## **DIFLUBENZURON (130)**

# First draft was prepared by Dr W Shan and Dr J Xu, Institute for Control of Agrochemicals, Ministry of Agriculture, Beijing, China

# **EXPLANATION**

Diflubenzuron is an agricultural insect growth regulator. It was originally evaluated by the JMPR in 1981 and re-evaluated for residues several times up to 1988.

Diflubenzuron was evaluated under the Periodic Review Program for toxicology in 2001 and for residues in 2002 respectively.

The original ADI 0–0.02 mg/kg bw was re-confined and the acute reference dose was considered unnecessary. The residue definition is diflubenzuron both for compliance with MRLs and dietary intake. The residue is fat-soluble. The maximum residue levels for citrus fruit, pome fruit, rice, mushroom, rice straw and fodder were recommended, and the previous maximum residue level for apple, pear, plum, Brussels sprouts, head cabbage, soya bean and tomato were withdrew.

This Meeting received information on the residue analysis, storage stability, use patterns and supervised field trials for peaches, plums, peppers, mustard green, barley, wheat, almond, pecan and peanut.

Plant metabolism studies with diflubenzuron on maize, soybean, cabbage, cotton, and apple were reviewed by the 2002 JMPR. The JMPR concluded that in plants, most of the resultant residue from the use of diflubenzuron was a surface residue and that the parent diflubenzuron was the major component of the residue. In accordance with JMPR and OECD Guidelines, these metabolism studies are sufficient to cover all crops for which additional MRLs are proposed. No additional plant metabolism studies are submitted to this meeting.

#### **RESIDUE ANALYSIS**

#### Analytical methods

The present meeting received the analytical methods of diflubenzuron, CPU and PCA in peaches, plums, peppers, mustard green, barley, wheat, almond, pecan and peanut which are primarily based on the methods previously reviewed by JMPR in 2002, with some modifications to minimize matrix interference depending on the matrices. The results are summarized below, including the commodities, for which the methods were validated, analytes and their limit of quantization (LOQ), determination technique and a brief description of the method.

Diflubenzuron was extracted from homogenized samples using dichloromethane (peaches, plums, peppers) ethyl acetate (mustard greens, pepper method B, almonds, and peanuts) or acetonitrile (wheat, barley). For the GC-ECD method involving derivatisation (peaches, plums, pepper, almonds, and peanuts) extracts were cleaned-up on a florisil column and derivatised with heptafluorobutyric anhydride prior to analysis by GC-ECD. Typical LOQs were 0.05 mg/kg. For the HPLC-UV methods (mustard greens, pepper method B, almonds, peanuts) extracts were cleaned-up by liquid-liquid partitioning and also using a florisil column. Quantitation of diflubenzuron residues was by HPLC with UV detection. Typical LOQs were 0.005 to 0.05 mg/kg. In the case of wheat and barley, extracts were cleaned-up by liquid-liquid partitioning followed by elution from C18 and silica gel solid-phase extraction columns. Quantification was by HPLC with UV detection. The reported LOQ ranged between 0.037–0.08 mg/kg.

Table 1 Summary of method validation data for various matrices

Matrix	Analyte	Fortification (mg/kg)	Recoveries (%)	Mean (%)	RSD (%)	Reference
Peach	Diflubenzuron	0.05(n = 7)	89, 96, 103, 94, 95, 97, 88	95	5	GRL-12274
	Diffuoenzuion	0.07(n=3)	95, 95, 96	95	1	

Matrix	Analyte	Fortification	Recoveries	Mean	RSD	Reference
	-	(mg/kg)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
		0.1(n=3)	89, 94, 93	92	3	
		0.01(n=6)	73, 87, 88, 101, 86, 84	87	12	
	CPU	0.05(n=3)	117, 98, 89	101	4	
		0.1(n=3)	105, 99, 97	100	3	
		0.01(n=6)	109, 107, 101, 97, 97, 100	102	5	
	PCA	0.05(n=3)	105, 117, 94	105	11	
		0.1(n=3)	101, 102, 101	101	0.3	
Plum		0.05 (n = 7)	98, 91, 91, 90, 107, 98, 101	97	7	GRL-12274
	Diflubenzuron	0.07 (n = 3)	99, 102, 97	100	3	
		0.1 (n = 3)	93, 99, 94	96	3	
		0.01 (n = 8)	82, 108, 104, 98, 85, 81, 93, 85	92	11	
	CPU	0.05 (n = 3)	109, 93, 104	102	8	
		0.1 (n = 3)	95, 104, 97	95	10	1
		0.005 (n = 6)	93, 92, 97, 102, 112, 113	101	9	1
		0.005 (n = 3)	101, 105, 100	101	3	-
	PCA	0.1 (n = 3)	87, 105, 109	102	12	
Mustard	Diflubenzuron	0.05(n=3)	67, 80, 88	78	14	PR 08031/
greens		0.5(n=3)	90, 91, 88	90	2	2005-059
		5(n=3)	81, 86, 79	82	4	2005-059
	СРИ	3(n=3) 0.01(n=3)	81, 80, 79 80, 90, 90	82	4	-
	CrU	0.01(n = 3) 0.10(n = 3)	88, 93, 88	90	3	-
	PCA			90	4	-
	FUA	0.005(n=3)	112, 118, 108			-
Donner	Diflubenzuron	0.05(n=3) 0.05(n=6)	112, 120 85, 85, 73, 85, 66, 85	116 80	10	RP-97016
Pepper	Dillubenzuron			96	6	KP-9/016
		0.5(n=6)	87, 98 94, 93, 104, 97			-
	CDU	1(n=6)	92, 83, 84, 84, 82, 88	86	4	-
	CPU	0.01(n=6)	92, 82, 94, 76, 100, 80	87	11	_
		0.1(n=1)	94, 100, 84, 100, 81	92	10	-
		0.2(n=5)	84			-
	DCL	0.6(n=6)	110, 81, 109, 80, 107, 83	95	16	_
	PCA	0.005(n=6)	104, 93, 97, 94, 101, 99	98	4	_
		0.010(n=6)	102, 96, 106, 99, 106, 98	101		_
	D:0.1	0.05(n=6)	117, 93, 106, 97, 80, 95	98	0.0	DD 02007/
	Diflubenzuron	0.005(n=3)	82, 95, 97	92	8.8	RP-03007/
		0.05(n=3)	70, 77, 85	77	9.4	GRL-12164
		0.5(n=3)	81, 78, 76	78	2.8	_
	CPU	0.005(n=3)	95, 101, 110	102	7.2	_
		0.05(n=3)	100, 80, 88	89	11	4
		0.5(n=3)	83, 92, 119	98	19	4
	PCA	0.005(n=3)	103, 110, 107	107	3.3	4
		0.05(n=3)	101, 106, 101	102	3	4
		0.5(n=3)	112, 100, 105	106	5.5	
Wheat grain	Diflubenzuron	0.05	98, 96, 94	96	2	PR 08024/
		0.5	94, 87, 101	94	7	2005-061
Wheat hay	Diflubenzuron	0.05	86, 112, 94	97	13	4
		0.5	82, 91, 84	86	6	4
Wheat straw	Diflubenzuron	0.05	80, 78, 104	87	15	4
	4	0.5	81, 91, 78	83	7	4
Wheat flour	Diflubenzuron	0.05	98, 102, 98	99	2	4
		0.5	98, 96, 84	93	8	4
Wheat bran	Diflubenzuron	0.05	84, 70, 74	76	9	4
		0.5	82, 85, 91	86	5	
Wheat germ	Diflubenzuron	0.05	70, 62, 84	72	11	
		0.5	83, 75, 83	80	6	
Wheat grain	CPU	0.005	120, 100, 80	100	20	
		0.05	58, 80, 72	70	11	
Barley hay	CPU	0.005	80, 80, 80	80	0	
Darley hay		0.05	92, 98, 96	95	3	
Darrey nay		0.05	92, 98, 90	,5	5	
Barley straw	CPU	0.05	80, 80, 80	80	0	-

Matrix	Analyte	Fortification	Recoveries	Mean	RSD	Reference
	-	(mg/kg)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
Wheat flour	CPU	0.005	100, 80, 100	93	12	
		0.05	102, 108, 102	104	3	
Wheat bran	CPU	0.005	100, 100, 100	100	0	
		0.05	84, 82, 94	87	6	
Wheat germ	CPU	0.005	80, 80, 80	80	0	
e		0.05	106, 100, 94	100	6	
Wheat grain	PCA	0.005	112, 112, 114	113	1	
0	-	0.05	119, 117, 116	117	2	
		0.10	117, 112, 116	115	3	-
Wheat hay	PCA	0.005	106, 106, 104	105	1	
	1 011	0.05	110, 108, 108	109	1	-
Wheat straw	PCA	0.005	94, 98, 97	96	2	-
Wheat Shaw	1 0/1	0.05	103, 113, 103	106	6	-
Wheat flour	PCA	0.005	100, 112, 104	100	6	-
wheat nour	ICA	0.05	100, 101, 100	100	1	-
Wheat bran	DCA			100		_
wheat bran	PCA	0.005	102, 104, 100		2	_
When the set	DCA	0.05	105, 105, 107	106	1	-
Wheat germ	PCA	0.005	110, 102, 100	104	5	4
A 1 1 1 11	D'0 1	0.05	104, 107, 103	105	2	DD 02001/
Almond hulls	Diflubenzuron	0.005(n=3)	91, 78, 96	88	10	RP-03001/
		0.05(n=3)	83, 82, 75	80	5.3	GRL-12118
		0.5(n=3)	82, 74, 84	80	6.4	4
		5(n = 3)	104, 73, 78	85	20	_
	CPU	0.005(n = 3)	106, 80, 104	97	15	
		0.01(n=3)	87, 100, 101	96	8	
		0.5(n=3)	118, 76, 117	104	23	
	PCA	0.005(n = 3)	74, 113, 96	94	21	
		0.01(n = 3)	114, 96, 99	103	9.3	
		0.3(n=3)	118, 93, 80	97	20	
Almond	Diflubenzuron	0.05(n=2)	76, 80	78		RP-98003/
nutmeat		0.1(n=3)	70, 80, 81	77	8	PTRL 723W
		0.5(n=3)	81, 85, 85	84	3	-
	CPU	0.005(n=3)	84, 94, 86	88	6	-
	010	0.01(n=3)	96, 97, 95	96	1	-
		0.001(n = 3)	97, 60, 79	79	24	-
	РСА	0.005(n = 3)	71, 88, 69	76	14	_
	ICA	0.005(n - 3) 0.01(n = 3)	80, 84, 98	87	11	-
Almond hulls	Diflubenzuron	0.05(n=3)	84, 85, 78	82	5	_
Annona nuns	Dillubelizatoli		93, 79, 85	86	8	-
		0.1(n=3)			8 5	_
	CDU	0.5(n=3)	78, 70, 74	74		_
	CPU	0.01(n=3)	85, 98, 110	98	12	
	PCA	0.005(n=3)	82, 97, 100	93	10	_
	lug i	0.01(n=3)	102, 99, 83	95	11	
Peanut	diflubenzuron	0.05(n=3)	116, 102, 106	108	7	2005-006/
nutmeat		0.5(n=3)	85, 84, 85	85	1	PR07737
		1.0(n = 3)	98, 97, 81	92	10	4
	CPU	0.005(n = 3)	80, 100, 100	93	12	_
		0.05(n=3)	98, 100, 96	98	2	_
		0.1(n=3)	93, 91, 92	92	1	
	PCA	0.005(n=3)	104, 120, 100	108	10	
		0.05(n=3)	112, 113, 111	112	1	7
		0.1(n=3)	112, 115, 110	112	2	1
Peanut hay	diflubenzuron	0.5(n=3)	89, 88, 91	89	2	7
5		1.0(n=3)	104, 103, 98	102	43	1
		20(n=3)	87, 85, 92	88		1
	CPU	0.01(n=3)	50, 100, 110	83	35	1
		0.01(n = 3)		95	4	-
	DCA		93, 93, 100			-
	PCA	0.005(n=3)	108, 106, 110	108	2	
		0.05(n=3)	112, 100, 115	109	7	4
		0.1(n=3)	103, 118, 96	106	11	4
	41.00					
Peanut meal	diflubenzuron	$0.5(n = 3) \\ 1.0(n = 3)$	79, 78, 82 85, 79, 84	<u>80</u> 83	3	_

Matrix	Analyte	Fortification	Recoveries	Mean	RSD	Reference
		(mg/kg)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
	CPU	0.02(n=3)	95, 95, 95	95	0	
		0.05(n=3)	92, 90, 78	87	9	
		0.1(n=3)	86, 72, 92	83	12	
	PCA	0.005(n = 3)	94, 94, 90	93	2	
		0.05(n=3)	104, 103, 98	102	3	
		0.1(n = 3)	102, 104, 105	104	1	
Peanut oil	diflubenzuron	0.05(n=3)	84, 80, 78	81	4	
		0.5(n=3)	77, 79, 79	78	1	
		1.0(n = 3)	81, 83, 81	82	1	
	CPU	0.005(n=3)	100, 100, 80	93	12	
		0.05(n=3)	74, 86, 78	79	8	
		0.1(n=3)	84, 93, 48	75	32	
	PCA	0.005(n = 3)	96, 96, 102	98	4	
		0.05(n=3)	102, 106, 104	104	2	
		0.1(n=3)	98, 111, 101	103	7	

# STORAGE STABILITY TESTS

Analytical issues with studies on the stability of diflubenzuron in rice, lettuce, turnip root and wheat commodities did not enable the 2002 JMPR to determine whether or not residues declined on frozen storage. Studies with peppers demonstrated residues of diflubenzuron are stable for at least 12 months frozen storage.

The present meeting received the additional storage stability of residue samples from trials on almonds, peanut, peach, pepper, wheat matrices. The lowest freezer temperature was -24 °C. The average freezer temperature was -18 °C. All of the storage stability trial results are summarized in Table 2. The results indicate that under the frozen conditions of storage, residues of diflubenzuron was stable over the study period in matrices of peaches, mustard green, peppers, barley, wheat, almonds and peanuts.

Analyte	Fortification	Storage	Procedural recovery	%	Mean	Reference
	level (mg/kg)	interval (days)	(%)	remaining	%remaining	
				RP-00012		
Diflubenzuron	0.1	0	77,68	-	-	
		33	81, 99	81, 71	76	
		95	81, 99	39, 58	49	
		209	80, 79	72, 75	74	
		297	57, 64	61, 57	59	
		411	72, 81	74, 65	69	
CPU	0.1	0	63, 64	-	-	
		34	74, 73	47,63	55	
		103	104, 103	68, 69	69	
		189	85, 86	66, 68	67	
		279	67, 72	52, 55	54	
		391	63, 66	61,64	63	
PCA	0.1	0	109, 106	-	-	
		35	103, 106	17, 12	15	
		103	103, 105	10, 11	11	
		187	107, 108	17, 17	17	
		265	109, 109	13, 12	13	
		376	102, 103	12, 12	12	
			Mustard green			
Diflubenzuron	0.5	422	88	75, 72	74	
CPU	0.1	520	132	120,114	117	
			Peppers			RP-97016
Diflubenzuron	0.1	0	73, 70	-	-	
		23	117, 137	138, 131	135	
		86	77, 77	72, 63, 74	70	

Table 2 Frozen storage stability of diflubenzuron, CPU and PCA in various matrices

Analyte	Fortification	Storage	Procedural recovery	%	Mean	Reference
-	level (mg/kg)	interval (days)	(%)	remaining	%remaining	
		174	80, 78	81, 76, 81	79	
		369	81, 87	74, 84, 79	79	
CPU	0.1	0	94, 89	-	-	
010	0.1	31	71	63, 65	64	-
		89	109, 103	78, 69, 84	77	-
		186	91, 82	64, 66, 66	65	-
		367	69,83	55, 57, 54	55	-
PCA	0.1	0	96, 73	-	-	_
rCA	0.1	32	90, 73	- 57, 59, 53	56	-
		90	101, 101		48	-
				46, 46, 52		-
		183	75,76	32, 31, 31	31	_
		366	87, 87	18, 20, 19	19	
		-	Wheat flour			2006-050
Diflubenzuron	0.5	0	83, 92, 100	-	-	2008-002
		30	94, 88	88, 84	86	
		90	104, 112	81, 83	82	
		180	92, 97	89, 86	88	
CPU	0.05	0	80, 80, 80	-	-	
		30	98,100	38, 44	41	7
		90	64, 72	28, 26	27	7
		180	72, 62	22, 34	23	-
PCA	0.05	0	100, 104, 106	-	-	-
	0.05	30	100, 104, 100	- 94, 92	93	-
		90	102, 104	90,90	90	-
			112, 110	90, 90 80, 84	82	-
		180		80, 84	82	_
- 1.0. 1	1		Wheat germ	1		_
Diflubenzuron	0.5	0	95, 92, 94	-	-	_
		90	90, 86	74, 62	68	
		180	107, 88	111, 110	111	
		365	77, 79	62, 70	66	
CPU	0.05	0	82, 78, 74	-	-	
		90	76, 96	48, 52	50	
		180	88, 82	36, 40	38	
		240	94, 96	32, 30	31	
PCA	0.05	0	116, 110, 110	-	-	
		90	108, 104	82, 82	82	
		180	116, 114	72,72	72	-
		365	110, 114	58,60	59	-
		505	Barley grain	58,00	57	PR 08024
Diflubenzuron	0.5	0	108,106	_	-	1 K 08024
Diffudenzuron	0.5					-
		182	96,99	94, 81	88	
CDU	0.5	296	86,86	108, 102	105	4
CPU	0.5	0	80,100	-	-	4
		195	67,71	67, 87	77	_
		348	80,80	74, 96	85	
PCA	0.05	0	108,106	-	-	
		118	85,89	37, 57	47	
		293	106,102	31, 35	33	
			Barley straw	,		
Diflubenzuron	0.5	0	84,76	-	-	-
	0.0	134	72,74	100, 108	104	-
		301	81,61	117, 118	118	
CPU	0.5	0	120,120	-	-	-
CrU	0.5					
		233	77,104	44,74	59	
DCA	0.05	299	60,90	19, 49	34	4
PCA	0.05	0	108,110	-	-	_
		168	104,108	88, 87	88	_
		302	102,108	88, 91	90	
			Wheat forage			
Diflubenzuron	0.5	0	98,84	-	-	
		245	84,99	78,88	83	7
		422	71,64	79,99	89	-

Analyte	Fortification level (mg/kg)	Storage interval (days)	Procedural recovery (%)	% remaining	Mean %remaining	Reference
CPU	0.5	0	81,84	-	-	
		267	105,101	90, 78	84	_
PCA	0.05	0	98,100	-	-	
		194	104,109	50, 56	53	_
		345	100,100	38,40	39	_
			Wheat hay			_
Diflubenzuron	0.5	0	98,84	-	-	_
		140	85,86	105, 99	102	
		337	80,71	105, 101	103	
CPU	0.5	0	100,101	-	-	
010	0.0	251	80,85	61, 69	65	-
		355	80,80	26, 34	30	-
PCA	0.05	0	102,106	-	-	-
ICA	0.05	212	102,100	67, 70	69	_
		359	110,104	75,77	76	_
		557	Almond nutmeat	15,11	70	RP-00010
Diflubenzuron	0.1	0	84, 87	_	-	KI -00010
	0.1	32	79,84	- 79, 76	- 78	-
		<u> </u>	79, 84 85, 91	79, 76 81, 78	80	-
		103	81, 82	81, 78 76, 88	80	-
		321	81, 82 87, 84	76, 88 81, 86	82	-
					74	-
CDU	0.1	379	87,92	78, 69		-
CPU	0.1	0	66, 74	-	-	-
		34	79, 83	63, 64	64	_
		110	77, 79	68,73	71	_
		208	101, 82	86,60	73	_
		278	88, 77	83, 82	83	
		378	68, 77	77, 61	69	
PCA	0.1	0	111, 116	-	-	
		34	104, 108	103, 102	103	
		110	104, 103	81, 81	81	
		182	104, 105	90, 89	90	
		273	107, 108	86,90	88	
		384	99, 104	86, 80	83	
			Almond hulls			
Diflubenzuron	0.1	0	77, 93	-	-	
		32	76, 86	80, 75	78	
		103	77, 90	60, 57	59	
		180	97, 91	77, 76	77	
		321	89,92	75, 75	75	
		379	95, 98	75, 79	77	1
CPU	0.1	0	65, 62	-	-	1
		34	87, 85	59,70	65	-
		130	74, 70	79,30	55	-
		208	48, 57	55,62	58	-1
		278	36, 50	30, 28	29	-
		378	47, 39	19,42	30	-
PCA	0.1	0	104, 106	-	-	-
I CA	0.1	34	104, 106	- 92, 86	- 89	-
		110	105, 105	92, 86 63, 61	62	-
			100, 101			-
		182		62, 63	63	-
		273	108, 109	69,68	69	_
		384	104, 104	55,67	61	DD 0777-
D'0 1			Peanut nutmeat	<i>(5, 5</i> )		PR 07737
Diflubenzuron	0.5	295	75,69	65,68	67	_
CPU	0.5	421	79,84	54, 53	54	_
PCA	0.1	298	70,71	41, 39	40	
			Peanut hay			
Diflubenzuron	0.5	356	95,103	83, 79	81	
CPU	0.5	484	88,108	21, 35	38	
PCA	0.1	338	102,99	77, 76	76	
	•	•	Peanut meal			

Analyte	Fortification level (mg/kg)	Storage interval (days)	Procedural recovery (%)	% remaining	Mean %remaining	Reference
D:0.1				U	0	
Diflubenzuron	0.5	643	96,86	84, 65	75	
CPU	0.5	645	110,106	90, 114	102	
PCA	0.1	488	108,106	91, 88	90	
			Peanut refined oil			
Diflubenzuron	0.5	365	115,113	114, 117	115	
CPU	0.5	294	91,81	82, 87	85	
PCA	0.1	286	68,71	65, 65	65	

# **USE PATTERN**

Diflubenzuron is effective on a wide variety of insect pests, predominantly from the families Lepidoptera (caterpillars) and Diptera (flies). It is useful in controlling worms (e.g., fall webworm, armyworm), weevils (e.g., rice water weevil, cotton boll weevil), beetles (e.g., oat leaf beetle, Colorado potato beetle), Psylla species, moths (e.g., codling moth, winter moth), leaf-feeding larvae, leaf miners, rust mites, larvae of sciarid and phorid flies, maize stalk borers, tortrix, earwigs, cabbage white, leafrollers, grasshoppers, aphids, and fruit flies.

All supervised trials were conducted in the United States. Table 3 summarizes the registered uses of diflubenzuron.

Crop	Formulation	Application	Application						
	(g ai/L or g ai/kg)	kg ai/ha	Spray volume L/ha	kg ai/ha	Max. No. (per season)	Days			
Tree nuts <sup>a</sup>	2L (240 g ai/L)	0.14-0.280	468–954	0.029-0.060	4 (3 for walnut)	28			
Leafy brassica b	2L (240 g ai/L)	0.035-0.070	281	0.025	4	7			
Pepper	25W (250 g ai/kg)	0.070-0.140	281	0.025	5	7			
	2L (240 g ai/L)	0.070-0.140	281	0.025	5	7			
Stonefruit <sup>c</sup>	2L (240 g ai/L)	0.140-0.280	468-935	0.030-0.060	2	14			
Peanut	2L (240 g ai/L)	0.035-0.140	84-327	0.042-0.166	3	28			
Barley	2L (240 g ai/L)	0.035-0.070	47-140	0.050-0.150	1	50			
Wheat	2L (240 g ai/L)	0.035-0.070	47-140	0.050-0.150	1	50			
Oats	2L (240 g ai/L)	0.035-0.070	47-140	0.050-0.150	1	50			
Triticale	2L (240 g ai/L)	0.035-0.070	47-140	0.050-0.150	1	50			

<sup>a</sup> Tree nuts include almonds, pecans, walnuts, breach nuts, brazil nuts, butter nuts, chestnuts, chinquapin, bush nuts, hazelnuts, hickory nuts.

<sup>b</sup> Leafy brassica includes mustard greens, broccoli raab, cabbage, collards, kales, mizunas, mustard spinach, rape greens.

<sup>c</sup> Stonefruit includes peaches, plums, nectarines, apricots, prunes.

# **RESIDUES RESULTING FROM SUPERVISED TRIALS ON CROPS**

Supervised trial studies on peaches, plums, mustard greens, pepper, barley and wheat as well as almond and pecan were made available to the Meeting. The residue trials were conducted in the United States.

Crop Group or Subgroup	Commodity	Table No.
Stone fruit	Peach, plum	Table 4,5
Leafy vegetables	Mustard green	Table 6
Fruiting vegetables	Pepper	Table 7
Cereal grains	Barley, wheat	Table 8,12,13
Tree nut	Almond, pecan	Table 9,10,14
Oilseed	Peanut	Table 11,15

Although all trials included control plots, no control data are recorded in the summary tables unless residues in control samples exceeded the LOQ. Results reported have not been corrected for concurrent method recoveries unless indicated.

In the trials, where multiple samples were taken from a single plot, the mean residue value which is underlined in the following table is selected for the estimation of the MRL and STMR. Where results from separate plots with distinguishing characteristics such as different formulations, varieties or treatment schedules were reported, results are listed for each plot.

Residues and application rates have generally been rounded to two significant figures. Residue values from the trials conducted according to the  $\pm 25\%$  of maximum GAP has been used for the estimation of maximum residue levels.

# Stone Fruit

#### Peach and Plum

A study was conducted in 2005 in the USA on peach and plum. The field portion of this study was conducted at eight field sites representative of peach and plum growing areas in the USA. Each trial site included one untreated (control) plot and one treated plot, to which diflubenzuron (2L) was applied twice by air-blast sprayer. The test substance was mixed with water and 0.25% v/v crop oil and applied to peaches or plums. The second application to each treated plot was made approximately 14 days after the first, and at the same rate. Tables 4 and 5 summarise the peach and plum residue results.

Location, year	Applicat	ion				PHI	Residues,	mg/kg		Reference
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hL	Interval, days	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
Athens USA/GA 2005 Contender	240 SC	0.28 0.28	0.032 0.032	14	2	14	0.42 0.17 0.095 Av 0.23	< 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01	< 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005	2005-005
Orfield USA/PA 2005 Garnet Beauty	240 SC	0.29 0.28	0.046 0.046	14	2	14	0.13 0.11 0.27 Av 0.17	< 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01	< 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005	2005-005
Sultana USA/CA 2005 September Delight	240 SC	0.28 0.28	0.037 0.044	15	2	13	0.069 0.20 0.33 <u>Av 0.20</u>	< 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01	< 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005	2005-005
Hughson USA/CA 2005 Fairtime	240 SC	0.28 0.28	0.040 0.039	14	2	14	0.25 0.22 0.15 <u>Av 0.21</u>	< 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01	< 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005	2005-005
Waller USA/TX 2005 Texas Royal	240 SC	0.29 0.28	0.051 0.048	14	2	14	0.064 0.084 0.21 <u>Av 0.12</u>	< 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01	< 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005	2005-005

Table 4 Residues of Diflubenzuron, CPU, and PCA in peaches

Table 5 Residues of Diflubenzuron, CPU, and PCA in plums

Location, year	Applicat	ion			PHI	Residues,	mg/kg	Reference		
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hL	Interval, davs	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
G 11	2.10	0.00	0.040		2	1.4	0.15	. 0. 01	. 0. 00.5	2005.005
Conklin	240	0.28	0.042	14	2	14	0.15	< 0.01	< 0.005	2005-005
USA/MI	SC	0.28	0.041				0.16	< 0.01	< 0.005	
2005							0.19		< 0.005	
Stanley							Av 0.17			

Location, year	Applicat	ion				PHI	Residues,	mg/kg		Reference
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hL	Interval, days	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
Live USA/CA 2005 French	240 SC	0.28 0.28	0.040 0.040	14	2	14	0.076 0.10 0.070 Av 0.08	< 0.01 < 0.01	< 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005	2005-005
Zillah USA/WA 2005 Italian	240 SC	0.27 0.28	0.033 0.033	15	2	14	0.12 0.20 0.18 <u>Av 0.17</u>	< 0.01 < 0.01	< 0.005 0.020 0.021	2005-005

# Mustard Green

Eight field trials on mustard greens were conducted in the USA during the 2001 growing season. At each location, diflubenzuron was applied four times (except for one trial site where only three treatments were made) as broadcast foliar applications using ground equipment. Treatments were made during the crop's vegetative growth stage at a retreatment interval of 8–15 days. Duplicate control and treated samples of mature mustard greens were harvested from each site at 6–8 days after the last treatment (DAT). Mustard green samples were stored frozen prior to analysis at the analytical laboratory.

Location	Applicati	on				PHI	Residues,	mg/kg		Reference
Year	Form	kg ai/ha	Water	kg ai/hL	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
Variety			L/ha							
Weslaco	240 SC	0.073	281-	0.026	4	6	1.0	< 0.01	< 0.005	PR08031
USA/TX			393				1.2	< 0.01	< 0.005	2005-059
2001							Av 1.1			
TX27										
Tifton	240 SC	0.072	187	0.038	3	7	0.82	< 0.01	< 0.005	PR# 08031/
USA/GA							2.2	< 0.01	< 0.005	2005-059
2001							Av 1.5			
GA20										
Tifton	240 SC	0.072	187	0.038	4	8	1.9	< 0.01	< 0.005	PR# 08031/
USA/GA							3.1	< 0.01	< 0.005	2005-059
2001							Av 2.5			
GA21										
Salina	240 SC	0.074	655-	0.011	4	6	1.1	< 0.01	< 0.005	PR# 08031/
USA/CA			842				0.91	< 0.01	< 0.005	2005-059
2001							Av 1.0			
CA76										
Salina	240 SC	0.073	318-	0.023	4	6	< 0.05	< 0.01	< 0.005	PR# 08031/
UAS/CA			692				< 0.05	< 0.01	< 0.005	2005-059
2001							Av < 0.05			
CA77										
Celeryville	240 SC	0.073	468–	0.016	4	7	1.2	< 0.01	< 0.005	PR# 08031/
USA/OH			477				1.2	< 0.01	< 0.005	2005-059
2001							Av 1.2			
OH19										
Crossville	240 SC	0.072	215 -	0.033	4	7	1.3	< 0.01	< 0.005	PR# 08031/
USA/TN			224			-	2.9	< 0.01	< 0.005	2005-059
2001							Av 2.1			
TN11										
Gainesville	240 SC	0.072	281	0.026	4	7	7.1	0.018	< 0.005	PR# 08031/
USA/FL			-				6.6	0.019	< 0.005	2005-059
2001							Av 6.8			
FL37										

# Pepper

Nine residue trial were conducted on bell and non-bell (chilli) peppers in the USA in 1997. The number of trials and geographic representation is adequate for peppers. Five foliar applications of the diflubenzuron 25 WP (250 g ai/kg) formulation were made. The applications were made at 7-day intervals using a backpack, self-propelled, or tractor mounted equipment. At harvest, seven days after the last application, peppers were handpicked from each plot in a random pattern. Two samples were collected from each field plot. The samples were frozen and shipped to the analytical laboratory for analysis.

A further two trials were conducted in 2003 also in the USA (CA and FL) using diflubenzuron 2L (240 SC) formulation. In each site, two plots were established, with one subplot treated with the formulation only, while the other subplot treated with the formulation plus a crop oil (0.25% paraffinic oil). Three applications were made using boom sprayers. Three samples were collected 7 days after the last application from each subplot. The samples were frozen and shipped to the analytical laboratory for analysis.

Location, year	Applicatio	n				PHI	Residues,	mg/kg		Reference
variety	Form	kg ai/ha	Water L/ha	kg ai/hL	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
Sweet (Bell) Pe	oper		•				•	•		•
Lodi USA/CA 1997 Bomby	250 WP	5×0.14	5×281	5×0.050	5	7	0.23 0.25 <u>Av 0.24</u>	< 0.01 < 0.01	< 0.005 < 0.005	RP-97016
Noblesville USA/IN 1997 California Wonder	250 WP	5×0.14	5×281	5×0.050	5	7	0.21 0.26 <u>Av 0.24</u>	0.013 0.014	< 0.005 < 0.005	RP-97016
Knightdale USA/NC 1997 Yolo Wonder	250 WP	5×0.14	5×281	5×0.050	5	7	0.050 0.093 <u>Av 0.07</u>	< 0.01 0.010	< 0.005 < 0.005	RP-97016
San Marcos USA/CA 1997 Jupiter	250 WP	5×0.14	5×281	5×0.050	5	7	< 0.05 0.098 <u>Av0.07</u>	< 0.01 0.038	< 0.005 < 0.005	RP-97016
Immokalee USA/FL 1997 California Wonder	250 WP	5×0.14	5×281	5×0.050	5	7	< 0.05 0.012 <u>Av 0.08</u>	< 0.01 < 0.01	< 0.005 < 0.005	RP-97016
Donna USA/TX 1997 Jupiter	250 WP	5×0.14	5×281	5×0.050	5	7	0.33 0.33 <u>Av 0.33</u>	0.027 0.057	< 0.005 < 0.005	RP-97016
Fresno USA/CA 2003 Orion	240 SC	3×0.14	3×281	3×0.050	3	7	0.088 0.094 0.092	< 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005	RP-03007
	240 SC, +0.25% paraffinic oil	3×0.14	3×281	3×0.050	3	7	0.071 0.065 0.079	< 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005	
Oveido USA/ FL 2003 Crusader	240 SC	3×0.14	3×281	3×0.050	3	7	0.070 0.067 0.071	< 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005 < 0.005	

Table 7 Residues of Diflubenzuron, CPU, and PCA in/on bell/non-bell peppers

Location, year	Applicatio	n									
variety	Form	kg ai/ha	Water L/ha	kg ai/hL	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA		
	240 SC, +0.25% paraffinic oil	3×0.14	3×281	3×0.050	3	7	0.142	< 0.005	< 0.005	RP-03007	
Chilli (non-bell)	Pepper										
Bernard USA/TX 1997 Anaheim	250 WP	5×0.14	5×281	5×0.050	5	7	0.90 0.94 <u>Av 0.92</u>	< 0.01 0.057	< 0.005 < 0.005	RP-97016	
Rincon USA/NM 1997 Anaheim	250 WP	5×0.14	5×281	5×0.050	5	7	0.92 0.95 <u>Av 0.94</u>	< 0.01 < 0.01	< 0.005 < 0.005	RP-97016	
San Marcos USA/CA 1997 Anaheim	250 WP	5×0.14	5×281	5×0.050	5	7	0.21 0.29 <u>Av 0.25</u>	< 0.01 < 0.01	< 0.005 < 0.005	RP-97016	

# Cereal grains

Seven field trials on barley (two winter and five spring varieties) and three trials on wheat (one winter and two spring varieties) were conducted in the USA between the 2002 and 2003 growing seasons. At each location, diflubenzuron was applied once to barley and wheat fields as a broadcast foliar application during crop development (pre-boot, pre-stem elongation, jointing, or Feekes 8 growth stage). A single control and duplicate treated samples of mature grain and straw were harvested from each site at 50–76 days after treatment (DAT). Hay was harvested from each site at 15–39 DAT, and wheat forage was harvested at 3–12 DAT.

Two samples of wheat grain, straw, and hay/forage was taken from each untreated and treated plot at 45 days PHI. Hay/forage and straw samples were randomly hand-picked while the grain was sampled using a commercial combine. Hay/forage was sampled at the milk to soft dough stage. The sampled crop could be used as forage or dried as hay. Immediately after sampling, the samples were placed in coolers containing an ice substitute and transported to an off-site facility where they were stored frozen until being shipped to the analytical laboratory, where the samples remained frozen until analysis.

Location, year	Applicati	on				PHI	Residues,	mg/kg		Reference
variety	Form	kg ai/ha	Water L/ha	kg ai/hL	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
Barley Grain										
Kimberly USA/ID 2002 Eight Twelve	240 SC	0.066	114	0.058	1	76	< 0.05 < 0.05 Av < 0.05	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Aberdeen USA/ID 2002, (Gallatin)	240 SC	0.069	140	0.050	1	71	< 0.05 < 0.05 Av < 0.05	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Minot USA/ND 2003 Robust	240 SC	0.070	95	0.074	1	55		< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024*
Fargo USA/ND 2003 Robust	240 SC	0.070	112	0.062	1	54		< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024

Table 8 Residues of Diflubenzuron, CPU, and PCA in barley/wheat grain

Location, year	Applicati	on				PHI	Residues, 1	mg/kg		Reference
variety	Form	kg ai/ha	Water L/ha	kg ai/hL	no.	days	DFB	CPU	РСА	
Minot USA/ND 2003 Robust	240 SC	0.069	93	0.074	1	54	< 0.05 < 0.05 Av < 0.05	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024*
Fort Collins USA/CO 2003 (Moravian 37)	240 SC	0.069	111	0.062	1	75	< 0.05 < 0.05 Av < 0.05	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Velva USA/ND 2003 (Foster)	240 SC	0.070	185	0.038	1	50	< 0.05 < 0.05 <u>Av &lt; 0.05</u>	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Wheat Grain Kimberly USA/ID 2003 (Brundage)	240 SC	0.072	120	0.060	1	76	< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 Av < 0.05	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Fargo USA/ND 2003 (Oxen)	240 SC	0.069	111	0.062	1	56	< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 Av < 0.05	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Minto USA/ND 2003 (Mountrail)	240 SC	0.069	94	0.074	1	62	< 0.05 < 0.05 Av < 0.05	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024

\*: Trials were conducted in the same location with deferent application times.

# Almonds and Pecans

Almond trials conducted in 1998 (RP-98003) were reviewed previously by the 2002 JMPR and are summarized in the table below.

In 2003, a further two almond trials (RP-03001) were conducted in the USA with Dimilin 240 SC (2L). Two subplots were set up for each trial, one with 0.25% crop oil, the other without. Four foliar applications were made, with a PHI of 28 days.

The 2002 JMPR also reviewed trials on pecans conducted in 1999 (RP-99002) in the USA which are also summarised below.

Table 9 Residues of Diflubenzuron, CPU, and PCA in/on almond nutmeat (kernels)

Location, year	Applicatio	on				PHI	Residues,	mg/kg		Reference
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hL	Water L/ha	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
Madera USA/CA1998 Nonpareil	250 WP	0.56 0.28 0.28 0.56	0.06 0.06 0.06 0.12	935 467 467 467	4	28		< 0.005, < 0.005	< 0.005, < 0.005	RP-98003
Kerman USA/CA 1998 Nonpareil	250 WP	0.57 0.27 0.29 0.56	0.06 0.059 0.061 0.12	935 467 467 467	4	28	< 0.05, < 0.05 <u>Av &lt; 0.05</u>	< 0.005, < 0.005	< 0.005, < 0.005	RP-98003
Reesley USA/CA 1998 Butte	250 WP	0.56 0.28 0.28 0.56	0.060 0.060 0.061 0.12	935 467 467 467	4	28	< 0.05, < 0.05 < 0.05 Av < 0.05	< 0.005, < 0.005	< 0.005, < 0.005	RP-98003
	800 WG	0.57 0.28 0.28 0.56	0.060 0.059 0.060 0.12	935 467 467 467	4	28	< 0.05 < 0.05 Av < 0.05	< 0.005, < 0.005	< 0.005, < 0.005	

Location, year	Application	on				PHI	Reference			
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hL	Water L/ha	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
	240 SC	0.56	0.059	935	4	28	< 0.05,	< 0.005,	< 0.005,	
		0.28	0.060	467			< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
		0.28	0.059	467			Av < 0.05			
		0.56	0.12	467						
Manteca	250 WP	0.56	0.060	935	4	28	< 0.05,	< 0.005,	< 0.005,	RP-98003
USA/CA		0.28	0.060	467			< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
1998		0.28	0.061	467			Av < 0.05			
Nonpareil		0.56	0.12	467						
Ripon	250 WP	0.81	0.060	935	4	28	< 0.05,	< 0.005,	< 0.005,	RP-98003
USA/CA		0.49	0.060	467			< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
1998		0.28	0.059	467			Av < 0.05			
Nonpareil		0.55	0.12	467						
Madera	240 SC	0.28	0.029	954	4	28	0.029,	< 0.005,	< 0.005,	RP-03001
USA/CA		0.28	0.060	477			0.018,	< 0.005,	< 0.005,	
2003		0.28	0.060	468			0.089	< 0.005	< 0.005	
Padre Butte		0.28	0.060	477			Av 0.045			
	240 SC	0.28	0.029	944	4	28	0.033,	< 0.005,	< 0.005,	
	0.25%	0.28	0.060	468			0.027,	< 0.005,	< 0.005,	
	crop oil	0.28	0.060	468			0.084	< 0.005	< 0.005	
		0.28	0.060	477			<u>Av 0.048</u>			
Winters	240 SC	0.28	0.032	888	4	28	< 0.005,	< 0.005,	< 0.005,	RP-03001
USA/CA		0.28	0.062	449			0.019,	< 0.005,	< 0.005,	
2003		0.28	0.062	449			0.017	< 0.005	< 0.005	
Butte		0.28	0.062	458			Av0.013			
	240 SC	0.28	0.032	888	4	28	0.010,	< 0.005,	< 0.005,	
	0.25%	0.28	0.062	449			0.084,	< 0.005,	< 0.005,	
	crop oil	0.28	0.062	449			< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	
	_	0.28	0.062	458			Av0.033			

Location, year	Applicat	tion				PHI	Residues,	mg/kg		Reference
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hL	Water L/ha	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
Finleyson	240	0.56	0.060	935	4	28	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	RP-99002
USA/GA	SC	0.28	0.058	467			< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
1999		0.28	0.062	467			Av < 0.05			
Stuarts		0.56	0.12	467						
	250	0.56	0.061	935	4	28	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
	WP	0.28	0.057	467			< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
		0.28	0.062	467			Av < 0.05			
		0.56	0.12	467						
	800	0.56	0.061	935	4	28	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
	WG	0.28	0.057	467			< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
		0.28	0.062	467			Av < 0.05			
		0.56	0.12	467						
Eastman	240	0.57	0.061	935	4	28	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	RP-99002
USA/GA	SC	0.28	0.059	467			< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
1999		0.28	0.061	467			Av < 0.05			
Desirable		0.55	0.12	467						
Opelousas	240	0.56	0.059	935	4	28	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	RP-99002
ÚŠA/LA	SC	0.28	0.059	467			< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
1999		0.28	0.059	467			Av < 0.05			
Melrose		0.56	0.12	467						
	250	0.56	0.059	935	4	28	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
	WP	0.28	0.059	467			< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
		0.28	0.059	467			Av < 0.05			
		0.56	0.12	467						
	800	0.56	0.059	935	4	28	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	1
	WG	0.28	0.059	467			< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
		0.28	0.059	467			Av < 0.05			
		0.56	0.12	467						

Location, year	Applicat	tion				PHI	Residues,	mg/kg		Reference
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hL	Water L/ha	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
Ducan	240	0.56	0.059	935	4	28	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	RP-99002
USA/OK	SC	0.28	0.063	467			< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
1999		0.29	0.061	467			Av < 0.05			
Natives		0.56	0.12	467						
	250	0.56	0.059	935	4	28	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
	WP	0.28	0.063	467			< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
		0.28	0.061	467			Av < 0.05			
		0.56	0.12	467						
	800	0.56	0.059	935	4	28	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
	WG	0.28	0.063	467			< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
		0.29	0.062	467			Av < 0.05			
		0.56	0.12	467						
Ricon	240	0.55	0.059	935	4	28	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	RP-99002
USA/NM	SC	0.28	0.061	467			< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	
1999		0.28	0.060	467			Av < 0.05			
Western Schley		0.54	0.12	467						

# Peanut

Ę

Twelve peanut field trials were conducted in the USA during the 2001 growing season. At each trial location, diflubenzuron was applied three times as broadcast foliar applications using ground equipment. The first application was at first bloom, the second was  $14 (\pm 1)$  days after the first, and the third was  $28 (\pm 1)$  days before harvest at nine sites, 20 days at two sites and 26 days at one site. A single control and single or duplicate treated samples of peanuts and peanut hay were harvested from each site at 20–28 days after treatment (DAT). All samples were stored frozen for up to 481 days prior to residue extraction and analysis, an interval supported by available storage stability data.

Residues from the supervised field trials are summarised below, with residues according to the GAP underlined. Two sets of residue values are reported for each trial since replicate samples were taken from the same plot.

Location, year	Applicati	on				PHI	Residues, 1	mg/kg		Reference
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	Water L/ha	kg ai/ha	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
Salisbury USA/MD 2001 VA C98R	240 SC	0.14	374 – 383	0.037– 0.037	3	28	< 0.05 < 0.05 Av < 0.05	< 0.005 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 07737
Crossville USA/TN 2001 VA 98R	240 SC	0.14	215– 224	0.0675– 0.062	3	28		c0.022**, c0.026**, 0.011, 0.019	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 07737
Crossville USA/TN 2001 VA-C92R	240 SC	0.14	215– 224	0.065– 0.062	3	28	0.059 0.060 <u>Av 0.06</u>	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 07737
Rocky USA/NC 2001 VA 98R	240 SC	0.14	168	0.083	3	28	< 0.05 0.055 <u>Av 0.052</u>	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 07737
Weslaco USA/TX 2001 Florunner	240 SC	0.14	131– 159	0.107– 0.088	3	29	< 0.05 < 0.05 Av < 0.05	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 07737*
Weslaco USA/TX 2001 Florunner	240 SC	0.14	131– 168	0.107– 0.083	3	28		< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 07737*

Table 11 Residues of Diflubenzuron, CPU, and PCA in/on peanut nutmeat

Location, year	Applicati	on				PHI	Residues,	mg/kg		Reference
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	Water L/ha	kg ai/ha	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
Tifton USA/GA 2001 NCV11	240 SC	0.14	187	0.075	3	26		c0.01**, c0.006**, < 0.005, < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 07737
Tifton USA/GA 2001 C 99R	240 SC	0.14	187	0.075	3	20	c0.060**, 0.052, 0.060 Av 0.056	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 07737
Tifton USA/GA 2001 Georgia Green	240 SC	0.14	187	0.075	3	20	c0.097**, c0.084**, 0.072, 0.097 Av 0.080		< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 07737
Colony USA/OK 2001 Tamspan	240 SC	0.14	94– 103	0.150– 0.136	3	27		< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 07737
Salisbury USA/MD 2001 VA-C98R	240 SC	0.14	383– 636	0.037– 0.022	3	28	< 0.05 < 0.05 Av < 0.05	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 07737
Citra USA/FL 2001 Florunner	240 SC	0.14	140– 150	0.100– 0.094	3	28		< 0.005 0.006	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 07737

\* Trials were conducted in the same location with different application times.

\*\* Residues in untreated control samples.

# Livestock feeds

Table 12 Residues of Diflubenzuron, CPU, and PCA in barley/wheat hay

Location, year	Applicatio	on				PHI	Residues,	mg/kg		Reference
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	Water L/ha	kg ai/hL	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
Barley hay										
Kimberly USA/ID 2002 (Eight Twelve)	240 SC	0.066	114	0.058	1	27	0.73 0.75 <u>Av 0.74</u>	0.006 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Aberdeen USA/ID 2002 (Gallatin)	240 SC	0.069	140	0.050	1	29	0.46 0.81 <u>Av 0.64</u>	0.011 0.012	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Minot USA/ND 2003 (Robust)	240 SC	0.070	95	0.074	1	28	0.25 0.66 <u>Av 0.46</u>	0.024 0.034	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024*
Fargo USA/ND 2003 (Robust)	240 SC	0.070	112	0.062	1	31	0.52 0.64 <u>Av 0.58</u>	0.016 0.015	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Minot USA/ND 2003 (Robust)	240 SC	0.069	93	0.074	1	25	0.52 0.70 <u>Av 0.61</u>	0.038 0.025	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024*
Fort USA/CO 2003 (Moravian 37)	240 SC	0.069	111	0.062	1	39	0.11 0.11 <u>Av 0.11</u>	0.018 0.019	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024

Location, year	Applicati	on				PHI	Residues,	mg/kg		Reference
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	Water L/ha	kg ai/hL	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
Velva USA/ND 2003 (Foster)	240 SC	0.070	185	0.038	1	15	1.3 1.4 <u>Av 1.4</u>	0.022 0.031	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Wheat hay										
Kimberly USA/ID 2002 (Brundage)	240 SC	0.072	120	0.060	1	32	0.89 0.86 <u>Av 0.88</u>	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Fargo USA/ND 20023 (Oxen)	240 SC	0.069	111	0.062	1	31	1.2 1.3 <u>Av 1.2</u>	0.012 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Minot USA/ND 2003 (Mountrail)	240 SC	0.069	94	0.074	1	28	0.25 0.10 <u>Av 0.18</u>	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024

\* Trials were conducted in the same location with deferent application times.

# Table 13 Residues of Diflubenzuron, CPU, and PCA in barley/wheat straw

Location, year	Applicati	on				PHI	Residues,	mg/kg		Reference
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	Water L/ha	kg ai/hL	no.	days	DFB	CPU	РСА	
Barley Straw										
Kimberly USA/ID 2002 (Eight Twelve)	240 SC	0.066	114	0.058	1	76	0.22 0.14 <u>Av 0.18</u>	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Aberdeen USA/ID 2002 (Gallatin)	240 SC	0.069	140	0.050	1	71	0.096 0.13 <u>Av 0.12</u>	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Minot USA/ND 2003 (Robust)	240 SC	0.070	95	0.074	1	55	0.30 0.31 <u>Av 0.30</u>	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024*
Fargo USA/ND 2003 (Robust)	240 SC	0.070	112	0.062	1	54	0.44 0.47 <u>Av 0.46</u>	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Minot USA/ND 2003 (Robust)	240 SC	0.069	93	0.074	1	54	0.57 0.55 <u>Av 0.56</u>	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024*
Fort USA/CO 2003 (Moravian 37)	240 SC	0.069	111	0.062	1	75		< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Velva USA/ND 2003 (Foster)	240 SC	0.070	185	0.038	1	50	0.54 0.54 <u>Av 0.54</u>	0.010 0.013	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Wheat Straw Kimberly USA/ID 2002 (Brundage)	240 SC	0.072	120	0.060	1	76	0.23 0.34 <u>Av 0.28</u>	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024

Location, year	Applicati	on				PHI	Residues,	mg/kg		Reference
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	Water L/ha	kg ai/hL	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
Fargo USA/ND 20023 (Oxen)	240 SC	0.069	111	0.062	1	56	0.77 1.03 <u>Av 0.90</u>	0.008 0.008	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024
Minot USA/ND 2003 (Mountrail)	240 SC	0.069	94	0.074	1	62	< 0.05 0.066 <u>Av 0.06</u>	< 0.005 < 0.005	< 0.005 < 0.005	PR 08024

\*: Trials were conducted in the same location with deference application time.

# Table 14 Residues of Diflubenzuron, CPU, and PCA in/on almond hulls

Location, year	Applicatio	on				PHI	Residue	s, mg/kg		Reference
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	kg ai/hL	Water	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	7
		-		L/ha						
Madera	250 WP	0.56	0.06	935	4	28	2.1	< 0.01,	< 0.005,	RP-98003
USA/CA		0.28	0.06	467			2.1	< 0.01	0.0082	
1998		0.28	0.06	467			Av 2.1			
Nonpareil		0.56	0.12	467						
Kerman	250 WP	0.57	0.06	935	4	28	2.3	< 0.01,	< 0.005,	RP-98003
USA/CA		0.27	0.059	467			2.3	< 0.01	< 0.005	
1998		0.29	0.061	467			Av 2.3			
Nonpareil		0.56	0.12	467						
Reedley	250 WP	0.56	0.060	935	4	28	2.9	< 0.01,	< 0.005,	RP-98003
USA/CA		0.28	0.060	467			3.6	< 0.01	< 0.005	
1998		0.28	0.061	467			Av 3.2			
Butte		0.56	0.12	467						
	800 WG	0.57	0.060	935	4	28	3.4	< 0.01,	0.0052,	
		0.28	0.059	467			5.5	< 0.01	0.0074	
		0.28	0.060	467			<u>Av 4.4</u>			
		0.56	0.12	467						
	240 SC	0.56	0.059	935	4	28	2.9	< 0.01,	< 0.005,	
		0.28	0.060	467			3.1	< 0.01	0.0067	
		0.28	0.059	467			Av 3.0			
		0.56	0.12	467						
Manteca	250 WP	0.56	0.060	935	4	28	0.97	< 0.01,	< 0.005,	RP-98003
USA/CA		0.28	0.060	467			1.1	< 0.01	< 0.005	
1998		0.28	0.061	467			<u>Av 1.0</u>			
Nonpareil		0.56	0.12	467						
Ripon	250 WP	0.81	0.060	935	4	28	1.5	< 0.01,	< 0.005,	RP-98003
USA/CA		0.49	0.060	467			1.6	< 0.01	< 0.005	
1998		0.28	0.059	467			<u>Av 1.6</u>			
Nonpareil	240.00	0.55	0.12	467	4	20	4.0	0.020	10.005	DD 02001
Madera	240 SC	0.28	0.029	954	4	28	4.2	0.020	< 0.005,	RP-03001
USA/CA		0.28 0.28	0.060 0.060	477 468			3.6 4.1	0.0051 < 0.005	< 0.005, < 0.005	
2003 De dre Deette		0.28	0.060	468 477			4.1 Av 4.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	
Padre Butte	240 SC		0.060	944	4	28		0.012	< 0.005	_
	240 SC 0.25%	0.28 0.28	0.029 0.060	944 468	4	28	2.8 2.5	0.012	< 0.005, < 0.005,	
	crop oil	0.28	0.060	468			2.3 3.4	< 0.019	< 0.003, 0.0051	
	crop on	0.28	0.060	408 477			3.4 Av 2.9	< 0.003	0.0031	
Winters	240 SC	0.28	0.080	888	4	28	AV 2.9	0.011	< 0.005,	RP-03001
USA/CA	240 SC	0.28	0.032	888 449	4	20	2.0	0.011	< 0.005, < 0.005,	KF-03001
2003		0.28	0.062	449			2.0	0.0011	< 0.003, < 0.005	
Butte		0.28	0.062	449			2.3 Av 2.1	0.0005	~ 0.005	
Dulle	240 SC	0.28	0.082	888	4	28	<u>Av 2.1</u> 1.6	0.075	< 0.005,	-
	0.25%	0.28	0.052	449	7	20	1.5	0.075	< 0.005, < 0.005,	
	crop oil	0.28	0.062	449			1.3	0.050	< 0.003, < 0.005	
	crop on	0.28	0.062	449			Av 1.5	0.004	~ 0.005	
	1	0.20	0.002	0.1			111 1.3			

Location, year	Applicati	on				PHI				Reference
(variety)	Form	kg ai/ha	Water	kg ai/hL	no.	days	DFB	CPU	PCA	
(		8	L/ha							
Crossville	240 SC	0.14	215-	0.0675-	3	28	18.4	0.052	0.016	PR 07737
USA/TN			224	0.062	-					
2001										
VA98R										
Crossville	240 SC	0.14	215-	0.065-	3	28	10.7	0.065	0.037	PR 07737
USA/TN			224	0.062						
2001										
VA-C92R										
Rocky	240 SC	0.14	168	0.083	3	28	17	0.018	0.018	PR 07737
USA/NC										
2001										
VA98R	240.00	0.14	101	0.107	-	20	0.4	.0.01	0.015	DD 07707*
Weslaco	240 SC	0.14	131-	0.107-	3	29	<u>8.4</u>	< 0.01	0.015	PR 07737*
USA/TX 2001			159	0.088						
Florunner										
Weslaco	240 SC	0.14	131–	0.107-	3	28	7.9	0.019	0.021	PR 07737*
USA/TX	240 SC	0.14	151-	0.107-	5	20	7.9	0.019	0.021	FK 0//5/
2001			108	0.085						
Florunner										
Tifton	240 SC	0.14	187	0.075	3	26	2.6	0.012	0.047	PR 07737
USA/GA		0.1.	107	0.070	-	-0	2.0	0.012	0.0.7	1100,707
2001										
NCV11										
Tifton	240 SC	0.14	187	0.075	3	20	1.1	0.013	0.054	PR 07737
USA/GA										
2001										
C 99R										
Tifton	240 SC	0.14	187	0.075	3	20	1.1	0.024	0.046	PR 07737
USA/GA										
2001										
Georgia Green Colony	240 SC	0.14	94–	0.150-	3	27	1.6	0.019	0.013	PR 07737
USA/OK	240 SC	0.14	94– 103	0.130- 0.136	3	27	<u>1.6</u>	0.019	0.013	PR 07737
2001			105	0.150						
Tamspan										
Salisbury	240 SC	0.14	383-	0.037-	3	28	7.1	0.046	0.017	PR 07737
USA/MD	210 50	0.1 T	636	0.037-	5	20	/.1	0.040	0.017	110//5/
2001			000	0.022						
VA-C98R										
Citra	240 SC	0.14	140-	0.100-	3	28	1.9	0.025	0.014	PR 07737
USA/FL			150	0.094						
2001										
Florunner										

Table 15 Residues of Diflubenzuron, CPU, and PCA in/on peanut hay

\*: Trials were conducted in the same location with deferent application times.

# APPRAISAL

Diflubenzuron [1-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-(2,6-difluorobenzoyl)urea] is an insect growth regulator used in agriculture. It was originally evaluated by the JMPR in 1981 and re-evaluated for residues several times up to 1988.

Under the periodic review program, toxicology data was re-evaluated by JMPR in 2001. The original ADI of 0–0.02 mg/kg bw/day was re-confirmed and an acute reference dose was unnecessary. The compound was re-evaluated for residues by the JMPR in 2002.

This Meeting received information on the residue analysis, storage stability, use patterns and supervised field residue trials for peaches, plums, peppers, mustard greens, barley, wheat, almond, pecan and peanut.

#### Analytical methods

The Meeting received details of several analytical methods used in supervised residue trials and in studies on storage stability, which are primarily based on the methods previously reviewed by JMPR in 2002, with some modifications to minimize matrix interference. All methods are single methods for determination of diflubenzuron.

For determination of diflubenzuron, HPLC analysis with UV detection was validated for almond, mustard greens, peppers, peanuts, barley and wheat. The limits of quantification were 0.005 or 0.05 mg/kg for almond hulls, 0.05 mg/kg for almond nutmeat, mustard green, peach, peanut nutmeat and oil, wheat and wheat processed commodities, 0.005 mg/kg for peppers, 0.5 mg/kg for peanut meal and peanut hay. GC-ECD analysis was validated for peppers with an LOQ of 0.05 mg/kg.

# Stability of residues in stored analytical samples

The Meeting received data on the stability of residues in plant products (almond nutmeat and hulls, peach, plum, mustard greens, peanut nutmeat, peanut hay, peanut meal, peanut oil, wheat forage, wheat hay, wheat grain, wheat straw, wheat flour and wheat germ) in the corresponding supervised residue trials. The storage stability data covered the period of storage of field samples for residue analysis. The lowest freezer temperature was -24 °C. The average freezer temperature was -18 °C.

Diflubenzuron residues in fortified samples were stable over a period of 13 months frozen storage for peaches, 12 months for peppers and 14 months for mustard greens. Residues in fortified samples of wheat grain were stable for 296 days, barley straw for 301 days, wheat forage for 422 days and wheat hay for 337 days of frozen storage.

For wheat processed commodities, diflubenzuron residue is also stable in wheat flour for 6 months and wheat germ for 12 months of frozen storage.

In almond nutmeat, diflubenzuron residues were stable over a period of 12 months of frozen storage.

In peanuts, diflubenzuron was stable in nutmeat for 295 days, hay for 356 days, peanut meal for 643 days, and refined oil for 365days.

#### **Results of supervised trials on crops**

The Meeting received supervised residue trials data following foliar application of diflubenzuron on peaches, plums, peppers, mustard greens, barley, wheat, almonds, pecans and peanuts.

Residues of diflubenzuron were reported in all studies. Supervised field trials conducted with different formulations (wettable powders, suspensions concentrates and wettable granules), but with identical crop varieties, locations and spray dates were not considered as independent. The highest result according to the corresponding GAP was selected in these cases. Where multiple samples were taken from a single plot and individual results are reported, the mean value is used for estimation of maximum residue level.

The OECD calculator was used as a tool in the estimation of the maximum residue level from the selected residue data set obtained from trials conducted according to GAP. As a first step, the Meeting reviewed all relevant factors related to each data set in arriving at a best estimate of the maximum residue level using expert judgment. Then, the OECD calculator was employed. If the statistical calculation spreadsheet suggested a different value from that recommended by the JMPR, a brief explanation of the deviation was supplied.

#### Peaches and Plums

Five field trials on peaches and three trials on plums conducted in 2005 in the USA matched the critical USA GAP for stone fruit, which is two applications at a rate of 0.28 kg ai/ha (interval 14 days) with a PHI of 14 days.

Residues of diflubenzuron in peaches from trials matching GAP, in ranked order, were (n = 5): 0.12, 0.17, 0.20, 0.21 and 0.23 mg/kg.

The ranked order of diflubenzuron residue data in plums matching GAP were (n = 3): 0.08, 0.17 and 0.17 mg/kg.

Individually there were insufficient trials from each crop to estimate commodity maximum residue levels. As the residue levels found were from similar populations, the Meeting agreed that the two data sets could be used for mutual support and decided to combine the data for evaluation. Residues found on peaches and plums, in ranked order, were (n = 8): 0.08, 0.12, 0.17, <u>0.17</u>, <u>0.17</u>, 0.20, 0.21 and 0.23 mg/kg.

The Meeting agreed to estimate an STMR of 0.17 mg/kg, and recommended a maximum residue level of 0.5 mg/kg for diflubenzuron in peaches and plums (including prunes). Further the Meeting agreed to extrapolate these recommendations to nectarines.

#### Mustard greens

Eight field trials on mustard greens were conducted in the USA during 2001 growing season matched the USA GAP, which is a maximum of four foliar applications at a rate of 0.07 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 7 days.

Residues of diflubenzuron found on mustard greens, in ranked order, were (n = 8): < 0.05, 1.0, 1.1, <u>1.2</u>, <u>1.5</u>, 2.1, 2.5 and 6.8 mg/kg.

The Meeting agreed to estimate an STMR of 1.35 mg/kg, and recommended a maximum residue level of 10 mg/kg for mustard greens.

# Sweet peppers

Six field trials on sweet peppers and three field trials on chili peppers were conducted in the USA in 1997 according to the GAP of the USA, i.e., a maximum of five foliar applications at a rate of 0.14 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 7 days.

Residues of diflubenzuron found on sweet peppers, in ranked order, were (n = 6): 0.07, 0.07, 0.08, 0.24, 0.24, and 0.33 mg/kg.

The ranked order of diflubenzuron residue data in chili peppers were (n = 3): 0.25, 0.92 and 0.94 mg/kg.

It was considered that the datasets for sweet peppers and chili peppers were not from similar residue populations and as a consequence could not be combined. On the basis of the data for sweet peppers the Meeting agreed to estimate an STMR of 0.16 mg/kg, and recommended a maximum residue level of 0.7 mg/kg for sweet peppers.

As chili peppers are a minor crop, the Meeting agreed to estimate an STMR of 0.92 mg/kg, and recommended a maximum residue level of 3 mg/kg for chili peppers.

On the basis of the STMR and maximum residue level for chili peppers and the default dehydration factor of 7, the Meeting estimated an STMR of 6.44 mg/kg, and recommended a maximum residue level of 20 mg/kg for chili peppers, dry.

# Cereal grains

# Wheat and barley

Seven field trials on barley and three field trials on wheat were conducted in the USA between 2002 and 2003 growing seasons following the USA GAP for barley, wheat, oats and triticale, which is a maximum of one foliar application at a rate of 0.07 kg ai/ha up to boot stage (BBCH 41).

The diflubenzuron residue data in barley grain from trial according to GAP were (n = 7): < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05 and < 0.05 mg/kg.

As the applications from seven trials on barley and three trials on wheat before boot stage (BBCH 41) resulted in residue data below the LOQ of 0.05 mg/kg, the Meeting decided to combine the two datasets together for the evaluation.

The Meeting agreed to estimate an STMR of 0.05 mg/kg, and recommended a maximum residue level of 0.05\* mg/kg for barley and wheat, and agreed to extrapolate these recommendation to oats and triticale.

## Tree nuts

#### Almonds and pecans

For almonds, five field trials in 1998 and two field trials in 2003 were conducted in the USA, and for pecan five trials were conducted in 1999 in the USA.

In almond nutmeat, two trials followed the USA GAP for tree nuts, which is a maximum of four foliar applications at a rate of 0.28 kg ai/ha with PHI 28 days, the residue data were 0.033 and 0.048 mg/kg. The LOQ for these trials was 0.005 mg/kg.

Five trials were conducted with twice rate at PHI of 28 days and all residue data were below LOQ of 0.05 mg/kg.

In pecan kernels, all residue data in five trials with twice rate at PHI of 28 days were below LOQ of 0.05 mg/kg

The Meeting agreed to combine all residue data for the evaluation. The diflubenzuron residue data in almond nutmeat and pecan kernels from trial were (n = 12): 0.033, 0.048 and < 0.05 (10) mg/kg.

Considering the maximum residue value on individual replicate sample prior to averaging up to 0.089 mg/kg (mean trial value was 0.045 mg/kg), the Meeting agreed to estimate an STMR of 0.05 mg/kg, and recommended a maximum residue level of 0.2 mg/kg for tree nuts.

# Peanuts

Field trials were conducted in the USA in the 2001 growing season following the USA GAP of a maximum of three foliar applications at a rate of 0.14 kg ai/ha with PHI of 28 days.

The ranked order of diflubenzuron residue data in peanut nutmeat were (n = 9): < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.05, < 0.

The Meeting agreed to estimate an STMR of 0.05 mg/kg, and recommended a maximum residue level of 0.15 mg/kg for peanut nutmeat.

# Animal feed commodities

# Hay or fodder (dry) of grasses

Seven field trials on barley and three field trials on wheat were conducted in the USA between 2002 and 2003 growing seasons following the USA GAP for barley, wheat, oats and triticale, which is a maximum of one foliar application at a rate of 0.07 kg ai/ha up to boot stage.

In barley hay, the diflubenzuron residue data from trial matching GAP were (n = 7): 0.11, 0.46, 0.58, 0.61, 0.64, 0.74 and 1.4 mg/kg.

Residues of diflubenzuron found in wheat hay following treatments complying with the US GAP were (n = 3): 0.18, 0.88 and 1.2 mg/kg.

The Meeting agreed that the residues found in wheat and barley were from the same population and could be combined for evaluation. The ranked order of diflubenzuron residues found in barley and wheat hay were (n = 10): 0.11, 0.18, 0.46, 0.58, 0.61, 0.64, 0.74, 0.88, 1.2 and 1.4 mg/kg.

The Meeting agreed to estimate a median residue of 0.625 mg/kg, a highest residue of 1.4 mg/kg, and recommend a maximum residue level of 3 mg/kg for hay or fodder (dry) of grasses.

# Straw and fodder (dry) of cereal grain

Seven field trials on barley and three field trials on wheat were conducted in the USA between 2002 and 2003 growing seasons matching the GAP of the USA in barley, wheat, oats and triticale, which is a maximum of one foliar application at a rate of 0.07 kg ai/ha up to boot stage.

In barley straw, the diflubenzuron residue data from trial matching GAP were (n = 7): < 0.05, 0.12, 0.18, 0.30, 0.46, 0.54 and 0.56 mg/kg.

In wheat straw, the diflubenzuron residue data from trial matching GAP were (n = 3): 0.06, 0.28 and 0.90 mg/kg.

The Meeting agreed that the residues found in wheat and barley were from the same population and could be combined for evaluation. The ranked order of diflubenzuron residues found in barley and wheat straw were (n = 10): < 0.05, 0.06, 0.12, 0.18, 0.28, 0.30, 0.46, 0.54, 0.56 and 0.90 mg/kg.

The Meeting agreed to estimate a median residue of 0.29 mg/kg, a highest residue of 0.90 mg/kg, and recommend a maximum residue level of 1.5 mg/kg for straw and fodder (dry) of cereal grain.

# Almond hulls

Field trials in 1998 and four field trials in 2003 on almonds were conducted in the USA complying with the GAP of the USA, i.e., a maximum of four foliar applications at a rate of 0.28 kg ai/ha with a PHI of 28 days.

Residues in almond hulls from two trials, matching the US GAP, were 2.1 mg/kg and 4.0 mg/kg.

Five trials were conducted at a double rate (0.56 kg ai/ha) and a PHI of 28 days. Residue data from these trials were: 1.0, 1.6, 2.1, 2.3 and 4.4 mg/kg. The Meeting agreed that the results from these trials could be scaled to match the US GAP (0.28 kg ai/ha application rate) by dividing by 2 (0.56/0.28). The proportionally adjusted residues in almond hull were: 0.5, 0.8, 1.05, 1.15 and 2.2 mg/kg.

The Meeting agreed that the two dataset matching the USA GAP were not significantly different and could be combined for evaluation. The combined residue data were (n = 7): 0.5, 0.8, 1.05, 1.15, 2.1, 2.2 and 4.0 mg/kg.

The Meeting agreed to estimate a median residue of 1.15 mg/kg.

# Peanut hay

Field trials were conducted in the USA in 2001 growing season following the USA GAP, i.e.,  $3 \times 0.14$  kg ai/ha with a PHI of 28 days.

The ranked order of diflubenzuron residue concentrations in peanut hay were (n = 8): 1.6, 1.9, 2.6, <u>7.1</u>, <u>7.9</u>, 8.4, 17.0 and 18.4 mg/kg.

The Meeting agreed to estimate a median residue of 7.5 mg/kg, a highest residue of 18.4 mg/kg and recommended a maximum residue level of 40 mg/kg for peanut hay.

# Fate of residues during processing

The Meeting did not receive any information on the fate of incurred residue of diflubenzuron in processing of relevant commodities.

# **Residues of animal commodities**

#### Farm animal dietary burden

In 2002, the JMPR estimated the dietary burden from residues in wet pomace of apples, grass forage, rice grain and rice straw of diflubenzuron residues in farm animals from the diets listed in Appendix IX of the FAO Manual (FAO, 2002).

The present Meeting estimated the dietary burden of diflubenzuron in farm animals on the basis of the diets listed in Appendix X of the FAO Manual (OECD Feedstuffs Derived from Field Crops, FAO, 2009). Calculation from the highest residues, the STMRs (some bulk commodities) and STMR-P values provides the levels in feed suitable for estimating maximum residue levels, while calculation from the STMRs and STMR-P values for feed is suitable for estimating STMR values for animal commodities. Dietary burden calculations for beef cattle, dairy cattle, broilers and laying poultry are provided in Annex 6 of the 2011 JMPR Report.

The present Meeting calculated the dietary burdens from residues in wet pomace of apples, grass forage, rice grain, rice straw, barley and wheat grain, hay, straw, almond hulls, and peanut hay. The results are summarized in the following table.

	Livestock	ivestock dietary burden, diflubenzuron, ppm of dry matter diet									
	US/CAN	US/CAN		EU A		Australia					
	max	mean	max	mean	max	mean	max	mean			
Beef cattle	0.27	0.13	11.52	4.82	20.99 <sup>a</sup>	8.11 <sup>b</sup>	1.41	0.38			
Dairy cattle	13.45	5.19	12.77	4.73	20.99 <sup>c</sup>	8.02 <sup>d</sup>	2.14	0.69			
Poultry-broiler	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.006	0.006			
Poultry-layer	0.04	0.04	2.05 <sup>e</sup>	0.71 <sup>f</sup>	0.04	0.04					

<sup>a</sup> Highest maximum beef or dairy cattle burden suitable for maximum residue level estimates for mammalian meat

<sup>b</sup> Highest mean beef or dairy cattle dietary burden suitable for STMR estimates for mammalian meat.

<sup>c</sup> Highest maximum dairy cattle dietary burden suitable for maximum residue level estimates for milk.

<sup>d</sup> Highest mean dairy cattle dietary burden suitable for STMR estimates for milk.

<sup>e</sup> Highest maximum poultry dietary burden suitable for maximum residue level estimates for poultry meat and eggs.

<sup>f</sup> Highest mean poultry dietary burden suitable for STMR estimates for poultry meat and eggs.

The dietary burdens were recalculated using the OECD tables and the addition of barley and wheat grain, hay straw, almond hulls, and peanut hay to the animal feed diet did not significantly increase the dietary burden value. The Meeting decided that it is not necessary to re-evaluated animal commodities for maximum residue levels.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

On the basis of the data from supervised trials, the Meeting concluded that the residue levels listed below are suitable for establishing maximum residue limits and for dietary intake assessment.

The definition of the residue for plant and animal commodities (for compliance with the MRL and for estimation of dietary intake): *diflubenzuron*.

The residue is fat soluble.

Commodity		Recommended MRL mg/kg	STMR or STMR-P	HR or HR-P
CCN	Name	New	mg/kg	mg/kg
GC 0640	Barley	0.05*	0.05	
VL 0485	Mustard greens	10	1.35	
FS 0245	Nectarine	0.5	0.17	
GC 0647	Oats	0.05*	0.05	
FS 0247	Peach	0.5	0.17	
SO 0697	Peanut	0.15	0.05	0.0

Commodity		Recommended MRL mg/kg	STMR or STMR-P	HR or HR-P
CCN	Name	New	mg/kg	mg/kg
VO 4444	Peppers, Chili	3	0.92	
HS 0444	Peppers Chili, dried	20	6.44	
FS 0014	Plums (including prunes)	0.5	0.17	
VO 0445	Peppers, Sweet (including Pimento or pimiento)	0.7	0.16	
TN 0085	Tree nuts	0.2	0.05	0.0
GC 0653	Triticale	0.05*	0.05	
GC 0654	Wheat	0.05*	0.05	
AM 0660	Almond Hulls	-	1.15	-
AS 0162	Hay or fodder (dry) of grasses	3	0.625	1.4
AL 0697	Peanut fodder	40	7.5	18.4
AS 0081	Straw and fodder (dry) of cereal grain	1.5	0.29	0.90

\*: at or about the limit of quantification

# DIETARY RISK ASSESSMENT

#### Long-term intake

The acceptable daily intake (ADI) of 0–0.02 mg/kg bw/day based on the NOAEL for haematological effects of 2 mg/kg bw per day in a 2-year studies in rats and the 52-week study in dogs was reconfirmed by 2001 JMPR.

International Estimated Daily Intake (IEDI) was calculated for commodities of human consumption for which STMRs for diflubenzuron were estimated. Results are presented in Annex 3 of the 2011 JMPR Report. The IEDI for the 13 GEMS/Food cluster diets were only 2–10% of the maximum ADI. The intake of residues of diflubenzuron resulting from its proposed uses is unlikely to present a public health concern.

# Short-term intake

The JMPR in 2001 concluded that it was unnecessary to establish an ARfD, and therefore the short-term intake of diflubenzuron residues is unlikely to present a public health concern.

Reference Number	Author(s)	Year	Study Title
GRL-FR-12164	Black, H.	2004	Validation of Working Methods for the Determination of Diflubenzuron and its Metabolites 4-Chlorophenylurea (CPU) and 4- Chloroaniline (PCA) in Peppers. Project Number: GRL-FR-12164. GLP; Unpublished.
GRL-FR-12274	Black, H.	2006	Black, H. Validation of Working Methods for the Determination of Diflubenzuron and its Metabolites 4-Chlorophenylurea (CPU) and 4- Chloroaniline (PCA) in Peaches. Project Number: GRL-FR-12274. GLP; Unpublished.
GRL-FR-12275	Black, H.	2007	Black, H. Validation of Working Methods for the Determination of Diflubenzuron and its Metabolites 4-Chlorophenylurea (CPU) and 4- Chloroaniline (PCA) in Plums. Project Number: GRL-FR-12275. GLP; Unpublished.
RP-97027	Hathcock, T.; Ruzo, L.	1998	Storage Stability of Diflubenzuron and Its Metabolites (PCA and CPU) in Rotational Crop Commodities: Lab Project Number: RP-97027: 692W-1: 692W. GLP; Unpublished.
RP-00010	Lucak, J, Rose, J.	2002	Storage stability of Diflubenzuron, 4-Chlorophenylurea and Parachloroaniline in Almonds; Lab Project Number: RP- 00010:979W. GLP; Unpublished.
RP-00012	Rose, J.	2002	Storage stability of Diflubenzuron, 4-Chlorophenylurea and Parachloroaniline in Peaches; Lab Project Number: RP- 00012:977W. GLP; Unpublished.

#### REFERENCES

Reference Number	Author(s)	Year	Study Title
2008-002	Kosovskaya, P.	2009	Storage stability of 4-chlorophenylurea in wheat flour and germ. Project Number 2008-002. GLP; Unpublished.
2006-050	Osterman, B.	2006	Storage stability of Diflubenzuron and 4-chloroaniline in wheat flour and germ. Project Number 2006-050. GLP; Unpublished.
2005-061/08024	Corley, J.	2005	Diflubenzuron: Magnitude of the Residue on Small Grain. Project Number: 08024. GLP; 2005-061; Unpublished. GLP; Unpublished.
RP-97008	Gaydosh, K.	1999	Dimilin 25W in Peaches: Magnitude of the Residue: Lab Project Number: RP-97008. GLP; Unpublished.
RP-97016	Gaydosh, K.; Puhl, J.	1999	Dimilin 25W on Bell and Non-Bell Peppers: Magnitude of the Residue Study: Project Number: RP-97016. GLP; Unpublished.
RP-98003	Gaydosh, K.	2000	Dimilin 25W, Dimilin 80WG, and Dimilin 2L in Almonds: Magnitude of the Residue Study: Project Number: RP-98003. GLP; Unpublished.
RP-99002	Gaydosh, K.	2000	Dimilin 25W, Dimilin 80WG, and Dimilin 2L in Pecans: Magnitude of the Residue Study: Project Number: RP-99002. GLP; Unpublished.
RP-98001	Gaydosh, K.	2000	Dimilin 25W, Dimilin 80WG, and Dimilin 2L in Peaches: Magnitude of the Residue Study: Project Number: RP-98001. GLP; Unpublished.
RP-98002	Gaydosh, K.	2000	Dimilin 25W, Dimilin 80WG, and Dimilin 2L in Plums and Prunes: Magnitude of the Residue Study: Project Number: RP-98002. GLP; Unpublished.
RP-03001	Korpalski, S.	2005	Dimilin 2L in Almonds: Magnitude of the Residue Study. Project Number: RP-03001. GLP; Unpublished.
RP-03007	Korpalski, S.	2005	Dimilin 2L on Peppers: Magnitude of the Residue Study. Project Number: RP-03007. GLP; Unpublished.
2005-005	Russell, R.	2005	Dimilin 2L in Peaches and Plums: Magnitude of the Residue Study. Project Number: 2005-005; GLP; Unpublished.
2005-060/07737	Samoil, K.	2005	Diflubenzuron: Magnitude of the Residue on Peanut. Project Number: 2005-060; 07737/01/PTR02, 07737/01/MD01, 07737/01/TN03. GLP; Unpublished.
2005-059/08031	Samoil, K.	2005	Diflubenzuron: Magnitude of the Residue on Mustard Greens. Project Number: 2005-059; 08031, 08031/01/PTR01, 08031/01/TX/27. GLP; Unpublished.