

Assessment sheet for the evaluation of achievement of goals and objectives of the fisheries co-management plan

Name of fisheries co-management system: _____

Nr.	Type of goals and objectives and indicators	Examples of approaches for measuring indicators	Scoring (achievement)				Comments/ explanations	Data collection method and source
			Yes	Partly	No	Not applicable		
II.1	SOCIAL GOALS AND OBJECTIVES (examples include benefits from fisheries equitably distributed; compatibility between management and local culture maximized; environmental awareness and knowledge enhanced)							
II.1.1	INDICATOR: The co-management approach and measures represent the range of interests of different stakeholders and accommodate the full diversity of those interests	Review of management plan document; Questionnaire survey (perception); Focus group discussions with stakeholder groups						
II.1.2	INDICATOR: Equitable management that represents the range of interests of stakeholders and accommodates the full diversity of those interests	Questionnaire survey (perceptions); Focus group discussions with stakeholder groups						
II.1.3	INDICATOR: Indigenous and local knowledge is explicitly reflected in the fisheries co-management plan	Review of management plan document; Questionnaire survey (perception); Interviews with key informants (from non-participating/excluded/minorities resource users)						
II.1.4	INDICATOR: There is support for co-management among different stakeholder groups	Questionnaire survey (perceptions) among stakeholder groups identified in the stakeholder analysis (carried out in evaluation Step 1); Focus group discussions with stakeholder groups						
II.1.5	INDICATOR: Diversity of gender, youth and ethnicity aspects have been integrated in the co-management committee	Review co-management committee composition and the roles/powers of different members; Review of the selection/election mechanism; Interviews with key informants from different user groups						
II.1.6	INDICATOR: Tenure and access rights are fairly allocated	Review of government agreement and tenure arrangements; Questionnaire survey (perception) among different resource users along the value chain						

Annex 2. Assessment sheet for the evaluation of achievement of goals and objectives of the fisheries co-management plan

Nr.	Type of goals and objectives and indicators	Examples of approaches for measuring indicators	Scoring (achievement)				Comments/ explanations	Data collection method and source
			Yes	Partly	No	Not applicable		
II.1.7	INDICATOR: Social learning (collective knowledge, shared values) is enhanced	Questionnaire survey; Focal group discussions (requires a baseline to compare with, either from earlier evaluation/survey or asking respondents to compare with how they remember the situation was earlier)						
II.1.8	INDICATOR: Local values and beliefs about marine resources are enhanced	Questionnaire survey; Focal group discussions (requires a baseline to compare with, either from earlier evaluation/survey or asking respondents to compare with how they remember the situation was earlier)						
II.1.9	INDICATOR: The co-management provides social benefits to stakeholders	Questionnaire survey (perception) covering different stakeholder groups (including, women, youth, vulnerable groups)						
II.2	ECONOMIC GOALS AND OBJECTIVES (examples include livelihoods enhanced or maintained; food security and nutrition enhanced or maintained; increased incomes)							
II.2.1	INDICATOR: Seafood availability and access have increased at household/ community/market levels	Observation; focal group discussions (requires a baseline to compare with, either from earlier evaluation/survey or asking respondents to compare with how they remember the situation was earlier)						
II.2.2	INDICATOR: Benefits of operating and maintaining co-management arrangements exceed the costs	Financial analysis based on co-management accounts						
II.2.3	INDICATOR: There are incentives for stakeholders to support co-management	Questionnaire survey (perception); Focal group discussions						
II.2.4	INDICATOR: Co-management has benefited stakeholders economically	Questionnaire survey; Focus group discussions with stakeholders to aggregate data per groups (capture fishers, fixed gear operators, aquaculture farmers)						
II.2.5	INDICATOR: Fish catches have improved overall in the co-managed fishery or area	Catch and landings data survey; Focal group discussions (requires a baseline to compare with, either from earlier evaluation/survey or asking respondents to compare with how they remember the situation was earlier)						

Nr.	Type of goals and objectives and indicators	Examples of approaches for measuring indicators	Scoring (achievement)				Comments/ explanations	Data collection method and source
			Yes	Partly	No	Not applicable		
II.2.6	INDICATOR: Co-management participants have a higher level of material lifestyle (housing, household goods, etc.)	Focal group discussion; Questionnaire survey (requires a baseline to compare with, either from earlier evaluation/survey or asking respondents to compare with how they remember the situation was earlier)						
II.2.7	INDICATOR: Number of sick days among co-management participants	Focal group discussion; Questionnaire survey (requires a baseline to compare with, either from earlier evaluation/survey or asking respondents to compare with how they remember the situation was earlier)						
II.2.8	INDICATOR: Incomes/benefits are fairly distributed between men and women	Focal group discussion; Questionnaire survey (requires a baseline to compare with, either from earlier evaluation/survey or asking respondents to compare with how they remember the situation was earlier)						
II.3	ECOLOGICAL GOALS AND OBJECTIVES (examples include fisheries resources exploited at sustainable levels; resilient ecosystems secure multiple services to local communities; essential fish habitats well protected)							
II.3.1	INDICATOR: There is abundance of key focal species	Observations (requires a baseline to compare with, either from earlier evaluation/survey or asking respondents to compare with how they remember the situation was earlier)						
II.3.2	INDICATOR: Fish catches have improved overall in the co-managed fishery or area	Catch and landings data survey; Focal group discussions (requires a baseline to compare with, either from earlier evaluation/survey or asking respondents to compare with how they remember the situation was earlier)						
II.3.3	INDICATOR: Previously destroyed habitats show signs of recovery	Observations (requires a baseline to compare with, either from earlier evaluation/survey or asking respondents to compare with how they remember the situation was earlier)						

Annex 2. Assessment sheet for the evaluation of achievement of goals and objectives of the fisheries co-management plan

Nr.	Type of goals and objectives and indicators	Examples of approaches for measuring indicators	Scoring (achievement)				Comments/ explanations	Data collection method and source
			Yes	Partly	No	Not applicable		
II.3.4	INDICATOR: Management measures for fisheries management are appropriate and operational	Review co-management plan (fisheries management plan); Focal group discussions; Review co-management operational procedures through interviews with government/management and executive/management board key informants						
II.3.5	INDICATOR: The EAF is an integral part of the fisheries management plan	Review co-management plan (fisheries management plan)						
II.3.6	INDICATOR: Resource users/co-management participants take an active role in monitoring compliance with agreed regulations	Review of compliance/enforcement arrangements (documentation in co-management plan, existing institutional structures); Review co-management operational procedures through interviews with government/management and executive/management board key informants						
II.4	GOVERNANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES (examples include effective co-management structures and strategies maintained; effective stakeholder participation and representation ensured; resource use conflicts managed and reduced)							
II.4.1	INDICATOR: Effective co-management institutions (committee, administrative team) and related important structures (professional organizations) are in place and functional	Review of co-management documentation (meeting minutes, etc.); Focal group discussions; Questionnaire survey (perception)						
II.4.2	INDICATOR: There is a co-management plan and it contains key provisions and clear goals and objectives	Review of co-management plan						
II.4.3	INDICATOR: The degree of legitimacy of the management system with stakeholders increased	Focal group discussions; Questionnaire survey (perception)						
II.4.4	INDICATOR: Decision-making is transparent to all stakeholders and decision-makers are accountable	Focal group discussions; Questionnaire survey (perception)						
II.4.5	INDICATOR: All main stakeholders are empowered and capable to actively participate in decision-making	Focal group discussions; Questionnaire survey (perception)						

Nr.	Type of goals and objectives and indicators	Examples of approaches for measuring indicators	Scoring (achievement)				Comments/ explanations	Data collection method and source
			Yes	Partly	No	Not applicable		
II.4.6	INDICATOR: Conflict management mechanism is in place and documented	Review of co-management documentation; Analysis of formal versus informal mechanisms, traditional versus legal/modern mechanisms						
II.4.7	INDICATOR: Conflict management mechanism is contributing to reducing the number of conflicts between different resource user groups/stakeholders	Review of incident reports and complaints to police, community leaders or other instances addressing conflicts; Analysis of frequency (number) and type of conflicts						
II.4.8	INDICATOR: Self-enforcement system of penalties is designed by resource users/ co-management participants	Review of documentation on enforcement system; Focal group discussions						
II.4.9	INDICATOR: Networks and alliances among various user groups/stakeholders are in place and functional	Review of registered organizations and their memberships; Questionnaire survey among stakeholders on their organizational memberships						
II.4.10	INDICATOR: Different legitimate resource user groups, including youth, women and Indigenous Peoples, are recognized as stakeholders in the co-management and have equal opportunities to participate in the co-management arrangement	Questionnaire survey; Focal group discussions; q Questionnaire survey (perception)						
II.4.11	INDICATOR: There is a formal legal framework regulating fisheries co-management	Review of legislation; Questionnaire survey (perception)						