#### **TOLYLFLUANID (162)**

1301

#### First draft prepared by Sheila Logan Chemical Product Assessment Section, Department of Heath and Ageing, Australia

## **EXPLANATION**

Tolylfluanid, a fungicide closely related to dichlofluanid, was first evaluated by the JMPR in 1988, with a subsequent residue evaluation in 1990. The compound was included in the Codex priority list at the 30th Session of the CCPR (1998; ALINORM 99/24, Appendix VII), and at the 31st Session was scheduled for periodic review in 2002 (1999; ALINORM 99/24A, para. 134 and Appendix VII). Currently there are Codex MRLs for black, red and white currants, gherkins, head lettuce, pome fruits, strawberry, and tomato.

The 29th CCPR was informed that dichlofluanid would not be supported beyond 2000. It was agreed that the Codex MRLs for dichlofluanid should be retained until its registration expires (ALINORM 97/24A, para. 58). The 33rd Session of the CCPR continued to retain the CXLs for dichlofluanid noting that the use of dichlofluanid would be replaced by that of tolylfluanid (ALINORM 01/24A, para. 129), and the 34th Session recommended the deletion of the CXLs for dichlofluanid in or on barley, cherries, common bean (pods and/or immature seeds), oats, rye, wheat and wheat straw and fodder (ALINORM 03/24, para. 113).

The Meeting received extensive information on the metabolism and environmental fate of tolylfluanid, methods of analysis for residues, freezer storage stability, national registered use patterns, and the results of supervised trials to support the existing CXLs for pome fruits, strawberry, blackcurrant, tomato and head lettuce and new maximum residue levels for blackberry, raspberry, grapes, cucumber, melons, sweet pepper, leek and hops. The fate of residues in processing and national MRLs were reported. The government of Poland provided information on GAP and trials on apples and strawberries, and the governments of Germany and The Netherlands provided information on GAP.

#### **IDENTITY**

ISO Common name:

tolylfluanid

Chemical name IUPAC: CAS:	<i>N</i> -dichlorofluoromethylthio- <i>N'</i> , <i>N'</i> -dimethyl- <i>N-p</i> -tolylsulfamide 1,1-dichloro- <i>N</i> -[(dimethylamino)sulfonyl]-1-fluoro- <i>N</i> - (4-methylphenyl)-methanesulfenamide				
CAS Registry No.:	731-27-1				
CIPAC No.:	275				
Development code and trade names:	Bay 49854, Euparen M, Euparen Multi, Methyl-Euparen, , Elvaron M, Elvaron Multi				

Structural formula:



 $Molecular \ formula: \ C_{10}H_{13}Cl_2FN_2O_2S_2$ 

Molecular weight: 347.25

## Physical and chemical properties

# Pure active ingredient

Appearance:	solid, colourless crystals (Schneider, 2002a)
Vapour pressure:	0.2 mPa at 20°C; 0.4 mPa at 25°C (Weber and Krohn, 1982)
Melting point:	93°C (Krohn, 1994)
Relative density:	1.520 at 20-25°C (Weber, 1984)
Henry's law constant:	7.7 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> Pa·m <sup>3</sup> ·mol <sup>-1</sup> at 20°C (Krohn, 1993)
Octanol-water partition coefficient:	8000 (log P <sub>ow</sub> 3.90) at 21°C (Krohn, 1988a)
Solubility at 20°C:	water, 0.90 mg/l (Krohn, 1985);
	acetone, >250 g/l acetonitrile, >250 g/l dichloromethane, >250 g/l dimethylsulfoxide, >250 g/l ethyl acetate, >250 g/l n-heptane, 54 g/l 1-octanol, 16 g/l polyethylene glycol, 56 g/l 2-propanol, 22 g/l xylene, 190 g/l (Krohn, 1996)
Hydrolysis at 22°C:	half-life in sterile aqueous buffer solutions: 12 days at pH 4; 29 hours at pH 7; and << 10 minutes at pH 9 (Wilmes, 1982)
Photolysis:	UV absorption data showed that tolylfluanid in aqueous solution does not absorb any light at wavelengths above 290 nm. No contribution of the direct photodegradation to the overall elimination of tolylfluanid in the environment is to be expected (Hellpointner, 1992)
Thermal stability:	stable at room temperature (Mix and Berg, 1988)
Dissociation constant:	aqueous solution of tolylfluanid does not show basic or acidic properties, so

	it was not possible to specify pKa value in aqueous systems (Krohn, 1988).
Technical material	

Purity:	$\geq$ 96%; impurities total $\leq$ 4%
Appearance:	Whitish crystalline powder with lumpy parts (Schneider, 2002a)
Odour:	Weakly acidulous; musty (Schneider, 2002b)

## METABOLISM AND ENVIRONMENTAL FATE

## Animal metabolism

The Meeting received information on the fate of tolylfluanid in rats, a lactating goat and laying hens using [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid and [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid to trace the administered tolylfluanid. These studies were also reviewed by the WHO Core Assessment Group at the current Meeting.

<u>Rats</u>. When rats were dosed orally with [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid (Ecker *et al.*, 1987; Weber, 1988: Abbink and Weber, 1988; Klein, 1991) or [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid (Weber *et al.*, 1977) at up to 100 mg/kg bw, more than 95% of the administered [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid was absorbed and about 70 to 80% of the [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid. Virtually all the absorbed radioactivity was excreted rapidly, mainly in the urine and to a much lesser extent in the faeces. After two days less than 0.5% of the [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid and less than 1.8% of the [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid was retained in the body excluding the gastrointestinal tract. This implies that no accumulation of tolylfluanid is to be expected. The main radioactive metabolites the from phenyl-labelled tolylfluanid identified in urine. were as 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid (mean 68% of and 4the TRR). (methylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid (mean 5%). The parent compound and N,N-dimethyl-N'-(4methylphenyl)sulfamide (DMST) were found only in the faeces (8% and 7% respectively). When dichlorofluoromethyl-labelled tolylfluanid was used, the main metabolite in the urine was thiazolidine-2-thione-4-carboxylic acid (TTCA; 73-74% of the TRR).

Lactating goat. [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid was administered orally by gavage to a single lactating goat at 10 mg/kg bw once daily for 3 days (equivalent to 250 ppm in the diet). Radioactivity was measured in the excreta, plasma and milk and in edible tissues at slaughter (Ecker and Weber, 1995).

50 hours after the first dose (2 hours after the last dose) 59.7% of the total administered dose had been excreted (49.4% in the urine and 10.1% in the faeces). The relatively high concentration in the liver indicates that a significant amount of the radioactivity in the faeces had been absorbed before secretion in the bile fluid. This was confirmed by plasma curve analysis. Only 0.24% of the total administered dose was secreted in the milk. At slaughter, the total radioactive residues in edible tissues and organs were estimated to be only 2.8% of the total administered dose.

Table 1. Distribution of [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid in the edible tissues of a lactating goat (Ecker and Weber, 1995).

	Parent compound equivalent (mg/kg)							
kidney liver perirenal omental fat Subcuta- flank loin round milk at							milk at	
-	fat neous fat muscle muscle slaughter							slaughter
37.0	20.58	2.28	1.3	0.85	0.65	0.49	0.44	0.24

Since the goat was slaughtered about one hour after the peak plasma level was reached, these results represent maximum concentrations to be found in the tissues and organs.

No parent compound was detected in the tissues, organs or milk. The identity and distribution of metabolites in the organs, tissues and milk are shown in Table 2. DMST was formed, which was further metabolized by oxidation via the intermediate 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST to 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid (XI) which was conjugated with glycine, yielding the corresponding hippuric acid (XIII). Metabolites XI and XIII, and in fat DMST, were the main degradation products. In addition, the corresponding demethylated metabolites IX, X, XII and XIV were formed in amounts below 10% of the total radioactive residues.

Table 2. Distribution of compounds in the edible tissues, organs and milk of a lactating goat after doses of [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid at 10 mg /kg bw once daily for 3 days (Ecker and Weber, 1995). The results are from the third analysis, conducted to test the stability of residues during extraction and analysis (the first preliminary extraction and work-up trials were disregarded). Milk was analysed a fourth time for confirmation.

Compound	% of recovered radioactivity				
	Milk	Muscle <sup>1</sup>	Liver	Kidney	Fat <sup>2</sup>
Tolylfluanid	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
DMST	n.d.	3.52	4.83	0.41	14.68
4-hydroxymethyl-DMST	_3	5.19	n.d.	n.d.	2.18
4-(methylaminosulfonylamino)benzyl alcohol (X)	_4	2.43	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
4-(methylaminosulfonylamino)hippuric acid (XIV)	1.69	1.4	2.72	2.28	2.4
4-(methylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid (XII)	3.65	4.98	0.73	7.44	7.4
4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)hippuric acid (XIII)	35.41	37.36	57.67	45.2	42.12
4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid (XI)	23.92	22.84	15.11	37.41	22.11
Methylaminosulfotoluidide (IX)	1.06	6.21	0.96	0.8	3.9
Sum of identified metabolites	65.73	83.93	82.01	93.53	94.74
Sum of unidentified metabolites	11.62	4.51	2.22	3.49	-
Total radioactive residue (mg/kg in parent compound equivalents)	0.24	0.44-0.65	20.58	37.0	0.85-2.28

n.d.: not detected

<sup>1</sup> composite samples of flank, loin and round muscle

<sup>2</sup> composite samples of perirenal, omental and subcutaneous fat

<sup>3</sup>4-(methylaminosulfonylamino)benzyl alcohol measured at 1.95% in milk sample at fourth analysis

<sup>4</sup> (X) measured at 4.38% in milk sample at second analysis

Laying hens. [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid was administered to hens either as a single oral dose of 5 mg/kg bw or once daily for 3 days at a rate of 5 mg kg bw (equivalent to 83 ppm in the diet). Radioactivity was determined in the excreta, plasma and eggs at various intervals and in edible tissues and organs when the hens had been killed (Weber and Ecker, 1996).

56 hours after the first dose (i.e. 8 hours after the last) on average 83.9% of the total administered radioactivity had been excreted. The plasma curve analysis also indicated complete

absorption. On average less than 0.01% of the administered dose was in the eggs. At slaughter the total radioactive residues in the tissues and organs dissected from the body was estimated to be approximately 0.18% of the total administered dose. On the basis of these values, the calculated recovery was 84.1%.

The total residues in the organs, tissues and eggs were very low, with the highest levels in the excretory organs. The results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Distribution of <sup>14</sup>C [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid in edible tissues of hens (Weber and Ecker, 1996).

	Parent compound equivalent (mg/kg)						
kidney	liver	eggs dissected from the ovaries	skin without subcutaneous fat	breast muscle	thigh muscle	eggs collected during the last period before slaughter	Subcutane- ous fat
0.47	0.23	0.048	0.045	0.037	0.027	0.024	0.019

Owing to the low levels of residues the work-up procedure and analyses were not repeated, except in liver. The main metabolites were DMST and the corresponding benzoic acid (XI), formed via 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST. In addition, the corresponding demethylated metabolites (IX, X and XII) were formed in minor quantities as well as 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)hippuric acid (XIII) in eggs and 4-(methylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid (XII) in muscle and liver (Table 9).

Table 4. Identity and distribution of metabolites in the edible tissues, organs and eggs of laying hens after doses of [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid at a rate of 5 mg /kg bw once daily for 3 days (Weber and Ecker, 1996).

Compound	% of recovered radioactivity				
	Eggs	Muscle <sup>1</sup>	Fat	Liver <sup>2</sup>	
Tolylfluanid	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
DMST	3.75	0.69	65.83	n.d.	
4-hydroxymethyl-DMST	4.81	1.0	n.d.	1.74	
4-(methylaminosulfonylamino)benzyl alcohol (X)	2.94	0.78	n.d.	n.d.	
4-(methylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid (XII)	3.33	13.29	n.d.	11.47	
4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)hippuric acid (XIII)	6.51	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid (XI)	37.0	55.53	15.32	10.59	
Methylaminosulfotoluidide (IX)	3.63	0.83	n.d.	n.d.	
Sum of identified metabolites	61.97	72.12	81.15	23.8	
Total radioactive residues (mg/kg in parent compound equivalents)	0.024-0.048	0.027-0.037	0.019	0.23	

n.d.: not detected

<sup>1</sup>Composite samples of breast and thigh muscle

<sup>2</sup> Combination of results from two analyses with different extraction procedures

Tolylfluanid is rapidly metabolized in rats, goats and hens by cleavage of the N-S bond to form DMST and in rats via a derivative of the –SCCl<sub>2</sub>F side chain which becomes incorporated into TTCA. DMST is further metabolized into 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST which is subsequently oxidized to

form 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid (XI), which may conjugate with glycine to form 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)hippuric acid (XIII). In addition small amounts of the demethylated products of DMST, 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST, 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid and 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)hippuric acid are formed. The proposed metabolic pathways in animals are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Proposed metabolic pathways of tolylfluanid in animals.

#### **Plant metabolism**

The Meeting received information on the fate of [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid and/or [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid after foliar application to apples, grapes strawberries and lettuce.

<u>Apples</u>. A solution of [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid was applied to the surfaces of individual apples three times at a total rate of 0.5 mg ai/apple (Linke-Ritzer *et al.*, 1988). This resulted in a TRR of 2.62 mg parent compound equivalents/kg, corresponding to residue concentrations in the field trials (2-5 mg/kg on day of last application) at applications of 1.125 kg/ha for a maximum of 15 times per season. The TRR in apples harvested 7 and 14 days after the last application were 1.28 and 1.12 mg parent compound equivalents/kg respectively, the majority of which was on the surface (92% on day 7 and 88% on day 14) and was removed by surface washing. The sum of the TRR in the peel and pulp accounted for 8.4% on day 7 and 12.4% on day 14.

The parent compound accounted for 88% of the TRR on day 7 and 82% on day 14, and DMST 3.4% on day 7 and 7.9% on day 14. In total 94-97% of the TRR was characterized or identified. On average 0.5% of the TRR was not extracted by water or organic solvents (Table 5).

Compound	Radioactivity in/on treated apples (% of TRR)						
compound	I	PHI 7 days		PHI 14 days			
	Surface rinse	Peel + pulp	Total	Surface rinse	Peel + pulp	Total	
Tolylfluanid	87.9	2.3	90.2	81.5	0.8	82.3	
DMST	2.9	0.5	3.4	4.9	3.0	7.9	
Sum of identified metabolites	90.8	2.8	93.6	86.4	3.8	90.2	
Sum of unidentified metabolites	0.8	5.2	6.0	1.2	8.0	9.2	
Sum of unextractable metabolites	-	0.4	0.4	-	0.6	0.6	
Total	91.6	8.4	100	87.6	12.4	100	
TRR (mg/kg parent compound equivalents)						1.12	

Table 5. Distribution and characterization of <sup>14</sup>C in apples treated three times on surface with [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid (Linke-Ritzer *et al.*, 1988).

In another trial [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid was sprayed on individual apples in a greenhouse (Vonk and den Daas, 1977). The TRR was approximately 1 mg parent compound equivalents/kg in apples picked immediately after treatment, which is consistent with concentrations in the field trials of 0.28-3.5 mg/kg on the day of last application. Apples were picked 0, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days after treatment. The TRR decreased to 58% of the initial value within 28 days, probably because of unidentified volatile compounds. Washing the apples with methanol removed 71% of the TRR on day 28. Unchanged tolylfluanid was the predominant component on the surface and accounted for 41% of the applied radioactivity (72% of the TRR) on day 28. The sum of the radioactivity in the peel and pulp accounted for 28% of the TRR. On day 28 a total of 4.4% (2.0% in peel and 2.4% in pulp) of the recovered radioactivity was not extracted with acetone-water (2:5).

<u>Grapes</u>. [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid was sprayed directly onto bunches of grapes twice with a 21-day interval at a total rate of approximately 1.3 mg ai/bunch (Babczinski *et al.*, 1993), resulting in a TRR of 4.0 mg parent compound equivalents/kg in the bunches, including stems and stalks, collected immediately after the second application, which is similar to the residue concentrations in the field trials (0.65-11 mg/kg on the day of last application), indicating that the treatment corresponds to agricultural use (several applications at 0.5-2 kg ai/ha). The TRR in the bunches of grapes excluding stems and stalks decreased to 1.83 mg parent compound equivalents/kg 35 days after the second application, and unchanged tolylfluanid and DMST accounted for 13% (0.24 mg/kg) and 1.9% (0.04 mg/kg) respectively. The main metabolites in grapes were 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST glucoside (IV) (46% of the TRR, 0.84 mg parent compound equivalents/kg), 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST glucoside (VI)

(13%, 0.24 mg/kg) and 3-hydroxyphenyl-DMST glucoside (VII) (1.8%, 0.03 mg/kg). There were eight minor metabolites, derived through further conjugation of the glucosides. In total 91.9% of the TRR was identified, and 2.9% not extracted by methanol, methanol-water (1:1) or dichloromethane.

Table 6. Distribution and characterization of <sup>14</sup>C residues in grapes sprayed twice with [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid (Vonk and den Daas, 1977).

Compound	Radioactivity in/on treated grapes 35 days after last application (% of TRR)
Tolylfluanid	13.1
DMST	1.9
4-Hydroxymethyl-DMST	1.3
2-Hydroxyphenyl-DMST (V)	0.2
2-Hydroxyphenyl-DMST glucoside (VI)	13.1
Three other 2-Hydroxyphenyl-DMST-conjugates	6.0 (0.9, 2.3 and 2.8)
3-Hydroxyphenyl-DMST glucoside (VII)	1.8
Two other 3-Hydroxyphenyl-DMST-conjugates	1.7 (0.8 and 0.9)
4-Hydroxymethyl-DMST glucoside (IV)	46.0
Three other 4-Hydroxymethyl-DMST-conjugates	6.8 (0.8, 1.5 and 4.5)
Sum of identified metabolites	91.9
Sum of unidentified metabolites	5.2
Sum of unextractable metabolites	2.9
Total	100
TRR (mg/kg in parent compound equivalents)	1.83

No study was available on the fate of [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid in grapes, but a study was conducted by Vogeler *et al.* (1979) on the fate of dichlofluanid, which has an identical side chain to tolylfluanid. [Dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]dichlofluanid was applied once to bunches of grapes at a rate of 1 mg ai/bunch, corresponding to a normal concentration of a high volume spray application, common in viticulture. Dichlofluanid and two minor unidentified metabolites were found in grapes harvested after 35 days.

TTCA was not detected in grapes.

<u>Strawberries</u>. [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid was sprayed aerially twice on strawberries at a total rate of 0.485 g ai/0.6 m<sup>2</sup>, corresponding to 8.05 kg ai/ha (Reiner and Brauner, 1993). Although 2 applications were used and not 3, the use pattern was considered to be representative of the recommended use of tolylfluanid on strawberries. The TRR in and on berries harvested 14 days after the second application was 7.61 mg parent compound equivalents/kg; 72.5% was on the surface, of which 63.0% was unchanged tolylfluanid. The remaining 27.5% was recovered from the fruit. The main metabolites were DMST (6.2% of the TRR in surface rinse and 8.7% in fruit), 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST glucoside (IV) (1.0% in surface rinse and 5.6% in fruit), 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST (0.8% in surface rinse and 2.1% in fruit), and hydroxyphenyl-DMST glucoside (0.3% in surface rinse and 1.5% in fruit). The position of the hydroxyl group on the phenyl ring of the last metabolite was not determined owing to its low amount. A total of 91.9% of the TRR, corresponding to 6.99 mg/kg, was identified while 0.9% of the TRR was unextractable (Table 7).

Table 7. Distribution and characterization of <sup>14</sup>C in strawberries sprayed twice with [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid (Reiner and Brauner, 1993).

Compound	Radioactivity in/on treated strawberries 14 days after the final treatment (% of TRR)					
	Surface rinse	Fruit	Total			
Tolylfluanid	63.0	2.7	65.7			
DMST	6.2	8.7	14.9			
4-Hydroxymethyl-DMST	0.8	2.1	2.9			
4-Hydroxymethyl-DMST glucoside (IV)	1.0	5.6	6.6			

Compound	Radioactivity in/on treated strawberries 14 days after the final treatment (% of TRR)				
	Surface rinse	Fruit	Total		
2-Hydroxyphenyl-DMST glucoside (VI) or 3- hydroxyphenyl-DMST glucoside	0.3	1.5	1.8		
Sum of identified metabolites	71.3	20.6	91.9		
Sum of unidentified metabolites	1.2	6.0	7.2		
Sum of unextractable metabolites	-	0.9	0.9		
Total	72.5	27.5	100		
TRR (mg/kg parent compound equivalents)			7.61		

In two trials on strawberry plants grown in a closed air-flow system, fruit were sprayed once with 1.5 ml of either 0.125% (first experiment) or 0.65% ai solution (second experiment) of [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>13,14</sup>C]tolylfluanid (Hague *et al.*, 1979), corresponding to 1 or 5 times a common spray concentration of 0.125 kg ai/hl. Fruits at harvest 35 days after treatment contained 11.5% and 3.2% of the applied radioactivity respectively, and the release of  ${}^{14}CO_2$  was 4.3% and 11.7% respectively. COS was also detected, but to a much lesser extent. In the fruit in the second experiment the parent compound accounted for 1.3% of the TRR (0.04% of the applied radioactivity), and TTCA for 50.2% (1.6% of the applied radioactivity) (Schuphan *et al.*, 1979). TTCA was formed by the cleavage of the N-S bond and subsequent reaction between the released  $-SCCl_2F$  moiety and cysteine. 68.2% of the TRR was identified and a total of 16.7% was unextractable with methanol, methanol/water or chloroform.

<u>Lettuce</u>. Lettuces at three different growth stages were sprayed twice with [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid with an interval of 15 days at rates of 186 mg ai/m<sup>2</sup> and 184 mg ai/m<sup>2</sup> (Reiner, 2000), corresponding to a total of 3.70 kg ai/ha. Lettuces were harvested 7 (experiment A), 14 (experiment B) and 21 days (experiment C) after the second application. At harvest all the plants were similar in size.

	I	Days after transplan	t		
	Experiment A	Experiment B	Experiment C		
Plants at the time of first spray application	15	8	1		
Plants at the time of second spray application	30	23	16		
Plants at harvest	37	37	37		
	Days after sowing				
Plants at harvest	57	58	57		

Table 8. Growth stage of lettuce in the studies (Reiner, 2000).

The TRR decreased sharply with time after the second application. The size of the plants at the time of application may also have had some effect on the TRR. The main compound in the leaves was unchanged tolylfluanid, accounting for 95.4 (experiment A), 90.4 (experiment B) and 93.0% (experiment C) of the TRR. The metabolites DMST and 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST glucoside (IV) accounted for 2.0-5.2% and 0.6-2.0% of the TRR respectively. 97.0-99.1% of the TRR was identified and 0.2-0.9% was unextractable with methanol, methanol/water (1:1) or dichloromethane.

Table 9. Distribution and characterization of  ${}^{14}C$  in lettuce sprayed twice with [U-phenyl- ${}^{14}C$ ]tolylfluanid (Reiner, 2000).

Compound	Radioactivity	in/on treated lettuc	ce (% of TRR)
	PHI 7 days	PHI 14 days	PHI 21 days
Tolylfluanid	95.4	90.4	93.0
DMST	3.1	5.2	2.0
4-Hydroxymethyl-DMST glucoside (IV)	0.6	1.9	2.0
Sum of identified metabolites	99.1	97.5	97.0
Sum of unidentified metabolites	0.7	1.9	2.1

Compound	Radioactivity in/on treated lettuce (% of TRR)				
	PHI 7 days	PHI 14 days	PHI 21 days		
Sum of unextractable metabolites	0.2	0.6	0.9		
Total	100	100	100		
TRR (mg/kg in parent compound equivalents)	155	49.8	29.8		

The metabolic patterns were similar in all plants studied. The metabolism in grapes showed a higher rate while most of the applied parent compound remained on the surface of apples and lettuce leaves. In grapes 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST glucoside and 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST glucoside accounted for about 60% of the TRR.

The metabolism of tolylfluanid proceeds by cleavage of the N-S bond of tolylfluanid to form DMST and a derivative of the –SCCl<sub>2</sub>F side chain. DMST is further metabolized to 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST and its glucoside and to a minor extent to 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST and its glucoside and 3-hydroxyphenyl-DMST glucoside. These glucosides conjugate further with sugars to form more complex glycosides. As for the –SCCl<sub>2</sub>F side chain, TTCA was identified in one study with [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid on strawberries grown in a closed air-flow controlled system but not in studies on apples or grapes. The proposed metabolic pathways of tolylfluanid in plants are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Proposed metabolic pathways of tolylfluanid in plants.

## Environmental fate in soil

The Meeting received information on the degradation, absorption and desorption, and mobility of tolylfluanid in soil.

<u>Aerobic degradation</u>. Scholz (1988a) incubated 3.0-3.5 mg [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid/kg soil (on a dry basis) with four different soils in the dark at 22°C for 99 days. The moisture content of the samples was adjusted to 40% of the maximum water-holding capacity.

Tolylfluanid was degraded rapidly in soil under aerobic conditions mainly to DMST. The half-life was shorter than one day. DMST was further degraded through 4-(dimethylaminosulfonyl amino)benzoic acid (XI), 4-(methylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid (XII) and methylamino sulfotoluidide (IX) to CO<sub>2</sub> (24.7%-40.0% of the applied radioactivity on day 99). The amount of unextracted radioactivity increased with time, reaching a peak between days 15 and 64. On day 99 it accounted for 52.2-72.3% of the applied radioactivity, and was associated with fulvic and humic acid fractions. Only DMST could be identified from the unextracted residues (Table 10).

Table 10. Degradation of tolylfluanid under aerobic condition at 22°C after application of [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid to soil (Scholz, 1988a).

Soil/application					% of	applied ra	dioactivity	ý			
rate	DAT	Tolyl-	DMST	IX	XI	XII	Others	CO <sub>2</sub>	Ex-	Unex-	Total
		fluanid							tracted	tracted	
loamy sand 1	0	49.2	41.0	-	-	-	-	-	90.2	9.8	100.0
(sand, 58.6%;	1	23.7	57.1	-	1.1	-	-	0.4	81.3	18.1	99.8
silt, 28.1%; clay,	4	17.8	40.3	2.4	3.2	0.2	1.7	3.0	65.6	37.1	105.7
13.2%)/	8	14.5	23.1	1.5	1.8	1.4	1.9	8.3	43.3	51.0	102.6
3.5 mg ai/kg	15	8.5	15.6	1.0	0.6	-	2.5	16.0	28.0	58.6	102.6
	32	9.2	6.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	2.3	23.4	18.1	60.9	102.4
	64	7.7	3.6	0.4	0.3	-	3.5	29.6	15.4	63.5	108.5
	99	6.8	2.8	0.2	0.2	-	2.8	34.0 <sup>2</sup>	12.7	56.0	102.7
clay silt <sup>2</sup>	0	22.0	61.2	-	0.7	-	0.4	-	84.3	15.7	100.0
(sand, 2.6%; silt,	1	4.0	45.8	0.7	2.2	0.7	2.2	1.2	55.6	35.2	92.0
84.4%; clay,	4	4.8	12.9	0.8	1.7	0.7	2.5	9.2	23.4	65.5	98.1
13.0%)/	8	0.8	3.3	-	0.4	-	3.4	20.0	7.9	65.8	93.7
3.1 mg ai/kg	15	1.8	2.7	1.7	-	-	2.1	25.1	8.3	68.2	101.6
	32	1.2	1.8	0.1	0.1	< 0.1	2.4	27.6	5.6	60.1	93.3
	64	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2	-	2.2	32.2	3.1	58.6	93.9
	- 99	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.2	-	1.8	38.8	3.2	61.0	103.0
clay silt <sup>2</sup>	0	28.2	58.9	-	1.4	-	0.9	-	89.4	10.6	100.0
(sand, 36.5%;	1	2.1	55.3	-	1.8	-	2.2	0.3	61.4	40.1	101.8
silt, 55.0%; clay,	4	1.2	14.8	1.3	4.1	-	2.5	2.9	23.9	70.0	96.8
8.5%)/	8	1.0	4.7	-	1.0	-	4.0	9.7	10.7	79.9	100.3
3.0 mg ai/kg	15	0.9	1.9	0.4	-	-	3.4	14.0	6.6	83.7	104.3
	32	0.1	2.0	0.3	-	-	2.8	17.8	5.2	77.3	100.3
	64	0.1	1.0	0.2	0.4	0.1	2.1	21.1	3.9	71.0	96.0
	- 99	0.1	0.8	0.3	0.1	-	2.3	24.7	3.6	72.3	100.6
loamy silt <sup>2</sup>	0	26.0	60.2	-	-	-	3.0	-	89.2	10.8	100.0
(sand, 79.6%;	1	5.9	73.7	-	1.0	-	2.8	0.6	83.4	17.4	101.4
silt, 12.1%; clay,	4	2.8	52.5	1.3	2.6	1.4	4.6	3.7	65.2	33.4	102.3
8.3%)/	8	2.4	33.4	0.2	2.6	1.0	5.4	11.2	45.0	47.7	103.9
3.4 mg ai/kg	15	2.1	14.8	0.7	0.5	-	6.2	21.4	24.3	56.1	101.8
	32	1.3	3.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	5.3	32.2	10.8	58.9	101.9
	64	1.0	1.7	0.5	0.2	-	4.2	29.9	7.6	57.7	95.2
	99	0.8	1.0	0.3	0.2	-	3.9	40.0	6.2	52.2	98.4

<sup>1</sup> mean of two values

IX: methylaminosulfotoluidide

. 1	1 1		
tol	v	t	luanid
.0.	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		adulta

<sup>2</sup> only one value	XI: 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid
DAT: days after treatment	XII: 4-(methylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid

In another experiment [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>13,14</sup>C]tolylfluanid was incubated with two different soils at a concentration of 10 mg/kg soil (on a dry basis) in the dark at 22°C for 65 days (Schuphan and Ebing, 1979). The moisture content was adjusted to 40% of maximum capacity. The main degradation product in both soils was <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>, which accounted for 65% and 77% of the applied radioactivity after 65 days. The half-life of tolylfluanid was estimated to be 1 day for one soil and 2-3 days for the other. Unextracted residues ranged from 20-40% and 7-10% of the applied radioactivity. Most of the extracted radioactivity was associated with tolylfluanid and less than 1% was attributed to unknown degradation products.

Table 11. Degradation of tolylfluanid under aerobic condition at 22°C after application of [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>13,14</sup>C]tolylfluanid to two soils (Schuphan & Ebing, 1979).

Soil	% of applied radioactivity							
	Organic carbon	DAT	Tolylfluanid	Others	$^{14}CO_2$	Extracted	Unextracted	Total
loamy sand	2.5%	2	13.4	0.8	24.0	14.2	~30	~68.2
		5	1.4	0.8	40.2	2.2	~40	~82.4
		9	0.4	0.6	49.2	1.0	~29	~79.2
		14	0.3	0.5	55.5	0.9	~26	~82.4
		33	0.3	0.4	62.2	0.6	~27	~89.8
		65	0.2	0.2	64.8	0.4	~23	~88.2
loamy sand	1.0%	2	52.8	0.6	25.6	53.4	~6	~85
		5	30.8	0.0	46.2	30.8	~7	~84
		9	20.4	0.7	56.7	21.1	~9	~86.8
		14	8.7	0.5	64.9	9.2	~10	~84.1
		33	1.5	0.4	74.5	1.9	~10	~86.4
		65	0.5	0.6	76.7	1.0	~7	~84.7

On the basis of these studies the half-life of tolylfluanid, assuming first-order kinetics, was  $\leq 3$  days regardless of the type of soil, (Schäfer, 1995; Schad, 2001; Shuphan and Ebing, 1979).

Table 12. Half-lives of [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid (ph) and [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>13,14</sup>C]tolylfluanid (dic) in soils in aerobic condition (first-order kinetics assumed).

Radiolabel/	Soil	Org. C	pН	Concentration	Half-life	Reference
Incubation conditions		(%)		(mg ai/100 g soil (dry wt))	(days)	
Ph/	loamy sand	1.3	5.6 <sup>1</sup>	3.5	22°C: 2.2	Scholz, 1988a
22°C, 99 days, 40%					20°C: 2.6	Schäfer 1995
WHC	clay silt	2.2	6.7 <sup>1</sup>	3.1	22°C: 1.7	Schad, 2001
					20°C: 2.0	
	clay silt	0.9	7.1 <sup>1</sup>	3.0	22°C: 1.6	
					20°C: 1.9	
	loamy silt	2.4	7.1 <sup>1</sup>	3.4	22°C: 0.4	
					20°C: 0.5	
Dic/	loamy sand	2.5	5.5-7.5	10	22°C: <1	Schuphan and
22°C, 65 days, 40%	loamy sand	1.0	5.5-7.5	10	22°C: 2-3	Ebing, 1979
WHC						

<sup>1</sup> in KCl

The half-life of DMST was less than 6.7 days.

Table 13. Half-life of DMST in soils in aerobic condition (first-order kinetics assumed).

Soil org C (%) pH Half-life (days) Reference					
	Soil	org. C (%)	pН	Half-life (days)	Reference

Soil	org. C (%)	pН	Half-life (days)	Reference
loamy sand	1.3	5.6	22°C: 3.7	Scholz, 1988a
			20°C: 4.3	Schäfer 1995
clay silt	2.2	6.7	22°C: 1.4	Schad, 2001
-			20°C: 1.6	
clay silt	0.9	7.1	22°C: 1.3	
-			20°C: 1.9	
loamy silt	2.4	7.1 <sup>1</sup>	22°C: 5.7	]
			20°C: 6.7	

The aerobic degradation pathways of tolylfluanid in soil are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Aerobic degradation pathways of tolylfluanid.

<u>Anaerobic degradation</u>. No study was conducted on anaerobic degradation as it was not regarded as necessary owing to the proposed use pattern of spray application and the rapid degradation of tolylfluanid.

<u>Photolysis in soil</u>. The photodegradation of [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid was studied on thin layers (2 mm) of silt loam soil (sand 3.6%, silt 80.8%, clay 15,6%, organic carbon 2.4%, pH in water 6.0) at a rate of 6.67  $\mu$ g/g soil on a dry basis by Hellpointner (2000a). The moisture content of the samples was adjusted to 50% of maximum capacity. The soil layers were stored at 20°C and irradiated for 18 days with light from a Xenon lamp with the cut-off wavelength at 290 nm and an intensity of 756 mW/m<sup>2</sup>. Tolylfluanid was degraded with a half-life of 4.9 days in the irradiated samples and 4.3 days in the control samples stored in the dark at the same temperature. At the end of the experiment unextracted residues reached 39% of the applied radioactivity in the irradiated and 52% in the control samples. DMST and 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid were the main degradation products with the minor products methylaminosulfotoluidide (IX) and 4-(methylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid (XII). Because of the very thin layer of soil, desiccation was very fast and microbial activities were reduced so that it was not possible to relate the rate of degradation to the influence of irradiation.

No field studies were conducted as tolylfluanid and its main degradation products have short half lives.

<u>Adsorption and desorption</u>. Adsorption/desorption experiments with soil/water systems were not applicable to tolylfluanid owing to its rapid hydrolysis. A  $K_{oc}$  value of tolylfluanid was estimated by an HPLC method in which tolylfluanid and 13 reference standards with  $K_{oc}$  values ranging from 17.8 to 64300 were chromatographed, giving a value of 2220 ml/g with the log of 3.35, which indicates that tolylfluanid could be classified as immobile (Sommer, 2000).

An adsorption/desorption study was carried out with DMST in four soils (Brumhard, 1997). Two, 4 or 10 g dry weight of the soils were equilibrated with 20 ml of [phenyl-U-<sup>14</sup>C]DMST at 7, 50, 500 and 5000  $\mu$ g/l in 0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> by shaking for 24 hours. The radioactivity was measured in the supernatant after centrifugation. Desorption was determined by equilibrating the residual soil sediment with 0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> and measuring the released radioactivity. The stability of [phenyl-U-<sup>14</sup>C]DMST in 0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> for 6 days was examined by TLC. The distribution coefficient K<sub>d</sub> was calculated by the Freundlich equation for adsorption and desorption in each soil. K<sub>oc</sub> values were also calculated from the organic carbon content.

Soil	pН	Organic Carbon	Absor	rption	Desor	ption	Mobility
		(%)	K <sub>d</sub>	K <sub>OC</sub>	K <sub>d</sub>	K <sub>OC</sub>	
			(ml/g)	(ml/g)	(ml/g)	(ml/g)	
Loamy sand	7.0	1.8	1.01	56	2.27	126	Low
Sand	5.9	0.7	0.41	59	1.11	159	Low-intermediate
Silt loam	6.0	2.4	1.73	72	2.63	110	Low
Silty clay	7.6	0.6	0.76	118	1.99	311	Low-intermediate

Table 14. K<sub>d</sub> and K<sub>oc</sub> values for DMST in four soils (Brumhard, 1997).

<u>Mobility</u>. Scholz (1987a) studied the leaching of aged [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid residues in BBA standard soil 2.1 (Organic carbon 0.69%; pH in KCl 7.0, Biomass 92 mg microbial C/kg dry soil; WHC 18.2%) at a rate of 5.2 mg/kg dry soil. The samples were aged at 20°C for 12 or 40 days, then placed on top of a saturated soil column 27 cm long and 5cm in diameter which was irrigated for 48 h with 400 ml of deionized water, corresponding to 200 mm precipitation. The leachate was collected as 2 fractions of approximately 200 ml from each column and contained 6.6% of the applied radioactivity from the 12-day aging and 4.9% from the 40-day aging, associated with DMST (1.1% 12-day and 0.2% 40-day), 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid (XI) (0.9% 12-day), methylaminosulfotoluidide (IX) (<0.4% 12-day), and <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> (7.5% 12-day and 17.1% 40-day).

Unchanged tolylfluanid accounted for less than 0.1% of the applied radioactivity. During irrigation 61% and 75% of the radioactivity remained in the upper third of the soil column. On the basis of these results tolylfluanid was classified as immobile in soil and DMST slightly mobile.

Environmental concentrations of tolylfluanid and DMST in groundwater recharge were calculated using the simulation model FOCUS-PELMO from version 1.1.1 (Schad, 2001) from the results of Scholz's aerobic degradation study (1988a), Sommer's  $K_{oc}$  value for tolylfluanid (2000) and Brumhard's values for DMST (1997). Environmental concentrations of tolylfluanid and DMST resulting from the use of tolylfluanid on apples, strawberries and grapes in Europe for 20 years were predicted to be below 0.01 µg/l, which would include their concentrations in groundwater recharge below the predefined soil depth of 1.10 m.

## Environmental fate in water-sediment systems

The Meeting received information on the hydrolysis of tolylfluanid in buffers, in aquatic systems, and volatilization and photolysis in air.

## Degradation in aquatic systems

<u>Hydrolysis</u>. The hydrolysis of tolylfluanid was examined at a concentration of 1 mg/l in buffer solutions (pH 4, 7 and 9) incubated at 20, 30 and/or 40°C (Wilmes, 1982). Tolylfluanid was unstable under all conditions, so much so that at pH 9 at room temperature the parent compound was immediately undetectable. The half-life was calculated to be 11.7 days at pH 4 and 29.1 hours at pH 7 at 22°C.

Table 15. Hydrolytic degradation of tolylfluanid in aqueous buffer solutions at 20, 30, and 40°C (Wilmes, 1982).

pН	Time	Tolylfluanid (mg/l)	Time	Tolylfluanid (mg/l)	
4	30°C		40°C		
	0 day	1.00	0 day	1.00	
	1 day	0.81	3 days	0.47	
	4 days	0.68	3.2 days	0.45	
	5 days	0.57	4 days	0.33	
	6 days	0.49	4.3 days	0.30	
	8 days	0.37	5 days	0.24	
			6 days	0.19	
			7 days	0.13	
7		20°C		30°C	
	0 hour	1.00	0 hour	1.00	
	1.5 hours	0.90	0.4 hour	0.97	
	2.8 hours	0.85	1.8 hours	0.81	
	4.4 hours	0.82	2.5 hours	0.79	
	6.1 hours	0.80	3.8 hours	0.70	
	22.8 hours	0.63	4.9 hours	0.63	
	24.4 hours	0.62	7.4 hours	0.54	
	30.4 hours	0.53	22.3 hours	0.14	
	46.9 hours	0.43			

Wilmes (1982) also investigated the hydrolysis of DMST in buffer solutions (pH 4, 7 and 9) at a concentration of 8-9 mg/l when incubated for one week at 55°C or in refrigerator. No hydrolysis was observed in the incubated or refrigerated samples, indicating a half-life of >1 year at 22°C at pH 4, 7 and 9.

Suzuki and Yoshida (1994) studied the degradation of tolylfluanid in a pH 7.2 buffer solution at a concentration of 100 mg/l incubated at 25°C for eight weeks. As the concentration was much higher than the solubility of tolylfluanid, the rate-limiting factor for transformation was the

#### tolylfluanid

dissolving of the compound in the buffer. No reliable half-life was therefore estimated but the study demonstrated that tolylfluanid was hydrolyzed to DMST, fluoride ion, chloride ion, sulfur and carbon dioxide.

<u>Photolysis</u>. The UV-visible spectrum of tolylfluanid in 1:1 acetonitrile-water (4.99 mg/l) showed an absorption maximum at 193 nm ( $\epsilon$ =36748 l·mol<sup>-1</sup>·cm<sup>-1</sup>) and a shoulder at 220-230 nm but no absorption at wavelengths above 290 nm ( $\epsilon$ <10 l·mol<sup>-1</sup>·cm<sup>-1</sup>) (Hellpointner, 1992). Photolysis in aqueous solution is not expected to occur.

The UV-visible spectrum of DMST in water (10 mg/l) showed absorption maxima at 196 nm ( $\varepsilon$ =69388 l·mol<sup>-1</sup>·cm<sup>-1</sup>) and about 232 nm (Hellpointner, 2000b). The quantum yield of direct photodegradation of DMST in aqueous solution was determined according to the ECETOC method using polychromatic light. Unlabelled DMST in water at ca. 5 mg/l was tested for a maximum period of 420 min at 25°C under irradiation by Hg lamp with a Duran 50 filter. The concentration of the test compound was determined by reversed-phase HPLC with external standards. The results indicated that DMST was stable under direct photodegradation in aqueous solution without yielding major degradation products. The quantum yield was calculated from the UV absorption data and the degradation kinetics to be 4.66 x 10<sup>-3</sup>. The quantum yield and UV absorption data in water were used to estimate the environmental half-life of DMST in water using two different simulation models. One predicated a half-life of a minimum of approximately 2 months at 30°N and 3 months at 50°N for July-August, the period of main use, the other a half-life of more than 1 year. These results indicate that direct photodegradation in aqueous solution was expected to contribute little to the elimination of DMST in the environment.

<u>Biological degradation in water/sediment systems</u>. The biological degradation of tolylfluanid was examined in three aqueous sediment systems: Ijzendoorn, The Netherlands (organic carbon, 2.5%; pH of aqueous phase, 7.7; pH of sediment, 7.14), Lienden, The Netherlands (organic carbon, 0.8%; pH of aqueous phase, 8.0; pH of soil, 7.39) and the Rhine (Scholz, 1987b, 1988b). [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid was applied directly to water of a depth of 10 cm at a concentration of 2.5 mg/l, equivalent to a spray application rate of 2.5 kg ai/ha, and the systems incubated at 22°C in the dark for 120 days. Aerobic conditions in the supernatant water were maintained throughout the study. Samples were analysed by TLC.

The mean recovery of radioactivity from all systems was 96.2% (91.9-100.8%) indicating that almost no dissipation occurred. Tolylfluanid was degraded so rapidly in the three systems that it was not detected in the sample taken on day 14 and its half-life could not be estimated. The radioactivity in the water decreased and the unextracted radioactivity increased continuously. In addition to DMST, a predominant metabolite in both the water and sediment,  $CO_2$  and methylaminosulfotoluidide were detected. DMST was degraded into methylaminosulfotoluidide (IX) which was finally mineralized to  $CO_2$ . The half-life of DMST was calculated by Krauskopf (1995) from the results of the Ijzendoorn and Lienden systems to be 42.1 and 75.8 days in the supernatant water respectively. The results from the Rhine system indicated that the degradation of tolylfluanid and DMST was similar in the three systems.

System	Compound		% of	applied radioa	ctivity	
-		14 days	30 days	75 days	90 days	120 days
Ijzendoorn, NL						
Surface water	Tolylfluanid	< 0.1	<0.1	<0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
	DMST	67.5	58.9	42.1	40.1	23.5
	IX	< 0.1	< 0.1	4.3	5.1	6.1
	Others	< 0.1	< 0.1	2.1	2.1	1.5
	Total	67.5	58.9	48.5	47.3	31.1
Sediment	Tolylfluanid	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
	DMST	28.3	28.5	19.6	13.5	10.4
	IX	< 0.1	< 0.1	1.6	1.3	1.5
	Others	< 0.1	< 0.1	3.6	3.9	3.2
	Total	28.3	28.5	21.2	15.4	12.3
	Unextracted	4.8	8.4	20.1	25.1	34.0
	$^{14}CO_2$	0.2	0.9	6.7	8.0	14.5
Lienden, NL		<u>.</u>	-	-		
Surface water	Tolylfluanid	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
	DMST	72.7	64.0	32.7	20.9	13.4
	IX	0.1	0.1	3.0	3.4	4.3
	Others	< 0.1	< 0.1	3.6	3.9	3.2
	Total	72.9	64.0	39.2	28.2	20.9
Sediment	Tolylfluanid	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
	DMST	19.8	12.3	5.6	4.2	2.9
	IX	< 0.1	< 0.1	1.0	0.5	0.7
	Others	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.8	0.8	0.6
	Total	19.8	12.3	7.4	5.5	4.2
	Unextracted	3.9	16.7	32.1	37.8	40.1
	$^{14}CO_2$	0.2	3.9	15.7	26.7	28.0
Rhine, Germany	Y					
Surface water	Tolylfluanid			< 0.1		< 0.1
	DMST			32.2		19.1
	IX			3.5		4.5
	Others			3.4		2.9
	Total			39.1		26.5
Sediment	Tolylfluanid			<0.1		<0.1
Scamon	DMST			15.0		10.2
	DIVISI			13.0		10.2
		_		1.4		2.2
	Others			3.7		1.0
	Total			20.1		13.4
	Unextracted			14.6		24.2
	$^{14}CO_2$			22.3		32.7

Table 16. Distribution of radioactivity from [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid in water/sediment systems (Scholz, 1987b, 1988b).

IX: methylaminosulfotoluidide

In another degradation study in Germany by Scholz (1997) with the sediment and water from two ponds, one in Hönniger-Weiher (organic carbon 4.07%, pH in water 7.4-7.7 and in soil 5.8) and the other in Angler-Weiher (organic carbon 2.31%, pH in water 8.0-8.2 and in soil 7.3), [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid was applied at a concentration of 0.4 mg/l and the systems incubated at 20°C in the dark for 7 days. In experiment I only surface water and in II the complete water/sediment systems were used. Aerobic conditions in the supernatant water were maintained throughout the study. Samples were analysed by TLC.

In both systems tolylfluanid was degraded rapidly and after 7 days it was not detected. Its half-life was 1.4-5 hours. DMST was found in both the water layer and sediment with higher levels in the water.

System	Compound	% of applied radioactivity					
Experiment I (sur	face water only)						
		0h	4h	12h	24h	7d	
Hönniger-Weiher	; Germany						
Surface water	Tolylfluanid	99.2	57.4	10.6	0.9	< 0.1	
	DMST	0.6	42.8	87.5	100.8	99.6	
	Others	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
Angler-Weiher, G	Germany						
Surface water	Tolylfluanid	99.3	25.8	1.3	0.2	< 0.1	
	DMST	0.6	72.2	97.6	99.5	99.3	
	Others	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.1	n.d.	
Experiment II (complete water/sediment system)							
•	•	0 h	5 h <sup>1</sup>	12 h	24 h <sup>1</sup>	7 d	
Hönniger-Weiher, Germany							
Surface water	Tolylfluanid	99.2	39.5	17.4	1.1	n.d.	
	DMST	0.6	36.5	56.0	66.0	46.6	
	Others	n.d.	0.4	0.5	0.7	2.8	
Sediment	Tolylfluanid	-	8.4	6.7	0.4	n.d.	
	DMST	-	9.5	14.2	24.3	41.3	
	Others	-	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.6	
	Unextracted	-	0.4	0.6	1.2	3.0	
	$^{14}CO_2$					0.4	
Angler-Weiher, G	Germany						
Surface water	Tolylfluanid	99.3	28.6	8.4	n.d.	n.d.	
	DMST	0.6	53.4	71.4	72.2	46.7	
	Others	n.d.	0.4	0.4	1.0	2.7	
Sediment	Tolylfluanid	-	2.2	1.5	n.d.	n.d.	
	DMST	-	10.6	12.1	20.8	39.3	
	Others	-	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
	Unextracted	-	0.4	0.3	0.7	2.6	
	$^{14}CO_2$					0.4	

Table 17. Distribution of radioactivity from [U-phenyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid in water/sediment systems (Scholz, 1997).

n.d.: not detected

<sup>1</sup> mean of two values

The proposed degradation pathways of tolylfluanid in aqueous systems are shown in Figure



Figure 4. Degradation of tolylfluanid in aqueous systems.

#### Degradation in air

<u>Volatilization</u>. The vapour pressures of tolylfluanid and DMST were determined to be  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  Pa and  $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$  Pa respectively at 20°C (Weber and Krohn, 1982; Krohn, 1999).

The Henry's Law constants of tolylfluanid and DMST were  $7.7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{m}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$  and  $7.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{m}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$  respectively at 20°C (Krohn, 1993). These values suggest that volatilization of tolylfluanid driven by evaporating water might need to be taken into consideration but in practice, owing to the rapid hydrolysis, volatilization should not be of significant concern. Significant volatilization of DMST is also unlikely.

<u>Photolysis</u>. The half-lives of tolylfluanid and DMST in air using the Atkinson model and AOPWIN software were calculated to be 7.2 hours and 2.3 hours respectively (Hellpointner, 1995), corresponding to chemical lifetimes of 10.4 hours and 3.3 hours respectively. It is therefore unlikely that these compounds would be transported in the gaseous phase over long distances or that they would accumulate in air.

## **Residues in succeeding crops**

As the half-lives of tolylfluanid and DMST are very short in soil, residues were not expected to be significant in succeeding crops so no studies on succeeding crops were carried out.

## **RESIDUE ANALYSIS**

## **Analytical methods**

The Meeting received information on analytical methods for the determination of residues of tolylfluanid and DMST in a variety of crops and processed commodities.

### Analysis of plant commodities

<u>Gas chromatographic methods</u>. Becker and Schug (1987) developed a multi-residue method in which tolylfluanid and DMST, together with organohalogen, organophosphorus and triazine compounds, are extracted from plant samples with acetone and the extract filtered. After dilution with water, the compounds are extracted with dichloromethane from an aliquot of the filtrate. The organic phase is dried and rotary-evaporated, and the residue is dissolved in dichloromethane. Interfering substances are separated on an activated carbon-silica gel column. The compounds are eluted with a mixture of dichloromethane, toluene and acetone. The eluate is rotary-evaporated, and the residue diluted with n-hexane to a known volume. The residues in this solution are identified and quantified by GC with EC and NP detection. The mean recovery from samples of grapes and strawberries fortified with tolylfluanid at 0.2 mg/kg was 70% and the LOQ was 0.02 mg/kg. The GC-NPD method is suitable for enforcement and confirmatory analyses.

Specht and Thier (1987) also described a method using GC with NP (suitable for enforcement analysis) or CG with EC detection (suitable for confirmatory analysis) for the determination of organochlorine, organophosphorus, nitrogen-containing and other pesticides. The extraction is with acetone/water (2:1). After filtration, sodium chloride and dichloromethane are added. The organic phase is evaporated and the residues are dissolved in cyclohexane/ethyl acetate. After gel permeation chromatography on Bio-Beads S-X3, quantification is by GC with NP or GC with EC detection. The mean recovery from apple samples fortified with 0.01 mg/kg tolylfluanid was 90% and the LOQ for tolylfluanid is 0.01 mg/kg.

Weeren and Pelz (1999a) used this method for the determination of tolylfluanid in rape seed with extraction as described by Ernst *et al.* (1974). Recoveries from canola seed fortified with tolylfluanid at 0.02-0.2 mg/kg were 70-110% and the LOQ was 0.02 mg/kg. This method is also suitable for enforcement analysis of rape seed.

Weeren *et al.* (1999) also revised the method developed by Specht and Thier by modifying the extraction and partition steps to make them less laborious and replacing dichloromethane for toxicological and ecological reasons. Recovery from water-containing samples fortified at 0.05-0.1 mg/kg was 84% and the LOQ was 0.05 mg/kg. The method is suitable for enforcement analysis of such samples.

Brennecke (1988) developed a method in which tolylfluanid and DMST are extracted with acetone from fruit and vegetables with a high water content. The extracts are filtered and then concentrated until only aqueous phase remains, and this is then diluted to a defined volume with water. An aliquot of this solution is applied to a disposable extraction column. Aqueous samples (beverages) are applied directly to the extraction column. Tolylfluanid and DMST are eluted with a cyclohexane/ethyl acetate mixture. After the eluates have been evaporated, the residue is purified by chromatography on a minicolumn of silica gel and active charcoal. Tolylfluanid and DMST are determined by GC-FPD or GC-NPD. Recoveries from samples fortified with tolylfluanid or DMST at 0.05-5.0 mg/kg were 84-104% and 81-105% from apples, 82-99% and 82-104% from grapes, 79-103% and 74-104% from strawberries, 79-98% and 86-107% from tomatoes, and 84-107% and 89-108% from lettuce respectively. The LOQ was 0.05 mg/kg.

Brennecke (1989) described a method to determine dichlofluanid, DMSA, tolylfluanid, DMST and tebuconazole, which is basically the same as his 1988 method except that an acetone/water

mixture can be used for extraction, and a silica gel minicolumn is used for clean-up. Recoveries from samples fortified with tolylfluanid or DMST at 0.02-5.0 mg/kg were 84-102% and 82-109% from leeks and 93-108% (Brennecke, 1989) and 95-104% (Koehler, 1989) from apples respectively. The LOQ was 0.02 mg/kg for both compounds.

Brennecke (1993a) modified his 1988 method for the analysis of jams and preserves by homogenizing preserve samples with a triturator and stirring jam samples with an equal amount of water before acetone extraction and the other procedures. Recoveries from samples fortified with tolylfluanid and DMST at 0.02-0.5 mg/kg were 93-99% and 93-99% from blackcurrants, 96-114% and 72-94% from blackcurrant jam and juice, and 74-110% and 78-105% from strawberry jam and preserve. The LOQ was 0.02 mg/kg.

Brennecke (1993b) incorporated automated sample clean-up to reduce losses in the analysis of tomatoes. After filtration, the acetone extract is concentrated to the aqueous remainder which is subsequently made up with dichloromethane to a defined volume. An aliquot of this solution is transferred to the laboratory robot in a centrifuge tube with a screw cap. Aqueous samples are transferred directly to the robot which then carries out liquid-solid extraction on diatomaceous earth, collection and evaporation of the eluate, dissolution of the residue, column chromatography on silica gel, elution of two fractions, collection and evaporation of the two eluates, and dissolution of the residues. The resulting analytical solutions are analysed by GC-NPD. Recoveries from tomatoes fortified with 0.02-5.0 mg/kg tolylfluanid and DMST were 71-99% and 78-95% respectively. The LOQ is 0.02 mg/kg.

Brennecke (1995e) reported the determination of tolylfluanid and its metabolites in grapes and their products with a modified version of his 1988 method. Liquid samples (such as beverages) are directly applied to the extraction column or directly subjected to enzymatic hydrolysis and then processed as aqueous extract of solids. Recoveries from samples fortified with tolylfluanid and DMST at 0.02-2.0 mg/kg were respectively 80-118% and 87-118% from table and wine grapes, and 72-118% and 79-106% from processed grape products. The LOQ was 0.02 mg/kg.

Nüsslein (1996i) and Brennecke (1996i) made slight modifications to the method developed by Brennecke in 1988: following maceration the pH of the mixture is adjusted to <5, the residues are eluted with a 7:3, instead of 85:15, cyclohexane/ethyl acetate mixture, the quantity of charcoal for clean-up on the silica gel column is reduced, and the elution volume of dichloromethane doubled to 20 ml. Nüsslein reported the following recoveries from samples fortified with tolylfluanid or DMST at 0.02-2.0 mg/kg: for tolylfluanid, 84-116% (apple and pear), 83-96% (apple pomace, dry), 106-112 (apple pomace, wet), 89-118% (apple juice and sauce), 85-118% (strawberry), 83-112% (tomato), 70-105% (tomato pomace, wet), 82-103% (tomato pomace, dry), 68-117 (processed tomato products), 90-107% (cucumber), 101-109% (pepper), 64-121% (melon, pulp and peel); for DMST, 88-103% (apple and pear), 86-101% (apple pomace, dry), 91-105% (apple pomace, wet), 64-112% (apple juice and sauce), 87-126% (strawberry), 83-110% (tomato), 84-108% (tomato pomace, wet), 82-89% (tomato pomace, dry), 69-112 (processed tomato products), 89-106% (cucumber), 102-110% (pepper), 63-99% (melon, pulp and peel). The LOQ is 0.02 mg/kg except in dry and wet apple pomace and dry tomato pomace in which it is 0.05 mg/kg. Brennecke reported recoveries from blackberries and raspberries fortified with tolylfluanid or DMST at 0.02-2.0 mg/kg of 63-113% (tolylfluanid) and 70-121% (DMST), with an LOQ of 0.02 mg/kg.

Brennecke (1996b) further modified his original 1988 method. The pH of the homogenate is checked with a meter, and, if higher than 5, adjusted to 3-5 with a small amount of 10% hydrochloric acid. If it is known that the sample material will have a pH-value higher than 5, a small amount of 10% hydrochloric acid should be added directly to the analytical sample after the addition of acetone. For dried hop cones, spent hops, hops draff and brewer's yeast slightly modified extraction procedures have to be used. An alternative clean-up procedure was developed for the determination of the parent compound only, because tolylfluanid is the only residue of concern for regulatory purposes. Recoveries from fortified hops and related products were 72-93% (green cones and dried cones, 0.5-

50 mg/kg), 77-92% (spent hops, 0.1-1.0 mg/kg), 95-105% (hops draff, 0.5-5.0 mg/kg), 75-86% (yeast 0.1-1.0 mg/kg) and 96-105% (beer, 0.02-0.2 mg/kg), for tolylfluanid, and 81-98% (green cones and dried cones, 0.5-50 mg/kg), 86-94% (spent hops, 0.1-1.0 mg/kg), 92-100% (hops draff, 0.5-5.0 mg/kg), 86-96% (yeast, 0.1-1.0 mg/kg) and 100-109% (beer, 0.02-0.2 mg/kg). The LOQ for tolylfluanid and DMST was 1.0 mg/kg in dried hop cones, 0.5 mg/kg in green cones and hops draff, 0.1 mg/kg in spent hops and yeast, and 0.02 mg/kg in beer.

Brennecke (1997c) reported the determination of tolylfluanid in green hops and dried cones by a modified 1988 method. An alternative clean-up procedure with a 5-g silica gel column is used instead of the activated charcoal/silica gel mini-column. Residues are eluted with a cyclohexane-ethyl acetate mixture. Tolylfluanid is determined by GC-NPD. According to Brennecke (1997c) and Schrier (1996) the recovery from dry hop cones fortified with tolylfluanid at 1 and 30 mg/kg was 87-122% and the LOQ 1.0 mg/kg. This method is suitable for enforcement purposes.

<u>HPLC-MS-MS methods</u>. Brennecke (1997b) modified an existing method for the determination of tolylfluanid and DMST. Sample weights are reduced to five g because samples for residue analysis are usually available in a well-prepared and homogeneous form for many raw agricultural and processed commodities. Residues of tolylfluanid and DMST are extracted with an acetone/water mixture. After filtration, most of the acetone is removed from the extract by evaporation in a vacuum rotary evaporator. The aqueous remainder is then applied to a conditioned pre-packed 6-ml reversed phase (C18) column, the column washed with water and the eluate discarded. Subsequent elution is with 5 ml of methanol and the eluate (analytical solution) is collected in a l0-ml test tube. The residues of tolylfluanid and DMST are determined in the same run by LC-MS-MS using a triple-stage quadrupole mass spectrometer with an electrospray interface in the multiple-reaction monitoring mode. In this mode the protonated parent ions are separated and immediately impulsed with nitrogen to yield their characteristic daughter ions (tolylfluanid 347 to 238; DMST 215 to 106). These ions are separated and used for quantification. Recoveries from samples fortified with tolylfluanid or DMST at 0.02-2.0 mg/kg were 77-109% and 82-116% respectively from tomato and head lettuce. The LOQ was 0.02 mg/kg for both compounds.

Brennecke (1998b,c) used the above method, but with argon instead of nitrogen for impulse, for the determination of tolylfluanid and DMST in blackcurrants, strawberries and lettuces. Recoveries from samples fortified with tolylfluanid or DMST at 0.02-2.0 mg/kg were respectively 89-106% and 85-104% from blackcurrants, 91-102% and 110-117% from strawberries, and 71-102% and 99-114% from lettuce. The LOQ was 0.02 mg/kg for both compounds.

Schoening (1999) used acetone/water/hydrochloric acid for the extraction of tolylfluanid and DMST from plant material. After extraction, concentration, and column-partitioning, the residues are quantified by reverse-phase HPLC with electrospray MS-MS detection. The instrumental response was linear over the range of 0.0005 to 0.5 mg/l in solvent, cucumbers and head lettuce weighted l/x. Recoveries from samples fortified with tolylfluanid and DMST at 0.02-0.2 mg/kg were respectively 80-92% and 94-104% for tomatoes and tomato juice, 69-80% and 76-88% for tomato paste, and 71-93% and 77-96% for lettuce. The LOQ was 0.02 mg/kg.

Owing to the significant matrix effect observed in the determination of tolylfluanid in leeks with the above method, Sur (2001) used matrix-matched standards for the analysis of leeks. Recoveries from leeks and pepper fortified with tolylfluanid and DMST at 0.02-0.2 mg/kg were 76-101% and 89-101% respectively. The LOQ was 0.02 mg/kg.

#### Analysis of animal commodities

Maasfeld and Brennecke (1996) described a method for the determination of tolylfluanid in eggs, fat, muscle and milk. Samples are homogenized with either n-hexane or acetonitrile and then with n-hexane. After centrifugation the extract is partitioned between n-hexane and acetonitrile. The acetonitrile phase is evaporated to dryness. The residue is dissolved in acetonitrile/acidic water and

transferred to an RP-1 8 disposable column, which is eluted with a few millilitres of acetonitrile. After evaporation to dryness the residue is dissolved in n-hexane and transferred to a silica gel disposable column. Elution is with n-hexane/ethyl acetate. The eluate is brought to dryness and the residue is finally dissolved in ethyl acetate. Tolylfluanid is determined by GC-ECD. Recoveries from samples fortified with tolylfluanid were 76-97% from milk (fortification at 0.01-0.10 mg/kg) and 71-130% from meat, eggs and fat (fortification at 0.05-0.5 mg/kg). The LOQ was 0.05 mg/kg for muscle, fat and eggs and 0.01 mg/kg for milk. This method is suitable for enforcement purposes (Maasfeld and Brennecke, 1996; Weeren and Schmidt, 1996).

In a method described by Weeren and Pelz (1998) milk, meat and eggs are extracted with acetone after addition of sulfuric acid. Water is added previously in an amount that takes full account of the natural water content of the sample so that during extraction the acetone:water ratio remains constant at 2:1. For liquid/liquid partition ethyl acetate/cyclohexane (1 + 1) and sodium chloride are added and after repeated mixing excess water is separated. The evaporated residue of an aliquot of the organic phase is cleaned up by gel permeation chromatography on Bio Beads S-X3 polystyrene gel using a mixture of ethyl acetate and cyclohexane (1 + 1) as eluant on an automated gel permeation chromatograph. The residue fraction is concentrated and analysed by gas chromatography with a fused silica capillary column (DB-l) and an electron capture detector (ECD). Fat is dissolved in acetone and mixed vigorously with acetonitrile and synthetic calcium silicate. The mixture is filtered twice, the volume of the filtrate is measured, and the solution is rotary-evaporated. The evaporated residue of an aliquot of the organic phase is cleaned up by GPC and analysed by gas chromatography as described above. Recoveries of tolylfluanid were 70-113% from milk (fortification at 0.01-0.1 mg/kg) and 73-99% from meat, fat and egg (fortification at 0.05-0.5 mg/kg). The LOQ was 0.05 mg/kg for muscle, fat and eggs and 0.01 mg/kg for milk. This method is suitable for enforcement purposes.

#### Analysis of soil

Specht (1990) and Specht *et al.* (1995) described a method for the determination of tolylfluanid and DMST in soil. Extraction with an acetone-water mixture is followed by liquid-liquid partition with acetone-water-dichloromethane (or ethyl acetate/cyclohexane instead of dichloromethane), with saturation of the water with sodium chloride, and gel chromatographic purification on bio-beads S-X3 with ethyl acetate/cyclohexane (1 + l) as eluant. Determination of residues is by GC-NPD. The mean recoveries from soil fortified with tolylfluanid and DMST at 0.02-0.40 mg/kg were 91% and 85% respectively. The method is suitable for both enforcement and confirmatory purposes.

#### Analysis of water

The water sample is extracted three times with 50 ml dichloromethane. The organic phases are filtered through sodium sulfate and the combined filtrates evaporated. The residue is dissolved in ethyl acetate and cyclohexane. An aliquot of this solution is cleaned up by gel permeation chromatography on Bio Beads S-X3 polystyrene gel with ethyl acetate/cyclohexane (1 + 1) as eluant on an automated gel permeation chromatograph. The concentrated solution is analysed for tolylfluanid and DMST by gas chromatography using a fused silica capillary column (DB-S MS) and a mass selective detector (MSD). The LOQ for both analytes is 0.05 µg/l. The mean recoveries from surface water fortified with tolylfluanid and DMST at 0.05-0.50 µg/l were 95% and 108% respectively. The method is suitable for enforcement and confirmatory purposes (Weeren and Pelz, 1999b).

#### Stability of pesticide residues in stored analytical samples

The Meeting received data on the freezer storage stability of tolylfluanid and DMST in apples, grapes, grape juice and wine, tomatoes, tomato juice and purée, and hops (green and dry). In the studies reported below, tolylfluanid and DMST were determined in all samples. In grapes and grape products 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST glucoside and 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST glucoside were also determined.

Recoveries from freshly fortified samples were determined concurrently with the analysis of stored fortified samples and used to validate the analytical results at each interval.

Brennecke (1997j) shredded untreated apples, spiked them with tolylfluanid or DMST at 0.50 mg/kg and stored them at or below  $-18^{\circ}$ C. Samples were taken for analysis after 27, 91, 182, 342 and 560 days. Tolylfluanid and DMST were stable for up to about 1.5 years (Table 18).

Table 18. Storage stability of tolylfluanid and DMST in apples fortified at 0.50 mg/kg and stored at or below –18°C in a deep freeze (Brennecke, 1997j).

Storage period	Tol	ylfluanid, %	Ι	DMST, %		
(days)	Remaining	Procedural recovery	Remaining	Procedural recovery		
0	120	115	105	105		
27	90	115	81	108		
91	86	93	89	96		
182	95	94	103	98		
342	99	85	86	72		
560	102	95	96	89		

Brennecke (1997f-h) fortified shredded grapes, grape juice and wine with tolylfluanid, DMST, 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST glucoside or 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST glucoside at 1 mg/kg and stored them in a deep freezer at or below  $-18^{\circ}$ C for about 2.2 years. The four compounds were stable for up to 805 days in the grapes and juice although the tolylfluanid remaining in the grapes was below 70% of the fortified amount at 196 days. In wine, the two hydroxylated metabolites of DMST were stable throughout, but tolylfluanid decreased and DMST increased in general with time. However, the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST remained relatively constant with a minimum total of 78% of the fortified amount on day 197 and 114% on day 790, indicating that DMST is stable in wine at  $-18^{\circ}$ C and tolylfluanid is degraded to DMST during storage. This may not pose practical problems as tolylfluanid is degraded into DMST during vinification and will not be detected in wine. All three metabolites potentially present in wine were regarded as stable for over 2 years.

Sample	Storage,	Tolylf	luanid	DM	IST	4-hydrox	ymethyl-	2-hydrox	yphenyl-	Ref.
	days	0/ D 1	0/ D	0/ D 1	0/ D			DMSTg		
		% Rem	% Proc $\frac{2}{2}$	% Rem	% Proc $\frac{2}{2}$	% Rem	% Proc $\frac{2}{2}$	% Rem	% Proc $\frac{2}{2}$	
			recov		recov		recov		recov	
Fruit	0	92	85	98	95	87	85	100	102	Brennecke,
	28	74	81	94	102	89	80	105	111	1997f
	83	75	87	77	82	77	69	90	85	
	196	69	76	74	78	78	78	83	82	
	369	79	89	102	99	81	86	96	104	
	574	83	99	101	107	77	73	102	95	
	805	76	75	100	76	85	75	99	101	
Juice	0	98	97	98	96	78	74	105	103	Brennecke,
	28	69	72	96	98	90	90	98	88	1997g
	56	70	67	78	63	72	64	70	59	U
	91	82	96	102	94	76	73	86	85	
	196	59	95	73	86	85	80	93	91	
	266	67	77	83	82	82	85	91	88	
	357	69	77	83	72	84	79	98	83	
	564	64	97	88	94	79	78	93	97	
	789	106	95	100	95	78	84	109	92	
Wine	0	104	100	113	108	86	80	106	102	Brennecke,
	29	68	75	108	102	99	89	111	106	1997h
	57	39	74	107	75	72	84	82	80	
	91	42	100	118	104	74	56	87	74	
	127	33	80	119	81	84	86	97	97	
	197	21	81	113	88	92	88	97	107	
	358	13	81	123	91	88	85	99	84	
	565	28	93	144	97	96	97	92	88	
	790	39	100	161	101	81	70	99	92	

Table 19. Storage stability of tolylfluanid and its metabolites in grapes, juice and wine fortified at 1.0 mg/kg and stored at or below  $-18^{\circ}$ C.

<sup>1</sup>% remaining <sup>2</sup>% procedural recovery

<sup>3</sup> aglycone determined

Brennecke (1997i) studied the freezer storage stability of tolylfluanid and DMST in tomatoes and Nüsslein (1996c) in tomato juice and purée. Homogenized tomato samples were fortified with tolylfluanid or DMST at 0.5 mg/kg and juice and purée samples at 0.2 mg/kg, and stored in a deep freezer at or below -18°C for 553 days (tomatoes), 125 days (juice) or 119 days (purée). Tolylfluanid and DMST were stable in tomatoes, juice and purée for the duration of storage (Table 20). 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST glucoside and 2 hydroxyphenyl-DMST glucoside were stable for 1.5 years in tomatoes.

Table 20. Storage stability of tolylfluanid and DMST in tomatoes and tomato products stored at or below -18°C.

Sample	Fortification	Storage,	Tolylf	luanid, %	DM	IST, %	Reference
	mg/kg	days	Remaining	Procedural	Remaining	Procedural	
				recovery		recovery	
Tomato	0.5	0	71	77	74	78	Brennecke
		30	66	70	88	80	(1997i)
		92	77	78	100	76	
		182	64	91	86	93	
		366	68	94	104	99	
		553	71	76	115	85	
Juice	0.2	0	112		111		Nüsslein
		28	108	124	123	126	(1996c)
		90	87	104	89	102	
		125	97	105	84	83	
Purée	0.2	0	90		95		Nüsslein

Sample	Fortification	Storage,	Tolylf	luanid, %	DMST, %		Reference
	mg/kg	days	Remaining	Procedural	Remaining	Procedural	
				recovery		recovery	
		28	65	93	113	94	(1996c)
		92	81	116	128	104	
		119	82	115	108	117	

Brennecke (1997l) studied the freezer storage stability of tolylfluanid and DMST in green hop cones and dry hops. Green hop cones from a field trial on day 0 contained concentrations of tolylfluanid and DMST of 17 and 2.5 mg/kg respectively; these values were taken as 100%. For the determination of procedural recovery, green hop cone samples were spiked with 10 mg/kg. Untreated dry hops were fortified with tolylfluanid and DMST at 20 mg/kg and stored in a deep freeze at or below  $-18^{\circ}$ C for 363 days. No significant degradation of tolylfluanid or DMST was observed in the green hop cones or dry hops although there was greater variation in the residues remaining in the cones probably owing to the lower homogeneity of the residues. The results indicate that residues of tolylfluanid and DMST are stable for 1 year in frozen green and dry hop cones.

Table 21. Storage stability of tolylfluanid and DMST in hops stored at or below -18°C (Brennecke, 19971).

Sample	Fortification	Storage,	Tolylf	luanid	DM	IST
_	mg/kg	days	% Remaining	% Procedural	% Remaining	% Procedural
			-	recovery		recovery
Green hop	*	0	100 <sup>-1</sup>	100	$100^{2}$	100
cones		32	76 <sup>1</sup>	76	80 <sup>2</sup>	87
		90	65 <sup>1</sup>	93	$64^{2}$	94
		119	129 <sup>1</sup>	91	176 <sup>2</sup>	92
		144	76 <sup>1</sup>	97	$124^{2}$	107
		181	106 <sup>1</sup>	92	$100^{2}$	96
		363	94 <sup>1</sup>	84	76 <sup>2</sup>	95
Dry hops	20	0	81	90	88	94
		30	83	84	90	91
		90	85	88	93	93
		181	89	93	97	99
		363	81	80	89	91

\* 10 mg/kg was used for the concurrent analysis of freshly fortified samples

<sup>1</sup> incurred residues measured at 0, 32, 90, 119, 181 and 363 days were 17 (100%), 13, 11, 22, 13, 18, and 16 mg/kg.

<sup>2</sup> incurred residues measured at 0, 32, 90, 119, 181 and 363 days were 2.5 (100%), 2.0, 1.6, 4.4, 3.1, 2.5, and 1.9 mg/kg.

Brennecke (1995d) determined the stability of tolylfluanid and three metabolites in aqueous extracts of grapes and in grape juice and wine samples fortified with tolylfluanid at 0.2 mg/kg, DMST at 0.2 mg/kg, 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST glucoside at 0.5 mg/kg or 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST glucoside at 0.5 mg/kg and stored at 4-8°C for 21 to 31 days. After 21 days only DMST was stable in the aqueous extract; both glucosides were stable in grape juice and wine. Only 5-6% of the tolylfluanid remained in wine samples while 126-141% of DMST was measured, indicating the degradation of tolylfluanid into DMST in wine. The results show that aqueous extracts and samples must be analysed at once and not stored in a refrigerator.

Brennecke (1995d) also investigated the stability of tolylfluanid and the same three metabolites in analytical extracts of grapes, grape juice and wine fortified with 1 mg/kg of one of the compounds and stored at 4-8°C for 80 days. Tolylfluanid, DMST, 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST and 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST were stable in the analytical solutions throughout the test period. The disilyl derivative of 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST and 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST were stable at room temperature over the test period of 6 days.

Brennecke (1996l) tested the stability of tolylfluanid and DMST in analytical extracts of green and dry hop cones fortified at 5.0 mg/kg and stored at 4-8°C for 3 weeks (green) or 4 weeks (dry). Both compounds were stable throughout the test periods.

### **Definition of the residue**

Tolylfluanid is metabolized in plants by cleavage of an N-S bond to form DMST and a derivative of the dichlorofluoromethylthio side chain. DMST is further metabolized to hydroxylated metabolites and their glucosides. Tolylfluanid is generally the main residue found after application except in grapes when 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST glucoside and 2-hydroxymethyl-DMST glucoside accounted for about 60% of the TRR. Thiazolidine-2-thione-4-carboxylic acid (TTCA), a substance of toxicological concern, was identified in one strawberry study under artificial test conditions and is not expected under normal agricultural conditions.

In animals, tolylfluanid is rapidly metabolized and no parent compound was detected in the tissues and organs of farm animals. DMST, 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid and 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)hippuric acid are significant metabolites present in tissues and organs.

Products of further metabolism of DMST are not of toxicological significance. Owing to the rapid metabolism of tolylfluanid to DMST, it was not possible to distinguish long-term effects of DMST from those of tolylfluanid except for fluoride deposition. The acute oral toxicity of DMST is comparable to that of tolylfluanid.

The Meeting recommended that the definition of the residue for commodities derived from plants should be as follows:

For compliance with MRLs: tolylfluanid

For the estimation of dietary intake: sum of tolylfluanid and DMST, expressed as tolylfluanid.

## **USE PATTERN**

Tolylfluanid is registered mainly in European countries. It is a broad-spectrum fungicide with a protective mode of action and is effective against many plant diseases. It is used for the simultaneous control of scab (*Venturia* spp.), eye rots and storage diseases in orchard fruits, and for the control of grey mould (*Botrytis cinerea*) in strawberries and other berries, grapes, vegetables and hops. When sprayed repeatedly, tolylfluanid is also effective against spider mites (*Panonychus ulmi*). The information available to the Meeting on registered uses is summarized in Table 22. Official labels of Belgium, Chile, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, The Netherlands, Poland, Slovenia, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, the UK and Uruguay have been provided to the Meeting.

Crop <sup>1</sup>	Country	Form		Application <sup>2</sup>				
			Spray conc.	Water vol	Rate,	No.	days	
			kg ai/hl	l/ha	kg ai/ha			
Almond	France	WP50	0.075		n.s.	n.s.	7	
Apple	Finland	WG50	n.s.	800-2500	$1.5 - 2.5^3$	n.s.	21	
Apple	Netherlands	WG50	0.075	1000-1500	0.75-1.12	6-7	7	
		WP50						
Apple	Sweden	WG50	0.05-0.075		n.s.	n.s.	7	
Apricot	Turkey	WP50	0.125		n.s.	2	14	
Arctic bramble	Finland	WG50	0.075	400	0.3	2	30	

Table 22. Registered uses of tolylfluanid (all foliar).

Crop <sup>1</sup>	Country	Form			PHI,		
			Spray conc.	Water vol	Rate,	No.	days
			kg ai/hl	l/ha	kg ai/ha		
Bilberries (Vaccinium spp)	Netherlands	WG50 WP50	0.125	1000-1200	1.25-1.50	2	21
Blackberry	Belgium	WG50	0.125		n.s.	n.s.	7
Blackberry	Ireland	WG50	n.s.	≥2000	1.7	4 <sup>4</sup>	21
					2	3	
					2.25	$3^{4}_{24}$	
Blackberry	Netherlands	WG50 WP50	0.125	1000-1200	1.25-1.50	4-5 2	14
Blackberry	Switzerland	WG50	0.1-0.125		n.s.	3-4	14
Blackberry	UK	WG50	n.s.	≥2000	1.7	4	14
Blueberry	Belgium	WG50	0.125		n.s.	n.s.	21
Cabbage	Sweden	WG50	$0.075^{5}$ $0.125^{6}$	1000	n.s.	4-5	14
Celery	Sweden	WG50	$0.075^{5}$ $0.125^{6}$	1000	n.s.	4-5	14
Cucumber (F, G)	Belgium	WG50	0.075		n.s.	n.s.	3
Cucumber (G)	Netherlands	WG50 WP50	0.075	500-1500	0.37-1.12	4-5	3
Cucumber	Poland	WG50 WP50	n.s.	600-800	0.75	2-3	3
Cucumber (G)	Poland	WG50 WP50	0.1	1500-2000	n.s.	3-4	3
Cucumber	Sweden	WG50	n.s.		1.5	3-4	3
Cucumber (G)	Sweden	WG50	0.075		n.s.	3-4	7
Currants (black)	Ireland	WG50	0.075	$\geq 1000^7$ $\geq 2000^8$	n.s.	6	21
Currants (black, red, white)	Belgium	WG50	0.125		n.s.	n.s.	21
Currants	Finland	WG50	0.125		1.25-1.5	2	9
Currants (black, red, white)	Netherlands	WG50 WP50	0.125	1000-1200	1.25-1.50	4-5 2	21
Currants	Poland	WG50 WP50	0.1-0.2	500-900	1	n.s.	21
Currants	Sweden	WG50	0.125		n.s.	2-3	24
Currants (black, red, white)	UK	WG50	n.s.	1000-2000	$0.75^{10}$ $1.0^{11}$	3	21
Egg plant (G)	Belgium	WG50	0.075		n.s.	n.s.	3
Egg plant (G)	Netherlands	WG50 WP50	0.075	500-1500	0.37-1.12	4-5	3
Endive	Germany	WG50	0.1	600	0.6	6	21
Endive (G)	Netherlands	WG50 WP50	n.s.	500-1000	1.0-1.5	1	21
Gherkin	Belgium	WG50	0.075		n.s.	n.s.	3
Gherkin (G)	Netherlands	WG50 WP50	0.075	500-1500	0.37-1.12	4-5	3
Gooseberry	Belgium	WG50	0.125		n.s.	n.s.	21
Gooseberry	Finland	WG50	0.125		1.25-1.5	2	9
Gooseberry	Ireland	WG50	0.075	≥1000	n.s.	4	21
Gooseberry	Netherlands	WG50 WP50	0.125	1000-1200	1.25-1.50	4-5 2	21
Gooseberry	UK	WG50	n.s.	1000-2000	$0.75^{10}$ $1.0^{11}$	3	21
Grape, Wine (for downy mildew)	Germany	WG50	0.019-0.3	400-1600	0.3-1.2	3 <sup>12</sup>	35
Grape, Wine (for powdery mildew, Botrytis cinerea and downy mildew)	Germany	WG50	0.025-0.4	400-1600	0.4-1.6	6 <sup>12</sup>	35

Crop <sup>1</sup>	Country	Form	Application <sup>2</sup>				PHI,
			Spray conc.	Water vol	Rate,	No.	days
			kg ai/hl	l/ha	kg ai/ha		
Grapes	Chile	WP50	0.1-0.125		1-1.5	n.s.	21
Grapes	Netherlands	WG50	0.125	500-1500	0.625-	4-5	35
		WP50			1.875		
Grapes	Slovenia	WP50	0.1-0.125		n.s.	n.s.	35
Grapes	Spain	WP50	0.1		n.s.	n.s.	1513
Grapes	Switzerland	WG50	0.1-0.125		n.s.	n.s.	14
Grapes	Turkey	WP50	0.1		n.s.	n.s.	21
Grapes	Uruguay	WG50	0.125		n.s.	n.s.	14
Hazelnut	France	WP50	0.075		n.s.	n.s.	7
Нор	Poland	WG50 WP50	0.075	600- 3000 <sup>15</sup>	n.s.	n.s.	14
Leek	Netherlands	WG50 WP50	n.s.	500-1000	1.25	4-5	21
Lettuce	Belgium	WG50	n.s.		1.25	3	16
Lettuce	Germany	WG50	0.1	600	0.6	6	21
Lettuce	Slovenia	WP50	n.s.	n.s.	1-1.25	n.s.	21
Lettuce	Sweden	WG50	$0.075^{5}$ $0.125^{6}$	1000	n.s.	4-5	14
Lettuce	Uruguay	WG50	0.125		n.s.	3	5
Lettuce (G)	Poland	WG50 WP50	0.1	600-800	n.s.	Max. 3	21
Lettuce, Head (G)	Netherlands	WG50 WP50	n.s.	500-1000	1.0-1.5	1	21
Loganberry	Ireland	WG50	n.s.	≥2000	1.7	4 <sup>4</sup>	21
					2.25	$3^{4}$	
T 1	LIK	WC50		> 2000	3.38	27	1.4
Loganberry	UK	WG50	n.s.	≥2000	l./	4 n.c	14
Melons (C)	Netherlanda	WG50	0.075	500 1500	II.S.	11.S.	2
Meions (G)	Netherlands	W030 WP50	0.073	300-1300	0.57-1.12	4-3	3
Melons	Spain	WP50	0.075-0.1		n.s.	n.s.	15
Melons	Sweden	WG50	n.s.		1.5	3-4	3
Melons (G)	Sweden	WG50	0.075		n.s.	3-4	7
Onion	Sweden	WG50	0.0755	1000	n.s.	4-5	14
			0.1256				
Onion	Uruguay	WG50	0.125		n.s.	n.s.	5
Pear	Netherlands	WG50 WP50	0.075	1000-1200	0.75-0.90	6-7	7
Peppers (G)	Belgium	WG50	0.075		n.s.	n.s.	3
Peppers (G)	Netherlands	WG50 WP50	0.075	500-1500	0.37-1.12	3	3
Pome fruits	Belgium	WG50	0.075	1500	1.125	n.s.	7
Pome fruits	Chile	WP50	0.075-0.1		n.s.	n.s.	7
Pome fruits	France	WP50	0.075		n.s.	n.s.	7
Pome fruits (for fungal storage decay)	Germany	WG50 WP50	0.076	500 <sup>18</sup>	0.38 <sup>18</sup>	$5^{17}$ (8-14)	7
Pome fruits	Germany	WG50	0.076	500 <sup>18</sup>	0.38 <sup>18</sup>	12	7
Pome fruits	Poland	WG50	0.13-0.20	500-750	1	1-2	7
Pome fruits	Slovenia	WP50	0.1	1000 <sup>19</sup>	ne	ne	7
Pome fruits	Spain	WP50	0.1	1000	n s	n.s.	15
Pome fruits	Switzerland	WG50	0.0,0 0.1		n s	n s	21
Potato	Chile	WP50	0.1-0.15		n s	n.s.	3
Potato	Finland	WG50	ns	>400	0 75-1 0	3	14
Potato	Sweden	WG50	n.s.	$\frac{200}{300-400^{20}}$	$\frac{0.75^{22}}{1^{23}}$	4-6	7
<u> </u>	1		L	500-000	1		

Crop <sup>1</sup>	Country	Form	Application <sup>2</sup>				PHI,
-	2		Sprav conc.	Water vol	Rate.	No.	days
			kg ai/hl	l/ha	kg ai/ha		
Raspherry	Belgium	WG50	0.125		n.s.	n.s.	7
Raspberry	Finland	WG50	0.125	1000-1200	1 25-1 5	3	21
Raspberry	Ireland	WG50	n.s.	>2000	1.7	4 <sup>4</sup>	7
					2	3	
					2.25	34	
					3.38	24	
Raspberry	Netherlands	WG50	0.125	1000-1200	1.25-1.50	4-5	14
D 1	D 1 1	WP50	0100	500.000		2	
Raspberry	Poland	WG50 WD50	0.1-0.2	500-900	1	n.s.	1
Raspherry	Sweden	WG50	0.125		ne	2_3	24
Raspberry	Swetten	WG50	0.125		n.s.	2-3	14
Raspoenty		WG50	0.1=0.125	>2000	1.5.	3=4	14
Rubus hybrids <sup>25</sup>	UK	WG50	n.s.	$\geq 2000$	1.7	4	14
Squash	Sweden	WG50	n s	≥2000	1.7	3-4	3
Squash (G)	Sweden	WG50	0.075		n.5	3-4	7
Squash Summer	Belgium	WG50	0.075		n.s.		2
Squash, Summer (C)	Nothorlanda	WG50	0.075	500 1500	0.27.1.12	11.5.	3
Squash, Summer (O)	Inculeitalius	WP50	0.075	300-1300	0.37-1.12	4-3	3
Strawberry	Belgium	WG50	ns		1 25	ns	7
Strawberry (G)	Belgium	WG50	n.s.		1.25	n.s.	14
Strawberry (F_G)	Chile	WP50	0.1-0.125		n.s	n.s.	726
Strawberry	Finland	WG50	0.125	1000-1500	1 25-1 9	11.3. 2_3	1/
Strawberry	Germany	WG50	0.125	2500	25	3	7
Strawberry	Ireland	WG50	n s	>1000	1.7	4 <sup>27</sup>	14
	norunu			_1000	2.25	327	
					3.38	$2^{27}$	
Strawberry	Netherlands	WG50	0.125	600-1000	0.75-1.25	4-5	7
		WP50				2	
Strawberry	Poland	WG50	0.17-0.5	500-1500	2.5	2-3	7
0. 1	G1 ·	WP50	0.1.0.105				
Strawberry Stars have	Slovenia	WP50	0.1-0.125	n.s.	n.s	n.s.	1.5
Strawberry	Spain	WP50	0.075-0.1	500 1000	n.s.	n.s.	15
Strawberry Strawbarry	Sweden	WG50	n.s.	500-1000	2	2-3	/
Strawberry Steen hours	Switzerland	WG50	0.1-0.125		n.s.	3-4	14
Strawberry	UK	WG50	n.s.		1./	4	14
Strawberry	Oruguay	WG50	0.10-0.125		n.s.	3	1
Tomato (F, G)	Belgium	WG50	0.075		n.s.	n.s.	3
Tomato (F. G)	Chile	WP50	0.1-0.125		n.s.	n.s.	320
Tomato (G)	Denmark	WG50	0.075		n.s.	10	3
Tomato	Germany	WG50	0.1	600-1200	0.6-1.2	6	3
Terrete (C)	Inclosed	WC50	0.05	>2000		(8-10)	2
Tomato (G)	Netherlands	WG50	0.05	$\geq 2000$	n.s.	0	3
	inemeriands	WD50 WP50	0.075	500-1500	0.37-1.12	4-3	3
Tomato	Poland	WG50	ns	600-800	0.75	2-3	3
10	- Olulia	WP50			0.10	2.5	5
Tomato (G)	Poland	WG50	0.1	1500-2000	n.s.	3-4	3
<u> </u>		WP50					
Tomato	Slovenia	WP50	n.s.	n.s.	1-1.25	n.s.	3
Tomato	Sweden	WG50	0.075		n.s.	n.s.	4
Tomato	Turkey	WP50	0.1		n.s.	n.s.	7
Vegetables (including tomato	Spain	WP50	0.075-0.1		n.s.	n.s.	15
(G))							

 $^{1}$  (G): cultivation in greenhouse. (F, G). cultivation outdoor and in greenhouse. No indication: cultivation outdoor

<sup>2</sup> Not specified on the label

<sup>3</sup> For fruit orchards

<sup>4</sup> Using the combined programme for the control of cane diseases and Botrytis, up to 7 applications may be made in a season

<sup>5</sup> On small plants

<sup>6</sup> On larger plants

<sup>7</sup> At late grape stage

<sup>8</sup> For later sprays

<sup>9</sup> May not be sprayed later than one week after the end of flowering

<sup>10</sup> For first 2 sprays

<sup>11</sup> For third spray

<sup>12</sup> No more than a total of 8 applications on production areas, and, of these, a maximum of 6 between end of flowering and onset of maturity.

<sup>13</sup> For wine grapes, 21 days

<sup>14</sup> Last date for application: 15 August

<sup>15</sup> Depending growth stage: 600-1000 l, 1500-2000 l or 2500-3000 l

<sup>16</sup> Not to be used after the plant weighs 40 g or when the stage "covering of the soil by the leaves" has been reached. If the plant is to be cut at a final weight of 200-250 g, the treatment has to be carried out 3 days earlier

<sup>17</sup> In combination with the application against scabs, no more than 15 applications in total

<sup>18</sup> Application rate for standard tree of 3 m height equivalent to 1.1 kg ai/ha and 1500 l water/ha

<sup>19</sup> For apples

<sup>20</sup> At early spraying before the canopy rows close themselves

<sup>21</sup> Later in the season and during unfavourable conditions

<sup>22</sup> For sprays 1 and 2

<sup>23</sup> For spray 3

<sup>24</sup> Final treatment at the latest before green fruit formation

<sup>25</sup> Other than raspberries, loganberries and blackberries

<sup>26</sup> For vegetables in greenhouses, the PHI is at least double that for field-grown vegetables

<sup>27</sup> Where necessary an additional spray of 1.7 kg/ha may be made following the recommended programme provided that the pre-harvest interval is observed

## **RESIDUES RESULTING FROM SUPERVISED TRIALS ON CROPS**

The Meeting received information from the manufacturer on supervised field trials on:

Fruits	Table 23.	Apples and pears in France, Germany, Italy, The
		Netherlands, Poland and Spain
	Table 24.	Grapes in Chile, France, Germany, Italy and Spain
	Table 25.	Blackcurrants in Germany and the UK
	Table 26.	Blackberries in Germany and the UK
	Table 27.	Raspberries in Germany and the UK
	Table 28.	Strawberries in France, Germany, The Netherlands, Poland
		and Spain
Vegetables	Table 29.	Cucumbers in Germany, Italy and Spain
-	Table 30.	Melons in France and Greece
	Table 31.	Tomatoes in France, Germany, Italy, Mexico and Spain
	Table 32.	Peppers in Italy, The Netherlands and Spain
	Table 33.	Lettuce in Belgium, France, Germany, Greece, Italy,
		Portugal, Spain and the UK
	Table 34.	Leeks in Germany, The Netherlands and the UK
Dried vegetables	Table 35.	Hops in Germany

Residue levels were reported for tolylfluanid and its main metabolite DMST. For supervised trials on grapes, 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST glucoside (230.3 g/mol) and 2-hydroxymethyl-DMST glucoside (230.3 g/mol) were determined as the disilyl derivatives of the aglycones and expressed as aglycones. The sum of tolylfluanid and DMST was calculated and expressed as tolylfluanid on the

basis of the molecular weight of tolylfluanid (347.3 g/mol) and DMST (214.3 g/mol). When tolylfluanid and/or DMST was found to be below the limit of quantification, the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST was calculated as follows and expressed as tolylfluanid.

Tolylfluanid	DMST	Total (expressed as tolylfluanid)
< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
0.10	< 0.02	0.10
< 0.02	0.10	0.16
0.10	0.10	0.26

When residues were not detected they are shown as below the limit of quantification, e.g. <0.05 mg/kg. Residues and spray concentrations were rounded to two significant figures except for residues near the limit of quantification when one significant figure was used. Residues in the trials according to maximum GAP were used for the estimation of maximum residue levels. These results are double underlined.

Most trials were well documented. Laboratory reports included method validation with recovery experiments conducted at 10 times the limit of quantification, and/or at residue levels similar to those occurring in samples from the supervised trials. Dates of analyses or duration of sample storage were also provided. Field reports provided data on the sprayers used, plot size, soil characteristics, climatic data, application dates, residue sample size and sampling date. Although trials included control plots, no control data are recorded in the Tables except where residues in control samples exceeded the limit of quantification. Residues determined before the last application are reported for some trials. The results are not adjusted for recovery.

The government of Poland submitted the summary reports of supervised trials in Poland on apples and strawberries.

Table 23.	Residues	in	apples	and	pears	from	supervised	trials	in	France,	Germany,	Italy,	The
Netherland	ls, Poland	and	Spain.										

Country, Year	ountry, Year		ation		PHI	I	Report no.		
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	
APPLE									
Germany	WP50	1.125	0.075	15	0	0.6	0.4	1.2	8200-83
1983					7	<u>0.5</u>	0.2	<u>0.8</u>	
(James Grieve)					14	0.3	0.1	0.5	
					21	0.1	< 0.1	0.1	
Germany	WP50	1.125	0.075	15	0	2.1	0.5	2.9	8201-83
1983					7	<u>2.3</u>	0.5	<u>3.1</u>	
(Cox Orange)					14	1.9	0.5	2.7	
,					21	1.1	0.3	1.6	
Germany	WG50	1.125	0.075	15	0	1.9	0.20	2.2	8239-87
1987					1	1.7	0.26	2.1	
(Golden Delicious)					3	2.0	0.18	2.3	
					7	0.82	0.11	1.00	
					10	<u>0.92</u>	0.11	<u>1.10</u>	
Germany	WG50	1.125	0.075	15	0	1.6	< 0.05	1.6	8240-87
1987					1	1.5	< 0.05	1.5	
(Jonathan)					3	1.0	< 0.05	1.0	
					7	<u>0.46</u>	< 0.05	<u>0.46</u>	
Germany	WG50	1.125	0.225	15	0	3.5	0.21	3.8	0251-88
1988					1	2.7	0.19	3.0	
(Jonagold)					3	2.2	0.28	2.7	
					7	2.0	0.35	2.6	
					10	1.8	0.39	2.4	

Country, Year		Applic	ation		PHI	l	Residues, mg/	/kg	Report no.
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	(days)	Т	D	T+D <sup>1</sup>	
Germany	WG50	1.125	0.225	15	0	1.4	0.13	1.6	0252-88
1988					1	1.0	0.11	1.2	
(Cox Orange)					3	1.0	0.17	1.3	
					7	0.55	0.20	0.87	
					10	0.35	0.15	0.59	
Germany	WG50	1.125	0.225	15	0	0.98	0.07	1.09	0507-89
1989					1	1.2	0.09	1.3	
(Cox Orange)					3	0.66	0.13	0.87	
					7	0.44	0.11	0.62	
					10	<u>0.48</u>	0.11	<u>0.66</u>	
Cormony	WC50	1 1 2 5	0.075	15	0	1.9	0.15	2.0	0508 80
1080	w030	1.123	0.075	15	1	1.0	0.15	2.0	0308-89
(Cox Orange)					3	0.8	0.15	1.0	
(cox orange)					7	0.59	0.13	0.80	
					10	0.60	0.15	0.83	
					10	<u>0.00</u>	0.11	0.05	
Germany	WG50	1.125	0.225	7	$0^{3}$	0.19	0.03	0.24	RA-
1995					0	0.99	0.06	1.09	2095/95
(Discovery)					3	0.98	0.09	1.13	0380-95
					$7^{2}$	<u>0.35</u> , 0.28	0.04, 0.04	<u>0.41</u> , 0.34	
					14	0.26	0.06	0.36	
Germany	WG50	1.125	0.075	7	$0^{4}_{2}$	0.03	< 0.02	0.03	RA-
1995					0 '	0.78	0.05	0.86	2095/95
(James Grieve)					0	2.9	0.14	3.1	0381-95
					3	2.0	0.12	2.2	
					7-	1.5, <u>1.7</u>	0.11, 0.10	1.68, <u>1.86</u>	
~				_	14	1.0	0.08	1.1	
Germany	WG50	1.21	0.225	7	05	0.48	0.09	0.63	RA-
1995					0	1.6	0.10	1.8	2095/95
(Elstar)					3	0.74	0.10	0.90	0584-95
					14	0.47, <u>0.59</u>	0.11, 0.09	0.65, <u>0.74</u>	
Cormony	WC50	1 1 2 5	0.225	7	14 0 <sup>3</sup>	0.45	0.06	0.55	DA
	wG30	1.123	0.225	/	0	0.50	0.05	0.41	KA- 2005/05
(Malraga)					2	1.4	0.03	1.5	2093/93
(menose)					$\frac{3}{7^2}$	0.01	0.03 0.03	0.00	0383-95
					14	0.40,0.40	0.03, 0.03	0.51, 0.51	
Germany	WG50	1 1 2 5	0.225	7	0	0.41	0.03	0.40	RA-
1995	11 0.50	1.125	0.225	'	$7^{2}$	0.16 0.18	<0.02	0.16 0.18	2095/95
(Jamba)					,	0.10, <u>0.10</u>	<0.02,	0.10, <u>0.10</u>	0586-95
Germany	WG50	1 125	0.075	7	0	0.28	0.02	0.31	RA-
1995					$7^{2}$	0.23, 0.24	0.03, 0.03	0.28, 0.29	2095/95
(Golden Delicious)						, <u> </u>	,	, <u> </u>	0587-95
Netherlands	WG50	1.125	0.225	7	0	0.41	< 0.02	0.41	RA-
1995					$7^{2}$	0.16, 0.19	< 0.02,	0.16, 0.19	2095/95
(Elstar)							0.02		0378-95
Netherlands	WG50	1.125	0.225	7	0	1.6	0.10	1.8	RA-
1995					$7^{2}$	0.40, <u>0.58</u>	0.09, 0.11	0.55, <u>0.76</u>	2095/95
(Schone van Boskoop)									0590-95
Poland	WP50	1.0		3	1	1.30			Govern-
1997					3	0.75			ment
					7	<u>0.44</u>			submis-
					10	0.39			sion
					14	0.35			
France (south)	WG50	1.5	0.1	3	0,	0.23	< 0.02	0.23	RA-
1995		1.35 3			0	0.72	0.03	0.77	2096/95
(Golden)					3	0.66	0.04	0.72	0301-95
					·/-	<u>0.50</u> , 0.48	0.04, 0.04	$\frac{0.56}{0.29}, 0.54$	
	WOS	1.5	0.1	2	14	0.22	0.04	0.28	D.4
France (south)	WG50	1.5	0.1	3	05	0.28	0.02	0.31	KA-
1995 (Commune Survite)					0	0.60	0.03	0.65	2096/95
(Granny Smith)					$\frac{3}{7^2}$	0.95	0.04	1.01	0388-93
					/ = 1 /	<u>0.03</u> , 0.33	0.03, 0.03	$\frac{0.70}{0.50}$ , 0.60	
					14	0.43	0.05	0.30	

Country, Year		Applica	ation		PHI	F	Residues, mg/	/kg	Report no.
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	(days)	Т	D	T+D <sup>1</sup>	1
Italy	WG50	1.5	0.1	3	0 <sup>3</sup>	0.10	< 0.02	0.10	RA-
1994					0	0.88	< 0.02	0.88	2064/94
(Red Chief)					3	0.37	0.03	0.42	0262-94
					5	0.42	0.03	0.47	
					7	<u>0.22</u>	0.03	<u>0.27</u>	
Italy	WG50	1.5	0.1	3	$0^{3}$	0.39	0.04	0.45	RA-
1994					0	1.3	0.07	1.4	2064/94
(Annurca)					3	0.37	0.04	0.43	0264-94
					5	0.35	0.05	0.43	
					7	<u>0.14</u>	0.03	<u>0.19</u>	
Italy	WG50	1.5	0.1	3	0	1.1	0.07	1.2	RA-
1995					$7^{2}$	0.47, <u>0.51</u>	0.07, 0.08	0.58, <u>0.64</u>	2096/95
(Fiorina)					l				0379-95
Italy	WG50	1.5	0.1	3	0	1.9	0.20	2.2	RA-
1995					$7^{2}$	<u>2.3</u> , 2.2	0.25, 0.28	<u>2.7</u> , 2.7	2096/95
(Granny Smith)					L	<u> </u>		—	0589-95
Spain	WG50	1.3	0.1	3	$0^{3}$	0.42	0.04	0.48	RA-
1994					0	2.9	0.13	3.1	2064/94
(Golden Smooting)					3	2.3	0.09	2.4	0265-94
·					5	1.2	0.09	1.3	
					7	<u>1.2</u>	0.06	<u>1.3</u>	
PEAR	<u>.                                    </u>		<u>.                                    </u>	<u> </u>		<u>.</u>	<u>I</u>	<u></u>	<u></u>
Germany	WP50	1.125	0.075	15	0	3.6	0.5	4.4	8202-83
1983					7	<u>1.5</u>	0.3	2.0	
(Alexander Lucas)					14	0.7	0.2	1.0	
					21	0.7	0.2	1.0	
Germany	WP50	1.125	0.075	15	0	8.1	0.9	9.6	8203-83
1983					7	<u>3.4</u>	0.4	4.0	
(Alexander					14	2.2	0.2	2.5	
Lucas)					21	1.9	0.2	2.2	
Italy	WG50	1.5	0.1	3	$0^{3}$	0.10	< 0.02	0.10	RA-
1994					0	0.73	0.03	0.78	2150/94
(William)					3	0.30	0.02	0.33	0266-94
					5	0.22	< 0.02	0.22	
					7	<u>0.26</u>	< 0.02	0.26	
Italy	WG50	1.5	0.1	2	03	0.47	0.02	0.52	DΛ
1004	W030	1.5	0.1	3	0	0.47	0.05	1.00	KA- 2150/04
(Prococe di Fiorano)					2	1.9	0.05	1.90	0267 04
(Plecoce un riorano)					5	0.70	0.05	0.00	0207-94
					5 7	0.55	0.04	0.39	
Spain	WG50	15	0.1	2	03	0.40	0.04	0.40	<b>Ρ</b> Λ_
5pani 1004	W 030	1.5	0.1	3	0	0.51	0.03	0.30	NA- 2150/04
(Freelini)					2	2.1	0.17	2.4	0268 04
(Elconni)					5	0.09	0.07	1.00	0200-94
					5 7	0.77	0.05	0.85	
					/	<u>0.34</u>	0.04	<u>0.00</u>	

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid <sup>2</sup> Two independent composite samples collected per site <sup>3</sup> Before last application <sup>4</sup> Control sample <sup>5</sup> Last application

Country, Year	Application			Sample	PHI	R	Report no.			
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	_	(days)	Т	D	T+D <sup>1</sup>	
Germany	WG50	0.5-	0.1	8	bunch of	0	3.3	0.33	3.8	8200-87
1987		2.5			grapes	14	0.34	0.10	0.50	
(Riesling)						21	0.16	0.25	0.57	
						28	0.19	< 0.05	0.19	
						33 42	0.24	0.07	0.35	
Germany	WG50	0.5-	0.1	8	bunch of	0	5.0	0.39	5.6	8201-87
1987		1.8			grapes	14	1.1	0.19	1.4	
(Spätburgunder)					0 1	21	0.49	0.14	0.72	
						28	0.82	0.14	1.05	
						35	0.41	0.10	0.57	
						42	<u>0.63</u>	0.13	<u>0.84</u>	
Germany	WG50	0.6-	0.3	8	bunch of	0	9.2	0.45	9.9	8202-87
1987		1.8			grapes	14	2.4	0.22	2.8	
(Müller-thurgau)						21	1.7	0.24	2.1	
						28	1.4	0.21	1.7	
						33 42	0.47	0.07	0.58	
Germany	WG50	0.6-	03	8	bunch of	0	11	0.11	11	8203-87
1987	11 020	1.8	0.5	Ũ	grapes	14	4.3	0.20	4.6	0205 07
(Spätburgunder)					0	21	2.3	0.20	2.6	
						28	2.0	0.18	2.3	
						35	<u>1.7</u>	0.15	<u>1.9</u>	
~						42	0.41	0.05	0.49	
Germany	WG50	0.75-	0.3	8	bunch of	0	1.5	0.07	1.6	0212-88
1988 (Müller thurseu)		1.8			grapes	14	0.13	< 0.05	0.13	
(Mullel-uluigau)						21	<0.07	<0.03	<0.07	
						35	<0.05 0.06	<0.05	0.05	
						42	$\frac{0.00}{0.06}$	< 0.05	$\frac{0.00}{0.06}$	
Germany	WG50	0.6-	0.3	8	bunch of	0	4.3	0.23	4.67	0213-88
1988		1.8			grapes	14	1.5	0.20	1.82	
(Müller-thurgau)						21	1.0	0.11	1.18	
						28	0.59	0.12	0.78	
						35	$\frac{0.67}{0.62}$	0.10	$\frac{0.83}{0.74}$	
Cormony	WC50	0.6	0.1	0	hunch of	42	0.63	0.07	0.74	0211.99
1988	W030	1.8	0.1	0	grapes	14	5.5 0.78	0.19	0.97	0211-00
(Müller-thurgau)		1.0			grapes	21	0.78	0.12	0.57	
(						28	0.34	0.08	0.47	
						35	0.35	0.07	<u>0.46</u>	
						42	0.26	< 0.05	0.26	
Germany	WG50	0.6-	0.1	8	bunch of	0	6.0	0.34	6.6	210-88
1988		2.0			grapes	14	1.4	0.18	1.7	
(Riesling)						21	1.3	0.21	1.6	
						28	1.1	0.18	1.4	
						42	$\frac{0.91}{0.91}$	0.14	$\frac{1.17}{1.14}$	
France (south)	WG50	2.0	2.0	5	bunch of	$0^{3}$	0.71	0.07	0.82	RA-2007/92
1992					grapes	0	4.2	0.12	4.4	0423-92
(Grenache)						7	1.9	0.14	2.1	
						14	0.77	0.07	0.88	
	NIC 50	2.0	2.0	-	1 1 0	21	0.36	0.04	0.42	D.4. 0007/02
France (south)	WG50	2.0	2.0	5	bunch of		1.8	0.09	1.9	KA-2007/92
(Carionan)					grapes	7	2.5	0.11	2.5	0424-92
(Carignan)						14	14	0.08	1.5	
						21	0.57	0.11	0.75	
France (south)	WG50	2.0	2.0	5	bunch of	0	5.4	0.14	5.6	RA-2007/92
1992					grapes	21	0.33	0.05	0.41	0425-92
(Syrah)										

Table 24. Residues in grapes from supervised trials in Chile, France, Germany, Italy and Spain.

Country, Year		Applica	ation		Sample	PHI	R	esidues (mg/	kg)	Report no.
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	-	(days)	Т	D	T+D <sup>1</sup>	-
France (south)	WG50	2.0	2.0	5	bunch of	0 21	4.7 1.4	0.19	5.0	RA-2007/92 0426-92
(Cinsault)					Shupes	21	1.1	0.11	1.0	0120 92
France (south)	WP50	2.0	2.0	3	bunch of	$0^{3}$	0.12	< 0.02	0.12	RA-2049/93
1993				-	grapes	0	1.2	0.07	1.3	0085-93
(Grenache)					0 1	7	1.2	0.09	1.3	
Ì.						14	0.33	0.05	0.41	
						21	0.46	0.09	0.61	
						39	0.11	0.02	0.14	
France (south)	WP50	2.0	2.0	3	bunch of	$0^{3}$	0.05	0.02	0.08	RA-2049/93
1993					grapes	0	0.65	0.05	0.73	0320-93
(Chardonnay)						7	0.11	0.04	0.17	
						14	0.08	0.04	0.14	
						21	0.06	0.06	0.10	
Eronaa (aauth)	WD50	2.0	2.0	2	hunch of	<u> </u>	0.05	0.03	0.10	DA 2040/02
	WP30	2.0	2.0	3	grapes	0	0.74	0.08	0.87	KA-2049/95
(Grenache)					grapes	21	21	0.24	24	0321-93
(Grendene)						35	1.1	0.09	13	
France (south)	WP50	2.0	2.0	3	bunch of	$0^{3}$	1.2	0.08	1.3	RA-2049/93
1993		2.0	2.0	5	grapes	Ő	5.8	0.19	6.1	0322-93
(Grenache					8 P	21	1.4	0.07	1.5	
Blanc)						35	0.6	0.04	0.7	
France (south)	WG50	2.0	2.0	3	bunch of	$0^{3}$	1.1	0.07	1.2	RA-2093/95
1995					grapes	0	4.1	0.13	4.3	0015-95
(Grenache)						7	2.7	0.19	3.0	
						14	1.3	0.12	1.5	
						21 <sup>2</sup>	2.6, 1.1	0.22,0.13	3.0,1.3	
						35	0.43	0.09	0.58	
France (south)	WG50	2.0	2.0	3	bunch of	$0^{4}$	0.02	< 0.02	0.02	RA-2093/95
1995					grapes	05	1.7	0.10	1.9	0599-95
(Mouvedre)						07	5.9	0.16	6.2	
						14	4./	0.14	4.9	
						$21^{2}$	3.4	0.10	3.7	
						35	0.62	0.20,0.13	0.75	
Italy	WG50	1.0	0.2	3	hunch of	$0^{3}$	0.02	<0.00	0.10	RA-2065/94
1994		1.0	0.2	5	grapes	Ő	0.10	0.04	0.71	0270-94
(Pampanuto)					8 P	7	0.18	< 0.02	0.18	
× • /						14	0.06	< 0.02	0.06	
						21	0.04	< 0.02	0.04	
Italy	WG50	1.0	0.2	3	bunch of	$0^{3}$	0.12	< 0.02	0.12	RA-2065/94
1994					grapes	0	1.1	0.07	1.2	0671-94
(Sangiovese)						7	0.13	0.03	0.18	
						14	0.06	< 0.02	0.06	
T. 1	11/10/20	1.0	0.1	2	1 1 0	21	0.04	<0.02	0.04	D. A. 20(5/04
Italy	WP50	1.0	0.1	3	bunch of	05	0.15	< 0.02	0.15	RA-2065/94
(Dlucch)					grapes	0 7	2.4	0.05	2.5	0209-94
(Blusch)						14	0.50	0.04	0.42	
						21	0.05	< 0.02	$\frac{0.15}{0.05}$	
Italy	WP50	1.0	0.1	3	hunch of	03	0.03	<0.02	0.03	RA-2065/94
1994	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1.0	0.1	5	grapes	0	2.0	0.06	2.1	0669-94
(Centenial)					D. abes	7	0.13	< 0.02	0.13	5009 9 r
()						14	0.11	< 0.02	0.11	
						21	0.03	< 0.02	0.03	
Spain	WP50	1.0	0.16	3	bunch of	$0^{3}$	0.63	0.11	0.81	RA-2050/93
1993					grapes	0	6.5	0.17	6.8	0353-93
(Xarello)						8	3.3	0.17	3.6	
						14	2.7	0.12	2.9	
						21	1.7	0.11	1.9	
Country, Year	Application				Sample	PHI	Residues (mg/kg)			Report no.
------------------------	-------------	---------------------	-----------	-----	----------	----------------	------------------	----------------	--------------------------	------------
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	-	(days)	Т	D	T+D <sup>1</sup>	
Spain	WP50	1.0	0.16	3	bunch of	$0^{3}$	1.6	0.26	2.0	RA-2050/93
1993					grapes	0	10	0.68	11	0354-93
(Macabeo)						6	8.0	0.31	8.5	
						14	6.9	0.37	7.5	
						21	5.1	0.23	5.5	
Chile	WP50	1.5	0.075	2	bunch of	03	0.32	0.04	0.38	RA-2146/94
1994 (T)					grapes	0 7	5.2	0.15	5.4	0791-94
(Thompson						1 4 2	2.9	0.15	3.1 1.0.2.1	
Seedless)						14 21	1./,1.8	0.12,0.17	1.9,2.1	
01.1	N/DCO	1.65	0.075	2	1 1 0	21	<u>1.0</u>	0.13	<u>2.0</u>	DA 0146/04
Chile	WP50	1.65	0.075	2	bunch of	05	0.61	0.03	0.66	RA-2146/94
Thempson					grapes	0 7	2.5	0.12	2.7	0792-94
(Thompson Seedless)						1/2	1.5	0.08	1.0 1.2.2.1	
Seculess)						21	0.98	0.04,0.07	1.5,2.1	
Chile	WP50	1.65	0.075	2	bunch of	$0^{3}$	0.40	0.03	0.45	RA-2146/94
1994		1.5	0.070	-	grapes	Ő	1.1	0.08	1.2	0793-94
(Thompson		1.0			Brupes	7	0.58	0.02	0.61	01707
Seedless)						$14^{2}$	0.51	0.04,0.05	0.57,0.74	
							0.66	,,	,	
						21	<u>0.24</u>	0.03	<u>0.29</u>	
Country		Applia	otion	•	Sampla	DLII		Dasiduas ma	/////	Poport no
Vear	Form	Applica kg ai/ba	kg ai/hl	No	Sample	PTI (dave)	4 HMD		ук <u>у</u> Т+ 3	Report no.
(Variety)	rom	kg ai/iia	kg al/III	INU		(uays)	4-111v1D	2-111 D	metabolites <sup>5</sup>	
France (south)	WG50	2.0	2.0	5	bunch of	$0^{3}$	0.68	0.17	2.10	RA-
1992					grapes	0	0.79	0.23	5.93	2007/92
(Grenache)						7	0.74	0.15	3.47	0423-92
						14	0.59	0.11	1.94	
						21	0.60	0.11	1.49	
France (south)	WG50	2.0	2.0	5	bunch of	03	1.1	0.12	3.79	RA-
1992					grapes	0	0.70	0.07	4.84	2007/92
(Carignan)						14	0.94	0.10	4.10	0424-92
						14	0.80	0.09	2.87	
France (south)	WG50	2.0	2.0	5	hunch of	21	0.84	0.07	2.12	DA
1992	W030	2.0	2.0	5	grapes	21	1.3	<0.03	2.22	2007/92
(Syrah)					grapes	21	1.2	<0.05	2.22	0425-92
France (south)	WG50	2.0	2.0	5	bunch of	0	1.0	0.11	6.68	RA-
1992				-	grapes	21	1.1	0.08	3.41	2007/92
(Cinsault)					0 1					0426-92
France (south)	WP50	2.0	2.0	3	bunch of	$0^{3}$	0.08	< 0.05	0.24	RA-
1993					grapes	0	0.24	< 0.05	1.67	2049/93
(Grenache)						7	0.22	< 0.05	1.68	0085-93
						14	0.22	< 0.05	0.74	
						21	0.37	< 0.05	1.17	
						39	0.19	< 0.05	0.43	
France (south)	WP50	2.0	2.0	3	bunch of	0'	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.08	RA-
1993					grapes	0 7	0.06	< 0.05	0.82	2049/93
(Chardonnay)						/	0.18	< 0.05	0.44	0320-93
						14	0.20	< 0.05	0.44	
						∠1 35	0.18	<0.05 <0.05	0.45	
France (south)	WP50	2.0	2.0	3	bunch of	03	0.19	0.09	1.65	RA-
1993	W1 50	2.0	2.0	5	granes	Ő	0.55	0.11	8.69	2049/93
(Grenache)					9r 00	21	0.57	0.11	3.41	0321-93
(						35	0.63	0.10	2.45	
France (south)	WP50	2.0	2.0	3	bunch of	0 <sup>3</sup>	0.40	0.08	2.05	RA-
1993					grapes	0	0.53	0.13	7.11	2049/93
(Grenache						21	0.50	0.07	2.37	0322-93
Blanc)						35	0.50	< 0.05	1.41	

Year         Form         kg ai/h         gan base         kg ai/h         No         (days)         4HND         2.107         T-3 metodolar           France (south) (Grenache)         WG50         2.0         2.0         2.0         3         grapes         0 <sup>1</sup> 0.28         <0.05         1.63         RA- 2005         4.87         2005         1.63         RA- 2005         0.06         3.99         0015-95         1.44         0.38         <0.05         0.06         3.99         0015-95         1.44         0.38         <0.05         0.06         3.99         0015-95         1.44         0.38         <0.05         0.05         0.06         0.399         0.005         1.8         Kase         1.09         1.0         0.0         1.0         0.0         1.0         0.0         1.0         0.0         1.0         0.0         1.0         0.0         1.0         0.0         1.0         0.0         1.0         0.0         1.0         0.0         1.0         0.0         1.0         0.0         1.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0<	Country	Application				Sample	PHI	R	Report no.		
(Varrey)         -         -         -         -         -         -         metabolise           France (south)         WG50         2.0         3         bunch of grapes         0 <sup>-1</sup> 0.28         -         0.0         0.37         -         0.05         1.43         2003.95         0.06         3.99         0.015-95           14         0.38         -         0.05         1.88         -         -         -         -         -         0.01         -         0.05         1.88         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         0.05         1.88         -         -         -         -         -         0.05         1.88         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         0.03         -         -         0.02         -         0.03         -         0.05         4.33         .33         -         -         -         -         -         0.03         -         0.05         1.28         RA-         -         0.03         -         0.05         1.28         RA-         -         0.01         -         0.01         -	Year	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No		(days)	4-HMD	2-HPD	T+ 3	1
France (south) (Gremache)         WG50         2.0         2.0         2.0         3         bunch of grapes         0 <sup>1</sup> (0         0.28 (0         -0.05 (0.5)         1.63 (0.5)         KA- (2005)           (Gremache)         WG50         2.0         2.0         3         punch of grapes         0.59 (0         0.67 (0.50)         0.65 (0.50)         0.66 (0.50)         3.99 (0.50)         0.015 (0.50)         0.50 (0.50)         1.88           France (south)         WG50         2.0         2.0         3         punch of grapes         0 <sup>1</sup> (0         0.32 (0)         0.05 (0)         1.88         Percent (0)         0.33 (0)         0.05 (0)         4.33 (0)         S.0 (0)         0.32 (0)         0.05 (0)         4.33 (0)         Net (0)         0.33 (0)         0.05 (0)         1.0 (0)         0.33 (0)         0.05 (0)         0.33 (0)         0.64 (0)         0.33 (0)         0.64 (0)         0.33 (0)         0.64 (0)         0.33 (0)         0.64 (0)         0.33 (0)         0.64 (0)         0.32 (0)         0.63 (0)         0.7 (0)         0.33 (0)         0.64 (0)         0.33 (0)         0.64 (0)         0.65 (0)         0.34 (0)         0.65 (0)         0.34 (0)         0.65 (0)         0.34 (0)         0.65 (0)         0.34 (0)         0.65 (0)         0.65 (0)	(Variety)		C	C						metabolites5	
1995 (Grenache)         Write (Grenache)         Write (Grenache)         Write (Grenache)         Write (Grenache)         Write (Grenache)         Rame (Grenache)         Spann (Grenache)         Write (Grenache)         Write (Grenache)         Composition (Grenache)         Spann (Grenache)         Write (Grenache)         Composition (Grenache)         Grenace (Grenache)	France (south)	WG50	2.0	2.0	3	bunch of	$0^{3}$	0.28	< 0.05	1.63	RA-
(Grenache)         Rame (auc)         Rame (suc)         Rame (s	1995					grapes	0	0.37	< 0.05	4.87	2093/95
Index         Index <th< td=""><td>(Grenache)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>7</td><td>0.59</td><td>0.06</td><td>3.99</td><td>0015-95</td></th<>	(Grenache)						7	0.59	0.06	3.99	0015-95
Image         Image <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>14</td><td>0.38</td><td>&lt; 0.05</td><td>2.06</td><td></td></th<>							14	0.38	< 0.05	2.06	
France (south) 1995 (Mouvèdre)         WGS0         2.0         2.0         2.0         3         bunch of grapes         0 <sup>+</sup> 0 <sup>+</sup> 0.05         0.05         0.02         0.03         0.05         0.04         0.059         0.059         0.059         0.059         0.05         0.0							$21^{2}$	0.52,0.47	<0.05,	3.74,2.02	
France (sum) 1995 (Mouvèdre)         WG50 WG50         2.0 2.0         2.0 2.0         2.0 2.0         3.0 2.0 2.0         100 3.0 2.0         0.05 0.0         0.12 0.0         0.05 0.0         0.13 0.0         0.01 0.0         0.1 0.0         0.1 0.0         0.01 0.0         0.02 0.0         0.05 0.00         0.05 0.00         0.05 0.00         0.01 0.0         0.01 0.0         0.01 0.0									< 0.05		
France (south) 1995 (Mouvèdre)         WGS0         2.0         2.0         3         punch of grapes         0 <sup>1</sup> -0.05         -0.05         6.04         203935           (Mouvèdre)         -         -         -         -         -         -         0         0.35         -0.05         5.41         -         005         453.3         -         -           Italy         0.02         1.0         0.2         3         bunch of grapes         0 <sup>1</sup> 0.26         -0.05         1.28         -         -           Italy         (Pampanuto)         -         0.0         0.33         -0.05         0.31         -0.05         0.31         -         0.020         0.03         -         -         -         -         -         0.01         0.1         0.01         0.1							35	0.61	0.05	1.58	
1995 (Mouvèdre)         km         km         grapes         0 <sup>3</sup> 0.32         <0.05         5.40         2093/95           (Mouvèdre)         - <t< td=""><td>France (south)</td><td>WG50</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>3</td><td>bunch of</td><td><math>0^{4}</math></td><td>&lt; 0.05</td><td>&lt; 0.05</td><td>0.02</td><td>RA-</td></t<>	France (south)	WG50	2.0	2.0	3	bunch of	$0^{4}$	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.02	RA-
(Mouvedre)         Res	1995					grapes	03	0.32	< 0.05	2.34	2093/95
Indy 1994 (Pampanuto)         WG50         1.0         0.2         3         pumeto grapes         0         0.32 (0.47,0.4)         <0.05 (0.47,0.4)         4.26 (0.47,0.4)         (0.47,0.4)	(Mouvèdre)						0	0.35	< 0.05	6.69	0599-95
Indy 1994 (Pampanuto)         WG50 							7	0.32	< 0.05	5.41	
Inaly (Pampanuto)         WG50 (Pampanuto)         1.0 (Pampanuto)         0.2 (Pampanuto)         3 (Pampanuto)         bunch of (Pampanuto)         0.3 (Pampanuto)         0.3 (Pampanuto)         0.3 (Pampanuto)         0.3 (Pampanuto)         0.3 (Pampanuto)         0.4 (Pampanuto)         0.1 (Pampanuto)         3 (Pampanuto)         0.4 (Pampanuto)         0.1 (Pampanuto)         3 (Pampanuto)         0.1 (Pampanuto)         3 (Pampanuto)         0.1 (Pampanuto)         3 (Pampanuto)         0.1 (Pampanuto)         3 (Pampanuto)         0 (Pampanuto)         0 (Pampanut							14	0.40	< 0.05	4.26	
Italy (Pampanuto)         WG50 (Pampanuto)         1.0 (Pampanuto)         0.2 (Pampanuto)         3 (Pampanuto)         0.3 (Pampanuto)         0.3 (Pamp							212	0.47,0.41	<0.05,	4.53,3.53	
Inaly 1994 (Pampanuto)         WG50 (Pampanuto)         1.0 (Pampanuto)         0.2 (Pampanuto)         3 (Pampanuto)         bunch of grapes         0.3 (Pampanuto)         0.2 (Pampanuto)         3 (Pampanuto)         bunch of (Pampanuto)         0.2 (Pampanuto)         0.2 (Pampanuto)         1.2 (Pampanuto)         1							25	0.05	< 0.05	1.00	
Inity (Pampanuto)         WG50         1.0         0.2         3         bunch of grapes         0         0.33         <0.05         1.21         2065/94           (Pampanuto)         -         -         -         -         -         2065/94         -         2065/94           1aly         WG50         1.0         0.2         3         bunch of grapes         0         0.15         <0.05	T. 1	NIC 50	1.0	0.0	2	1 1 0	35	0.35	<0.05	1.28	<b>D</b> 4
1994 (Pampanuto)         WG50 (Samgiovese)         1.0         0.1         0.1         0.42         0.05         0.81 (0.10)         0270-94 (0.22)           Italy (Samgiovese)         WG50         1.0         0.2         3         burch of grapes         0 <sup>1</sup> 0.42         0.05         0.81         0270-94           (Samgiovese)          0.2         3         burch of grapes         0 <sup>1</sup> 0.12         0.05         0.35         RA-           (Samgiovese)          0.1         0.2         3         burch of grapes         0 <sup>2</sup> 0.20         <0.05	Italy	WG50	1.0	0.2	3	bunch of	05	0.26	< 0.05	0.49	RA-
	1994 (Damas ta)					grapes	0	0.33	< 0.05	1.21	2065/94
Indy (Sangiovese)         WG50 (Sangiovese)         1.0 (Sangiovese)         0.1 (Sangiovese)         3 (Sangiovese)         bunch of (Sangiovese)         0.1 (Sangiovese)         1.0 (Sangiovese)         1.0 (Sangiovese)         0.1 (Sangiovese)         3 (Sangiovese)         bunch of (Sangiovese)         0.23 (Sangiovese)         0.0 (Sangiovese)         Cancel (Sangiovese)	(Pampanuto)						14	0.42	< 0.05	0.81	0270-94
Italy 1994 (Sangiovese)         WG50 1.0 0.2 3 bunch of grapes         0 <sup>3</sup> 0         0.12 0.00         <0.05 0.00         0.35 0.05         RA- 206594           (Sangiovese)          0.1         3 bunch of 21         0.10         <0.05							14	0.31	< 0.05	0.54	
	Idal-	WC50	1.0	0.2	2	hunch of	21 0 <sup>3</sup>	0.22	<0.05	0.37	DA
	Italy	wG30	1.0	0.2	3	bunch of	0	0.15	< 0.05	0.35	KA- 2065/04
	(Sengiousse)					grapes	0	0.20	<0.05	1.51	2003/94
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(Saligiovese)						14	0.24	<0.05	0.34	00/1-94
Italy 1994 (Blusch)         WP50         1.0         0.1         3         bunch of grapes         0 <sup>3</sup> 0.12         0.050         0.59         RA- 2065/94           (Blusch)         -         -         -         -         -         0         0.23         <0.05							21	0.17	<0.05	0.32	
	Italy	WP50	1.0	0.1	3	hunch of	03	0.19	<0.05	0.55	RA_
Brack (Blusch)         WP50         1.0         0.1         3         bunch of grapes         0         0.23         <0.05         1.05         0269-94           Italy 1994         WP50         1.0         0.1         3         bunch of grapes         0         0.23         <0.05	100 <i>1</i>	W1 50	1.0	0.1	5	grapes	0	0.23	<0.05	2.83	2065/94
(14)         (13)         (14)         (13)         (10)         (10)         (10)         (10)         (10)         (10)         (10)         (11)         (11)         (12) <th(12)< th="">         (12)         (12)         <th(< td=""><td>(Blusch)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>grapes</td><td>7</td><td>0.23</td><td>&lt;0.05</td><td>1.05</td><td>0269-94</td></th(<></th(12)<>	(Blusch)					grapes	7	0.23	<0.05	1.05	0269-94
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(Blusen)						14	0.38	0.05	0.79	0209 91
Italy 1994 (Centenial)         WP50         1.0         0.1         3         bunch of grapes         0.1         0.14         <0.05         0.13         RA- 2065/94           Spain         WP50         1.0         0.16         3         bunch of 14         0.23         <0.05							21	0.29	<0.00	0.49	
1094 (Centenial)         Information (Centenial)         Information (Centenial) <thinformation (Centenial)         <thinformation (centenial)<="" td=""><td>Italy</td><td>WP50</td><td>1.0</td><td>0.1</td><td>3</td><td>bunch of</td><td><math>0^{3}</math></td><td>0.14</td><td>&lt;0.05</td><td>0.34</td><td>RA-</td></thinformation></thinformation 	Italy	WP50	1.0	0.1	3	bunch of	$0^{3}$	0.14	<0.05	0.34	RA-
(Centenial)         WP50         1.0         0.16         3         bunch of grapes         0 <sup>3</sup> 0.41         0.22         <0.05         0.51         0660-94           Spain 1993 (Xarello)         WP50         1.0         0.16         3         bunch of u         0 <sup>3</sup> 0.41         0.06         1.52         RA-           1993 (Xarello)         UP50         1.0         0.16         3         bunch of u         0 <sup>3</sup> 0.41         0.06         4.32         0353-93           Spain 1993 (Macabeo)         WP50         1.0         0.16         3         bunch of grapes         0 <sup>3</sup> 0.33         0.08         2.64         RA-           1993 (Macabeo)         UP50         1.5         0.075         2         berry         0 <sup>3</sup> 0.37         -0.05         0.94         RA-           1994 (Thompson Seedless)         1.5         0.075         2         berry         0 <sup>3</sup> 0.37         <0.05	1994		1.0	0.1	5	grapes	Ő	0.23	< 0.05	2.45	2065/94
Karley         Image: spain spain (1993)         WP50         1.0         0.16         3         bunch of grapes         0         0.41         0.06         1.52         RA-           1993         (Xarello)         Image: spain (Xarello) <td>(Centenial)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>9 P</td> <td>7</td> <td>0.25</td> <td>&lt; 0.05</td> <td>0.51</td> <td>0669-94</td>	(Centenial)					9 P	7	0.25	< 0.05	0.51	0669-94
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	()						14	0.26	< 0.05	0.50	
Spain 1993 (Xarello)         WP50         1.0         0.16         3         bunch of grapes         0 <sup>3</sup> 0.41         0.06         1.52         RA- 2050/93           (Xarello)                      0.43         0.06         1.52         RA- 2050/93                  0.43         0.06         1.52         RA- 2050/93							21	0.22	< 0.05	0.36	
1993 (Xarello)         website         grapes         0         0.43         <0.05         7.43         2050/93           (Xarello)         2         2         0.61         0.06         4.32         0353-93         0353-93           Spain         WP50         1.0         0.16         3         bunch of grapes         0         0.33         0.08         2.64         RA-           1993         (Macabeo)         0         0.16         3         bunch of grapes         0         0.39         0.13         11.89         2050/93           (Macabeo)         0         0.15         0.075         2         berry         0         0.37         0.05         0.94         RA-           1994         WP50         1.5         0.075         2         berry         0         0.48         <0.05	Spain	WP50	1.0	0.16	3	bunch of	$0^{3}$	0.41	0.06	1.52	RA-
(Xarello)         n	1993					grapes	0	0.43	< 0.05	7.43	2050/93
Spain         WP50         1.0         0.16         3         bunch of grapes         0 <sup>3</sup> 0.33         0.08         2.64         RA-           1993 (Macabeo)         1.0         0.16         3         bunch of grapes         0         0.39         0.13         11.89         2050/93           (Macabeo)         0         0.075         2         berry         0         0.37         <0.27	(Xarello)						8	0.43	0.06	4.32	0353-93
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							14	0.65	0.08	3.99	
Spain 1993 (Macabeo)         WP50         1.0         0.16         3         bunch of grapes         0         0.33         0.08         2.64         RA- 2050/93           (Macabeo) <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>21</td> <td>0.61</td> <td>0.06</td> <td>2.89</td> <td></td>							21	0.61	0.06	2.89	
	Spain	WP50	1.0	0.16	3	bunch of	03	0.33	0.08	2.64	RA-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1993					grapes	0	0.39	0.13	11.89	2050/93
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(Macabeo)						6	0.51	0.16	9.51	0354-93
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							14	0.70	0.27	8.96	
Chile       WP50       1.5       0.075       2       berry       0 <sup>3</sup> 0.37       <0.05       0.94       RA-         1994       (Thompson       2       berry       0       0.48       <0.05	~				-		21	0.87	0.24	7.14	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Chile	WP50	1.5	0.075	2	berry	0 5	0.37	< 0.05	0.94	RA-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1994						0	0.48	< 0.05	6.16	2146/94
Seedless)         WP50         1.65         0.075         2         berry         0 <sup>3</sup> 0.13         <0.05         2.85           Chile         WP50         1.65         0.075         2         berry         0 <sup>3</sup> 0.13         <0.05	(Thompson						1 42	0.53	< 0.05	3.94	0/91-94
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Seedless)						14-	0.49,0.54	< 0.05,	2.63,2.89	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							21	0.56	< 0.05	2.95	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Chile	WD50	1.65	0.075	2	harm	21 0 <sup>3</sup>	0.30	<0.05	2.83	DA
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1004	WP50	1.05	0.075	2	berry	0	0.15	< 0.05	0.80	RA- 2146/04
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(Thompson						7	0.14	<0.05	2.90	2140/94 0702_04
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(Thompson Seedless)						$14^{2}$	0.25	<0.05	1.90	0792-94
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	500010555						1 T	0.00,0.00	<0.05	1.00,2.05	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							21	0.36	<0.05	1.58	
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{1.5} \\ 1994 \\ \text{(Thompson} \\ \text{Seedless)} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.5 \\ 1$	Chile	WP50	1.65	0.075	2	herry	03	0.21	<0.05	0.77	RA-
(Thompson Seedless)       7 $0.41$ $<0.05$ $1.23$ $0793-94$ 14 <sup>2</sup> $0.48, 0.55$ $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<0.05$ , $<$	1994		1.5	5.075	<b>–</b>	Sony	Ő	0.26	< 0.05	1.62	2146/94
Seedless) $14^2$ $0.48, 0.55$ $<0.05, \\ <0.05, \\ <0.05 \\ <0.05 \\ <0.98 \\ $	(Thompson		1.0				7	0.41	< 0.05	1.23	0793-94
21 0.46 <0.05 0.98	Seedless)						$14^{2}$	0.48.0.55	< 0.05.	1.29.1.57	
21 0.46 <0.05 0.98	, í							,	< 0.05	,	
							21	0.46	< 0.05	0.98	

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid <sup>2</sup> PHI at which two independent composite samples were collected per site <sup>3</sup> Before last application

<sup>5</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid, DMST, 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST (230.3 g/mol) and 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST (230.3 g/mol) expressed as tolylfluanid

Table 25. Residues in blackcurrants from supervised trials in Germany and the UK.

Country, Year	Application				PHI	Re	kg)	Report no.	
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	_
Germany	WG50	1.25	0.125	2	$0^{3}$	0.26	0.19	0.57	RA-2061/96
1996		ĺ			0	4.3	0.49	5.1	0411-96
(Titania)		ĺ		İ İ	7	1.8	0.33	2.3	
				i i	14	1.3	0.33	1.8	
				i i	21	0.21	0.08	0.34	
					28	0.06	0.02	0.09	
Germany	WG50	1.25 <sup>2</sup>	0.125	2	0 3	0.14	0.13	0.35	RA-2007/99
1999		ĺ		İ İ	0	1.5	0.41	2.2	0112-99
(Rosenthals				i I	14	0.50	0.17	0.78	
Langtraubige)					21	<u>0.17</u>	0.10	<u>0.33</u>	
Germany	WG50	1.25	0.125	2	$0^{3}$	0.36	0.11	0.54	RA-2007/99
1996		ĺ			0	2.1	0.27	2.5	0202-99
(Titania)		ĺ		İ İ	14	0.57	0.15	0.81	
				i I	22	<u>0.10</u>	0.09	0.25	
UK	WG50	1.25	0.25	2	$0^{3}$	0.32	0.14	0.55	RA-2108/95
1995		ĺ			0	1.4	0.26	1.8	0007-95
(Ben Tirran)				i I	7	0.70	0.20	1.02	
`````		ĺ			14	0.24	0.24	0.63	
		ĺ			21	0.12	0.14	0.35	
		ĺ		İ İ	28	0.06	0.11	0.24	
UK	WG50	1.25	0.25	2	$0^{3}$	0.30	0.22	0.66	RA-2108/95
1995				i I	0	0.81	0.28	1.26	0591-95
(Ben Tirran)				i I	7	0.54	0.39	1.17	
`````		ĺ			14	0.43	0.23	0.80	
		ĺ		İ İ	21	0.27	0.15	0.51	
		l		İ İ	28	0.28	0.18	0.57	
	NIC 50	1.05	0.105		03		A 07		D : 00(1/0)
UK	WG50	1.25	0.125	2	05	0.54	0.07	0.65	RA-2061/96
1996				i I	0	2.4	0.16	2.7	0412-96
(Ben Tirran)				i I	1	1.5	0.30	2.0	
		ĺ			14	0.90	0.29	1.57	
				i I	21	0.31	0.23	0.68	
* ***	NUCCO	1.05	0.105		28	0.18	0.15	0.42	D + 0007/00
UK	WG50	1.25	0.125	2	0,	0.06	0.04	0.12	RA-2007/99
1999		ĺ			0	1.1	0.12	1.3	0203-99
(Ben Tirran)				i I	14	0.32	0.08	0.45	
	WG 50	1.05	0.105		21	0.28	0.07	0.39	T : 0007/00
UK	WG50	1.25	0.125	2	0,	0.03	0.03	0.08	RA-2007/99
1999				İ İ	0	0.42	0.06	0.52	0204-99
(Ben Tirran)				i I	14	0.24	0.08	0.37	
					21	0.07	0.07	0.18	

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid <sup>2</sup> The second application was about 6% under-dosed <sup>3</sup> Before last application

Table 26. Residues in blackberries from supervised trials in Germany and the UK.

Country, Year		Applic	cation		PHI	Res	Report no.		
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	
Germany 1995	WG50	1.7	0.113	4	0 14	8.2 2.0	0.18 0.12	8.5 2.2	RA-2107/95 0533-95
(Theodor Reimers)									

Country, Year		Applic	cation		PHI	Res	idues (mg	/kg)	Report no.
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	
Germany	WG50	1.7	0.113	4	$0^{2}$	3.6	0.41	4.3	RA-2045/97
1997					0	19	1.0	21	0095-97
(Theodor Reimers)					14	<u>1.7</u>	0.22	<u>2.1</u>	
UK	WG50	1.7	0.34	4	$0^{2}$	1.3	0.33	1.8	RA-2107/95
1995					0	7.0	0.80	8.3	0028-95
(Bedford Giant)					7	1.9	0.26	2.3	
					10	0.71	0.18	1.00	
					14	0.61	0.07	0.72	
					21	0.40	0.08	0.53	
UK	WG50	1.7	0.34	4	$0^{2}$	1.5	0.22	1.9	RA-2045/97
1997					0	5.5	0.51	6.3	0440-97
(Fantasia)					14	<u>1.6</u>	0.17	<u>1.9</u>	

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid <sup>2</sup> Before last application

Table 27. Residues in raspberries from supervised trials in Germany and the UK.

Country		Applic	cation		PHI	Res	sidues (mg	/kg)	Report no.
Year	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(days)	Т	D	T+D <sup>1</sup>	_
Germany	WG50	1.7	0.113	4	0	8.5	0.26	8.9	RA-2107/95
1995					14	1.7	0.21	<u>2.0</u>	0027-95
(Schönemann)									
Germany	WG50	1.7	0.113	4	0	11.0	0.23	11.4	RA-2107/95
1995					14	2.4	0.29	2.9	0597-95
(Zewa 2)									
UK	WG50	1.7	0.34	4	$0^{2}$	4.8	0.18	5.1	RA-2107/95
1995					0	12.0	0.32	12.5	0006-95
(Malling Jewel)					7	3.5	0.14	3.7	
					10	1.9	0.10	2.1	
					14	<u>1.4</u>	0.09	<u>1.5</u>	
					21	0.39	0.07	0.50	
UK	WG50	1.7	0.34	4	$0^{2}$	1.5	0.13	1.7	RA-2107/95
1995					0	2.8	0.19	3.1	0598-95
(Glen Prosen)					7	1.7	0.09	1.8	
					10	0.92	0.13	1.13	
					14	0.42	0.04	0.48	
					21	0.21	0.04	0.27	

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid <sup>2</sup> Before last application

Table 28. Residues in strawberries from supervised trials in France, Germany, Italy, The Netherlands, Poland and Spain.

Country, Year		Appl	ication		PHI	F	Residues (mg	Report no.	
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	
Germany	WP50	2.5	0.125	3	10	<u>0.90</u>	0.10	<u>1.06</u>	0087-72
1972					14	0.55	0.10	0.71	
(Regina)					23	0.20	0.15	0.44	
Germany	WP50	2.5	0.125	3	10	<u>0.75</u>	0.15	<u>0.99</u>	0088-72
1972					14	0.60	0.15	0.84	
(Senga					23	0.15	0.20	0.47	
Praecosa)									
Germany	WP50	2.5	0.125	3	11	1.4	0.20	<u>1.7</u>	0089-72
1972					14	1.4	0.25	1.8	
(Senga Sengana)					23	0.40	0.20	0.72	

Country, Year	Application				PHI	R	Report no.		
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	1
Germany	WG50	2.5	0.125	3	0	6.2	0.61	7.2	8250-87
1987					3	1.4	0.43	2.1	
(Tenira)					5	1.4	0.43	2.1	
					7	0.77	0.34	<u>1.32</u>	
					10	< 0.05	0.47	0.76	
					12	< 0.05	0.61	0.99	
~					14	< 0.05	0.39	0.63	
Germany	WG50	2.5	0.125	3	0	7.4	0.51	8.2	8251-87
1987					3	3.3	0.63	4.3	
(Gorella)					37	1.3	0.45	2.0	
					10	$\frac{0.77}{0.61}$	0.20	$\frac{1.20}{1.14}$	
					10	0.01	0.33	1.14	
					14	0.20	0.20	0.00	
Germany	WG50	2.5	0.125	2	14	5.5	0.12	6.1	8252 87
1987	W030	2.3	0.125	5	3	3.3 4.0	0.39	4.5	8232-87
(Senga Sengana)					5	1.0	0.35	1.5	
(Beligu Beliguliu)					7	1.1	0.23	1.5	
					10	$\frac{1.1}{1.0}$	0.14	$\frac{1.5}{1.2}$	
					12	0.40	0.12	0.59	
					14	0.28	0.12	0.49	
Germany	WG50	2.5	0.125	3	0	7.9	0.42	8.6	8253-87
1987					3	6.0	0.51	6.8	
(Karina)					5	3.8	0.46	4.5	
					7	<u>1.9</u>	0.50	<u>2.7</u>	
					10	1.4	0.44	2.1	
					12	0.71	0.32	1.22	
					17	0.51	0.35	1.08	
Germany	WG50	2.5	0.125	3	0	2.6	0.20	2.9	8254-87
1987					3	0.74	0.16	1.00	
(Elvira)					5	0.45	0.13	0.66	
					10	0.05	0.13	0.26	
					10	0.07	< 0.05	0.07	
					14	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	
Germany	WG50	2.5	0.125	3	21	<0.03	<0.03 0.21	<0.03	8255-87
1987	W 050	2.5	0.125	5	3	1.5	0.21	1.0	0255-07
(Senga Sengana)					5	0.31	0.12	0.50	
(Senga Sengana)					7	0.47	0.15	0.71	
					10	0.28	0.13	$\frac{0.149}{0.49}$	
					14	0.22	0.08	0.35	
					21	0.07	< 0.05	0.07	
Netherlands	WG50	1.0	0.125	5	0	2.4	< 0.05	2.4	0013-88
1988					3	1.5	0.14	1.7	
(Elsanta)					7	<u>0.73</u>	0.24	<u>1.12</u>	
					10	0.70	0.08	0.83	
Poland	WP50	2.5		4	1	5.90			Government
1994					10	1.49			submission
					10	<u>2.65</u> 0.99			
France	WG50	1.25	0.125	3	$0^{4}$	0.02	0.09	0.17	RA-2097/95
(south)		1.20	0.120	5	0	1.0	0.75	2.2	0013-95
1995					3	0.61	0.51	1.44	
(Selva)					7	0.08	0.17	0.36	
( - · · · )					10	< 0.02	0.04	0.06	
France	WG50	1.25	0.125	3	$0^{4}$	0.03	0.09	0.18	RA-2097/95
(south)					0	0.21	0.39	0.84	0592-95
1995					3	0.04	0.19	0.35	
(Mara des					7	<u>0.03</u>	0.15	0.27	
Bois)					10	0.02	0.07	0.13	

# tolylfluanid

Country, Year		Appl	ication		PHI	g/kg)	Report no.		
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(days)	Т	D	T+D <sup>1</sup>	· ·
France	WG50	1.25	0.125	3	$0^{4}$	0.45	0.06	0.55	RA-2062/96
(south)					0	1.6	0.14	1.8	0177-96
1996					3	0.64	0.14	0.87	
(Elsanta)					7	0.23	0.22	<u>0.59</u>	
					10	0.25	0.14	0.48	
France	WG50	1.25	0.125	3	$0^{4}$	0.12	0.26	0.54	RA-2062/96
(south)					0	0.36	0.90	1.82	0571-96
1996					3	0.44	0.22	0.80	
(Elsantha)					7	<u>0.35</u>	0.12	<u>0.54</u>	
					10	0.21	0.06	0.31	
France	WG50	1.25	0.125	3	04	1.5	0.27	1.9	RA-2062/96
(south)					0	3.3	0.51	4.1	0815-96
1996					1	<u>2.6</u>	0.22	<u>3.0</u>	
(Mano des Bais)	NIG 50	1.05	0.105	-	0		0.55	2.2	D. 4. 00 (0/0)
France	WG50	1.25	0.125	3	0	2.3	0.55	3.2	RA-2062/96
(south)					7	<u>1.7</u>	0.18	<u>2.0</u>	0816-96
1996									
(Mano des Bais)	WD50	1.05	0.105	2	0.4	0.16	0.07	0.60	DA 2040/07
France	WP50	1.25	0.125	3	0.	0.16	0.27	0.60	RA-2048/97
(south)					0	1.3	0.61	2.3	0285-97
(Degereest)					3	0.41	0.22	0.77	
(Pageroect)					10	$\frac{0.14}{0.00}$	0.15	0.55	
Eronaa	WD50	1.252	0.20	2	10	0.09	0.00	0.19	DA 2049/07
(couth)	WP30	1.23	0.29-	3	0	0.40	0.41	1.12	RA-2048/97
(souii) 1007			0.54		2	2.0	0.79	2.3	0280-97
(Darselect)					7	0.71	0.53	2.31	
(Darseleet)					10	$\frac{0.33}{0.37}$	0.55	$\frac{1.41}{1.34}$	
France	WP50	$1.25^{3}$	0.31-	3	$0^{4}$	0.31	0.00	0.88	RA-2048/97
(south)		1.25	0.35	5	Ő	11	0.55	1.9	0386-97
1997			0.55		7	0.20	0.24	0.59	0500 77
(Elsanta)					,	0.20	0.21	0.07	
Italy	WG50	1.25	0.125	3	$0^{4}$	0.42	0.22	0.78	RA-2062/96
1996		1.20	0.120	5	Ő	0.60	0.18	0.89	0306-96
(Chandler)					7	0.41	0.33	0.95	
()					- 4				
Italy	WG50	1.25	0.125	3	0 4	0.52	0.15	0.76	RA-2062/96
1996					0	1.1	0.28	1.6	0572-96
(Pajaro)					1	<u>0.43</u>	0.13	<u>0.64</u>	
Spain	WG50	1.0	0.1	3	0 3	< 0.02	0.07	0.11	RA-2086/94
1994					0 *	0.13	0.21	0.47	0351-94
(Seascape)					0	1.5	0.44	2.2	
					3	0.45	0.19	0.76	
					5	0.15	0.11	0.33	
					75	< 0.02	0.11	0.18	
					/	<u>0.12</u>	0.09	<u>0.27</u>	
Spain	WG50	1.0	0.125	3	$0^{4}$	0.04	0.06	0.14	RA-2097/95
1995					0	0.19	0.12	0.38	0012-95
(Seascape)					3	0.11	0.07	0.22	
					7	<u>0.03</u>	0.07	<u>0.14</u>	
					10	< 0.02	0.05	0.8	
Spain	WG50	1.25	0.125	3	04	0.17	0.07	0.28	RA-2062/96
1996					0	2.0	0.57	2.9	0418-96
(Seascape)					7	<u>0.32</u>	0.12	<u>0.51</u>	

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid
 <sup>2</sup> First application about 6% under-dosed
 <sup>3</sup> First and last applications about 6 or 7% under-dosed
 <sup>4</sup> Before last application
 <sup>5</sup> Control sample

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Germany       wG30 $0.9^{-1}$ $0.1$ $6$ $0$ $0.07$ $0.03$ $0.12$ $RA-2104/93$ 1995       1.2       1.2       0       0.48       0.16       0.74       0026-95         (Cumlaude)       1       0.29       0.14       0.52       0       0.34         7 $\frac{0.18}{0.23}$ 0.10 $0.34$ 0.10       0
$\begin{array}{c c} 1993 \\ (Cumlaude) \end{array} \begin{array}{c c} 1.2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.29 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.52 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.00 \\ 0$
$\begin{array}{c c} (Cumiaude) \\ \hline 1 \\ 3 \\ 0.18 \\ 7 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.29 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.52 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.32 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.32 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.32 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.32 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.32 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.32 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.32 \\ 0.34 \\ 0$
$3 \frac{0.18}{20} \frac{0.18}{20} \frac{0.10}{200} \frac{0.24}{200}$
Germany WG50 $0.9^{-1}$ $0.1$ $6^{-1}$ $0.28$ $0.06$ $0.38$ RA-2104/95
1995 1.2 3 $0.05$ $0.02$ $0.05$ $0600-95$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Germany WG50 0.9- 0.1 6 0 0.25 0.05 0.33 RA-2104/95
1995    1.2    3   0.11    0.03   0.16    0602-95
(Bella) 7 <0.02 <0.02 <0.02
Germany WG50 0.9- 0.1 6 0 0.91 0.10 1.07 RA-2104/95
1995         1.2         3 $0.57$ $0.06$ $0.67$ $0603-95$
(Cumlaude) 7 0.24 0.03 0.29
Spain         WG50         1.5         0.1         6         0 <sup>2</sup> 0.02         <0.02         0.02         RA-2104/95
1995 0 1.1 0.16 1.4 0302-95
(Dakota) 1 0.62 0.10 0.78
3  0.30  0.06  0.40
7 0.29 0.06 0.39
Italy WG50 1.5 0.15 6 0 0.33 0.07 0.44 RA-2104/95
1995 3 <u>0.18</u> 0.08 <u>0.31</u> 0303-95
(Darina) $7 \ \overline{0.08} \ 0.02 \ \overline{0.11}$
Spain         WG50         1.5         0.1         6         0 <sup>2</sup> 0.07         <0.02         0.07         RA-2063/96
1996 0 0.48 0.07 0.59 0179-96
(Alaska) 1 0.87 0.10 1.03
3 0.55 0.08 0.68
$7 \overline{0.28} 0.04 \overline{0.34}$
Italy WG50 1.5 0.1 6 0 <sup>2</sup> 0.28 0.08 0.41 RA-2063/96
1996 0 0 0.61 0.15 0.85 0419-96
1996         0         0.61         0.15         0.85         0419-96           (Market-         1         0.49         0.14         0.72
1996         0         0.61         0.15         0.85         0419-96           (Market- more 70)         1         0.49         0.14         0.72         0.96

Table 29. Residues in cucumbers from supervised outdoor and indoor trials in Germany, Italy and Spain.

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid <sup>2</sup> Before last application

Country, Year		Applica	tion		Sample	PHI	Re	sidues (mg	g/kg)	Ref.
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.		(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	
France (north)	WG50	1.25	0.45	3	Whole fruit	$0^4$	< 0.02	0.02	0.03	RA-
1996						0	0.30	0.18	0.59	2064/96
(Galoubet)						2	0.08	0.07	<u>0.19</u>	0415-96
						6	0.05	0.04	0.11	
						13	0.02	0.05	0.10	
					Dulm	12	<0.02	0.03	0.05	
					Puip	13	<u>~0.02</u>	0.02	0.05	
					Peel	13	0.03	0.09	0.18	
					Whole fruit, calculated	13	< 0.02	0.04	0.06	
France (north)	WG50	1.25	0.45	3	Whole fruit	$0^4$	0.04	0.04	0.10	RA-
1996						0	0.21	0.10	0.37	2064/96
(Diego)						14	0.10	0.09	0.25	0417-96
					Pulp	14	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
					Peel	14	0.27	0.26	0.69	
					Whole fruit,	14	0.09	0.08	0.22	
Energy of (month)	WC50	1.25	0.45	2	calculated	04	<0.02	0.02	0.02	DA
france (north)	wG30	1.25	0.45	3	whole iruit	0	<0.02	0.02	0.03	KA- 2046/07
(Figaro)						3	0.03	0.08	0.18	2040/97
(Figuro)						7	$\frac{0.03}{0.02}$	0.07	$\frac{0.14}{0.10}$	0070-77
						14	0.02	0.05	0.10	
						21	0.02	0.04	0.08	
					Pulp	14	< 0.02	0.04	0.06	
					Peel	14	0.18	0.31	0.68	
					Whole fruit	14	0.04	0.08	0.17	
					calculated	11	0.01	0.00	0.17	
France (north)	WG50	1.25	0.45	3	Whole fruit	$0^{4}$	< 0.02	0.03	0.05	RA-
1997						0	0.08	0.15	0.32	2046/97
(Diego)						14	< 0.02	0.03	0.05	0097-97
					Pulp	14	< 0.02	0.02	0.03	
					Peel	14	0.04	0.11	0.22	
					Whole fruit,	14	< 0.02	0.04	0.06	
<b>P</b> ( 1)		1.0.52	0.45	_	calculated	. 5		0.00	0.0 <b>7</b>	
France (south)	WG50	1.252	0.45	3	Pulp	$0^{3}$	< 0.02	0.03	0.05	RA-
(Dolto)						0	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.02	2045/95
(Della)						3	<0.02	<0.04	<0.00	0080-95
						7	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
						14	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
						24	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
					Peel	0 5	0.03	0.06	0.13	
						$0^4$	< 0.02	0.04	0.06	
						0	0.37	0.33	0.90	
						3	0.12	0.12	0.31	
						7	0.02	0.07	0.13	
						14	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
						24	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
					Whole fruit,	$0^{3}$	< 0.02	0.04	0.06	
					calculated	0.	< 0.02	0.02	0.03	
						2	0.10	0.10	0.42	
						5 7	<0.03	0.03	0.15	
						14	<0.02	<0.04	<0.00	
						24	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	

Table 30.	Residues	in melons	from	supervised	trials	in I	France	and	Greece.
-----------	----------	-----------	------	------------	--------	------	--------	-----	---------

Country, Year		Applica	tion		Sample	PHI	Re	sidues (mg	g/kg)	Ref.
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.		(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	
France (south)	WG50	1.25	0.45	3	Pulp	$0^4$	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	RA-
1993						0	< 0.02	0.02	0.03	2045/93
(Delta)						3	< 0.02	0.02	0.03	0313-93
						7	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
						14	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
					Daal	22	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
					Peel	0	<0.02	0.02	0.05	
						0	0.20	0.25	0.37	
						7	0.13	0.14	0.00	
						14	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
						22	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
					Whole fruit,	$0^{4}$	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
					calculated	0	0.08	0.10	0.24	
						3	0.07	0.07	0.18	
						7	< 0.02	0.02	0.03	
						14	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
					-	22	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	-
France (south)	WG50	1.25	0.45	3	Pulp	$0^{3}$	< 0.02	0.03	0.05	RA-
1993 (D.1)						04	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	2045/93
(Delta)						0	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	0314-93
						3	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
						14	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
					Daal	14 0 <sup>5</sup>	<pre>\0.02</pre>	<u>&lt;0.02</u>	<u>\0.02</u>	
					1 001	$0^{4}$	<0.03	0.04	0.09	
						0	0.02	0.05	0.03	
						3	0.03	0.05	0.11	
						7	< 0.02	0.03	0.05	
						14	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
					Whole fruit,	0 5	0.03	0.03	0.08	
					calculated	$0^4$	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
						0	0.06	0.07	0.17	
						3	< 0.02	0.03	0.05	
						7	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
					-	14	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
France (south)	WG50	1.25	0.45	3	Pulp	04	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	RA-
1993 (Alaba)						0	<0.02	0.03	0.05	2045/93
(Alpha)						3	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	0315-93
						14	< 0.02	0.05	0.05	
					Deel	04	<0.02	< 0.04	<0.00	
					1 001	0	0.02	0.18	0.02	
						3	0.08	0.09	0.23	
						7	< 0.02	0.06	0.10	
						14	< 0.02	0.05	0.08	
					Whole fruit,	$0^{4}$	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
					calculated	0	0.06	0.08	0.19	
						3	0.04	0.04	0.10	
						7	< 0.02	0.04	0.06	
	NIC 50	1.053	0.45	2	D 1	14	< 0.02	0.04	0.06	DA
France (south)	WG50	1.25 3	0.45	3	Pulp	04	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	RA-
1994						0	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	2052/94
(Alpha)						3	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	0199-94
						14	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
						21	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	
					Peel	$\frac{21}{0^4}$	<0.02	0.02	0.02	
					1 001	0	0.02	0.05	0.03	
						3	0.03	0.03	0.08	
						7	0.03	0.04	0.09	
						14	< 0.02	0.02	0.03	
						21	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	

Country, Year	Application			Sample	PHI	Re	Residues (mg/kg)			
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.		(days)	Т	D	T+D <sup>1</sup>	1
					Whole fruit,	$0^{4}$	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
					calculated	0	0.03	0.04	0.09	
						3	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
						7	0.02	0.03	0.07	
						14	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
						21	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
France (south)	WG50	$1.25^{3}$	0.45	3	Pulp	$0^{4}$	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	RA-
1993						0	0.03	0.05	0.11	2052/94
(Delta)						14	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	0200-94
					Peel	$0^{4}$	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	1
						0	0.10	0.12	0.29	
						14	< 0.02	0.05	0.08	
					Whole fruit,	$0^{4}$	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	1
					calculated	0	0.06	0.08	0.19	
						14	< 0.02	0.03	0.05	
Greece	WG50	1.25	0.45	3	Pulp	$0^{4}$	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	RA-
1994					-	0	< 0.02	0.05	0.08	2052/94
(Thrakiotika)						3	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	0202-94
						7	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
						14	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
						21	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
					Peel	$0^{4}$	< 0.02	0.02	0.03	
						0	0.32	0.40	0.97	
						3	< 0.02	0.04	0.06	
						7	< 0.02	0.02	0.03	
						14	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
						21	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
					Whole fruit,	$0^{4}$	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
					calculated	0	0.14	0.20	0.46	
						3	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
						7	< 0.02	0.02	0.03	
						14	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
						21	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
Greece	WG50	1.25	0.45	3	Pulp	$0^{4}$	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	RA-
1994						0	0.04	0.06	0.14	2052/94
(Thrakiotika)						14	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	0203-94
					Peel	04	0.07	0.05	0.15	
						0	1.3	0.49	2.1	
						14	0.47	0.26	0.89	4
					Whole fruit,	04	0.02	< 0.02	0.02	
					calculated	0	0.20	0.11	0.38	
						14	0.08	0.05	0.16	

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid
 <sup>2</sup> First application about 8% under-dosed
 <sup>3</sup> First application about 6 or 7% over-dosed
 <sup>4</sup> Before last application
 <sup>5</sup> Control sample

Table 31. Residues in tomatoes from supervised outdoor and indoor trials in France, Germany, Italy, Mexico and Spain.

Country, Year		Applica	tion		PHI		Residues (mg/kg)			
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$		
Field										
Germany	WG50	0.6-	0.1	6	0	3.0	0.17	3.3	8204-87	
1987		1.2			2	1.6	0.19	1.9		
(Sioux)					3	<u>0.99</u>	0.17	<u>1.27</u>		
					5	0.55	0.12	0.74		
					7	0.52	0.08	0.65		

Country, Year	Application				PHI		Ref.		
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	
Germany	WG50	0.6-	0.1	4	0	1.1	0.11	1.3	8205-87
1987		1.2			2	0.51	0.07	0.62	
(Moneymaker)					3	0.34	0.09	0.49	
					5	0.17	< 0.05	0.17	
					7	0.13	0.07	0.24	
Germany	WG50	0.9	0.1	6	0	0.72	0.35	1.29	8206-87
1987				-	2	0.14	0.07	0.25	
(Grosse Fleisch-					3	0.15	0.09	0.30	
tomate)					5	0.07	0.06	0.17	
					7	0.06	< 0.05	0.06	
Germany	WG50	0.9	0.1	6	0	1.3	0.37	1.9	8207-87
1987				-	2	0.65	0.21	0.99	
(Hilds-					3	0.20	0.09	0.35	
Hellfrucht)					5	0.15	< 0.05	0.15	
					7	0.07	< 0.05	0.07	
Germany	WG50	0.9-	0.1	6	0	0.38	0.12	0.57	0219-88
1988		1.2		-	2	0.30	0.16	0.56	
(Sioux)					3	0.12	0.13	0.33	
()					5	0.15	0.12	0.34	
					7	0.10	< 0.05	0.10	
Germany	WG50	0.6-	0.1	6	0	4.2	0.22	4.56	0220-88
1988		1.2	0.1	Ũ	2	0.60	< 0.05	0.60	0220 00
(Sioux)					3	0.47	<0.05	0.47	
(biouil)					5	0.33	<0.05	$\frac{0.17}{0.33}$	
					7	0.42	<0.05	0.42	
Germany	WG50	0.9	0.1	6	0	0.97	0.30	1 46	0221-88
1988	11 02 0	0.9	0.1	Ŭ	°2	0.30	0.13	0.51	0221 00
(Hilds-					3	0.27	0.08	0.01	
Hellfrucht)					5	0.14	0.06	$\frac{0.10}{0.24}$	
riennuent)					7	0.08	<0.05	0.08	
Germany	WG50	0.9	0.1	6	0	0.50	0.16	0.76	0222-88
1988	11 0.50	0.9	0.1	Ŭ	2	0.27	0.12	0.46	0222 00
(Hilds-					3	0.18	<0.05	0.18	
Hellfrucht)					5	$\frac{0.10}{0.10}$	<0.05	$\frac{0.10}{0.10}$	
					7	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	
France (south)	WG50	$1.25^2$	0.25	4	07	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	RA-
1992	11 02 0	1.20	0.20		Ő	0.14	0.05	0.22	2006/92
(Zenith)					3	0.05	<0.02	0.05	0418-92
(201101)					7	0.03	<0.02	$\frac{0.00}{0.03}$	0.10 /2
France (south)	WG50	1.25	0.25	4	07	0.03	<0.02	0.03	RA-
1992	11 02 0	1.20	0.20		Ő	0.34	0.08	0.03	2006/92
(Maséro)					3 3	0.27	0.05	0.35	0419-92
(industrio)					7	0.13	0.02	0.16	0119 92
France (south)	WG50	1.25	0.25	4	07	0.09	0.03	0.14	RA-
1992		1.20	0.20		Ő	0.65	0.09	0.80	2006/92
(Canary)					3	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0421-92
(Cullui J)					7	0.05	< 0.02	0.05	0.21 /2
France (south)	WG50	1.25	0.25	4	07	0.02	<0.02	0.02	RA-
1992	11 02 0	1.20	0.20		Ő	0.63	0.12	0.82	2006/92
(Zenith)					3	0.34	0.05	0.42	0422-92
()					7	0.10	<0.02	0.10	
France (south)	WG50	1.25	0.45	4	07	0.09	0.02	0.12	RA-
1993	11 02 0	1.20	0.10		Ő	0.36	0.07	0.47	2125/93
(Lerica)					3	0.24	0.04	0.30	0084-93
()					7	0.31	0.05	0.39	5001.75
France (south)	WG50	1.25	0.45	4	07	0.12	0.02	0.15	RA-
1993			0.10	.	õ	0.60	0.06	0.70	2125/93
(Zenith)					3	0.49	0.07	0.60	0317-93
()					7	0.31	0.05	$\frac{0.00}{0.39}$	551, 75
France (south)	WG50	1.25	0.45	4	07	0.19	0.03	0.24	RA-
1993			00	.	Ő	0.58	0.07	0.69	2125/93
(Masséro 2)					3	0.48	0.04	0.54	0318-93
(					7	0.28	0.03	0.33	

# tolylfluanid

Country, Year	Application				PHI		g)	Ref.	
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(days)	Т	D	T+D <sup>1</sup>	
France (south)	WG50	1.25	0.45	4	07	0.18	< 0.02	0.18	RA-
1993					0	0.62	0.06	0.72	2125/93
(Cannery row)					3	0.50	0.04	0.56	0319-93
					7	0.32	0.03	0.37	
Italy	WG50	1.0	0.1	3	07	0.20	0.03	0.25	RA-
1994					0	1.0	0.11	1.2	2063/94
(Hypeel 244)					3	0.16	0.05	0.24	0259-94
					5	0.23	0.04	<u>0.29</u>	
					7	0.21	0.03	0.26	
Italy	WG50	1.0	0.1	3	0 ′	0.15	0.04	0.21	RA-
1994					0	0.48	0.10	0.64	2063/94
(Hypeel 244)					3	0.42	0.10	0.58	0260-94
					5	0.21	0.06	0.31	
T( . 1	WC50	(	0.6	1	/	0.05	<0.02	0.05	D A
	WG30	0	0.6	1	0	1.2	0.13	1.4	KA-
(Ded Deel)					3 7	1.2	0.10	1.5	2003/94
(Keu Feel)	WG50	1.5	0.15	2	/	0.37	0.00	1.09	D A
1005	WG30	1.5	0.15	3	2	0.77	0.19	1.08	KA- 2100/05
(FYH)					$\frac{3}{7^3}$	$\frac{0.19}{0.14}$ 0.16	0.03	$\frac{0.24}{0.17019}$	2100/95
(LAII) Italy	WG50	1.5	0.15	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.14,0.10	0.02,0.02	0.17,0.19	D A
1005	W030	1.5	0.15	5	3	0.10	0.02	0.13	2100/05
(Marmande)					$\frac{3}{7^3}$	$\frac{0.04}{0.04}$ 0.03	0.02	$\frac{0.07}{0.07}$ 0.06	0583-95
Italy	WG50	15	0.15	3	07	0.62	0.13	0.83	RA-
1995	11 02 0	1.0	0.10	5	Ő	1.0	0.20	13	2100/95
(Canaria)					3 3	0.07	0.02	0.10	0678-95
(Culturiu)					$7^{3}$	$\frac{0.07}{0.04}$ 0.04	0.02.0.02	$\frac{0.10}{0.07}$ 0.08	0070 75
					10	0.05	0.02	0.08	
Italv	WG50	1.5	0.15	3	07	0.05	< 0.02	0.05	RA-
1995					0	0.50	0.04	0.56	2100/95
(Red Setter)					3	0.21	0.04	0.27	0681-95
					$7^{3}$	0.04,0.05	<0.02,	0.04,0.05	
							< 0.02		
					10	0.05	< 0.02	0.05	
Spain	WG50	1.5	0.15	3	0	0.64	0.21	0.98	RA-
1995					3	<u>0.40</u>	0.06	<u>0.50</u>	2100/95
(Nikita)					7 <sup>3</sup>	0.54,0.38	0.09,0.06	0.69,0.48	0679-95
Spain	WG50	1.5	0.15	3	0	0.54	0.09	0.69	RA-
1995					3	$\frac{0.47}{0.24}$ 0.10	0.08	0.60	2100/95
(Royesta)	11/10/20	1.5	0.075		10	0.34,0.19	0.11,0.09	0.52,0.34	0682-95
Mexico	WP50	1.5	0.375	4	0'	0.24	0.03	0.29	RA-
1995 (NULL 4472)					$\frac{0}{2^3}$	0.19	0.08	0.32	2157/95
(NVH 44/3)					5 5	0.21,0.14	0.06,0.07	0.31,0.25	0/34-95
					$\frac{5}{7^3}$	0.19,0.14	0.04,0.08	0.25,0.27	
Mavico	WD50	1.5	0.333	4	07	0.09	0.04,0.00	0.17,0.19	DΛ
1005	W130	1.5	0.555	4	0	0.08	0.02	0.11	1CA- 2157/05
$(BR_{-}84)$					2 <sup>3</sup>	0.11.0.12	< 0.02	0.12	0735-95
(BR-0+)					$6^{3}$	0.13.0.08	0.02,0.02	0.16.0.08	0755-75
					$7^{3}$	0 12 0 03	0.02, 0.02 0.02 < 0.02	0 15 0 03	
Mexico	WP50	1.5	0.375	4	07	0.30	0.08	0.43	RA-
1995					0	0.45	0.11	0.63	2157/95
(Gala)					3 <sup>3</sup>	0.24,0.15	0.07,0.06	0.35,0.25	0736-95
					5 <sup>3</sup>	0.33,0.13	0.08,0.05	0.46,0.21	
					7 <sup>3</sup>	0.09,0.09	0.05,0.03	0.17,0.14	
Mexico	WP50	1.5	0.375	4	07	0.24	0.04	0.30	RA-
1995					0	0.85	0.08	0.98	2157/95
(189 BHN					$3^{3}_{2}$	0.43,0.42	0.04,0.05	0.49,0.50	0737-95
Divino)					$5^{3}_{2}$	0.40,0.34	0.05,0.04	0.48,0.40	
					7 <sup>3</sup>	0.23,0.14	0.04,0.02	0.29,0.17	

Country, Year	Application				PHI		g)	Ref.	
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	
Mexico	WP50	1.5	0.375	4	07	0.35	0.04	0.41	RA-
1995					0	0.58	0.06	0.68	2157/95
(Solimar)					3 <sup>3</sup>	0.66,0.51	0.06,0.04	0.76,0.57	0738-95
<b>`</b>					5 <sup>3</sup>	0.51,0.19	0.08,0.03	0.64,0.24	
					7 <sup>3</sup>	0.40,0.30	0.05,0.05	0.48,0.38	
Mexico	WP50	1.5	0.375	4	$0^{7}$	0.25	0.05	0.33	RA-
1995					0	0.52	0.09	0.67	2157/95
(Yaqui)					3 <sup>3</sup>	0.57,0.23	0.12,0.09	0.76,0.38	0739-95
					$5^{3}$	0.21,0.21	0.05,0.04	0.29,0.27	
					$7^{3}$	0.29,0.52	0.04,0.06	0.35,0.62	
Greenhouse								•	
Belgium	WG	2.13-	0.11	5	0 7	0.62	0.17	0.90	RA-
1997	43.5	2.25 5			0	1.2	0.23	1.6	2126/97
(Blitz)					3	0.91	0.19	1.22	0697-97
					5	0.85	0.18	1.14	
					7	0.74	0.15	0.98	
					10	0.48	0.13	0.69	
Belgium	WG	2.25	0.11	8	0	1.4	0.29	1.9	RA-
1998	43.5				5	0.59	0.20	0.91	2135/98
(Blitz)					7	0.52	0.15	0.76	1619-98
Belgium	WG50	1.41-	0.1	6	0 7	0.24	0.02	0.27	RA-
2000		1.54			0	0.90	0.04	0.96	2097/00
(Elegance)					3	<u>0.72</u>	0.03	<u>0.77</u>	0502-00
					7	0.59	0.03	0.64	
					14	0.33	0.02	0.36	
Germany	WG50	0.6-	0.1	6	$0^{7}$	0.02	< 0.02	0.02	RA-
1995		1.2			0	0.21	0.06	0.31	2101/95
(Piranto)					3	<u>0.24</u>	0.10	<u>0.40</u>	0035-95
					$7^{3}$	0.09,0.10	0.03,0.05	0.14,0.18	
					14	0.04	0.02	0.07	
Germany	WG50	0.9-	0.1	6	0 7	0.51	0.06	0.61	RA-
1995		1.2			0	0.86	0.18	1.15	2101/95
(Ferrari)					3	<u>0.59</u>	0.07	<u>0.70</u>	0607-95
					7 <sup>3</sup>	0.22,0.28	0.04,0.04	0.28,0.34	
					14	0.19	0.03	0.24	
Germany	WG50	0.9-	0.1	6	0	0.25	0.06	0.35	RA-
1995		1.2			3	<u>0.08</u>	0.04	<u>0.14</u>	2101/95
(Venessa)					7 '	0.08,0.05	0.02,0.02	0.11,0.08	0608-95
Germany	WG50	0.9-	0.1	6	0	0.14	0.06	0.24	RA-
1995		1.2			3	<u>0.16</u>	0.04	<u>0.22</u>	2101/95
(Aranka)					73	0.10,0.12	0.02,0.03	0.13,0.17	0609-95
Germany	WG	1.8-	0.11	5	0 ′	0.26	0.06	0.36	RA-
1997	43.5	2.25			0	1.1	0.17	1.4	2126/97
(Suso)					3	0.31	0.06	0.41	0213-97
					6	0.33	0.06	0.43	
					10	0.36	0.07	0.47	
~			0.11	0	10	0.31	0.08	0.44	
Germany	WG	1.46-	0.11	8	0	1.5	0.22	1.9	KA-
1998 (D 11)	43.5	2.25			5	0.97	0.18	1.26	2135/98
(Kogella)	WC	1.46	0.11	0	/	0.80	0.15	1.0/	1021-98 DA
Germany	WG 42.5	1.40-	0.11	8	0	2.5	0.26	2.7	KA-
1998 (Earmani)	43.5	2.25			5 78	1.0	0.24	2.0	2135/98
(renall)					7	0.02	~0.02	1.02	1308-98
Germany	WC50	1.2	0.1	6	07	0.75	0.14	0.28	D۸
2000	W030	1.2-	0.1	0	0	0.23	0.02	0.20	2007/00
2000 (Regalls)		1.3			0	0.74	0.03	0.82	2097/00
(Rogella)	WC	2.02	0.11	5	<u> </u>	0.42	0.05	0.72	0300-00 DA
1007	WG 13 5	2.03-	0.11	3	0	0.51	0.15	0.72	KA- 2126/07
(Cecilia)	+3.3	2.23			2	1.0	0.24	1.4	0700.07
(Cecina)					5	0.93	0.25	1.5	0/00-9/
					5 7	0.93	0.15	1.19	
					10	0.62	0.12	0.69	
1	L	L	L	1	10	0.50	0.12	0.07	1

Country, Year		Application					Residues (mg/kg	g)	Ref.
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	
Italy	WG50	1.5	0.15	3	07	0.07	0.03	0.12	RA-
1994					0	0.38	0.16	0.64	2063/94
(Max))					3	0.26	0.07	0.37	0261-94
					5	0.20	0.05	0.28	
					7	0.12	0.06	0.22	
Italy	WG	1.8-	0.11	5	$0^{7}$	1.7	0.48	2.48	RA-
1997	43.5	2.03			0	2.2	0.56	3.1	2126/97
(Fedra)					3	1.5	0.58	2.4	0698-97
					5	2.0	0.96	3.6	
					7	1.2	0.47	2.0	
					10	0.93	0.36	1.51	
Italy	WG	0.68-	0.11	8	0	1.3	0.36	1.9	RA-
1998	43.5	2.03			5	1.0	0.29	1.5	2135/98
(Sidonia)					7	0.63	0.27	1.07	1620-98
Italy	WG50	1.12-	0.1	6	0 7	0.60	0.06	0.70	RA-
2000		$1.34^{4}$			0	1.2	0.09	1.3	2097/00
(PS 110)					3	1.4	0.06	<u>1.5</u>	0501-00
Italy	WG50	1.16-	0.1	6	07	1.0	0.11	1.2	RA-
2000		1.35 <sup>4</sup>			0	1.4	0.15	1.6	2097/00
(PS 110)					3	1.4	0.10	1.6	0503-00
					7	<u>2.0</u>	0.11	<u>2.2</u>	
					14	1.4	0.07	1.5	
Spain	WP50	1.2-	0.1	3	07	0.07	0.02	0.10	RA-
1993		1.4			0	0.67	0.13	0.88	2048/93
(Daniela)					3	<u>0.49</u>	0.11	<u>0.67</u>	0352-93
					5	0.10	0.03	0.15	
					7	0.15	0.03	0.20	
Spain	WG	1.46-	0.11	8	0	0.91	0.43	1.61	RA-
1998	43.5	$2.27^{6}$			5	0.64	0.41	1.30	2135/98
(Rento)					7	0.83	0.15	1.07	1073-98

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid <sup>2</sup> First application about 13% overdosed <sup>3</sup> PHI at which two independent composite samples were collected per site

<sup>4</sup> For some treatments application rate was under-dosed by 10-25% owing to calculation of water volume in relation to plant height: instead of 1.5m, a standard height of 2m was inadvertently used

<sup>5</sup> Fourth application about 6% under-dosed with respect to the intended use of 3 kg product per ha and m plant height

<sup>6</sup> Third application about 6% under-dosed with respect to the intender <sup>7</sup> Before last application <sup>8</sup> Control sample

Table 32. Residues in peppers from supervised greenhouse trials in Italy, The Netherlands and Spain.

Country, Year		Application				Res	idues (mg/k	(g)	Ref
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	
Netherlands	WG50	1.15-	0.1	3	$0^{2}$	0.18	0.18	0.47	RA-2094/00
2000		1.25			0	0.36	0.33	0.89	0441-00
(Spirit)					3	<u>0.26</u>	0.22	<u>0.62</u>	
Netherlands	WG50	1.2-	0.1	3	$0^{2}$	0.08	0.06	0.18	RA-2094/00
2000		1.3			0	0.39	0.23	0.76	0442-00
(Tiffany)					3	<u>0.20</u>	0.15	<u>0.44</u>	
Italy	WG50	1.0	0.1	3	$0^{2}$	0.04	0.02	0.07	RA-2028/94
1994					0	0.32	0.08	0.45	0256-94
(Rubro)					3	<u>0.07</u>	0.03	<u>0.12</u>	
					5	0.08	0.03	0.13	
					7	0.03	< 0.02	0.03	
Italy	WG50	1.0	0.1	3	$0^{2}$	0.12	0.04	0.18	RA-2028/94
1994					0	0.53	0.10	0.69	0257-94
(Pathos)					3	<u>0.28</u>	0.04	<u>0.34</u>	
					5	0.18	0.03	0.23	
					7	0.06	< 0.02	0.06	

Country, Year		Applica	ation		PHI	Residues (mg/kg)			Ref
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	
Italy	WG50	1.0	0.1	3	$0^{2}$	0.21	0.18	0.50	RA-2028/94
1994					0	0.52	0.27	0.96	0258-94
(Soldi)					3	0.61	0.21	<u>0.95</u>	
					5	0.42	0.13	0.63	
					7	0.16	0.09	0.31	
Italy	WG50	1.0	0.1	3	$0^{2}$	0.45	0.34	1.0	RA-2028/94
1994					0	0.39	0.17	0.67	0665-94
(Soldi)					3	<u>0.49</u>	0.14	<u>0.72</u>	
					5	0.43	0.13	0.64	
					7	0.39	0.13	0.60	
Spain	WG50	1.0	0.1	3	$0^{2}$	0.19	0.04	0.25	RA-2028/94
1994					0	0.93	0.16	1.19	0356-94
(Italiano)					3	<u>0.66</u>	0.12	<u>0.85</u>	
					5	0.52	0.07	0.63	
					7	0.30	0.06	0.40	
Spain	WG50	1.0	0.1	3	$0^{2}$	0.07	0.04	0.13	RA-2028/94
1994					0	0.74	0.17	1.02	0357-94
(Jedeon)					3	<u>0.24</u>	0.12	<u>0.43</u>	
					5	0.36	0.05	0.44	
					7	0.23	0.04	0.29	
Spain	WG50	1.0	0.1	3	$0^{2}$	0.98	0.17	1.26	RA-2028/94
1994					0	2.8	0.36	3.4	0359-94
(Espartaco)					3	<u>0.77</u>	0.15	<u>1.01</u>	
					5	1.2	0.13	1.4	
					7	1.2	0.12	1.4	
Spain	WG50	0.73-	0.1	3	$0^{2}$	0.16	0.05	0.24	RA-2028/94
1994		1.0			0	1.1	0.26	1.5	0667-94
(Mazurka)					3	<u>1.3</u>	0.21	<u>1.6</u>	
					5	0.25	0.07	0.36	
					7	0.33	0.09	0.48	

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid <sup>2</sup> Before last application

Table 33. Residues in head lettuce from supervised trials in Belgium, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Portugal, Spain and the UK.

Country, Year	Application				PHI	R	Residues (mg/k	g)	Report no.
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	
Germany	WG50	0.6	0.1	6	0	17	14	40	8208-87
1987					7	0.36	0.19	0.67	
(Attraktion)					14	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	
					21	<u>&lt;0.05</u>	< 0.05	<u>&lt;0.05</u>	
					28	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	
Germany	WG50	0.6	0.1	6	0	29	11	47	8209-87
1987					7	1.2	0.48	2.0	
(Attraktion)					14	0.12	< 0.05	0.12	
					21	<u>&lt;0.05</u>	< 0.05	<u>&lt;0.05</u>	
					28	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	
Germany	WG50	0.6	0.1	6	0	26	6.5	37	8210-87
1987					7	1.0	0.20	1.3	
(Victoria Typ					14	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	
King)					21	<u>&lt;0.05</u>	< 0.05	<u>&lt;0.05</u>	
					28	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	
Germany	WG50	0.6	0.1	6	0	20	5.6	29	8211-87
1987					7	1.3	0.47	2.1	
(Victoria Typ					14	0.58	< 0.05	0.58	
King)					21	<u>0.17</u>	< 0.05	<u>0.17</u>	
					28	< 0.05	< 0.05	<0.05	

	· 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(Variety)   Form   kg ai/ha   kg ai/h    No.   (days)   T   D	$T+D^{1}$	
Germany WG50 0.6 0.1 6 0 17 82	30	0215-88
1088 W 050 0.0 0.1 0 0 0 17 0.2	1.82	0215-00
(Attraktion)	<0.05	
(Autakton) $21 < 0.05 < 0.05$	<0.05	
$\frac{21}{28}$ $\frac{<0.05}{<0.05}$ $<0.05$	$\frac{<0.05}{<0.05}$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	~0.05	0216.99
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	54 0.72	0210-88
$\begin{array}{c} 1988 \\ (0, since) \end{array} \qquad \qquad 14 \qquad 0.15 \qquad 0.06 \\ \end{array}$	0.75	
(Ovation) 14 0.15 0.06	0.25	
$\begin{array}{c c} 21 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 20 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \langle 0.05 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \langle 0.05 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array}$	<u>&lt;0.05</u>	
	< 0.05	
Germany WG50 0.6 0.1 6 0 30 1.3	32	0217-88
1988 7 13 0.37	14	
(Victoria King) 14 0.63 0.06	0.73	
21 <u>&lt;0.05</u> <0.05	<u>&lt;0.05</u>	
28 <0.05 <0.05	< 0.05	
Germany WG50 0.6 0.1 6 0 36 3.9	42	0218-88
1988 7 2.6 0.41	3.3	
(Victoria King) 14 <0.05 <0.05	< 0.05	
	< 0.05	
	<0.05	
France (south) WG50 1.0 0.1 3 $0^4$ 0.03 $<0.02$	0.03	DА
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18	2066/06
1990 $0 10 1.5$ $2 20 0.60$	10	2000/90
(Massaida) 5 3.9 0.00	4.9	04/4-96
	1.16	
	0.89	
Italy WG50 1.0 0.1 3 0 <sup>4</sup> 0.08 0.02	0.11	RA-
1996 0 16 1.2	18	2066/96
(Audran) 7 3.1 0.29	3.6	0310-96
Portugal WG50 1.0 0.1 3 0 <sup>4</sup> 0.11 0.04	0.17	RA-
1996 0 16 2.3	20	2066/96
(Vanity) 7 1.9 1.6	4.5	0421-96
Spain WG50 1.0 0.1 3 $0^4$ <0.02 <0.02	<0.02	RA-
	25	2090/94
(Rob) 3 88 21	12.2	0360-94
	5.0	0500-74
	3.0	
$V_{C50}$ $1.0$ $0.1$ $2$ $0.4^4$ $1.1$ $0.22$	1.6	D A
Span         wG50         1.0         0.1         5         0         1.1         0.52           1004	1.0	KA-
	11.9	2090/94
(Inverna Typ) 3 5.1 0.85	6.5	0362-94
5 3.5 0.74	4.7	
7 2.1 0.52	2.9	
Spain $WG50 \ 1.0^2 \ 0.1 \ 3 \ 0^4 \ 1.5 \ 0.36$	2.1	RA-
1995 0 12 1.5	14	2105/95
(Hiverna) 3 7.9 0.99	9.5	0005-95
7 3.2 0.67	4.29	
10 2.3 0.46	3.05	
Spain WG50 1.0 0.1 3 0 9.1 0.96	10.7	RA-
1995 7 6.0 0.69	7.1	2105/95
(Francesca)		0008-95
Spain WG50 1.0 <sup>3</sup> 0.1 3 0.4 <sup>4</sup> 0.31 0.07	0.42	RA-
	17	2066/96
(Francesca) 3 60 0.50	79	0178-96
	1.1	0178-90
	4.4	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1.19	D A
Beigium WG 1.125 0.19 6 0 0.76 0.30	1.25	KA-
	15	2136/98
(Soraya) 3 1.6 0.33	2.1	1622-98
	1.4	
10 1.1 0.15	1.3	
France (north)         WG         1.125         0.19         5         0         14         2.4	18	RA-
1997 43.5 7 0.38 0.10	0.54	2121/97
(Titan)		0209-97

(Vance)         Form (sgain)         Rgain)         No.         (days)         T         D         T+D <sup>1</sup>	Country, Year	Application				PHI	PHI Residues (mg/kg)			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.	(davs)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	France (north)	WG	1 1 2 5	0.19	6	0	34	13	36	RA-
itemap         itemap         WG         1.125         0.19         5         0 <sup>4</sup> 2.7         0.35         3.3         RA-           (Nadine)         43.5         1.125         0.19         5         0 <sup>4</sup> 2.7         0.135         3.3         RA-           (Nadine)         43.5         1.125         0.19         5         0 <sup>4</sup> 2.7         0.12         0.91           Germany         WG         1.125         0.19         5         0 <sup>4</sup> 6.9         0.47         7.7         RA-           (Nadine)         0         0.1         0.40         0.07         0.21         0.639-97           (Nadine)         0         9.2         0.67         10.3         2156/98           (Nadine)         43.5         1.125         0.19         6         0 <sup>4</sup> 1.3         0.20         1.6         RA-           1998         43.5         1.125         0.19         6         0         10         0.39         11         RA-           1998         43.5         1.125         0.19         6         0         16         1.1         18         RA-           1998         43.5         1.2	1998	43 5	1.125	0.19	Ŭ	6	11	0.56	12	2136/98
	(Remeo)	15.5				8	13	0.42	14	1625-98
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Germany	WG	1 1 2 5	0.19	5	$0^4$	27	0.35	33	RA-
	1997	43.5	1.125	0.17	5	0	26	0.75	27	2121/97
(1.00)         (1.0)         (1.1) <t< td=""><td>(Nadine)</td><td>15.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>3</td><td>25</td><td>0.52</td><td>33</td><td>0208-97</td></t<>	(Nadine)	15.5				3	25	0.52	33	0208-97
Germany (Nadine)         WG 43.5         1.125         0.19         5         0 <sup>4</sup> 6.9         0.47         7.7         RA- 29         212.197           (Nadine)         43.5         1.125         0.19         5         0 <sup>4</sup> 6.9         0.47         7.7         RA- 1.0         29         212.197           (Nadine)         43.5         1.125         0.19         6         0 <sup>4</sup> 1.3         0.20         0.51         0.639.97           (Nadine)         43.5         1.125         0.19         6         0 <sup>4</sup> 1.3         0.20         0.67         10.3         213.698           (Nadine)         -         -         10         0.19         0.12         2.3.21         1074-98           (Nadine)         -         -         -         10         0.39         11         RA-           (Nadine)         43.5         -         -         -         7         3.7         0.51         4.5         1074-98           (Nadine)         -         0.19         5         0 <sup>4</sup> 9.8         0.11         18         RA           1998         43.5         -         1.99         5         0 <sup>4</sup> 9	(i tudine)					7	1.4	0.19	17	0200 97
						10	0.72	0.12	0.91	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Germany	WG	1 1 2 5	0.19	5	$0^4$	69	0.12	77	RA-
	1997	43 5	1.125	0.19	5	0	27	1.0	29	2121/97
	(Nadine)	15.5				3	0.4	0.07	0.51	0639-97
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(r tuunite)					7	0.26	0.02	0.29	0000 00
						10	0.15	< 0.02	0.15	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Germany	WG	1 1 2 5	0.19	6	04	13	0.20	16	RA-
	1998	43.5		0.19	Ũ	Ő	9.2	0.67	10.3	2136/98
	(Nadine)	1010				2	4.0	0.44	47	1074-98
lend         lend         lend         lo         1.5         0.12         1.7           Germany         WG         1.125         0.19         6         0         10         0.39         11         RA-           Isp8         43.5         1         1.15         0.19         6         0         10         0.39         1.1         RA-           Germany         WG         1.15         0.19         6         0         16         1.1         18         RA-           Isp8         43.5         1.125         0.19         6         0         16         1.1         18         RA-           (Natine)         43.5         1.125         0.19         5         0'         2.8         0.34         10.4         RA-           (Siletta)         1.2         0.45         13         0638-97         10         1.8         0.10         2.0         111         1.8         0.10         2.0           France (south)         WP50         0.8         0.8         2         0'         0.10         0.42         1.0         0.12         0.05         0.20           France (south)         WP50         1.0         0.1 <t< td=""><td>(1 (uuiiie)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>7</td><td>2.0.1.9</td><td>0.21.0.21</td><td>2.3.2.2</td><td>10,190</td></t<>	(1 (uuiiie)					7	2.0.1.9	0.21.0.21	2.3.2.2	10,190
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						10	1.5	0.12	17	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Germany	WG	1 1 2 5	0.19	6	0	10	0.39	11	RA-
(Nadine)         No.         Image of the second sec	1998	43.5		0.19	Ũ	ő	2.3	0.30	2.8	2136/98
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(Nadine)	1010				7	2121	0 16 0 19	2.4.2.4	1077-98
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Germany	WG	1 1 2 5	0.19	6	0	16	11	18	RA-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1998	43 5	1.125	0.19	Ŭ	5	5.8	0.71	7.0	2136/98
	(Nadine)	1010				7	37	0.51	4 5	1624-98
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} 1997 & 43.5 & 1.112 & 0.12 & 0 & 2.8 & 0.77 & 2.9 & 2121/97 \\ 3 & 12 & 0.45 & 13 & 0638-97 \\ 3 & 12 & 0.45 & 13 & 0638-97 \\ 7 & 0.26 & 0.10 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 1.8 & 0.10 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 1.8 & 0.10 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 1.8 & 0.10 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 1.8 & 0.10 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 1.8 & 0.10 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 1.8 & 0.10 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 1.8 & 0.10 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 1.8 & 0.10 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.20 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.20 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.20 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.20 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.20 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.20 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.20 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.20 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.20 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.20 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.20 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.20 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.20 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.20 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.20 & 2.0 & 2.0 \\ 10 & 1.3 & 0.24 & 1.7 & 2.0 & 0.1 \\ 10 & 1.3 & 0.24 & 1.7 & 2.0 & 0.1 \\ 10 & 1.3 & 0.24 & 1.7 & 2.0 & 0.1 \\ 10 & 1.2 & 13 & 2.039/99 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 2.0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.64 & RA-\\ 10 & 1.9 & 0.19 & 2.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.64 & RA-\\ 10 & 1.9 & 0.19 & 2.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.1 \\ 10 & 1.9 & 0.19 & 2.2 & 0.1 $	UK	WG	1 1 2 5	0.19	5	$0^{4}$	9.8	0.34	10.4	RA-
	1997	43 5	1.125	0.19	5	Ő	28	0.77	29	2121/97
	(Siletta)	15.5				3	12	0.45	13	0638-97
rance (south) 1998 (Batavia Nevada)         WP50 w         0.8 w         0.08 w         2 w         0 <sup>4</sup> 0 15 m         0.10 0.12 10         <0.02 0.15 0.18         0.10 1.8         RA- 2039/98           (Batavia Nevada)         w         0.10 w         1.8         0.55 0.7         1.410-98         2039/98           (Batavia Nevada)         w         0.1         2         0 <sup>4</sup> 0         0.43         0.13         0.64         RA- 2039/98           (Princesse)         w         1.0         0.1         2         0 <sup>4</sup> 0         0.43         0.13         0.64         RA- 2039/99           (Princesse)         1.0         0.1         2         0 <sup>4</sup> 0         0.46         0.11         0.64         RA- 2039/99           (Acacia)         1.0         0.1         2         0 <sup>4</sup> 0         0.46         0.11         0.64         RA- 10           1999 (Acacia)         1.0         0.1         2         0 <sup>4</sup> 7         0.46         0.11         0.64         RA- 10           1999 (Messalina)         0.8         0.08         2         0         14         2.1         17         RA- 100           1999 (Grand Lenta a Montare)         0.1         2         0         9.7         0.77 <td< td=""><td>(Shetta)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>7</td><td>2.8</td><td>0.13</td><td>3.0</td><td>0050 77</td></td<>	(Shetta)					7	2.8	0.13	3.0	0050 77
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						10	1.8	0.10	2.0	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	France (south)	WP50	0.8	0.08	2	$0^4$	0.10	<0.02	0.10	RA-
	1998		0.0	0.00	2	0	15	1.8	18	2039/98
	(Batavia Nevada)					3	1.8	0.55	27	1410-98
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(Data via ric vada)					7	0.26	0.10	0.42	1410-90
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						10	0.12	0.05	0.12	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	France (south)	WP50	1.0	0.1	2	04	0.12	0.13	0.20	RA-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1999	W150	1.0	0.1	2	0	67	1.2	86	2039/99
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(Princesse)					3	4 5	0.72	5.7	0032-99
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(Timeesse)					7	2.9	0.38	3.5	0052 77
Greece 1999 (Acacia)WP501.00.12 $0^4$ $0.46$ 0.110.64RA- 2039/99 (3111.2132039/99 2039/99(Acacia) $$						10	13	0.24	17	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Greece	WP50	1.0	0.1	2	$0^4$	0.46	0.11	0.64	RA-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1999		1.0	0.1	-	Ő	11	12	13	2039/99
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(Acacia)					3	11	0.53	12	0510-99
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(1100010)					7	4.6	0.45	53	0010 ))
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						10	1.9	0.19	2.2	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Italv	WP50	0.8	0.08	2	0	14	2.1	17	RA-
(Messalina)         Image: Constraint of the second se	1998				-	7	0.11	0.06	0.21	2039/98
Italy 1999 (Bionda Lenta a Montare)         WP50         1.0         0.1         2         0         5.1         0.39         5.7         RA- 2039/99           Portugal (Grand Rapid)         WP50         1.0         0.1         2         0         9.7         0.77         11.0         RA- 2039/99           Spain 1999 (Daguan)         WP50         1.0         0.1         2         0         9.7         0.77         11.0         RA- 2039/99           Spain 1999 (Daguan)         WP50         1.0 <sup>5</sup> 0.1         2         0 <sup>4</sup> 4.8         0.95         6.3         RA- 2039/99           Spain 1999 (Daguan)         WP50         1.0         0.1         2         0 <sup>4</sup> 4.8         0.95         6.3         RA- 2039/99           Spain 1999 (Daguan)         WP50         1.0         0.1         2         0 <sup>4</sup> 4.8         0.95         6.3         RA- 10           Spain 1999         WP50         1.0         0.1         2         0 <sup>4</sup> 4.0         0.68         5.1         RA- 10           Spain 1999         WP50         1.0         0.1         2         0 <sup>4</sup> 4.0         0.68         5.1         RA- 2039/99           Mota	(Messalina)									1409-98
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Italy	WP50	1.0	0.1	2	0	5.1	0.39	5.7	RA-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1999			•••	-	7	1.3	0.12	1.5	2039/99
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(Bionda Lenta a									0033-99
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Montare)									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Portugal	WP50	1.0	0.1	2	0	9.7	0.77	11.0	RA-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1999					7	2.3	0.25	2.7	2039/99
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(Grand Rapid)									0034-99
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Spain	WP50	1.0 <sup>5</sup>	0.1	2	$0^{4}$	4.8	0.95	6.3	RA-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1999					0	12	1.8	15	2039/99
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(Daguan)					3	12	1.2	14	0031-99
Spain         WP50         1.0         0.1         2         0 <sup>4</sup> 4.0         0.68         5.1         RA-           1999         (Daguan)         0         14         1.5         16         2039/99						7	8.5	1.2	10.4	
Spain         WP50         1.0         0.1         2         0 <sup>4</sup> 4.0         0.68         5.1         RA-           1999         (Daguan)         0         14         1.5         16         2039/99           (Daguan)         6         9.7         1.2         12         0509-99						10	8.4	1.1	10.2	
1999         0         14         1.5         16         2039/99           (Daguan)         6         9.7         1.2         12         0509-99	Spain	WP50	1.0	0.1	2	$0^{4}$	4.0	0.68	5.1	RA-
(Daguan) 6 9.7 1.2 12 0509-99	1999					0	14	1.5	16	2039/99
	(Daguan)					6	9.7	1.2	12	0509-99

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid

<sup>2</sup> First application about 9% under-dosed
<sup>3</sup> First application about 5% over-dosed
<sup>4</sup> Before last application
<sup>5</sup> Last application about 7% under-dosed.

Table 34. Residues in leek shoots from supervised trials in France, Germany, The Netherlands and the UK.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	97 97 97 97
Belgium 1997 (Arkansa)WG50 $1.25^3$ $0.21$ $5$ $0^2$ $0.24$ $0.09$ $0.39$ RA-2047/9 $0$ $3.1$ $1.9$ $6.2$ $0242-97$ $7$ $0.06$ $0.03$ $0.11$ $14$ $0.02$ $<0.02$ $0.05$ $21$ $<0.02$ $<0.02$ $<0.02$	97 97 97 97
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	97 97
(Arkansa)       7 $0.06$ $0.03$ $0.11$ 14 $0.02$ $<0.02$ $0.05$ 21 $<0.02$ $<0.02$ $<0.02$	97 97
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	97 97
$21  \underline{\leq 0.02}  \leq 0.02  \underline{\leq 0.02}$	97 97
	97 97
	97 97
France (north) WG50 1.25 0.21 5 0 5.8 1.0 7.4 RA-2047/9	97
1997 14 3.5 0.74 4.7 0243-97	97
(Adrea) 21 <u>0.94</u> 0.36 <u>1.52</u>	97
Germany WG50 1.25 0.21 5 0 <sup>2</sup> 0.42 0.09 0.57 RA-2047/9	
1997 0 7.5 1.2 9.4 0241-97	
(Paragon) 7 2.5 0.49 3.3	
14 1.4 0.30 1.9	
21  0.84  0.20  1.16	
28 0.42 0.12 0.61	
Germany WG50 1.25 0.21 5 0 <sup>2</sup> 0.40 0.09 0.55 RA-2047/9	97
1997 0 5.0 1.1 6.8 0441-97	
(Paragon) 7 1.5 0.40 2.1	
14 0.79 0.32 1.31	
21  0.58  0.24  0.97	
28 0.47 0.14 0.70	
Netherlands         WG50         1.25         0.21         5         0         1.7         0.29         2.2         0514-89	
1989 14 0.61 0.10 0.77	
(Gauia) 21 0.29 0.06 0.39	
$27  \underline{0.34}  0.07  \underline{0.45}$	
Netherlands         WG50         1.25         0.21         5         0         1.8         0.18         2.1         0515-89	
1989 14 0.63 0.07 0.74	
(Porino) 21 <u>0.92</u> 0.09 <u>1.07</u>	
28 0.51 0.08 0.64	
Netherlands         WG50         1.25         0.21         5         0         1.9         0.22         2.3         0517-89	
1989 15 0.74 0.08 0.87	
(Cortina) $22  0.17  <0.05  0.17$	
30 0.11 <0.05 0.11	
UK WG50 1.25 0.21 5 0 8.7 1.3 10.8 RA-2047/9	97
1997 14 2.4 0.48 3.2 0442-97	
(Verina) $21 \ \underline{1.2} \ 0.37 \ \underline{1.8}$	
$\frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{100}	
2000 0 76 0 74 88 013200	<i>J</i> 0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
29 0.29 0.03 0.34	

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid.
 <sup>2</sup> Before last application
 <sup>3</sup> First application 7% over-dosed

Country, Year		Applicat	ion	_	Sample         PHI         Residues (mg/kg)					Report
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No.		(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	no.
Germany	WG	1.0-	0.1	6	Cone,	$0^{3}$	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	RA-
1995	50	3.0			Green	0	32	2.4	36	2102/95
(Hallertauer						4	15	1.3	17	0029-95
Tradition)						8	13	1.1	15	
,						$14^{2}$	3.8,3.9	1.1,0.81	5.6, 5.2	
						21	4.3	< 0.5	4.3	
					Cone,	$14^{2}$	<u>7.8</u> ,3.3	3.5,2.7	<u>13.5</u> , 7.7	
					Dried	21	5.0	4.7	12.6	
Germany	WG	1.0-	0.1	6	Cone,	$0^{3}$	0.68	< 0.5	0.68	RA-
1995	50	3.0			Green	0	35	3.9	41	2102/95
(Perle)						3	21	2.2	25	0535-95
						7	15	1.6	18	
						$14^{2}$	9.2,6.1	1.0,0.72	10.8, 7.3	
						20	7.5	0.71	8.7	
					Cone,	14 <sup>2</sup>	<u>9.1</u> ,8.5	14,11	<u>31.8</u> ,26.3	
					Dried	20	7.3	6.1	17.2	
Germany	WG	1.0-	0.1	6	Cone,	05	1.6	<0.5	1.6	RA-
1995	50	3.0			Green	0	27	4.1	34	2102/95
(Northern						2	28	6.2	38	0613-95
Brewer)						1	29	4.6	36	
						14-	15,17	1.5,1.5	17, 19	
						22	11	1./	14	
					Cone,	$14^{2}$	<u>11</u> ,11	13,11	<u>32,</u> 29	
					Dried	22	8.0	9.2	22.9	
Germany	WG	1.0-	0.1	6	Cone,	05	1.6	0.69	2.7	RA-
1995	50	3.0			Green	0	57	12	76	2102/95
(Spalter Select)						3	35	3.8	41	0614-95
						1 42	25	2.8	30	
					Cana	$\frac{14}{14^2}$	7.8,18	1.1,1.9	9.0, 21	
					Dried	14	<u>27</u> ,17	27,29	<u>/1</u> , 04	
Germany	WG	1.0-	0.1	6	Cone,	$0^{3}$	2.4	< 0.5	2.4	RA-
1996	50	3.0			Green	0	24	3.1	29	2067/96
(Hallertauer						2	25	3.6	31	0167-96
Tradition)						6	11	1.2	13	
						13	4.1	<0.5	4.1	
					~	20	4.6	<0.5	4.6	
					Cone,	13	2.8	3.7	<u>8.8</u>	
~				-	Dried	20	2.2	2.1	5.6	
Germany	WG	1.0-	0.1	6	Cone,	05	8.3	0.70	9.4	KA-
1996 (See 16 - Set 1996)	50	3.0			Green	0	53	3.7	59	2067/96
(Spatter Select)						2	36	4.3	43	0568-96
						0 12	26	2.0	29	
						15	19	1.3	21	
					Cono	12	8 0	12	20.0	
					Dried	20	<u>8.9</u> 5.6	80	<u>30.0</u> 20.0	
Germany	WG	1.0-	0.1	6	Cone	03	43	<0.5	43	RA-
1996	50	3.0	0.1		Green	0	24	13	26	2067/96
(Perle)	50	5.0			Given	2	19	3.0	24	0569-96
(- ••)						6	11	0.86	12	5007 70
						13	69	0.55	7.8	
						20	91	0.62	10.1	
					Cone	13	5.4	86	193	
					Dried	20	$\frac{4.0}{4.0}$	4.9	11.9	

Table 35. Residues	in hops	from	supervised	trials	in	Germany.
--------------------	---------	------	------------	--------	----	----------

Country, Year		Applicat	ion		Sample	PHI		Residues (mg	/kg)	Report
(Variety)	Form	kg	kg	No.		(days)	Т	D	$T+D^1$	no.
		ai/ha	ai/hl							
Germany	WG	1.0-	0.1	6	Cone,	$0^{3}$	6.5	< 0.5	6.5	RA-
1996	50	3.0			Green	0	26	1.5	28	2067/96
(Northern						2	23	3.47	29	0570-96
Brewer)						6	17	1.7	20	
						13	12	1.1	14	
						20	5.3	0.93	6.8	
					Cone,	13	10	4.8	<u>18</u>	
					Dried	20	4.4	4.3	11.4	

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid

<sup>2</sup> PHI at which two independent composite samples were collected per site

<sup>3</sup> Before last application

### FATE OF RESIDUES IN STORAGE AND PROCESSING

### In processing

The Meeting received information on the fate of incurred residues of tolylfluanid and DMST during the processing of apples, pears, grapes, blackcurrants, strawberries, tomatoes, lettuce and hops, and also of the glucosides of 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST and 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST during the processing of grapes. Information on the fate of incurred residues during drying of hops is included in Table 35 above.

Sneikus (2000) demonstrated that tolylfluanid is hydrolyzed in simulated cooking conditions. When added to buffered drinking water at 0.5 mg/l it was completely hydrolyzed to DMST (recovery of radioactivity of >99.9%) when heated at 100°C for 60 min at pH 5 or at 120°C for 20 min at pH 6. No products other than DMST were detected.

<u>Apples and pears</u>. Nüsslein and Walz-Tylla (1996) harvested 24 to 26 kg of apples from supervised trials in Italy in 1994 (Table 23) 7 days after the last treatment and processed them into juice, sauce and pomace in accordance with industrial practices, except that household practices were also used to prepare sauce from Annurca apples (Figure 5). Residues of tolylfluanid were mainly transferred to pomace. They were below the limit of quantification (0.02 mg/kg) in the juice and sauce, and in wet pomace were about 3 times those in the fruit. Conversion of tolylfluanid into DMST was observed in the heated products, sauce and dry pomace.

Apples and pears from supervised trials in Germany in 1983 were also processed into sauce, juice and canned fruit but only summary details were reported (Anon., 1984).

Table 36. Residues in apples and their processed commodities from supervised trials in Italy and Germany.

Country, Year		Applica	ation		PHI	Sample	Residues (mg/kg)			Reference
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	(days)		Т	D	$T+D^1$	
APPLE										
Italy	WG	1.5	0.1	3	7	Fruit	0.22	0.03	0.27	Nüsslein
1994						Juice	0.02	0.02	0.03	and Walz-
(Red Chief)						Sauce	< 0.02	0.06	0.10	Tylla, 1996
						Pomace, wet	0.64	0.08	0.77	RA-3064/94
						Pomace, dry	1.15	0.54	2.02	0262-94

Country, Year		Applica	ation		PHI	Sample	Res	idues (mg/	′kg)	Reference
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	(days)	_	Т	D	$T+D^1$	
Italy	WG	1.5	0.1	3	7	Fruit	0.14	0.03	0.19	Nüsslein
1994						Juice	< 0.02	0.02	0.03	and Walz-
(Annurca)						Sauce	< 0.02	0.09	0.15	Tylla, 1996
						Pomace, wet	0.61	0.08	0.74	RA-3064/94
						Pomace, dry	1.55	0.47	2.31	0264-94
Italy	WG	7.5	0.2	1	7	Fruit	2.56	0.12	2.75	Nüsslein
1994						Juice	< 0.02	0.16	0.26	and Walz-
(Red Chief)						Pomace, wet	3.73	0.14	3.96	Tylla, 1996
										RA-3064/94
										0783-94
Germany	WP	1.125	0.075	15	7	Fruit	0.6	0.4	1.2	Anon., 1984
1983						Sauce	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	8200-83
(James Grieve)						Juice	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
						Canned fruit	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
Germany	WP	1.125	0.075	15	7	Fruit	2.1	0.5	2.9	Anon., 1984
1983						Sauce	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	8201-83
(Cox Orange)						Juice	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
						Canned fruit	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
PEAR										
Germany	WP	1.125	0.075	15	7	Fruit	3.5	0.5	4.3	Anon., 1984
1983						Juice	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	8202-83
(Alexander						Canned fruit	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
Lucas)										
Germany	WP	1.125	0.075	15	7	Fruit	8.1	0.9	9.6	Anon., 1984
1983						Juice	< 0.1	0.2	0.3	8203-83
(Alexander						Canned fruit	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	
Lucas)										

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid



Figure 5. Processing of apples to juice, sauce and pomace (Nüsslein and Walz-Tylla, 1996).

<u>Grapes</u>. Bunches of grapes from supervised trials in Germany in 1987 (Anon., 1988) and in France in 1992 and 1993 (Brennecke, 1996a,g) were processed into wine and must as in commercial practice. In the German studies bunches of grapes were collected 35 days after the last application. Although no details of the processing were given in the study reports, one report indicated that 127 kg of grapes were processed. In French studies, bunches of grapes were harvested 21 days after the last application. About 43-50 kg of grapes were processed into wine and must as in commercial processing on a pilot

plant scale as in Figure 6, or processed by the French "Institute Technique de la Vigne et du Vin". Both red and white grape varieties were used in these studies.

The residues of tolylfluanid were below the limit of quantification in wine in all studies, and also in must in the German studies but higher in must in four of the six French studies. The concentrations of DMST in wine were slightly higher than those in grapes in the German studies and significantly higher in 5 of the French studies, and were higher in must. The differences were probably caused by the degradation of tolylfluanid into DMST during fermentation. The sum of tolylfluanid and DMST was lower in wine than in grapes in the German but higher in the French studies. In the French studies 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST and 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST were determined. The former was the main metabolite in wine and at higher concentrations than in grapes when tolylfluanid concentrations were high in grapes ( $\geq 0.60 \text{ mg/kg}$ ) but lower when tolylfluanid concentration was above the limit of quantification in grapes. It is not found in must in all the French studies.

About 21 to 25 kg of grapes from supervised trials in Italy in 1994 were processed to juice and pomace and about 4 kg into dried grapes and raisin waste by simulated industrial practices as in Figure 6 (Brennecke, 1996e). The residues of tolylfluanid were below the limit of quantification in juice in all studies including one in which 5 times the maximum application rate was used. In dried grapes there was a slight decrease but concentrations were higher in raisin waste and wet and dry pomace. DMST showed an increase in dried grapes, raisin waste and pomace, and a decrease in juice from grapes harvested 21 days after receiving 5 times the maximum dose. The sum of tolylfluanid and DMST is slightly less in juice, but significantly higher in other commodities than in grapes. The concentrations of 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST increased in all processed fractions, and those of 2hydroxyphenyl-DMST were generally below or slightly above the limit of quantification.

Country, Year		Applic	ation		PHI	Sample	Re	sidues (mg/	'kg)	Report no.
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	(days)		Т	D	$T+D^1$	
Germany	WG	0.5-2.5	0.1	8	35	Bunch	0.24	0.07	0.35	8200-87
1987						Wine	< 0.05	0.13	0.21	(white)
(Riesling)						Must	< 0.05	0.09	0.15	
Germany	WG	0.5-1.8	0.1	8	35	Bunch	0.41	0.10	0.57	8201-87
1987						Wine	< 0.05	0.31	0.50	(red)
(Spätburgunder)						Must	< 0.05	0.20	0.32	
Germany	WG	0.6-1.8	0.3	8	35	Bunch	0.47	0.07	0.58	8202-87
1987						Wine	< 0.05	0.16	0.26	(white)
(Müller-thurgau)						Must	< 0.05	0.09	0.15	
Germany	WG	0.6-1.8	0.3	8	35	Bunch	1.7	0.15	1.94	8203-87
1987						Wine	< 0.05	0.36	0.58	(red)
(Spätburgunder)						Must	< 0.05	0.40	0.65	
Germany	WG	0.75-	0.3	8	35	Bunch	0.06	< 0.05	0.06	0212-88
1988		1.8				Wine	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	(white)
(Müller-thurgau)						Must	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	
Germany	WG	0.6-1.8	0.3	8	35	Bunch	0.67	0.10	0.83	0213-88
1988						Wine	< 0.05	0.15	0.24	(white)
(Müller-thurgau)						Must	< 0.05	0.13	0.21	
Germany	WG	0.6-1.8	0.1	8	35	Bunch	0.35	0.07	0.46	0211-88
1988						Wine	< 0.05	0.08	0.13	(white)
(Müller-thurgau)						Must	< 0.05	0.09	0.15	
Germany	WG	0.6-2.0	0.1	8	35	Bunch	0.91	0.17	1.19	210-88
1988						Wine	< 0.05	0.35	0.57	(white)
(Riesling)						Must	0.29	0.33	0.82	

Table 37. Residues in grapes and their processed products from supervised trials in France, Germany and Italy.

Country, Year	Application				PHI Sample		Re	sidues (m	g/kg)	Report no.
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	(days)		Т	D	T+D <sup>1</sup>	•
France (south)	WG	2.0	2.0	5	21	Bunch	0.36	0.04	0.42	RA-
1992						Wine	< 0.02	0.50	0.81	2007/92
(Grenache)						Must	0.57	0.18	0.86	0423-92
										(red)
France (south)	WG	2.0	2.0	5	21	Bunch	0.57	0.11	0.75	RA-
1992						Wine	< 0.02	0.67	1.09	2007/92
(Carignan)						Must	0.93	0.46	1.68	0424-92
<b>P</b> ( 1)		• •	•	-		<b>P</b> 1	0.00	0.05	0.44	(red)
France (south)	WG	2.0	2.0	5	21	Bunch	0.33	0.05	0.41	KA-
1992 (Surah)						Wille	<0.02 0.80	0.95	1.31	2007/92
(Sylall)						wiusi	0.80	0.55	1.55	(red)
France (south)	WG	2.0	2.0	5	21	Bunch	14	0.14	1.63	RA-
1992		2.0	2.0	5		Wine	< 0.02	1.1	1.78	2007/92
(Cinsault)						Must	1.74	0.60	2.71	0426-92
<b>(</b> )										(red)
France (south)	WP	2.0	2.0	3	21	Bunch	0.46	0.09	0.61	RA-
1993						Wine	< 0.02	0.14	0.23	2049/93
(Grenache)						Must	< 0.02	0.25	0.41	0085-93
					39	Bunch	0.11	0.02	0.14	(red)
						Wine	< 0.02	0.43	0.70	
$\mathbf{\Gamma}_{m}$ (i.e. (1))	WD	2.0	2.0	2	21	Must	< 0.02	0.06	0.10	DA
France (south)	WP	2.0	2.0	3	21	Wine	0.06	0.06	0.10	KA- 2040/03
(Chardonnay)						Must	< 0.02	0.12	0.19	2049/93
(Chardonnay)					35	Bunch	0.02	0.03	0.00	(white)
					55	Wine	< 0.02	0.03	0.06	(winte)
						Must	< 0.02	0.03	0.05	
Italy	WP	1.0	0.1	3	21	Bunch	0.05	< 0.02	0.05	RA-3065-
1994				_		Juice	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	94
(Blusch)						Pomace, wet	0.12	0.12	0.31	0269-94
						Pomace, dry	0.13	0.13	0.34	(red)
						Dried grape	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	
						Raisin waste	0.51	0.47	1.27	
Italy	WP	1.0	0.1	3	21	Bunch	0.03	< 0.02	0.03	RA-3065-
1994 (Cantanial)						Juice	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	94
(Centenial)						Pomace, wet	0.50	0.15	0.74	(white)
						Dried grape	<0.05	0.48	0.16	(winte)
						Raisin waste	0.05	0.10	0.10	
Italy	WO	5.0	0.5	1	21	Bunch	0.69	0.10	0.85	RA-3065-
1994		0.0	0.0	-		Juice	< 0.02	0.03	0.05	94
(Trebbiano)						Pomace, wet	0.71	0.29	1.18	0670-94
						Pomace, dry	0.80	0.97	2.37	(white)
						Dried grape	0.56	1.25	2.59	
						Raisin waste	3.77	4.45	10.98	
Country, Year		Applic	ation		PHI	Sample	Re	sidues (m	g/kg)	Report no.
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	(days)	Sumpre	4-HMD	2-HPD	T+3	report no.
		8	e						metabolites1	
France (south)	WG	2.0	2.0	5	21	Bunch	0.60	0.11	1.49	RA-
1992						Wine	0.89	0.19	2.44	2007/92
(Grenache)						Must	0.29	< 0.05	1.30	0423-92
Energy (a. d)	WC	2.0	2.0	5	21	Deer al	0.94	0.07	2.12	(red)
France (south)	WG	2.0	2.0	С	21	Bunch	0.84	0.07	2.12	KA- 2007/02
(Carignan)						Wine Must	0.30	0.13 <0.05	∠.00 2.20	2007/92 0421-02
(Carignan)						141051	0.39	~0.0J	4.49	(red)
France (south)	WG	2.0	2.0	5	21	Bunch	1.23	< 0.05	2.26	RA-
1992						Wine	1.58	< 0.05	3.89	2007/92
(Syrah)						Must	0.46	< 0.05	2.02	0425-92
										(red)

Country, Year	Application				PHI	Sample	Residues (mg/kg)			Report no.
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	(days)	-	4-HMD	2-HPD	T+3	
		-	-						metabolites <sup>1</sup>	
France (south)	WG	2.0	2.0	5	21	Bunch	1.06	0.08	3.35	RA-
1992						Wine	1.40	0.10	4.04	2007/92
(Cinsault)						Must	0.39	< 0.05	3.30	0426-92
										(red)
France (south)	WP	2.0	2.0	3	21	Bunch	0.37	< 0.05	1.17	RA-
1993						Wine	0.24	< 0.05	0.59	2049/93
(Grenache)						Must	0.15	< 0.05	0.64	0085-93
					39	Bunch	0.19	< 0.05	0.43	(red)
						Wine	0.31	< 0.05	1.17	
						Must	0.20	< 0.05	0.40	
France (south)	WP	2.0	2.0	3	21	Bunch	0.18	< 0.05	0.43	RA-
1993						Wine	0.09	< 0.05	0.33	2049/93
(Chardonnay)						Must	0.09	< 0.05	0.22	0320-93
					39	Bunch	0.19	< 0.05	0.39	(white)
						Wine	0.11	< 0.05	0.23	
						Must	0.09	< 0.05	0.19	
Italy	WP	1.0	0.1	3	21	Bunch	0.29	< 0.05	0.49	RA-
1994						Juice	0.30	0.05	0.53	3065/94
(Blusch)						Pomace, wet	0.38	0.05	0.96	0269-94
						Pomace, dry	0.58	< 0.10	1.21	(red)
						Dried grape	1.08	0.11	1.79	
						Raisin waste	0.92	< 0.10	2.66	
Italy	WP	1.0	0.1	3	21	Bunch	0.22	< 0.05	0.36	RA-
1994						Juice	0.30	< 0.05	0.30	3065/94
(Centenial)						Pomace, wet	0.42	< 0.05	1.37	0669-94
						Pomace, dry	0.97	< 0.10	2.77	(white)
						Dried grape	0.88	< 0.10	1.49	
						Raisin waste	0.48	< 0.10	1.66	
Italy	WO	5.0	0.5	1	21	Bunch	0.33	< 0.05	1.35	RA-
1994						Juice	0.33	< 0.05	0.55	3065/94
(Trebbiano)						Pomace, wet	0.35	< 0.10	1.71	0670-94
						Pomace, dry	0.70	< 0.10	3.43	(white)
						Dried grape	1.00	< 0.10	4.10	
						Raisin waste	1.21	< 0.05	12.80	

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid
 <sup>2</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid, DMST, 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST (230.3 g/mol) and 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST (230.3 g/mol) expressed as tolylfluanid



Figure 6. Processing of grapes to wine, juice and raisins (Brennecke, 1996e).

<u>Blackcurrants</u>. Blackcurrants from a trial in 1992 in the UK were harvested and frozen 34 days after the last treatment (Brennecke and Walz-Tylla, 1994b). Seven kilograms of frozen blackcurrants were processed into juice and jelly according to household practices (Figure 7). Washing of the frozen fruits reduced residues of tolylfluanid by about half while the amount of DMST increased slightly by hydrolysis of the parent compound. Residues of tolylfluanid were not found in either the juice or jelly. The total of tolylfluanid and DMST was also less in juice and jelly than in the fruit.

Year		Applic	ation		PHI	Sample	Res	Report no.		
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	(days)		Т	D	T+D	
1992	WG	1.5	0.3	4	34	Fruit	1.1	0.41	1.76	RA-2010/92
(Baldwin)						Fruit, washed	0.64	0.52	1.48	0189-92
						Juice	< 0.02	0.28	0.45	
						Jelly	< 0.02	0.61	0.99	
1992	WG	1.5	0.3	4	34	Fruit	1.1	0.41	1.76	RA-2010/92
(Baldwin)										0190-92

Table 38. Residues in blackcurrants and their processed commodities from supervised trials in the UK.



Figure 7. Processing of blackcurrants into juice and jelly (Brennecke and Walz-Tylla, 1994b).

<u>Strawberries</u>. In processing studies in the UK in 1992, strawberries were harvested 14 days after the last application (Brennecke and Walz-Tylla, 1994a). About 1.4 kg were processed into jam as in household practice and 2 kg into preserves following industrial practice (Figure 8). Washing the strawberries reduced residues of tolylfluanid by about half, and processing the washed fruit into jam and preserve reduced tolylfluanid significantly without increasing the DMST. The total tolylfluanid and DMST was decreased significantly by washing and processing.

Table 39. Residues in strawberries and their processed commodities from supervised trials in the UK.

Year		Applica	ation		PHI	Sample	Res	kg)	Report no.	
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	(days)		Т	D	T+D <sup>1</sup>	
1992	WG	2.0-	0.1-	4	14	Fruit	3.0	0.58	3.94	RA-2009/92
(Elsanta)		$2.25^{2}$	0.45			Fruit, washed	1.6	0.50	2.41	0180-92
						Jam	< 0.02	0.54	0.87	
						Canned fruit	0.20	0.40	0.85	
1992	WG	2.0-	0.1-	4	14	Fruit	2.4	0.48	3.18	RA-2009/92
(Cambridge		2.25	0.45			Fruit, washed	1.2	0.36	1.78	0198-92
Favourite)						Jam	0.02	0.42	0.70	
						Canned fruit	0.18	0.29	0.65	
1992	WG	2.0-	0.1-	4	14	Fruit	1.5	0.24	1.89	RA-2009/92
(Hapil)		2.25	0.45							0178-92

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid

<sup>2</sup> 1st application at 0.2 kg ai/hl and 2000 l; 2nd-4th applications at 0.45 kg ai/hl and 500 l.



Figure 8. Processing of strawberries into jam and preserve (Brennecke and Walz-Tylla, 1994a).

<u>Tomatoes</u>. In processing studies in Italy in 1994 (Nüsslein, 1996e) and in greenhouses in Germany in 1998 (Brennecke, 1999), tomatoes were picked 7 days after the last application. About 30 to 50 kg were processed into juice, paste, purée and pomace in accordance with industrial practices (Figure 9). The residues of tolylfluanid were markedly less in the processed food commodities, but higher in pomace. DMST was generally concentrated in the processed foods with the highest concentration in the paste. The residues of tolylfluanid in the fruit were mostly transferred to pomace and there hydrolysed to DMST. The total residue of tolylfluanid and DMST decreased in juice and purée but showed a tendency to increase in paste, and the highest concentrations of both compounds were in the dry pomace.

Table 40.	Residues	in toma	toes and	l their	processed	commodities	from	supervised	trials i	n (	<b>Jermany</b>
and Italy.											

Country, Year		Applica	ation		PHI	Sample	Res	idues (mg/	/kg)	Report no.
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	(days)	-	Т	D	$T+D^1$	-
Italy	WG	1.0	0.1	3	7	Fruit	0.21	0.03	0.26	RA-
1994						Juice	< 0.02	0.02	0.03	3063/94
(Hypeel 244)						Paste	< 0.02	0.10	0.16	0259-94
						Purée	< 0.02	0.07	0.11	
						Pomace, wet	0.13	0.13	0.34	
						Pomace, dry	0.47	1.5	2.90	
Italy	WG	1.0	0.1	3	7	Fruit	0.05	< 0.02	0.05	RA-
1994						Juice	< 0.02	0.03	0.05	3063/94
(Hypeel 224)						Paste	< 0.02	0.29	0.47	0260-94
						Purée	< 0.02	0.16	0.21	
						Pomace, wet	0.27	0.16	0.53	
						Pomace, dry	0.66	2.4	4.55	
Italy	WG	6.0	0.6	1	7	Fruit	0.37	0.06	0.47	RA-
1994						Juice	< 0.02	0.10	0.16	3063/94
(Red Peel)						Paste	< 0.02	0.50	0.81	0668-94
						Purée	< 0.02	0.16	0.26	
						Pomace, wet	0.45	0.41	1.11	
						Pomace, dry	3.1	4.4	10.23	
Germany	WG	1.46-	0.11	8		Fruit	0.79	0.14	1.02	RA-
1998		2.25				Juice	< 0.02	0.28	0.45	3135/98
(Ferrari)						Paste	< 0.02	1.2	1.94	1308-98
						Purée	< 0.02	0.33	0.53	
Germany	WG	11.5	0.56	1		Fruit	1.7	0.14	1.93	RA-
1998						Juice	< 0.02	0.12	0.19	3135/98
(Panovi)						Paste	< 0.02	0.56	0.91	1703-98
						Purée	< 0.02	0.21	0.34	

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid



<sup>2</sup> Brennecke, 1999

Figure 9. Processing of tomatoes into juice, purée, paste and pomace.

<u>Lettuce</u>. The effects of washing on residues on lettuce leaves and the distribution of residues in outer and inner leaves were studied by Brennecke and Block (1999d). In supervised trials 24 lettuce heads were harvested 7 days after the last treatment and processed according to household practice (Figure 10). The residues of tolylfluanid and DMST were both reduced by removing outer leaves and further reduced by removing stems and washing. The residues of tolylfluanid were mainly present in the outer leaves.

Table 41. Residues in lettuce and their processed commodities from supervised trials in Germany. 7day PHI.

Year		Applic	ation		Sample	Residues (mg/l	kg)		Report no.
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No		Т	D	T+D <sup>1</sup>	
1998 (Nadine)	WG	1.125	0.19	6	Head Head, inner Leaf, outer Leaf, inner Leaf, inner, washed Washing water	2.0, 1.9 1.7, 1.1 7.5, 6.9 1.2, 0.87 0.31, 0.29 0.009, 0.009	0.21, 0.21 0.17, 0.16 0.50, 0.50 0.16, 0.13 0.08, 0.06 0.05, 0.04	2.3, 2.2 2.0, 1.4 8.3, 7.7 1.5, 1.1 0.44, 0.39 0.09, 0.07	RA- 3136/98 1074-98

Year		Applic	ation		Sample	Residues (mg/l	(g)		Report no.
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No		Т	D	$T+D^1$	
1998 (Nadine)	WG	1.125	0.19	6	Head Head, inner Leaf, outer Leaf, inner Leaf, inner, washed Washing water	$\begin{array}{c} 2.1, 2.1 \\ 1.6, 1.4 \\ 6.1, 8.0 \\ 1.2, 1.1 \\ 0.54, 0.59 \\ 0.05, 0.06 \end{array}$	0.16, 0.19 0.15, 0.17 0.51, 0.57 0.13, 0.13 0.08, 0.08 0.02, 0.01	2.36, 2.41 1.84, 1.68 6.93, 8.92 1.41, 1.31 0.67, 0.72 0.08, 0.08	RA- 3136/98 1077-98

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid



Figure 10. Washing and separation of lettuce (Brennecke and Block, 1999d).

<u>Hops</u>. Hops harvested 14 days after the last treatment in supervised trials in Germany in 1995 were kiln-dried and 1.6-1.7 kg of dried hops were used for brewing in accordance with commercial practice as in Figure 11 (Brennecke, 1997d). The residues of tolylfluanid and DMST were markedly reduced in beer and were below the limit of quantification or at a very low level. Tolylfluanid and DMST significantly above the LOQ were found only in spent hops.

Table 42. Residues in hops and brewing products from supervised trials in Germany. 14-day PHI.

Year		Applic	cation		Sample	Res	idues (mg/k	(g)	Report no.
(Variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hl	No	_	Т	D	$T+D^1$	_
1995	WG	1.0	0.1	6	Cone, dried	3.8, 3.3	3.5, 2.7	5.6, 5.2	RA-3102/95
(Hallertauer					Beer	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	0029-95
Tradition)					Spent hops	0.28	1.5	2.71	
					Hops draff	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
					Brewer's yeast	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	
1995	WG	1.0	0.1	6	Cone, dried	11, 11	13, 11	17,19,	RA-3102/95
(Northern					Beer	< 0.02	0.04	0.04	0613-95
Brewer)					Spent hops	0.32	1.8	3.24	
					Hops draff	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	
					Brewer's yeast	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	

<sup>1</sup> Sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid



Figure 11. Brewing beer (Brennecke, 1997d).

Processing factors for all the processed commodities were calculated for tolylfluanid only and for the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid. In the tolylfluanid-only calculation, where the residue in the processed commodity was below the limit of quantification the processing factor is reported as <[LOQ/(residue in RAC)]. In the calculation of the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST, where both the residues of tolylfluanid and DMST were below the LOQ, the processing factor is reported as <[(sum of LOQs)/(residue in RAC)] (Table 43).

Table 43. Processing factors for tolylfluanid residues in apples, pears, grapes, blackcurrants, strawberries, tomatoes, lettuces and hops.

APPLE										
	Study 1	Study 2	Study 3	Study 4	Mean	Study 1	Study 2	Study 3	Study 4	Mean
		Toly	lfluanid (n	ng/kg)			Tolylflua	nid+DMST	$(mg/kg^1)$	
Fruit	0.22	0.14	0.6	2.1		0.27	0.19	1.2	2.9	
Juice	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.1	< 0.1		0.03	0.03	<0.1	< 0.1	
Sauce	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.1	< 0.1		0.10	0.15	< 0.1	< 0.1	
Canned fruit			< 0.1	< 0.1				<0.1	< 0.1	
Pomace, wet	0.64	0.61				0.77	0.74			
Pomace, dry	1.15	1.55				2.02	2.31			
		Pr	ocessing fa	ctor		Processing factor				
Juice	< 0.09	< 0.14	< 0.17	< 0.05	< 0.09	0.11	0.15	< 0.08	< 0.03	0.09
Sauce	< 0.09	< 0.14	< 0.17	< 0.05	< 0.11	0.37	0.79	< 0.08	< 0.03	0.32
Canned fruit			< 0.17	< 0.05	< 0.11			< 0.08	< 0.03	< 0.06
Pomace, wet	2.9	4.4			2.9	2.9	3.9			2.7
Pomace, dry	5.2	11			8.1	7.5	12			9.8
PEAR										
		Toly	lfluanid (n	ng/kg)			Tolylflua	nid+DMST	$(mg/kg^1)$	
	Study 1	Study 2				Study 1	Study 2			Mean
Fruit	3.5	8.1				4.3	9.6			
Juice	<0.1	< 0.1				< 0.1	0.3			
Canned fruit	<0.1	< 0.1				< 0.1	< 0.1			
		Pr	ocessing fa	ctor	Processing factor					
Juice	< 0.03	< 0.01			< 0.02	< 0.02	0.03			0.03
Canned fruit	< 0.03	< 0.01			< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.01			< 0.02

GRAPE	l,															
	Study 1	Study 2	Study	Study 4	Study	5Study 6	Study 7	Study 8	Study 9	Study	St	udy	Study	Study	Study	Mean
			3							10	1	11	12	13	14	
							Tolylf	uanid (	mg/kg)							
Bunch	0.24	0.41	0.47	1.7	0.06	0.67	0.35	0.91	0.36	0.57	0.	.33	1.4	0.46	0.06	
Wine	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0	0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
Must	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.29	0.57	0.93	0	.8	1.74	< 0.02	< 0.02	
							Proc	essing f	actor							
Wine	< 0.21	< 0.11	< 0.11	< 0.03	< 0.83	< 0.07	< 0.14	< 0.05	< 0.06	< 0.04	<0	0.06	< 0.01	< 0.04	< 0.33	< 0.16
Must	< 0.21	< 0.11	< 0.11	< 0.03	< 0.83	< 0.07	< 0.14	0.32	1.6	1.6	2	2.4	1.2	< 0.04	< 0.33	0.57
						To	lylfluani	d+DMS	ST (mg/k	(g <sup>1</sup> )						
Bunch	0.35	0.57	0.58	1.94	0.06	0.83	0.46	1.19	0.42	0.75	0.	.41	1.63	0.61	0.16	
Wine	0.21	0.50	0.26	0.58	< 0.05	0.24	0.13	0.57	0.81	1.09	1.	.51	1.78	0.23	0.19	
Must	0.15	0.32	0.15	0.65	< 0.05	0.21	0.15	0.82	0.86	1.68	1.	.33	2.71	0.41	0.08	
							Proc	essing f	actor							
Wine	0.60	0.87	0.45	0.30	0.83	0.29	0.28	0.48	1.9	1.5	3	.7	1.1	0.38	1.2	1.0
Must	0.43	0.56	0.26	0.34	0.83	0.25	0.33	0.70	2.0	2.2	3	.2	1.7	0.67	0.50	1.0
					To	lvlfluan	id+DMS	T+4HN	/D+2HI	D (mg/	kg)					
Bunch									1.49	2.12	2.	26	3.35	1.17	0.43	
Wine									2.44	2.88	3.	.89	4.04	0.59	0.33	
Must									1.30	2.29	2.	.02	3.30	0.64	0.22	
		1				1	Proc	essing f	actor							
Wine	l								1.6	1.4	1	.7	1.2	0.50	0.76	1.2
Must									0.87	1.1	0.	.89	1.0	0.55	0.51	0.82
		Stu	dv 1	Study	2	Mean	Study	1 St	udv 2	Mear		Sti	ıdv 1	Study	2	Mean
		~	Tolvlf	luanid (1	ng/kg)		Tolvlf	luanid+	DMST	(mg/kg <sup>1</sup>	)	To	olvlflua	nid+DM	ST+4H	MD+
			- )		0 0)		- 5			00	<i>,</i>		2	HPD (m	g/kg)	
Bunch		0.	05	0.03			0.05	(	).03			0	.49	0.36		
Juice		<0	.02	< 0.02			< 0.02	<	0.02			0	.53	0.30		
Pomac	e, wet	0.	12	0.5			0.31	(	).74			0	.96	1.37		
Pomac	e, dry	0.	13	0.53			0.34	1	1.31			1	.21	2.77		
Dried	grape	<0	.05	< 0.05			< 0.05	(	).16			1	.79	1.49		
Raisin	waste	0.	51	0.39			1.27	(	).94			2	.66	1.66		
			Proc	cessing f	actor			Process	sing fact	or			Pro	ocessing	factor	
Juice		<(	).4	< 0.7		< 0.5	< 0.4	<	< 0.7	< 0.53	;		1.1	0.83		1.0
Pomac	e, wet	2	.4	17		9.7	6.2		25	16		1	2.0	3.8		2.9
Pomac	e, dry	2	.6	18		10	6.8		43	25			2.5	7.6		5.1
Dried	grape	<]	1.0	<1.7		<1.3	1		5.3	3.2			3.7	4.1		3.9
Raisin	waste	1	0	13		12	25		31	28			5.4	4.6		5.0
BLAC	KCURI	RANT														
		Stu	dy 1				N	1ean	Stud	y 1					Mea	an
				Tolylf	luanid	(mg/kg)				То	lylfl	luani	d+DMS	ST (mg/ł	$(g^1)$	
Fruit		1	.1						1.7	76						
Fruit, v	washed	0.	64						1.4	18						
Juice		<0	.02						0.4	15						
Jelly		<0	.02						0.9	99						
				Proc	cessing	factor						Proc	essing	factor		
Fruit, v	washed	0.	58				(	).58	0.8	34					(	).84
Juice		<0	.02				<	0.02	0.2	26					(	).26
Jelly		<0	.02				<	0.02	0.5	6					(	).56
STRA	WBERI	RY							n							_
		Stu	dy 1	Study	2	,	N	1ean	Stud	y 1	St	udy í	2			1ean
		-		Tolyl	luanid	(mg/kg)				To	lylfl	luani	d+DMS	ST (mg/l	(gʻ)	
Fruit		3	.0	2.4					3.9	94	3	3.18				
Fruit, v	washed	1	.0	1.2					2.4	+1		1.78			_	
Jam	1.0	<0	.02	0.02			_		0.8	5/	(	J.70			_	
Canne	a truits	0.	20	0.18		<u> </u>			0.8	55	(	J.65	!	<b>C</b> (		
<b>D</b>	1 1		<u></u>	Pro	cessing	tactor		. 50		- 1		Proc	essing	tactor		. 50
Fruit, v	washed	0.	53	0.50	0		(	0.52	0.6	01	(	J.36			(	1.59
Jam	1.6	<0.	00/	< 0.00	8		<(	071	0.2	22	(	J.22			(	).22
Canne	a truits	0.0	J67	0.075	)		0	.071	0.2	22	(	J.20			(	0.21

TOMATO									
	Study 1	Study 2	Study 3		Study 1	Study 2	Study 3	Mean	
		Tolylfluan	id (mg/kg)		Т	olylfluanid+E	DMST (mg/kg	1)	
Fruit	0.21	0.05	0.79		0.26	0.05	1.02		
Juice	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02		0.03	0.05	0.45		
Paste	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02		0.16	0.47	1.94		
Purée	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02		0.11	0.21	0.53		
Pomace, wet	0.13	0.27			0.34	0.53			
Pomace, dry	0.47	0.66			2.90	4.55			
		Processi	ng factor			Processi	ng factor		
Juice	< 0.1	<0.4	< 0.03	<0.2	0.12	1.0	0.44	0.52	
Paste	< 0.1	<0.4	< 0.03	<0.2	0.62	9.4	1.9	4.0	
Purée	< 0.1	<0.4	< 0.03	< 0.2	0.42	4.2	0.52	1.7	
Pomace, wet	0.62	5.4		3.0	1.3	11		6.2	
Pomace, dry	2.2	13		7.7	11	91		51	
НОР									
	Study 1	Study 2			Study 1	Study 2		Mean	
		Tolylfluan	id (mg/kg)		Tolylfluanid+DMST (mg/kg <sup>1</sup> )				
Cone, dried	3.6	11			5.4	18			
Beer	< 0.02	< 0.02			< 0.02	0.04			
Spent hops	0.28	0.32			2.71	3.24			
Hops draff	< 0.50	< 0.50			< 0.50	< 0.50			
Brewer's yeast	< 0.10	< 0.10			< 0.10	< 0.10			
		Processi	ng factor			Processi	ng factor		
Beer	< 0.006	< 0.002		< 0.004	< 0.003	0.002		0.003	
Spent hops	0.078	0.029		0.053	0.50	0.18		0.34	
Hops draff	< 0.14	< 0.045		< 0.092	< 0.093	< 0.027		0.060	
Brewer's yeast	<0.028	<0.009		<0.018	< 0.018	< 0.0056		0.012	

<sup>1</sup> Expressed as tolylfluanid

### Residues in the edible portion of food commodities

The information on residues in the edible portion of melons is in Table 30 (supervised trials).

The plant metabolism studies on apples and strawberries indicate that washing them removes most of the tolylfluanid on their surfaces (see Tables 5 and 7).

### **RESIDUES IN ANIMAL COMMODITIES**

### Farm animal feeding studies

Of those commodities for which maximum residue levels were estimated, apple pomace is used as feed for cattle, but no feeding studies were reported on cattle. A metabolism study with one lactating goat reported in the animal metabolism section showed no tolylfluanid residue in edible tissues or milk but significant amounts of DMST in the muscle, liver and fat.

No RAC or its processed products are used as feed for pigs or poultry.

# NATIONAL MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS

National MRLs were reported by Bayer AG and by the governments of Germany and The Netherlands.

Country	Commodity	MRL mg/kg	Note
Reside definition (if not			
tolylfluanid)			
Australia	Strawberry	3	
Austria	Pome fruits	5	

Country	Commodity	MRL mg/kg	Note
Reside definition (if not		00	
tolylfluanid)			
	Strawberry	5	
	Other commodities of plant origin	0.1	
Palaium	Emiting vogetables	0.1	
Beigiuili	Lattuce and similar commodities	5	
	Other for its	5	
		5	
	Other commodities of plant origin	0	Below the limit of
			determination (0.05 mg/kg)
	Pome fruits	2	
	Strawberry	3	
Brazil	Beans	0.5	
	Cotton	0.5	
	Maize	0.5	
	Soya beans	0.5	
Denmark	Berries and small fruits	5	
	Other fruits	5	
	Pome fruits	5	
	Stone fruits	5	
Finland	Berries	10	
Sum of dichlofluanid and	Grape	10	
tolvlfluanid	Lattuce	10	
torymuania	Other fruits	10	
		5	
P	Other vegetables	5	
France	Apple	2	
	Other pome fruits	2	
	Pear	2	
Germany	Berries and other small fruits (other	5	Including strawberry, Rubus
	than wild)		spp. and grapes
	Cucumber and gherkin	2	
	Нор	30	
	Lettuce and similar commodities	1	
	Melons	2	
	Other commodities of plant origin	0.1	
	Pome fruits	5	
	Tomato	2	
Netherlands	Blackberry	10	
Dimethylaminosulfotolui-	Cucurbits (with edible peel)	5	
dide	Cucurbits (with eutoic peer)	5	
uide	Endina	1	
	Endive	1	
	Grapes	5	
	Kohlrabi	0.1	
	Lettuce	1	
	Other berries and small fruits	10	
	Other commodities of plant origin	0.1 *	
	Pome fruits	2	
	Raspberry	10	
	Solanaceae	5	
	Strawberry	10	
Republic of Korea	Apple	5	
*	Grape	5	
	Lettuce	1	
	Pear	5	
	Strawberry	3	
	Tomato	2	
Spain	Beet sugar	0.1	
Span	Deel, Sugai	0.1	
	Berry, wild	0.1	
	Brassica vegetables	0.1	
	Bulb vegetables	0.1	
	Cacao	0.1	
	Cereals	0.1	
	Citrus fruit	0.1	
	Coffee	0.1	

Country	Commodity	MRL mg/kg	Note
Reside definition (if not			
tolylfluanid)			
	Cola	0.1	
	Corn, sweet	0.1	
	Cucurbits with edible peel	0.1	
	Cucurbits with inedible peel	0.1	
	Forage crops and straw	0.1	
	Fruit and vegetables, dried	0.1	
	Grape	0.1	
	Herbs	0.1	
	Нор	0.1	
	Leafy vegetables	0.1	
	Legume vegetables	0.1	
	Mushroom	0.1	
	Nuts	0.1	
	Oil plants seed	0.1	
	Other berries and small fruits	0.1	
	Other solanacea	0.1	
	Pome fruits	3	
	Potato	0.1	
	Pulses	0.1	
	Root and tuber vegetables	0.1	
	Rubus-Species (Cane fruit)	0.1	
	Spices	0.1	
	Stem vegetables	0.1	
	Stone fruit	0.1	
	Strawberry	3	
	Sugarcane	0.1	
	Tea	0.1	
	Tomato	1	
	Trop and subtrop Fruit	01	
Sweden	Fruit	3	
	Vegetables	3	
Switzerland	Blackberry	5	Т
S will strain a	Cucumber	2	T
	Grape	5	T
	Grape wine	1	T
	Lettuce	1	T
	Pome fruits	2	T
	Raspherry	5	T
	Strawberry	3	T
	Tomato	2	T

T: temporary tolerance

## APPRAISAL

Tolylfluanid, fungicide closely related to dichlofluanid, was first evaluated for toxicology and residues by the Meeting in 1988, with subsequent residue evaluation in 1990. The Meeting in 1988 recommended the residue definition of tolylfluanid. Currently there are Codex MRLs for currants, black, red, white; gherkin; lettuce, head; pome fruits; strawberry; and tomato. The compound was included in the Codex priority list for periodic review included in the Codex priority list at the 30th Session of the CCPR (1998; ALINORM 99/24, Appendix VII).

The Meeting received extensive information on the metabolism and environmental fate of tolylfluanid, methods of analysis for residues, stability in freezer storage, national registered use patterns, the results of supervised trials in support of the existing CXLs for pome fruits, strawberry, black currant, tomato and head lettuce and new maximum residue levels for blackberry, raspberry, grapes, cucumber, melons, sweet pepper, leek and hop, the fate of residues in processing and national

### Animal metabolism

The metabolism of tolylfluanid was studied in rats, a lactating goat and laying hens using [phenyl-U-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid and [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid.

When rats were dosed orally with [phenyl-U-14C]tolylfluanid or [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid at up to 100 mg/kg bw, radioactivity was rapidly absorbed; higher than 95% of the administered [phenyl-U-14C]tolylfluanid was absorbed and about 70 to 80% of the administered [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid was absorbed. The absorbed radioactivity, whether [phenyl-U-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid or [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid was used, was eliminated almost completely at a fast rate, mainly via urine and to much lesser extent via faeces. Two days after oral administration, only a small portion (less than 0.5% in the case of [phenyl-U-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid and less than 1.8% in the case of [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid) of the administered radioactivity was retained in body excluding gastrointestinal tract. This implies that no accumulation of tolylfluanid was expected. The main radioactive metabolites in urine, when phenyl-labelled tolylfluanid was used, were identified as 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid (mean, 68% of the recovered radioactivity), and 4-(methylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid (mean, 5%). The parent compound, tolylfluanid, and N,N-dimethyl-N'-p-tolylsulfamide. (dimethylaminosulfonotoluidine DMST) were found only in faeces (8% and 7% respectively). When dichlorofluoromethyl-labelled tolylfluanid was used, the main metabolite in urine was thiazolidine-2-thioxo-4-carboxylic acid (TTCA)(73-74% of the recovered radioactivity).

[Phenyl-U-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid administered by gavage to a <u>lactating goat</u> at a rate equivalent to 250 ppm in diet was also rapidly absorbed and then eliminated in urine (49% of the administered radioactivity 2 hours after the last dose) and faeces (10% of the administered radioactivity). At the time of slaughter, only 2.8% of the administered dose remained in edible tissues and organs: mostly in kidney and liver with only a small portion (0.24%) in milk. The main metabolites in organs and milk were 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)hippuric acid and 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid. Smaller amounts of 4-(methylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid and 4-(methylaminosulfonylamino)hippuric acid were also found. The parent compound was not detected in any of edible tissues/organs or milk while DMST was present at a significant amount in muscle, liver and fat.

[Phenyl-U-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid administered orally to <u>laying hens</u> at a rate equivalent to 83 ppm in diet was readily absorbed and eliminated (84% of the administered radioactivity 8 hours after the last dose). On average less than 0.01% of the administered radioactivity was found in eggs. At sacrifice the total radioactive residues in the tissues and organs were about 0.18% of the administered radioactivity, highest levels being found in kidney and liver. The main metabolite in tissues/organs was 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid. The parent compound was not detected in muscle, fat, liver or eggs. DMST was the major metabolite in fat.

## Plant metabolism

The Meeting received information on the fate of tolylfluanid after application to apples, grapes, strawberries and lettuce.

Individual apples were sprayed with radio-labelled tolylfluanid. A majority of the total radioactive residues (TRR) was located on the surface of apples collected (92% of TRR on day 7 and 88% on day 14 in a study using [phenyl-U-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid and 71% on day 28 in a study using [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid). These residues on the surface were removed by surface washing. The predominant radioactive component on apples was identified as the parent compound (88% of TRR on day 7 and 82% on day 14 in a study using [phenyl-U-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid and 72% on

day 28 in a study using [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid). A small amount of DMST was formed on the surface and in the peel plus pulp after the application of tolylfluanid.

Grape bunches were sprayed twice with [phenyl-U-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid at a total rate of approximately 1.3 mg a.i./bunch. Thirty-five days after the last application, the TRR in the bunch of grapes (excluding stems and stalks) declined to about 50% of the applied dose, in which unchanged tolylfluanid and DMST accounted only for 13% and 1.9% of the TRR. The major metabolites on/in grapes were identified as 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST-glucoside (46% of the TRR), 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST-glucoside (13%) and 3-hydroxyphenyl-DMST-glucoside (1.8%). Eight minor metabolites were identified which were derived through further conjugation of the above-mentioned glucosides.

Fourteen days after the last aerial application of [phenyl-U-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid to strawberries, a total of 72.5% of the TRR was located on the surface of berries, of which 63% was attributed to unchanged tolylfluanid. The washed fruit contained 27.5% of the TRR. The major metabolites were identified as DMST (6.2% of the TRR in surface rinse and 8.7% in fruit), 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST-glucoside (1.0% in surface rinse and 5.6% in fruit), 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST (0.8% in surface rinse and 2.1% in fruit), and hydroxyphenyl-DMST-glucoside (0.3% in surface rinse and 1.5% in fruit; the position of hydroxyl group not determined). When strawberry plants were sprayed with [dichlorofluoromethyl-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid in a form of spray in a closed air-flow controlled system, <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> was released (4.3-12% of the applied radioactivity). The analysis of radioactive compounds in fruits showed that the parent compound accounted for 1.3% of the TRR and TTCA 50% of the TRR. However, the presence of TTCA was detected only in one study employing artificial conditions of closed chamber. TTCA was not detected in studies more reflective of agricultural practices.

Lettuce plants at three different growth stages were sprayed with [phenyl-U-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid. The TRR in lettuce declined sharply with longer period after the last application. The predominant residue component in lettuce leaves was unchanged tolylfluanid accounting for more than 90% of the TRR on 7, 14 and 21 days after the last application. DMST, 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST-glucoside were identified as minor metabolites.

In plant metabolism, tolylfluanid was the major residue component except in the case of grapes in which the major component was 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST-glucoside followed by tolylfluanid and 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST-glucoside. Tolylfluanid was found mostly on the surface of crops tested. The metabolic patterns were similar in all plants studied although the metabolic rates differed from species to species with a higher rate seen in grapes.

## **Environmental fate**

### Soil

The incubation of [phenyl-U-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid in four different soils in the dark under aerobic conditions at 22°C for 99 days revealed rapid degradation of tolylfluanid mainly to DMST, which was further degraded to 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid, 4-(methylaminosulfonyl-amino)benzoic acid and methyltolylsulfamide and to  $CO_2$  (25-40% of the applied radioactivity on day 99). The increase of unextractable radioactivity was observed over time after the application (52-72% of the applied radioactivity on day 99). The increase of unextractable radioactivity was observed over time after the application (52-72% of the applied radioactivity on day 99). The increase of unextractable radioactivity are aerobic conditions at 22°C for 65 days showed the degradation of tolylfluanid to  $CO_2$  (65-77% of the applied radioactivity after 65 days) while formation of unextractable residues occurred over time (7-40% of the applied radioactivity). The calculated half life of tolylfluanid at 20 or 22°C was shorter than 3 days in all studies conducted and that of DMST at 20 or 22°C was in a range of 1.3-6.7 days indicating that the degradation of DMST was also fast.

Adsorption/desorption experiments with soil/water systems were not applicable to tolylfluanid due to its rapid hydrolysis. A  $K_{oc}$  value of tolylfluanid was estimated using an HPLC
method to be 2220 ml/g and  $\log K_{oc}$  was 3.35. This result indicates that tolylfluanid could be classified as an immobile substance. Absorption/desorption studies were carried out for DMST, the only major metabolite of tolylfluanid formed in the aerobic soil degradation studies (see above). DMST was classified as a substance with low to intermediate mobility.

In leaching studies in which soil samples were aged with [phenyl-U-<sup>14</sup>C]tolylfluanid and then placed on top of a saturated column, tolylfluanid was demonstrated to be immobile in soil while DMST slightly mobile. These results indicate that the leachate of either tolylfluanid or DMST was not likely to contaminate groundwater. This was confirmed by a computer simulation of environmental concentrations of tolylfluanid and DMST in groundwater recharge.

Due to the very short half-life of tolylfluanid, no studies could be conducted on photolysis in the field conditions.

#### Water-sediment systems

In a study of hydrolysis, tolylfluanid was readily hydrolyzed into DMST under all conditions used (pH 4, 7 and 9; 20, 30 and 40°C). The half life of tolylfluanid was calculated to be 11.7 days at pH 4 and 29.1 hours at pH 7 at 22°C in respective sterile buffer solutions. Tolylfluanid was so unstable at pH 9 that no parent compound was left to be detected even in immediate analysis of the sample making the estimation of half life impossible. Another hydrolysis study demonstrated that tolylfluanid was hydrolyzed into DMST, fluoride ion, chloride ion, sulfur and carbon dioxide. DMST, on the other hand, was stable at pH 4, 7 and 9 up to 55°C in respective sterile buffer solutions. The half life of DMST was calculated to be >1 year at 22°C at pH 4, 7 and 9.

The major degradation product in aqueous hydrolysis, DMST, showed resistance against direct photodegradation in aqueous solution without yielding major degradation products. The half life of environmental direct photolysis of DMST was estimated using one modelling to be a minimum of approximately 2 months (at 30° N) or 3 months (at 50° N) for the period of main use (July-August) and using another to be longer than 1 year. These results indicate that direct photodegradation in aqueous solution was expected to contribute little to the elimination of DMST in the environment.

The biological degradation of tolylfluanid and DMST was examined in three aqueous sediment systems. Tolylfluanid was degraded so rapidly in the three systems tested that it was not detected in the sample taken on day 14 and therefore its half life could not be estimated. The radioactivity in the water decreased and the unextractable radioactivity increased continuously. DMST, the predominant degradation product in water and sediment, was further degraded to demethylated compound, methyltolylsulfamide, and finally mineralized to  $CO_2$ . The half life of DMST in the supernatant water was calculated to be 42 - 76 days. In another aerobic aquatic degradation study using aquatic model water/sediment systems, the half life of tolylfluanid was calculated to be 1.4-5 hours.

#### **METHODS OF ANALYSIS**

For the determination of residues of tolylfluanid and DMST, gas chromatographic methods with various detectors and HPLC/MS/MS methods were reported for various matrices.

The gas chromatographic methods generally employ extraction, partition, clean-up and determination using electron capture detector, flame photometric detector, nitrogen-phosphorus detector, or mass spectrometry. Most methods are capable of determining both tolylfluanid and DMST residues in supervised trials. Some methods were developed to determine residues arising from the use of not only tolylfluanid but also organohalogen, organophosphorus, triazine, etc.. The limit of quantification for tolylfluanid or DMST was in most cases, either 0.02 mg/kg or 0.05 mg/kg. For enforcement purposes, gas chromatographic methods were validated for apple, grapes, strawberry,

canola seed/rape seed, hops, water-containing matrices and commodities of animal origin. Confirmatory methods are available for all of these matrices. Gas chromatographic methods have also been validated for enforcement and confirmatory purposes for soil, water and air.

HPLC/MS/MS methods generally employ extraction, evaporation, partition/clean-up and determination using liquid chromatography with a triple-stage quadrupole mass spectrometer with an electrospray interface in the multiple-reaction monitoring mode. The limit of quantification is 0.02 mg/kg for tolylfluanid and DMST in black currant, strawberry, tomato and tomato products, lettuce, peppers and leek.

# STABILITY OF RESIDUES IN STORED ANALYTICAL SAMPLES

Stability of tolylfluanid and metabolites in freezer storage was tested for a range of plant commodities under conditions representative of intended uses of tolylfluanid. Studies were conducted on apples (fruit), grapes (fruit, juice and wine), tomatoes (fruit, puree and juice), and hops (green and dry hop cones). In all studies, tolylfluanid and DMST were determined. In studies on grapes 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST-glucoside and 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST-glucoside were determined as well as tolylfluanid and DMST because these two glucosides were also major residue components found in bunches of grapes treated with tolylfluanid. Tolylfluanid and DMST were generally stable in samples for the intervals tested:

- ✓ 2.2 years: grapes and grape juice
- $\checkmark$  1.5 years: apples and tomatoes
- $\checkmark$  1 year: green hop cones and dry hop cones
- $\checkmark$  4 months: tomato juice and tomato puree

In grape wine, the degradation of tolylfluanid into DMST was observed. The sum of tolylfluanid and DMST remained relatively constant over 2.2 years. However, the concentration of tolylfluanid showed some decrease already on day 29 of storage. 4-Hydroxymethyl-DMST-glucoside and 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST-glucoside were stable for up to 2.2 years in grapes, grape juice and grape wine in deep freezer.

Tolylfluanid is very susceptible to hydrolysis. Aqueous extracts of grapes and aqueous samples (grape juice and wine) were fortified with tolylfluanid, DMST, 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST-glucoside or 2-hydroxyphenyl-DMST-glucoside and kept at 4-8°C for 21 to 31 days. In aqueous extracts only DMST was stable and in juice and wine the two glucosides were also stable. The degradation of tolylfluanid into DMST was observed also in this study. This indicates that aqueous extracts and aqueous samples must be analyzed at once for determining tolylfluanid without storing them in refrigerator.

### **DEFINITION OF THE RESIDUE**

When applied to crops, tolylfluanid is metabolized to form DMST. DMST is further metabolized to hydroxylated metabolites and their glucosides. Generally tolylfluanid is the main residue found in plants after application of tolylfluanid except that in grape 4-hydroxymethyl-DMST-glucoside and 2-hydroxymethyl-DMST-glucoside accounted for about 60% of the TRR. Thiazolidine-2-thione-4-carbonic acid (TTCA), a substance of toxicological concern, was identified in one strawberry study under an artificial test condition and is not expected to arise under normal agricultural conditions.

In animals, tolylfluanid is rapidly metabolized and no parent compound was detected in tissues and organs of farm animals. DMST, 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)benzoic acid and 4-(dimethylaminosulfonylamino)hippuric acid are significant metabolites present in tissues and organs.

Products of further metabolism of DMST are not of toxicological significance. Due to the rapid metabolism of tolylfluanid to DMST, it is not possible to distinguish long term effects of DMST

from those of tolylfluanid except for fluoride deposition. The acute oral toxicity of DMST is comparable to that of tolylfluanid.

The Meeting recommended that definition of the residue for commodities derived from plants should be as follows:

For compliance with MRLs: tolylfluanid

For the estimation of dietary intake: tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid.

#### **RESULTS OF SUPERVISED TRIALS**

The results of supervised trials were available for use of tolylfluanid on apples and pears, grapes, black currants, blackberries, raspberries, strawberries, cucumber, melons, tomato, peppers, lettuce, leek and hop. Relevant GAP information is available for all of the above crops.

The sum of tolylfluanid and DMST was calculated and expressed as tolylfluanid on the basis of the molecular weight of tolylfluanid (347.3 g/mol) and DMST (214.3 g/mol). When tolylfluanid or DMST was found to be below the respective limit of quantification or when both were below their respective limits of quantification, the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST was calculated following the examples below and expressed as tolylfluanid:

Tolylfluanid	DMST	Total (expressed as tolylfluanid)
< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.02
0.10	< 0.02	0.10
< 0.02	0.10	0.16
0.10	0.10	0.26

<u>Pome fruits</u>. Trials on pome fruits were conducted in France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland and Spain.

In Germany, tolylfluanid is registered for use on pome fruits up to a total of 15 applications at 1.1 kg a.i./ha or 0.076 kg a.i./hl with a PHI of 7 days. The concentrations of tolylfluanid residues, in ranked order, in apples from 14 trials in Germany that matched GAP were: 0.18, 0.24, 0.35, 0.46 (2), 0.48, 0.5, 0.55, 0.59, 0.60, 0.92, 1.7, 2.0 and 2.3 mg/kg.

The GAP in the Netherlands allows tolylfluanid application of a maximum of 1.12 kg a.i./ha or 0.075 kg a.i./hl, 7 applications and a PHI of 7 days on apples. The concentrations of tolylfluanid residues in apples from 2 trials in the Netherlands that matched the GAP, in ranked order, were: 0.19 and 0.58 mg/kg.

The residue concentration of tolylfluanid in apples in a trial conducted in Poland in accordance with its GAP (1 kg a.i./ha or 0.20 kg a.i./hl, 2 applications, PHI of 7 days) was 0.44 mg/kg. DMST was not determined in this trial.

The French use pattern on pome fruits allows tolylfluanid to be sprayed at 0.075 kg a.i./hl with a PHI of 7 days. Two apple trials in France, 4 trials in Italy and 1 trial in Spain were evaluated against the French GAP. The concentrations of tolylfluanid residues, in ranked order, were: 0.14, 0.22, 0.50, 0.51, 0.65, 1.2 and 2.3 mg/kg.

The concentrations of tolylfluanid residues, in ranked order, in <u>pears</u> from 2 trials in Germany that matched GAP were: 1.5 and 3.4 mg/kg.

Two pear trials in Italy and one in Spain were evaluated against the French GAP for pome fruits. The concentrations of tolylfluanid residues, in ranked order, were: 0.26, 0.40 and 0.54 mg/kg.

The Meeting agreed to combine the above results for estimating a maximum residue level for pome fruits. The combined results of 29 trials were, in ranked order: 0.14, 0.18, 0.19, 0.22, 0.24, 0.26, 0.35, 0.40, 0.44, 0.46 (2), 0.48, 0.5, 0.50, 0.51, 0.54, 0.55, 0.58, 0.59, 0.60, 0.65, 0.92, 1.2, 1.5, 1.7, 2.0, 2.3 (2) and 3.4 mg/kg for tolylfluanid; and 0.18, 0.19 (2), 0.26, 0.27, 0.29, 0.41, 0.46 (2), 0.51, 0.56, 0.60, 0.64, 0.66, 0.70, 0.74, 0.76, 0.8, 0.83, 0.87, 1.10, 1.3, 1.86, 2.0, 2.6, 2.7, 3.1 and 4.0 mg/kg for the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid.

The Meeting confirmed the previous recommendation for maximum residue level of 5 mg/kg for pome fruits and estimated an STMR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid) of 0.68 mg/kg and an HR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid) of 4.0 mg/kg.

Grapes. Trials on grapes were conducted in Chile, France, Italy and Spain.

In Germany tolylfluanid was registered for use on wine grapes up to a total of 8 applications at a maximum of 1.6 kg a.i./ha with a PHI of 35 days. The concentrations of tolylfluanid in ranked order in grapes in 7 trials in Germany that matched GAP were: 0.06, 0.35, 0.49, 0.63, 0.67, 0.91 and 1.7 mg/kg.

The results of trials in Southern France, Italy and Spain were evaluated against the GAP reported for Spain (0.1 kg a.i./hl, PHI of 15 days for table grape and 21 days for wine grape). The concentrations of tolylfluanid residue in grapes in 2 trials that matched the GAP were, in ranked order: 0.11 and 0.13 mg/kg.

The concentrations of tolylfluanid in 3 trials in Chile that matched the GAP in Chile (1.5 kg a.i./ha or 0.125 kg a.i./hl, PHI of 21 days), in rank order, were: 0.24, 0.98 and 1.8 mg/kg.

The above results were regarded to come from similar populations. The combined concentrations from 12 trials, in ranked order, were: 0.06, 0.11, 0.13, 0.24, 0.35, 0.49, 0.63, 0.67, 0.91, 0.98, 1.7 and 1.8 mg/kg for tolylfluanid; and 0.06, 0.11, 0.13, 0.29, 0.46, <u>0.67</u>, <u>0.83</u>, 0.84, 1.04, 1.19, 1.9 and 2.0 mg/kg for the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 3 mg/kg, an STMR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid) of 0.75 mg/kg, and an HR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid) of 2.0 mg/kg.

Black currant. Trials were conducted on black currants in Germany and in the United Kingdom.

The GAP in the Netherlands allows the use of tolylfluanid on currants two applications at 1.50 kg a.i./ha or 0.125 kg a.i./hl with a PHI of 21 days. The results of 4 trials in Germany and 4 trials in the United Kingdom were evaluated against GAP in the Netherlands. The concentrations of tolylfluanid in black currants in 8 trials in ranked order were: 0.07, 0.10, 0.12, 0.17, 0.21, 0.28 (2) and 0.31 mg/kg; and the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid were: 0.18, 0.25, 0.33, 0.34, 0.35, 0.39, 0.57 and 0.68 m/kg.

The Meeting estimated maximum residue level at 0.5 mg/kg for currants, black, red, white to replace the previous recommendation of 5 mg/kg. It also estimated an STMR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid) of 0.345 m/kg and an HR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid) of 0.68 mg/kg.

<u>Blackberry and raspberry</u>. Trials were conducted on blackberry and raspberry in Germany and the United Kingdom.

The UK GAP allows the use of tolylfluanid on blackberry and raspberry up to 4 applications at 1.7 kg a.i./ha with a PHI of 14 days. The results of blackberry trials conducted in Germany and the United Kingdom were evaluated against the UK GAP. The concentrations of tolylfluanid in blackberries in 2 trials in Germany and 2 trials in the United Kingdom were, in ranked order: 0.61, 1.6, 1.7 and 2.0 mg/kg; and the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid: 0.72, 1.9, 2.1 and 2.2 mg/kg.

The results of raspberry trials in Germany and the United Kingdom were evaluated against the above-mentioned UK GAP. The concentrations of tolylfluanid in raspberries in 2 trials in Germany and 2 trials in the United Kingdom were, in ranked order: 0.42, 1.4, 1.7 and 2.4 mg/kg; and the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid: 0.48, 1.5, 2.0 and 2.9 mg/kg.

The results of blackberry trials and those of raspberry trials were mutually supportive. The combined results in ranked order were: 0.42, 0.61, 1.4, 1.6, 1.7 (2), 2.0 and 2.4 mg/kg for tolylfluanid; 0.48, 0.72, 1.5, <u>1.9</u>, <u>2.0</u>, 2.1, 2.2 and 2.9 mg/kg for the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid.

The Meeting estimated the following values for both blackberry and raspberry: maximum residue level, 5 mg/kg; an STMR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid), 1.95 mg/kg; and an HR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid), 2.9 mg/kg.

<u>Strawberry</u>. Trials were conducted outdoors in France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland and Spain.

The concentrations of tolylfluanid in 9 trials in Germany matching the German GAP (0.125 kg a.i./hl, 2.5 kg a.i./ha, 3 applications, PHI of 7 days) were, in ranked order: 0.05, 0.47, 0,75, 0.77(2), 0.90, 1.1, 1.4 and 1.9 mg/kg.

The concentration of tolylfluarid in one trial in the Netherlands matching the GAP in the Netherlands (0.125 kg a.i./hl, 0.75-1.25 kg a.i./ha, 5 applications, PHI of 7 days) was: 0.73 mg/kg.

The concentration of tolylfluanid in one trial in Poland matching the GAP in Poland (0.5 kg a.i./hl, 2.5 kg a.i./ha, 3 applications, PHI of 7 days) was: 2.65 mg/kg (DMST not determined).

The results of trials conducted in Southern France, Italy and Spain were evaluated against the GAP in Slovenia (0.1-0.125 kg a.i./hl, PHI of 7 days). The concentrations of tolylfluanid in 14 trials matching the GAP were, in ranked order: 0.03(2), 0.08, 0.12, 0.14, 0.20, 0.23, 0.32, 0.35, 0.41, 0.43, 0.55, 1.7 and 2.6 mg/kg.

These two sets of results seem to belong to similar populations. The combined concentrations from 25 trials in ranked order were: 0.03(2), 0.05, 0.08, 0.12, 0.14, 0.20, 0.23, 0.32, 0.35, 0.41, 0.43, 0.47, 0.55, 0.73, 0.75, 0.77(2), 0.90, 1.1, 1.4, 1.5, 1.7, 1.9, 2.6 and 2.65 mg/kg for tolylfluanid; and 0.14, 0.26, 0.27 (2), 0.35, 0.36, 0.51, 0.54, 0.59 (2), 0.64, 0.71, 0.95, 0.99, 1.06, 1.12, 1.20, 1.32, 1.41, 1.5, 1.7, 2.0, 2.7 and 3.0 mg/kg for the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed in tolylfluanid. The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 5 mg/kg to replace the previous recommendation of 3 mg/kg. It also estimated an STMR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed in tolylfluanid) of 0.84 mg/kg and HR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed in tolylfluanid) of 3.0 m/kg.

Cucumber. Trials were conducted in Germany (outdoor and indoor), Italy (indoor) and Spain (indoor).

The results of trials conducted outdoors in Germany were evaluated against the GAP in Belgium (both indoor and outdoor; 0.075 kg a.i./hl, PHI of 3 days). The concentrations of tolylfluanid residue found in 4 trials that matched the GAP were, in ranked order: <0.02 and 0.02(3) mg/kg. These

values were not used for the estimation of maximum residue level as these values and those obtained in indoor trials seem to belong to two different populations.

The results of trials conducted indoors in Germany, Italy and Spain were evaluated against the GAP in Belgium (both indoor and outdoor; 0.075 kg a.i./hl, PHI of 3 days). The concentrations of tolylfluanid residue found in 8 trials that matched the GAP were, in ranked order: 0.05, 0.11, 0.18 (2), 0.30, 0.55, 0.57 and 0.64 mg/kg. The sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid were, in ranked order: 0.05, 0.16, 0.31, 0.34, 0.40, 0.67, 0.68 and 0.96 mg/kg.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 1 mg/kg, STMR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid) of 0.37 mg/kg, and HR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid) of 0.96 mg/kg.

The Meeting withdrew the MRL of 2 mg/kg for gherkin as no data were submitted.

Melons except watermelon. Trials were conducted in France and Greece.

The trials were conducted using a spray concentration of 0.45 kg a.i./hl, which is much higher than any of approved use pattern (except those not specified). Only those trials conducted in Northern France could be evaluated against the GAP of Sweden (1.5 kg a.i./ha, 3-4 applications, PHI of 3 days). The concentrations of tolylfluanid in trials that matched the GAP were: 0.03 and 0.08 mg/kg. There is no reported GAP that supports trials conducted in Southern France or Greece.

The Meeting concluded that there is not sufficient data to estimate a maximum residue level, STMR or HR at present.

<u>Tomato</u>. Trials were conducted in Belgium (indoor), France (outdoor, indoor), Germany (outdoor, indoor), Italy (outdoor, indoor), Mexico (outdoor) and Spain (outdoor, indoor).

The results of trials conducted outdoors in Germany were evaluated against the GAP in Germany (1.2 kg a.i./ha, 6 applications, PHI of 3 days). The concentrations of tolylfluanid in 8 trials matching the GAP are, in ranked order: 0.15(2), 0.18, 0.20, 0.27, 0.34, 0.47 and 0.99 mg/kg.

The results of trials conducted outdoors in Southern France, Italy and Spain were evaluated against the GAP in Slovenia (1.25 kg a.i./ha, PHI of 3 days). The concentrations of tolylfluanid in 16 trials matching the GAP are, in ranked order: 0.04, 0.05(2), 0.07, 0.19, 0.21, 0.23, 0.27, 0.31, 0.34, 0.40, 0.42, 0.47, 0.48, 0.49 and 0.50 mg/kg.

The results of trials conducted in greenhouse in Belgium, France, Germany, Italy and Spain were evaluated against the GAP in Belgium (0.075 kg a.i./hl, PHI of 3 days). The concentrations of tolylfluanid in 9 trials matching the GAP are, in ranked order: 0.08, 0.16, 0.24, 0.42, 0.49, 0.59 and 0.72, 1.4 and 2.0 mg/kg.

There is no matching GAP reported for outdoor trials conducted in Mexico.

The Meeting concluded that the results of trials conducted indoors and outdoors were regarded to come from similar populations. The combined results from 33 trials were, in ranked order: 0.04, 0.05 (2), 0.07, 0.08, 0.15 (2), 0.16, 0.18, 0.19, 0.20, 0.21, 0.23, 0.24, 0.27 (2), 0.31, 0.34 (2), 0.40, 0.42 (2), 0.47 (2), 0.48, 0.49 (2), 0.50, 0.59, 0.72, 0.99, 1.4 and 2.0 mg/kg for tolylfluanid; and 0.05 (2), 0.07, 0.10, 0.14, 0.18, 0.22, 0.24, 0.27, 0.29, 0.30, 0.34, 0.35 (2), 0.39, 0.40 (2), 0.42, 0.47 (2), 0.49, 0.50, 0.54, 0.56, 0.58, 0.60 (2), 0.67, 0.70, 0.77, 1.27, 1.5 and 2.2 mg/kg for the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 3 mg/kg to replace the previous recommendation at 2 mg/kg, an STMR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed in tolylfluanid), 0.39 mg/kg and an HR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed in tolylfluanid), 2.2 mg/kg.

Peppers. Trials were conducted on sweet peppers indoors in Italy, the Netherlands and Spain.

The results of trials conducted in greenhouse in Italy, the Netherlands and Spain were evaluated against the GAP in the Netherlands for peppers in greenhouse (up to 1.12 kg a.i./ha, 0.075 kg a.i./hl, 3 applications, PHI of 3 days). The concentrations of tolylfluanid residue in 10 trials matching the GAP were, in ranked order: 0.07, 0.20, 0.24, 0.26, 0.28, 0.49, 0.61, 0.66, 0.77 and 1.3 mg/kg for tolylfluanid; and 0.12, 0.34, 0.43, 0.44, <u>0.62</u>, <u>0.72</u>, 0.85, 0.95, 1.01 and 1.6 mg/kg for the sum of tolylfluanid an DMST expressed as tolylfluanid.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 2 mg/kg for peppers, sweet and an STMR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed in tolylfluanid) of 0.67 mg/kg and an HR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed in tolylfluanid) of 1.6 mg/kg.

Lettuce, head. Trials were conducted in Belgium, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Portugal, Spain and the United Kingdom.

The results of trials conducted in Germany were evaluated against the GAP of Germany (0.1 kg a.i./hl, 0.6 kg a.i./ha, 6 applications, PHI of 21 days). The concentrations of tolylfluanid in 8 trials that matched the GAP were, in ranked order: <0.05 (7) and 0.17 mg/kg. The results of trials carried out in Southern France, Italy and Spain could not be evaluated as the closest GAP, which was of Slovenia, requires a PHI of 21 days while the maximum sampling interval of these trials was 10 days. The concentrations of the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed in tolylfluanid were: <0.07 (7) and 0.17 mg/kg.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 0.2 mg/kg to replace the previous recommendation of 1 mg/kg. It also estimated an STMR of 0.05 mg/kg and an HR of 0.17 mg/kg.

<u>Leek</u>. Trials were conducted in Belgium, Northern France, Germany, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom.

The results of these trials were evaluated against the GAP in the Netherlands (1.25 kg a.i./ha, 4-5 applications, PHI of 21 days). The concentrations of residues in 9 trials were, in ranked order: <0.02, 0.17, 0.34, 0.36, 0.58, 0.84, 0.92, 0.94 and 1.2 mg/kg for tolylfluanid; and <0.02, 0.17, 0.41, 0.45, 0.97, 1.07, 1.16, 1.52 and 1.8 mg/kg for the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed in tolylfluanid.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 2 mg/kg; an STMR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed in tolylfluanid) of 0.97 mg/kg; and an HR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed in tolylfluanid), 1.8 mg/kg.

Hops, dry. Trials were conducted in Germany.

The results of trials in Germany were evaluated against GAP in Poland (0.075 kg a.i./hl, 600-3000 l/hl depending on the growth stage, PHI of 14 days). The concentrations of residues in dry hops in 8 trials were, in ranked order: 2.8, 5.4, 7.8, 8.9, 9.1, 10, 11 and 27 mg/kg for tolylfluanid; and 8.8, 13.5, 18, <u>19.3</u>, <u>30.0</u>, 31.8, 32 and 71 mg/kg for the sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed in tolylfluanid.

The Meeting estimated a maximum residue level of 50 mg/kg, an STMR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed in tolylfluanid), 25 mg/kg, and an HR (sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed in tolylfluanid), 71 mg/kg.

## FATE OF RESIDUES DURING PROCESSING

According to plant metabolism studies, tolylfluanid residue is mainly located on the surface of apples and strawberries and surface washing significantly removed the residues from these fruits (92% in the case of apples harvested 7 days after spray in experimental application; and 73% in the case of strawberries harvested 14 days after spray.).

Processing studies were conducted using apples, black currants, grapes, hops, lettuce, strawberries and tomatoes.

For those commodities for which MRLs were estimated, STMR-P and HR of processed products are calculated using the mean processing factors as follows, except that for the calculation of STMR-P of beer, a processing factor of 0.001 was used:

	Processing factor	STMR/STMR-P <sup>1</sup>	HR/HR-P <sup>1</sup>
	-	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
Pome fruits	-	0.68	4.0
Apple juice	0.09	0.06	
Apple sauce	0.32	0.22	
Canned apple	< 0.06	0.04	
Apple pomace, wet	2.7	1.8	
Apple pomace, dry	9.8	6.7	
Pear juice	0.03	0.02	
Canned pear	< 0.02	0.01	
Grapes		0.75	2.0
Grape wine	1.0	0.75	
Grape juice	< 0.53	0.40	
Grape pomace, wet	16	12	
Grape pomace, dry	25	19	
Dried grape	3.2	2.3	
Currants		0.345	0.68
Black currant, washed	0.84	0.29	0.57
Black currant juice	0.26	0.09	
Black currant jelly	0.56	0.19	
Strawberry		0.84	3.0
Strawberry, washed	0.59	0.50	1.8
Strawberry jam	0.22	0.18	
Canned strawberry	0.21	0.18	
Tomato		0.39	2.2
Tomato juice	0.52	0.20	
Tomato paste	4.0	1.6	
Tomato puree	1.7	0.66	
Tomato pomace, wet	6.2	2.4	
Tomato pomace, dry	51	20	
Hops, dry		25	71
Beer	0.001	0.025	

<sup>1</sup> sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid

### **RESIDUES IN ANIMAL COMMODITIES**

#### Dietary burden in animals

The Meeting estimated the dietary burden of tolylfluanid residues in farm animals on the basis of the feeding stuffs listed in Appendix IX of the FAO Manual. Among processed products of commodities for which maximum residue levels were estimated, wet apple pomace is used as feed for cattle. No

Commodity	STMR-P <sup>1</sup> mg/kg		Dry	Residue on	% of diet		Residue contribution, mg/kg	
		Group	matter %	dry basis mg/kg	Beef cattle	Dairy cattle	Beef cattle	Dairy cattle
Apple pomace, wet	1.8	AB	40	4.5	40	20	1.8	0.9
				TOTAL			1.8	0.9

maximum residue levels were estimated for commodities which or the products of which can be used as feed for pigs or poultry.

<sup>1</sup> sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid

#### **Animal feeding studies**

Although no animal feeding studies were performed, a metabolism study on a lactating goat dosed daily for three days with 10 mg/kg bw of tolylfluanid which is equivalent of 250 ppm in feed, and slaughtered about one hour after the plasma peak level was reached (2 hours after the last dose) showed no tolylfluanid residue in muscle, liver, kidney or milk. Although the goat was fed for only three days, the amount of tolylfluanid administered was far higher than the calculated animal dietary burden. Therefore, the Meeting concluded that residues of tolylfluanid were unlikely to occur in edible tissues/organs or milk of cattle when beef cattle and dairy cattle ingest tolylfluanid and DMST (expressed as tolylfluanid) at 1.8 mg/kg and 0.9 mg/kg in wet apple pomace respectively.

The liver and fat (perirenal, omental and subcutaneous fat) of the goat mentioned above contained total radioactivity of 20.58 and 0.85-2.28 mg/kg in tolylfluanid equivalents, among which 4.83% and 14.68% was identified as DMST respectively. DMST concentrations in the liver and fat tissues were calculated to be 0.613 and 0.077-0.207 mg/kg respectively after the 3 day oral administration of tolylfluanid at a level equivalent to 250 ppm in feed. It was estimated that concentrations of DMST in liver and fat would be very low when cattle ingests wet apple pomace containing 1.8 or 0.9 mg/kg of residues of tolylfluanid and DMST and unlikely to pose risk to health as the estimated dietary intake of tolylfluanid and DMST from liver containing 0.613 mg/kg of DMST (0.993 mg/kg in tolylfluanid equivalents) was less than 0.01% of the ADI, and 1% and 2% of the acute reference dose for general population and for children respectively. The concentrations of DMST in other tissues/organs were expected to be even much lower according to the metabolism study. No DMST was detected in milk in the study. Because the metabolism study was conducted using only one administration level, the Meeting was not able to estimate the concentrations of DMST in edible tissues at the calculated animal dietary burden.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

On the basis of the data from supervised trials, the Meeting concluded that the maximum residue levels listed below are suitable for establishing maximum residue limits and for IEDI and IESTI assessment.

Definition of residue for compliance with MRLs for plant commodities: tolylfluanid

Definition of residue for the estimation of dietary intake for plant commodities: tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid

Commodity		Recomm	ended MRL g/kg <sup>1</sup>	STMR/STMR-P <sup>2</sup> mg/kg	HR/HR-P <sup>2</sup> mg/kg
CCN	Name	New	Previous		
FB 0264	Blackberries	5	-	1.95	2.9
VC 0424	Cucumber	1	-	0.37	0.96
FB 0021	Currants, Black, Red, White Black currant, washed	0.5	5	0.345 0.29	0.68 0.57

Commodity		Recommended MRL		STMR/STMR-P <sup>2</sup>	HR/HR-P <sup>2</sup>
		m	g/kg <sup>1</sup>	mg/kg	mg/kg
CCN	Name	New	Previous		
JF 1140	Black currant juice			0.09	
	Black currant jelly			0.19	
	Gherkin	W	2		
FB 0269	Grapes	3	-	0.75	2.0
	Grape wine			0.75	
JF 0269	Grape juice			0.40	
DF 0269	Dried grapes			2.3	
DH 1100	Hops, dry	50	-	25	71
	Beer			0.025	
VA 0384	Leek	2	-	0.97	1.8
VL 0482	Lettuce, Head	0.2	1	0.05	0.17
VO 0445	Peppers, sweet	2	-	0.67	1.6
FP 0009	Pome fruits	5	5	0.68	4.0
JF 0226	Apple juice			0.06	
	Apple sauce			0.22	
	Canned apple			0.04	
	Pear juice			0.02	
	Canned pear			0.01	
FB 0272	Raspberries, Red, Black	5	-	1.95	2.9
FB 0272	Strawberry	5	3	0.84	3.0
	Strawberry, washed			0.50	1.8
	Strawberry jam			0.18	
	Canned strawberry			0.18	
VO 0448	Tomato	3	2	0.39	2.2
JF 0448	Tomato juice			0.20	
	Tomato paste			1.6	
	Tomato puree			0.66	

<sup>1</sup> tolylfluanid

<sup>2</sup> sum of tolylfluanid and DMST expressed as tolylfluanid

### DIETARY RISK ASSESSMENT

#### Long-term intake

The International Estimated Dietary Intakes (IEDIs) were calculated for the five GEMS/Food regional diets using STMRs for 12 commodities and STMR-P for dried grapes, tomato juice and tomato paste estimated by the current Meeting (Appendix III). A new ADI of 0-0.08 mg/kg bw was proposed by the current Meeting. The calculated IEDIs were 0-2% of the ADI. The Meeting concluded that the intake of residues of tolylfluanid and DMST resulting from the uses considered by the current JMPR was unlikely to present a public health concern.

### Short-term intake

The International Estimated Short-Term Intakes (IESTI) for tolylfluanid and DMST were calculated for commodities for which STMRs and/or HRs were estimated by the current Meeting. An acute reference dose of 0.5 mg/kg bw was proposed by the current Meeting. The IESTIs for children range from 0 to 68% of the acute reference dose and those for general population range from 0 to 24% of the acute reference dose. The Meeting concluded that the short-term intake of residues of tolylfluanid and DMST from uses considered by the current JMPR was unlikely to present a public health concern.

### REFERENCES

Abbink, J. and Weber, H. 1988 (amended 2000). [Phenyl-U-14C]-Tolylfluanid: Investigation of the biokinetic behaviour in the rat. Bayer AG Report No.PF2989. Unpublished.

Anon. 1972. KUE 13183 B; 50 WP; strawberry; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No.0087-72. Unpublished.

Anon. 1972. KUE 13183 B; 50 WP; Strawberry; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0088-72. Unpublished.

Anon. 1972. KUE 13183 B; 50 WP; Strawberry; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0089-72. Unpublished.

Anon. 1984. KUE 13183 B; 50 WP; apple; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8200-83. Unpublished.

Anon. 1984. KUE 13183 B; 50 WP; apple; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8201-83. Unpublished.

Anon. 1984. KUE 13183 B; 50 WP; pear; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8202-83. Unpublished.

Anon. 1984. KUE 13183 B; 50 WP; pear; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8203-83. Unpublished.

Anon. 1987. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Head Lettuce; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8208-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1987. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Head Lettuce; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8209-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1987. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Head Lettuce; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8210-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1987. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Head Lettuce; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8211-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1987. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Strawberry; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8253-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1987. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Strawberry; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8254-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1987. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Strawberry; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8255-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1987. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Strawberry; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8251-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1987. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Strawberry; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8252-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1987. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Strawberry; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8250-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1987. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; tomato; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8204-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1987. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; tomato; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8205-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1987. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; tomato; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8206-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1987. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; tomato; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8207-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1988. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Apple; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8239-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1988. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Apple; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8240-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1988. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; grape; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8200-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1988. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; grape; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8201-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1988. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; grape; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8202-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1988. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; grape; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 8203-87. Unpublished.

Anon. 1989. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Apple; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0251-88. Unpublished.

Anon. 1989. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Apple; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0252-88. Unpublished.

Anon. 1989. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; grape; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0210-88. Unpublished.

Anon. 1989. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; grape; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0211-88. Unpublished.

Anon. 1989. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; grape; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0212-88. Unpublished.

Anon. 1989. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; grape; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0213-88. Unpublished.

Anon. 1989. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Head Lettuce; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0215-88. Unpublished.

Anon. 1989. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Head Lettuce; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0216-88. Unpublished.

Anon. 1989. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Head Lettuce; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0217-88. Unpublished.

Anon. 1989. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Head Lettuce; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0218-88. Unpublished.

Anon. 1989. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; strawberry; Netherlands; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0013-88. Unpublished.

Anon. 1989. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; tomato; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0219-88. Unpublished.

Anon. 1989. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; tomato; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0220-88. Unpublished.

Anon. 1989. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; tomato; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0221-88. Unpublished.

Anon. 1989. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; tomato; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0222-88. Unpublished.

Anon. 1990. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Apple; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0507-89. Unpublished.

Anon. 1990. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; Apple; Germany; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0508-89. Unpublished.

Anon. 1990. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; leek; Netherlands; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0514-89. Unpublished.

Anon. 1990. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; leek; Netherlands; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0515-89. Unpublished.

Anon. 1990. KUE 13183 B; 50 WG; leek; Netherlands; BBA. Bayer AG Report No. 0517-89. Unpublished.

Babczinski, P. 1995. Metabolism of Tolylfluanid in grapes, Subtitle: Metabolism of Tolylfluanid in selected processed grape commodities. Bayer AG Report No. PF4094. Unpublished.

Babczinski, P., Vogeler, K. and Brauner, A. 1993 (amended 1995). Metabolism of Tolylfluanid in grapes. Bayer AG Report No. PF4047. Unpublished.

Becker, G. and Schug, P. 1987. Organohalogen, organophosphorus and triazine compounds; VCH Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Weinheim, Germany. Bayer AG Report No. 00082. Published

Brennecke, R. 1988. A method for the determination of residues of the fungicides Euparen and Euparen M in plant material and beverages by gas chromatography. Bayer AG Report No. 00006. Published

Brennecke, R. 1989. A method for determining residues of the fungicides Euparen, Euparen M and Folicur in plant material and beverages by gas chromatography. Bayer AG Report No. 00112. Published

Brennecke, R. 1993a. Modification 001 to method 00006: a method for the determination of residues of the fungicides Euparen and Euparen M in plant material and beverages by gas chromatography. Bayer AG Report No. 00006/M001. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1993b. Modification M002 of method 00249: Automation of sample clean-up in residue analysis using a laboratory robot system Method for gas chromatographic determination of residues of the fungicides Euparen, Euparen M und Folicur in plant .... Bayer AG Report No. 00249/M002. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1994. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on tomato under actual use conditions in France. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2006/92. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1995a. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WP in/on tomato under actual use conditions in Spain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2048/93. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1995b. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on tomato under actual use conditions in France. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2125/93. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1995c. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on melon under actual use conditions in France. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2045/93. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1995d. Method for gas-chromatographic determination of residues of the fungicide Euparen M in wine and table Grapes as well as their processed products. Bayer AG Report No. RA-685/93 (Bayer method No. 00340). Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1995e. Attachment to Method 00340: Raw data overview - Method for gas-chromatographic determination of residues of the fungicide Euparen M in wine and table grapes as well as in their processed products. Bayer AG Report No. 00340. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1996a. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on grape following spray application in France. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2007/92. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1996a. Supplement E003 of method 00006/M002 for the determination of residues of tolylfluanid/DMST in/on raspbeery and blackberry. Bayer AG Report No. 00006/M002/E003. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1996b. Method for the determination of residues of the fungicides Euparen and Euparen M in plant materials and beverages by gas chromatography. Bayer AG Report No. 00006/M003. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1996b. Method for the determination of residues of the fungicides Euparen and Euparen M in plant materials and beverages by gas chromatography. Bayer AG Report No. MR-922/95 (Bayer method No. 00006/M003). Unpublished

Brennecke, R. 1996c. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WP in/on grape following spray application in Spain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2050/93. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1996d. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WP and Euparen M 50 WG in/on grape following spray application in Italy. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2065/94. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1996e. Determination of residues of Euparen M in/on processed commodities of grapes following spray application in Italy. Bayer AG Report No. RA-3065/94. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1996f. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on head lettuce in Spain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2090/94. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1996g. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WP in/on grape following spray application in France. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2049/93. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1996h. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WP in/on table grape following spray application in Chile. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2146/94Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1997a. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on grape following spray application in France. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2093/95. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1997a. Determination of tolylfluanid in/on various raw agricultural and processed commodities. Bayer AG Report No. 00441. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1997b. Deep freezer storage stability of residues of Euparen M in/on green and dried hop cones. Bayer AG Report No. MR-653/96. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1997b. Modification M003 for determination of residues of SZX 0722, Tolylfluanid and DMST in/on various raw agricultural and processed commodities by HPLC/MS/MS. Bayer AG Report No. 00442/M003. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1997c. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on hops following spray application in the Federal Republic of Germany. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2102/95. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1997d. Determination of residues of Euparen M in/on processed commodities of hops following spray application in the Federal Republic of Germany. Bayer AG Report No. RA-3102/95. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1997e. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on tomato following spray application in Mexico. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2157/95,Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1997e. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on tomato following spray application in the Federal Republic of Germany. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2101/95. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1997f. Deep freezer storage stability of residues of Euparen M in fortified samples of grapes. Bayer AG Report No. MR-648/96. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1997g (amended 2000). Deep freezer storage stability of residues of Euparen M in fortified samples of grape juice. Bayer AG Report No. MR-649/96. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1997h. Deep freezer storage stability of residues of Euparen M in fortified samples of grape wine. Bayer AG Report No. MR-650/96. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1997i. Deep freezer storage stability of residues of Euparen M in fortified samples of tomato fruit. Bayer AG Report No. MR-651/96. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1997j. Deep freezer storage stability of residues of Euparen M in fortified samples of apple fruit. Bayer AG Report No. MR-652/96. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1998a. Determination of residues of SZX 0722 and tolylfluanid on tomato following spray application of SZX 0722 & tolylfluanid (43.5 WG) in greenhouses in Germany, Benelux, Italy and France. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2126/97. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1998a. Modification M001 of method 00112: Determination of residues of Tolylfluanid, DMSTand Tebuconazole in/on various raw agricultural commodities by HPLC/MS/MS. Bayer AG Report No. 00112/M001. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1998b. Determination of residues of SZX 0722 and tolylfluanid on lettuce following spray application of SZX 0722 & tolylfluanid (43.5 WG) in the field in Germany, France and Great Britain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2121/97. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1998b. Supplement of the residue methods 00442/M003 and 00112/M001 for the determination of residues of SZX 0722, Tolylfluanid, DMST and Tebuconazole in/on various raw agricultural and processed commodities by liquid chromatography using MSMSES. Bayer AG Report No. 00112/M001/E008. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. 1999. Determination of residues of SZX 0722 and tolylfluanid in/on processed commodities of tomatoes following spray application of SZX 0722 & tolylfluanid (43.5 WG) in greenhouses in Germany. Bayer AG Report No. RA-3135/98. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. and Block, H. 1999a. Determination of residues of Tebuconazole and Tolylfluanid in/on head lettuce following spray application of Folicur EM (50 WP) in Italy and France. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2039/98. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. and Block, H. 1999b. Determination of residues of SZX 0722 and tolylfluanid on tomato following spray application of SZX 0722 & tolylfluanid (43.5 WG) in greenhouses in Belgium, Germany, Italy and Spain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2135/98. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. and Block, H. 1999c. Determination of residues of SZX 0722 and tolylfluanid on lettuce following spray application of SZX 0722 & tolylfluanid (43.5 WG) in the field in Belgium, Germany and France. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2136/98. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. and Block, H. 1999d. Determination of residues of SZX 0722 and tolylfluanid in/on processed commodities of lettuce following spray application of SZX 0722 & tolylfluanid (43.5 WG) in the field in Germany. Bayer AG Report No. RA-3136/98. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. and Klamroth, E. 1997. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on tomato following spray application in Italy and Spain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2100/95. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. and Nüsslein, F. 1997a. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on lettuce in Spain, Italy, Portugal and France. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2066/96. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. and Nüsslein, F. 1997b. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on strawberry following spray application in France, Italy and Spain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2062/96. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. and Nüsslein, F. 1997c. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on cucumber following spray application in greenhouses in Spain and Italy. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2063/96. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. and Seym, M. 1996a. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on apple in France and Italy. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2096/95. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. and Seym, M. 1996b. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on apple in the Netherlands and Germany. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2095/95. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. and Walz-Tylla, B. 1994a. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on strawberry under actual use conditions in Great Britain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2009/92. Unpublished.

Brennecke, R. and Walz-Tylla, B. 1994b. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on raspberry and black currant under actual use conditions in Great Britain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2010/92. Unpublished.

Brumhard, B. 1997. Adsorption/desorption of DMST on four soils. Bayer AG Report No. PF4104. Unpublished.

Ecker, W. 1978. Biotransformation of [14C] Tolylfluanid in the rat. Bayer AG Report No. PF1282. Unpublished.

Ecker, W. and Weber, H. 1995. [Phenyl-U-14C] Tolylfluanid absorption, distribution, excretion and metabolism in a lactating goat. Bayer AG Report No. PF4106. Unpublished.

Ecker, W., Brauner, A. and Weber, H. 1987 (amended 2000). Biotransformation of [ring-U-14C] tolylfluanid by the rat following oral administration. Bayer AG Report No. PF2826. Unpublished.

Ernst, W. et al., 1974. Z. Anal. Chem. 271:358-363.

Hague, A., Westphal, D., Schuphan, I. and Ebing, W. 1979. Summary of final results relating to the degradation and metabolic behaviour of Tolylfluanid (Euparen M) in strawberries; Institute for crop protection research, BBA, Braunschweig, Germany. Bayer AG Report No. FM227. Unpublished.

Heinemann, O. and Brennecke, R. 1996a. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on strawberry following spray application in Spain and France. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2097/95. Unpublished.

Heinemann, O. and Brennecke, R. 1996b. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on black currant following spray application in Great Britain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2108/95. Unpublished.

Heinemann, O. and Brennecke, R. 1996c. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on raspberry and blackberry following spray application in Great Britain and Germany. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2107/95. Unpublished.

Heinemann, O. and Brennecke, R. 1997a. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on head lettuce following spray application in Spain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2105/95. Unpublished.

Heinemann, O. and Brennecke, R. 1997b. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on cucumber following spray application in Germany. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2103/95, Date:16.01.1997GLP. Unpublished.

Heinemann, O. and Brennecke, R. 1997c. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on cucumber following spray application in Germany, Spain and Italy. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2104/95. Unpublished.

Heinemann, O. and Brennecke, R. 1997d. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on black currant following spray application in Germany and Great Britain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2061/96. Unpublished.

Heinemann, O., Brennecke, R. 1997e. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on melon following spray application in the field in France. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2064/96. Unpublished.

Heinemann, O., Brennecke, R. 1997f. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on hops following spray application in the field in Germany. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2067/96. Unpublished.

Hellpointner, E. 1992. Assessment of the environmental half-life of the direct photodegradation of tolylfluanid in water. Bayer AG Report No. PF3661. Unpublished.

Hellpointner, E. 1992. Assessment of the environmental half-life of the direct photodegradation of tolylfluanid in water. Bayer AG Report No. PF3661. Unpublished.

Hellpointner, E. 2000a. Photolysis of [phenyl-UL-14C]tolylfluanid on soil surface. Bayer AG Report No. MR-707/99. Unpublished.

Hellpointner, E. 2000b. Determination of the quantum yield and assessment of the environmental half-life of the direct photodegradation of DMST in water. Bayer AG Report No. MR-573/99. Unpublished.

Hellpointner, E. 2001. Confirmatory method for the determination of tolylfluanid in air (confirmed method: 00292). Bayer AG Report No. 00292C. Unpublished.

Hellpointner, E. and Riegner, K. 1992. Method for the determination of tolylfluanid in air. Bayer AG Report No. 00292. Unpublished.

Hoffmann, M. and Preu, M. 2001. Determination of residues of tolylfluanid (KUE 13183B) in/on tomato fruits after spray application of Euparen M 50 WG to tomato plants in the greenhouse in Germany, Italy and Belgium. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2097/00. Unpublished.

Klein, O. 1991 (amended 2000). [U-Phenyl-14C] tolylfluanid: General rat metabolism study. Bayer AG Report No. PF3785. Unpublished.

Koehler, J. 1989. Supplement E001 to method 00112: a method for determining residues of the fungicides Euparen, Euparen M and Folicur in plant material and beverages by gas chromatography. Bayer AG Report No. 00112/E001. Unpublished.

Krauskopf, B. 1995. Calculation of DT50- and DT90values of DMST in two water/sediment-systems. Bayer AG Report No. M9194. Unpublished.

Krohn, J. 1985. Water solubility of DMST at 20°C. Bayer AG Report No. 5/0051. Unpublished.

Krohn, J. 1985. Water solubility of tolylfluanid. Bayer AG Report No. PC1099. Unpublished.

Krohn, J. 1988a. Partition coefficient of tolylfluanid (Methyl-Euparen) at 21°C. Bayer AG Report No. PC812. Unpublished.

Krohn, J. 1988b. Dissociation constant of tolylfluanid. Bayer AG. Report No. PC1100. Unpublished.

Krohn, J. 1993. Calculation of the Henry law constant of Tolylfluanid. Bayer AG Report No. PC807. Unpublished.

Krohn, J. 1993. Calculation of the Henry law constant of Tolylfluanid. Bayer AG Report No. PC807. Unpublished.

Krohn, J. 1994. Melting point of tolylfluanid. Bayer AG Report No. PC578. Unpublished.

Krohn, J. 1996. Solubility of tolylfluanid in representative organic solvents. Bayer AG Report No. PC1118. Unpublished.

Krohn, J. 1999. Density and vapour pressure of Tolylfluanid-DMST. Bayer AG Report No. 146600958. Unpublished.

Linke-Ritzer, P., Brauner, A., Babczinski, P. and Clark, T. 1988 (amended 1997). [Phenyl-UL-14C] Tolylfluanid: Metabolism in apples. Bayer AG Report No. PF4186. Unpublished.

Maasfeld, W. and Brennecke, R. 1996. Method for the determination of residues of tolylfluanid in foodstuffs of animal origin (Validation). Bayer AG Report No. 00435. Unpublished.

Mix, K. H.; Berg, G. 1988 (amended 2001). Thermal stability of the active ingredient tolylfluanid (KUE 13183 B). Bayer AG Report No. PC816. Unpublished.

Nüsslein, F. 1996. Modifikation 002 of the method 00006 for the determination of residues of Euparen M in/on apple, aubergine, pear, green bean, strawberry, cucumber, melon, pepper and tomato. Bayer AG Report No. 00006/M002. Unpublished.

Nüsslein, F. 1996a. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on strawberry in Spain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2086/94. Unpublished.

Nüsslein, F. 1996b. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in/on melon in France and Greece. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2052/94. Unpublished.

Nüsslein, F. 1996c. Tolylfluanid/DMST – deep freezer storage stability study in processed commodities of tomato. Bayer AG Report No. MR-158/96. Unpublished.

Nüsslein, F. 1996d. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on tomato in Italy following spray application. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2063/94. Unpublished.

Nüsslein, F. 1996e. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in processed tomato products. Bayer AG Report No. RA-3063/94. Unpublished.

Nüsslein, F. 1996f. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on pepper in Italy and Spain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2028/94. Unpublished.

Nüsslein, F. 1996g. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on apple in Italy and Spain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2064/94. Unpublished.

Nüsslein, F. 1996h. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on pear in Italy and Spain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2150/94. Unpublished.

Nüsslein, F. 1998a. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WP in/on strawberry in the field in France. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2048/97. Unpublished.

Nüsslein, F. 1998b. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on melon in the field in France. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2046/97. Unpublished.

Nüsslein, F. 1998c. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in blackberry in the field in the Federal Republic of Germany and Great Britain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2045/97. Unpublished.

Nüsslein, F. 1999. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG on leek in the field in the Federal Republik of Germany, Benelux, France and Great Britain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2047/97. Unpublished.

Nüsslein, F. and Walz-Tylla, B. 1996. Determination of residues of Euparen M 50 WG in processed apple products. Bayer AG Report No. RA-3064/94. Unpublished.

Preu, M. 2001a. Determination of residues of tolylfluanid (KUE 13183B) in/on pepper fruits after spray application of Euparen M 50 WG to pepper plants in the greenhouse in the Netherlands. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2094/00. Unpublished.

Preu, M. 2001b. Determination of residues of tolylfluanid (KUE 13183 B) in/on leek shoots after spray application of Euparen M 50 WG to leek in the field in Great Britain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2008/00. Unpublished.

Reiner, H. 2000. Metabolism of tolylfluanid in lettuce. Bayer AG Report No. MR-216/00. Unpublished.

Reiner, H. and Brauner, A. 1993. Metabolism of Tolylfluanid in strawberries. Bayer AG Report No. PF3854. Unpublished.

Schad, T. 2001. Predicted environmental concentrations of tolylfluanid and tolylfluanid-dimethylaminosulfotoluidide (DMST) in groundwater recharge based on calculations with FOCUS-PELMO; Use in apples, grapes, strawberries. Bayer AG Report No. MR-044/01. Unpublished.

Schaefer, H. 1995 (amended 2001). Calculation of DT-50 values of the Tolylfluanid metabolite Dimethylaminosulfotoluidide in soil under aerobic conditions. Bayer AG Report No. MR-875/95. Unpublished.

Schneider, K. 2002a (amended 2002). Euparen M techn. (Tolylfluanid) – Appearance. Bayer AG Report No. MO-02-000879. Unpublished.

Schneider, K. 2002b. Euparen M techn. (Tolylfluanid) – Odour. Bayer AG Report No. MO-02-000861. Unpublished.

Schoening, R. 1999. Residue analytical method 00563 for the determination of tolylfluanid and DMST residues in/on plant material by HPLC with Electrospray MS/MSdetection. Bayer AG Report No. 00563. Unpublished.

Scholz, K. 1987a. Leaching characteristics of Tolylfluanid (Euparen M) aged in soil. Bayer AG Report No. PF2864. Unpublished.

Scholz, K. 1987b. Degradation of Tolylfluanid in the water-sediment-system. Bayer AG Report No. PF2783. Unpublished.

Scholz, K. 1988a. Metabolism of [benzene ring-U-14C] tolylfluanid (Euparen M) in soil under aerobic conditions. Bayer AG Report No. PF2984. Unpublished.

Scholz, K. 1988b. Degradation of plant protectants in the water-sediment system. Bayer AG Report No. PF2987. Unpublished.

Scholz, K. 1997. Aerobic degradation of tolylfluanid in water-sediment. Bayer AG Report No. PF4282. Unpublished.

Schrier, L. C. 1996. Independent laboratory confirmation of the enforcement method for the determination of Toylfluanid in/on various raw agricultural and processed commodities according to PR Notice 96-1 and European guidelines; ABC Laboratiories, Inc., Columbia, MO, USA. Bayer AG Report No. 43515. Unpublished.

Schuphan, I. and Ebing, W. 1979. Overall result of studies to investigate fate of Euparen M WP (Tolylfluanid) in soil (Laboratory degradation studies); Institut für Pflanzenschutzmittelforschung, Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft. Bayer AG Report No. FM226, Unpublished.

Schuphan, I., Ebing, W., Hague, A. and Westphal, D. 1979. Degradation and metabolism behaviour of Tolylfluanid (Euparen M) in strawberries; Institute for crop protection research, BBA, Braunschweig, Germany. Bayer AG Report No. M2338. Unpublished.

Sneikus, J. 2000. Aqueous hydrolysis of tolylfluanid under conditions of processing studies. Bayer AG Report No. MR-482/00. Unpublished.

Sommer, H. 2000. Estimation of the adsorption coefficient (Koc) of tolylfluanid on soil using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Bayer AG Report No. MR-428/00. Unpublished.

Specht, W. 1990. Modification M014 to method 00086: tolylfluanid & pencycuron; to B/8 No. 1.1-tolylfluanid; analysis method for the determination of the active ingredient and relevant metabolites in soil; Dr. Specht & Partner, Chemische Laboratorien GmbH, Hamburg, Germany. Bayer AG Report No. Az.68022/89. Unpublished.

Specht, W. and Thier, H. P. 1987. Organochlorine, organophosphorus, nitrogen-containing and other pesticides - Gas-chromatographic determination after cleanup by gel permeation chromatography and silica gel minicolumn chromatography; Dr. Specht & Partner, Chemische Laboratorien GmbH, Hamburg, Germany. Bayer AG Report No. 00086. Published

Specht, W., Pelz, S. and Gilsbach, W. 1995. Modified extraction: Gas-chromatographic determination of pesticide residues after clean-up by gel-permeation chromatography and mini-silica gel-column chromatography - 6. Communication: Replacement of dichloromethane by ethyl acetate/ ...; Dr. Specht & Partner, Chemische Laboratorien GmbH, Hamburg, Germany. Bayer AG Report No. MO-01-012505. Published

Spiegel, K. 2000. Determination of residues of tolylfluanid on black currant after spray application of Euparen M (50 WG) in the field in the federal republic of Germany and Great Britain. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2007/99. Unpublished.

Spiegel, K. 2001. Determination of residues of tebuconazole, tolylfluanid and DMST on head lettuce after spray application of Folicur EM 50 WP in the field in Spain, France, Italy, Portugal and Greece. Bayer AG Report No. RA-2039/99. Unpublished.

Sur, R. 2001. Validation of supplement E001 of the analytical method 00563 for the determination of residues of the fungicide tolylfluanid and its metabolite dimethylaminosulftoluidin in/on cherry, grapes, leek, peach, pepper, plum and stawberry..... Bayer AG Report No. 00563/E001. Unpublished.

Suzuki, M. and Yoshida, K. 1994. Identification of transformed compound produced in ready biodegradability test of Preventol A-5; Mitsubishi-kasei Institute, Yokohama, Japan. Bayer AG Report No. M9494. Unpublished.

Vogeler, K., Steffan, H., Ullemeyer, H. and Rapp, A. 1979. Degradation of Dichlorfluanid in and on wine grapes and during wine-making process. Bayer AG Report No. RA-97/79. Unpublished.

Vonk, J. W. and den Daas, H. 1977. Metabolism of [Dichlorofluoromethyl-14C] Tolylfluanid on apples(Institute for Organic Chemistry TNO, Utrecht, Netherlands). Bayer AG Report No. FM172. Unpublished.

Weber, H. 1988 (amended 2000). [Phenyl-UL-14C] tolylfluanid: Whole-body autoradiographic distribution of the radioactivity in the rat. Bayer AG Report No. PF2961. Unpublished.

Weber, H. and Ecker, W. 1996 (amended 2000). [Phenyl-UL-14C] tolylfluanid Absorption, distribution, excretion and metabolism in laying hens. Bayer AG Report No. PF4170. Unpublished.

Weber, H., Patzschke, K. and Wegner, L. A. 1977. Tolylfluanid-14C (Euparen M active substance) Biokinetic investigations of rats. Bayer AG Report No. PF1165. Unpublished.

Weber, R. 1984. Determination of density with a air compairison pycnometer (as described in directive 79/831/EWG). Bayer AG Report No. PC805. Unpublished.

Weber, R. and Krohn, J. 1982. Vapour pressure curve of tolylfluanid. Bayer AG Report No. PC1101. Unpublished.

Weber, R.; Krohn, J. 1982. Vapour pressure curve of tolylfluanid. Bayer AG Report No. PC1101. Unpublished.

Weeren, R. D. and Pelz, S. 1998. Supplement E045 to method 00086: Validation of DFG method S 19 with modified extraction (with DFG cleanup method 5 for fat) for the determination of residues of tolylfluanid in foodstuffs of animal origin (milk, meat, egg and fat); Dr. Specht & Partner, Chemische Laboratorien GmbH, Hamburg, Germany. Bayer AG Report No. 00086/E045. Unpublished.

Weeren, R. D. and Pelz, S. 1999a. Modification M028 of method 00086: Validation of DFG method S 19 with modified extraction (with extraction according to ERNST for rapeseed) for the determination of residues of tolylfluanid in plant material (dried hop cones and rapeseed); Dr. Specht & Partner, Chemische Laboratorien GmbH, Hamburg, Germany. Bayer AG Report No. 00086/M028. Unpublished.

Weeren, R. D. and Pelz, S. 1999b. Validation of an analytical method (analogous to DFG method W 5) for the determinaton of residues of tolylfluanid and dimethylsulfotoluidid (DMST) in drinking and surface water; Dr. Specht & Partner, Chemische Laboratorien GmbH, Hamburg, Germany. Bayer AG Report No. 00054/E002. Unpublished.

Weeren, R. D. and Schmidt, F. 1996. Independent laboratory validation (ILV) of Bayer method 00435 for the determination of the residues of tolylfluanid in matrices of animal origin; Dr. Specht & Partner, Chemische Laboratorien GmbH, Hamburg, Germany. Bayer AG Report No. BAY-9602V. Unpublished.

Weeren, R.D. and Pelz, S. (Authors of the German version); Weeren, R.D., Linkerhägner, M., Pelz, S. and Walker, B. (Authors of the English version). 1999. Official manual of test methods according to § 35 LMBG: Modular multiple analytical method for the determination of pesticide residues in foodstuffs (modified version of the DFG method S 19); Dr. Specht & Partner, Chemische Laboratorien GmbH, Hamburg, Germany. Bayer AG Report No. 00086 extended. Published

Wilmes, R. 1982 (amended 1984). Fate/behaviour of crop protection products in water. Bayer AG Report No. M1093. Unpublished.

Wolfe, N. L., Zepp, R. G., Doster, J. C. and Hollis, R. C. 1976. Captan hydrolysis; Environmental Research Laboratory U.S., Athens, GA, USA; Journal:J. Agric. Food Chem. 24 (5), 1041-1045. Report No. M3275. Published