984). Unpublished.

189. Mestres, R. 1976. Notes Université de Montpellier of February 1976. Unpublished.

190. Mestres, R. 1982. Essai KIDAN - Iprodione/Pois. Unpublished.

191. Mestres, R. and Tourte, J. 1982. Report CR Vitry-CNG no 317751 of December 1982. Essai Rovral/café no 12-82. Unpublished.

192. Muller, M.A. 1987a. Report AG/CRLD no 15915.87 of 04.08.87. Iprodione-Carbendazime. Formulation EXP. 1926 (SC). Essais France 1986. Résidus dans les pois protéagineux. Unpublished.

193. Muller, M.A. 1987b. Report AG/CRLD no 15886.87 of 24.08.87. Iprodione. Formulation KIDAN (SC). Essais France 1986-1987. Résidus dans les Actinidia (Kiwis). Unpublished.

194. Muller, M.A. 1987c. Report RP/AG/CRLD no 15962.87 of September 1987. Iprodione. Formulation Rovral (WP). Essais France 1986-1987. Résidus dans les endives (racines et chicons). Unpublished.

195. Muller, M.A. 1988a. Report AG/CRLD no 88 15969 of July 1988. Iprodione. Formulation KIDAN (SC). Essais France 1987. Résidus dans les choux-fleurs. Unpublished.

196. Muller, M.A. 1988b. Report AG/CRLD no 88 16070 of July 1988. Iprodione. Formulation Rovral (WP). Essais France 1987. Résidus dans les endives (racines et chicons). Unpublished.

197. Muller, M.A. 1988c. Report AG/CRLD no 8815252 of 09.02.88. Iprodione. Formulation Rovral Aqua Flo. Essais Hollande 1987. Résidus dans les petits pois (grains et gousses). Unpublished.

198. Muller, M.A. 1988d. Report AG/CRLD/An no 88 15966 of 17.06.88. Iprodione-Carbendazime. Formulation Calidan (SC). Essais France 1987. Résidus dans les petits pois (grains et gousse) et les pois protéagineux. Unpublished.

199. Muller, M.A. 1988e. Report AG/CRLD/An no 88 16726 of 10.11.88. Iprodione: Formulation Rovral (WP). Essais France 1988. Résidus dans les haricots verts de conserve. Unpublished.

200. Muller, M.A. 1989a. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 89 16842 of 30.11.89. Diniconazole - Iprodione: Formulation EXP. 02189 (SC). Essai France 1989. Résidus dans la betterave sucrière (racine et feuilles). Unpublished.

201. Muller, M.A. 1989b. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 8916841 of 30.11.89. Iprodione carbendazime. Formulation Calidan (SC). Essais France 1988. Résidus dans les pêches. Unpublished.

202. Muller, M.A. 1989c. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 8916804 of 23.11.89. Iprodione. Formulation KIDAN (SC) Essais France 1989. Residus dans les cerises. Unpublished.

203. Muller, M.A. 1989d. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 8916783 of 20.11.89. Iprodione Carbendazime. Formulation Calidan (SC). Essais France 1989. Résidus dans les cerises. Unpublished.

204. Muller, M.A. 1990a. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9016278 of 11.09.90 Iprodione. Formulation Rovral FLO (SC). France 1989. Essais traitement après récolte par trempage des fruits (pomme et poire). Unpublished.

205. Muller, M.A. 1990b. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9016636 of 11.11.90. Iprodione/carbendazime. Formulation Calidan (SC). Essais France 1989. Résidus dans la pêche. Unpublished.

206. Muller, M.A. 1991a. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9115245 of 11.02.91. Iprodione. Formulation Rovral (WP). Essai Italie 1990. Résidus dans le raisin de cuve (étude de décroissance). Unpublished.

207. Muller, M.A. 1991b. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9115291 of 19.02.91. Iprodione. Formulation Rovral (WP). Essais France 1989. Résidus dans le raisin de table. Unpublished.

208. Muller, M.A. 1991c. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9116295 of 30.09.91. Iprodione. Formulation Rovral (WP). Essais Italie 1990. Résidus dans la nectarine. Unpublished.

209. Muller, M.A. 1991d. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9116303 September 1991. Iprodione. Formulation Rovral (WP). Essais France 1991. Résidus dans le pissenlit. Unpublished.

210. Muller, M.A. 1991e. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9116329 of 02.10.91. Iprodione. Formulation RHAPSODIE (SC). Essais Hollande 1990. Résidus dans la pomme de terre. Unpublished.

211. Muller, M.A. 1991f. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9116667 of 05.11.91. Iprodione. Formulation Rovral FLO (SC). Essai France 1991. Résidus dans les petits pois. Unpublished.

212. Muller, M.A. 1991g. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9116777 of 19.11.91. Iprodione. Formulation Rovral FLO (EXP.1862)-(SC). Essai France 1991. Résidus dans la tomate (Etude de décroissance). Unpublished.

213. Muller, M.A. 1991h. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9116798 of 21.11.91. Iprodione. Formulation Rovral FLO (EXP.1862) (SC). Essai France 1991. Résidus dans la tomate. Unpublished.

214. Muller, M.A. 1991i. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9116796 of 21.11.91. Iprodione. Formulation Rovral FLO (SC). Essai France 1991. Résidus dans le concombre (étude de décroissance). Unpublished.

215. Muller, M.A. 1991j. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9116896 of 4.12.91. Iprodione. Formulation Rovral FLO (SC). Essai France,1991. Résidus dans l'oignon. Unpublished.

216. Muller, M.A. 1991k. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9116915 of 06.12.91. Iprodione. Formulation Rovral FLO (SC). Essais France 1991. Résidus dans le melon. Unpublished.

217. Muller, M.A. 1992a. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9215160 of 11.02.92. Iprodione. Formulation EXP.1862 (SC). Essais France 1991. Résidus dans le haricot vert. Unpublished.

218. Muller, M.A. 1992b. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9215144 of 11.02.92. Iprodione Formulation EXP.1862 (SC). Essai France 1991. Résidus dans le melon (Etude de décroissance). Unpublished.

219. Muller, M.A. 1992c. Report AG/CRLD/AN no 9215154 of 11.02.92. Iprodione. Formulation EXP.1862 (SC). Essais France 1991. Résidus dans le raisin. Unpublished.

220. Muller, M.A. 1992d. Report R&D/CRLD/AN/bd/9217102 of November 1992. Iprodione. Formulation EXP.1560 ou Rovral (WP). Essai Italie 1991. Résidus dans la pêche (Etude de décroissance). Unpublished.

221. Muller, M.A. 1992e. Report R&D/CRLD/AN/vp/9217119 of November 1992. Iprodione. Formulation EXP.1560 ou Rovral (WP). Essai Italie 1991. Résidus dans la poire (Etude de décroissance) Unpublished.

222. Muller, M.A. 1992f. Report R&D/CRLD/AN/vp/9218090 of December 1992. Iprodione-carbendazime-diniconazole. Formulation Sumistar (SC). Essais France 1990. Résidus dans le tournesol (graine-huile-tourteau). Unpublished.

223. Muller, M.A. 1992g. Report R&D/CRLD/AN/vp/9218119 of December 1992. Iprodione-carbendazime-diniconazole. Formulation Sumistar (SC). Essais France 1991. Résidus dans le tournesol (graine). Unpublished.

224. Muller, M.A. 1993a. Report R&D/CRLD/AN/bd/9315788 of April 1993. Iprodione. Formulation EXP.1862 (SC). Essai France 1991-1992. Résidus dans le chou-fleur. Unpublished.

225. Muller, M.A. 1993b. Report R&D/CRLD/AN/bd/9315821 of April 1993. Iprodione.Formulation EXP 01862 Essais France 1991-1992. Résidus dans l'endive (chicon et racine). Unpublished.

226. Muller, M.A. and Guyot, C. 1985. Report AG/CRLD/An no 15731.85 of 20.08.85. Phosethyl-Al - Iprodione. Formulations Mikal et Rovral. Résidus dans les raisins (Maroc, 1984). Unpublished.

227. Muller, M.A. and Guyot, C. 1987. Report AG/CRLD no 15732-87 of July 1987. Iprodione, formulation KIDAN (SC) Essais France 1986. Residus dans les choux-fleurs. Unpublished.

228. Nangniot, P. 1989. Report CRUPA no 89/328 of 3.05.89. Residus d'Iprodione et de Carbendazime sur pois protéagineux secs. Unpublished.

229. Petrinko, P. and Requier, A. 1977. Report RP/RD/CNG no 19191 of 27.06.77. Rovral 26019 RP - Dosages de résidus dans les légumes. Unpublished.

230. Piznik, M. and Wargo, J.P. 1983. ASD Report No 83/002 Lab Ref No 83/043/BHL/AG. Metabolism of $^{14}C$

Iprodione in rice and the determination of $^{14}C$ residues in irrigated crops. Unpublished.

231. Prost, F. 1985. Report RP/CRLD of 27.11.85. Iprodione (26019 RP) residues on kiwi fruit. Unpublished.

232. Robin, J. and Muller, M.A. 1988. Report ref. AG/CRLD no 88 16247 of 09.08.88. Iprodione. Formulation EXP.2265 (SC). Essais Hollande 1987. Résidus dans les tubercules de pommes de terre. Unpublished.

233. Robles, J.M. *et al*. 1987a. Report RPA/AG/CRLD no 15045 of 15.01.87. Iprodione: Formulation Rovral. Résidus dans les graines de tournesol (Italie 1986). Unpublished.

234. Robles, J.M. *et al*. 1987b. Report AG/CRLD no 15309-87 of 19.03.87. Iprodione - formulation Rovral. Essais Italie 1986 - Résidus dans les grains de riz. Unpublished.

235. Robles, J.M. *et al*. 1987c. Report AG/CRLD no 15336-87 of 23.03.87. Iprodione: formulation Rovral. Essais Italie 1986. Résidus dans les grains de maï s. Unpublished.

236. Robles, J.M. *et al*. 1987d. Report AG/CRLD no 15349/87 of 24.03.87. Iprodione-diniconazole. Formulation EXP. 2119 (SC). Essais Hollande 1986. Residus dans le blé (paille et grains). Unpublished.

237. Woods, L.S. 1976a. Report May and Baker RES/2591 of April 1976. Fungicides: 26019 RP. Residue studies on nectarines (Australia, 75/76). Unpublished.

238. Woods, L.S. 1976b. Report May and Baker RES/2588 of April 1976. 26019 RP. Residue studies on plums (Australia, 1975/76). Unpublished.

239. Woods, L.S. 1976c. Report May and Baker RES/2596 of May 1976. Fungicides: 26019 RP. Residue studies on peaches
(Australia, 75/76. Unpublished.

240. Woods, L.S. 1977a. Report May and Baker AR/1237 of April 1977. Fungicides: Iprodione Residue studies on Dutch White cabbage (UK, 1976). Unpublished.

241. Woods, L.S. 1977b. Report May and Baker AR/1298 of October 1977. Fungicides: Iprodione Residue studies on glass house lettuces (UK, 1977). Unpublished.

242. Woods, L.S. 1977c. Report May and Baker AR/1338 of December 1977. Fungicides: Iprodione Residue studies on Dutch White cabbage (UK, 1977). Unpublished.

243. Woods, L.S. 1978. Report May and Baker AR/1339 of January 1978. 26019 RP Residue studies on sprayed tomatoes (UK, 1977). Unpublished.

244. Prost, F. 1993a. Iprodione monograph residues JMPR 1993. Rhone Poulenc 23.03.1993. Unpublished.

245. Prost, F. 1992a. Iprodione monograph JMPR 1992. Residue data. Rhone Poulenc 03.04.1992. Unpublished.

246. Prost, F. 1993b. Iprodione monograph residues JMPR 1993. Rhone Poulenc 28.04.1993. Unpublished.

247. Prost, F. 1992b. Iprodione monograph JMPR 1992. Fate of residues. Rhone Poulenc 03.04.1992. Unpublished.

248. Prost, F. 1992c. Iprodione monograph JMPR 1992. Processed food. Rhone Poulenc 03.04.1992. Unpublished.

249. Prost, F. 1992d. Iprodione monograph JMPR 1992. Methods of analysis. Rhone Poulenc 03.04.1992. Unpublished.

250. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1978. Report RP/RD/CNG.An no 3346 of February 1978. Iprodione (26019RP) dosage de residus sur laitues (France, 1977). Unpublished.

251. Laurent M. and Buys, M. 1975. Report RP/RD/CNG.An no 2733 of May 1975. Dosage de residus sur laitues de plein champ. Unpublished.

252. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1982. Report RP/RD/CNG.An no 4429 of February 1982. Iprodione (26019 RP): Evolution des residus sur haricots verts (France, 1981). Unpublished.

253. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1980. Report RP/RD/CNG.An no 3859 of 14.02.19980. Iprodione (26019 RP). Evolution des residus sur haricots verts nains (Germany, 1979). Unpublished.

254. Nangniot, P. 1989. Report CRUPA 89/327 of 03.05.1989. Residus d'iprodione et de carbendazime sur haricots. Unpublished.

255. Laurent, M. and Buys, M. 1976. Report RP/RD/CNG.An no 3048 of 20.10.1976. 26019 R.P. and 30228 R.P. Residues in rice (grain and straw) from Japan. Unpublished.

256. Cappy, J.J. 1992. Report RP Ag Co no 41214 of 09.06.1992. Rovral 4F/Beans/ground/cannery waste/Magnitude of residue. Unpublished.

257. Maycey, P.A. *et al*. 1991. Report RP Agriculture D. Ag.1666 of July 1991. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies in raw and processed potatoes (UK, 1991). Unpublished.

258. Golner, U. and Goldschlag, P. 1992. Report from Ministery of Agriculture Department of Plant Protection and Inspection State of Israel, of March 1992. Iprodione (Rovral). Residues in citrus fruit. Unpublished.

259. Piznik, M. and Wargo, J.P. 1983b. Rhône-Poulenc INC. Lab Ref. 83/088/BHL/AG, ASD No. 83/007. Identification of major unknows from goat tissues and urine through the metabolism of $^{14}$C-iprodione (RP-26019). Unpublished.

260. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1980. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 3898 of 8.04.80. Iprodione (26019RP) Residus sur houblon et biere (Allemange Federale 1979). Unpublished.

261. Laurent, M. and Chabassol, Y. 1981. Report CRV/CNG An no 4132 of 17.03.81. Iprodione (26019RP) Determination des residus presents dans le houblon et la biere (Allemange Federale 1980). Unpublished.

262. Chow, W. *et al*. 1982. Report Inc 82/397 BHL/AG ASD 82/039 of July 1982. Iprodione residues in/on grapes and its fractions juice, pomace and raisins. Unpublished.

263. Brockelsby, C.H. *et al*. 1987. Report May and Baker no D. Ag.337 of March 1987. Fungicides: Iprodione. Residue studies on flour and bread (UK, 1986). Unpublished.

264. Wilkes, L.C., Herrera, R.E. and Bache, B. 1982. Research report 317786 vom 14.12.1982 ADC Project 675. Metabolism of $^{14}$C-Iprodione ($^{14}$C-RP26019) in laying hens for Rhône-Poulenc Chemical Co. Unpublished.

265. Craig, L.D., Servatius, L., Bache, B. and Herrera, R. 1982. Research Report ADC Project 623. Analysis of milk and tissues of treated dairy cattle for iprodione and its metabolites. Unpublished.

266. Wargo, J.P. and Guardigli, A. 1983. Rhône-Poulenc INC. Lab Ref. 83/547/BHL/AG, ASD No. 83/028. Analysis of tissues and eggs from treated laying hens fed iprodione.
Unpublished.

267. Wilkes, L.C. and Herrera, R.E. 1981. Research report ADC Project 543-B. Metabolism of $^{14}$C-iprodione ($^{14}$C-RP-26019) in the dairy cow. Unpublished.

268. Wilkes, L.C. and Herrera, R.E. 1982. Research report ADC Project 622. Metabolism of $^{14}$C-iprodione ($^{14}$C-RP-26019) in the lactating goat. Unpublished.

269. Gouot, J.M. *et al*. 1977. Report RP/RD/CNG An no 19201-E of June 1977. Pathway and degradation rates of RP 26019 absorbed by roots or applied to plant leaves (strawberries and wheat) with $^{14}C$ labelled RP 26019. Unpublished.

270. Gereck, D. *et al*. 1981. Report RP Inc no 81/001 of May 1981. Metabolism study of iprodione in/on peaches. Unpublished.

271. Gouot, J.M. *et al*. 1981. Report CRV/CNG no 21234 E of November 1981. Study of the degradation of iprodione (26019 RP) applied by foliar spray to lettuce under glass, using $^{14}C$ labelled iprodione. Unpublished.