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## Abstract

The genetic variation of pelagic fish populations in Lake Tanganyika was studied with material from two endemic nile perch species (Lates stappersii and L. mariae) and two clupeids (Stolothrissa tanganicae and Limnothrissa miodon). Genetic differentiation among populations was estimated using the RAPDDNA method. Three primers amplified 53-61 various DNA fragments from 1012 individuals sampled at six localities. Large variation among RAPD profiles was found, and the substructuring of sampling locations is presented. The differentiation between sampling sites was largest in Lates stappersii. Based on RAPD profile similarity, the individuals from different seasons are fairly well separated. Heterogeneity among sampling sites and times was high, but the overall level of genetic differentiation is low, since only a few private alleles were detected. In each species, migration appears to be sufficient to combine all sampled populations to one gene pool.

## Introduction

The purpose of this work is to measure the extent of genetic variation within pelagic fish species in Lake Tanganyika and to estimate the level of genetic substructuring in the stocks. Economically important inland fisheries are based on the stocks of these fish in Tanzania, Burundi, Zaïre and Zambia. Of the endemic clupeids, Stolothrissa tanganicae is a small and truly pelagic fish, whereas Limnothrissa miodon grows slightly larger and lives closer to the shore. Their nile perch predators are the smaller Lates stappersii and the large, benthic L. mariae. Genetic variation permits local adaptations and provides raw material for evolutionary change. The cichlids in Lake Tanganyika possess high genetic variation (Sturmbauer and Meyer, 1992), and they have diversified enormously (Poll 1956). Endemic species are also known from many other fish families in the lake (Poll 1953).

Protection of any locally adapted fish stocks enhances the overall productivity and sustainable use of fish resources. Suppressed genetic variation may diminish the overall fishery yield (Smith et al. 1990), since migration cannot compensate for the loss of genetic diversity and locally adapted genetic resources (Carvalho and Hauser 1994). The stock structure of fish species has been studied with molecular methods like electrophoresis (Casselman et al., 1981, Grant and Utter, 1984, Jørstad et al., 1991), mitochondrial DNA (Bernatchez, 1997, Bentzen et al., 1989, Crosetti et al., 1994, Tringali and Wilson, 1993) and RAPD (Dahle, 1993, Bardacki and Skibinski, 1994, Bielawski and Pumo, 1997, Naish et al., 1995).

Here, structure of the pelagic fish populations in Lake Tanganyika was studied as a part of the FAO:s project "Research for the Management of the Fisheries on Lake Tanganyika", which aims at determining the biological basis for fish production. RAPD (Williams et al., 1990) method was chosen, since it is efficient, economical, uses limited quantities of DNA and works on anonymous genomes (Hadrys et al., 1992). As all these species are streamlined and living in open waters, no presumptions on
the borders of their populations seems justified. This study concentrated on finding the genetic variability to reveal the structure of the stocks.

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1 Clupeid and nile perch samples

A total of 1010 individuals of the four pelagic species were sampled during a dry season (June - August 1993), and during a rainy season (October - December 1993) on Lake Tanganyika (for sites see $\operatorname{Fig}$. 1). A maximum of 30 individuals per species were collected at one site.

Samples were bought fresh from the local fishermen by the Lake Tanganyika Research personnel, and cooled and processed at the fisheries stations. From the Lates species a muscle tissue slice of $3 \times 5 \times 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ surrounding the lateral line was dissected and stored in an equal volume of pure alcohol. Clupeids were stored whole in an equal volume of alcohol.

A total of 262 Limnothrissa miodon samples were analysed. Catches were sampled in Magara (Burundi), Kigoma (Tanzania), Kipili (Tanzania), Chituta Bay off Mpulungu (Zambia) and Nsumbu (Zambia) in November 1993 during the dry season. Rainy season samples were gathered from Nyamugari (Burundi), Kigoma (Tanzania), Kalemie (Zaïre), Kipili (Tanzania), Chituta Bay off Mpulungu (Zambia) and Nsumbu (Zambia).

A total of 263 pelagic clupeid Stolothrissa tanganicae (Regan 1920) were collected. During the dry season, samples were taken in Magara, Kigoma, Kipili, Nsumbu and Mpulungu. In Kigoma the fishes were caught more than 500 metres offshore, and in Kipili at $50-70 \mathrm{~m}$ distance from the shore. The samples from Magara are from a liftnet. The fishing method or distance from the shore is not known for the samples from Nsumbu and Mpulungu. During the rainy season October - December samples were collected from Nyamugari near Magara (coded to Bujumbura), Kigoma, Kipili, Kalemie, Mpulungu and Nsumbu.

A total of 270 individuals of the nile perch Lates stappersii (Boulenger, 1914) were collected in 1993. During the dry season (June - August) 30 individuals were collected from each of the Magara, Kigoma, Kipili, Nsumbu and Mpulungu sites. During the rainy season (October - December) 30 individuals were sampled from Kipili, Mpulungu and Nsumbu, 10 from Magara and 20 from Gitaza, a site about 10 km north from Magara.

Lates mariae was sampled during the dry season in Rumonge, Mugere, Ntahangwa (Burundi, coded to Bujumbura in the graphs),


Figure 1. Map sshowing the sampling sites in Lake Tanganyika. Gitaza, Rumonge, Mugere, Ntahangwa, Karonda, Kadjaga, Cimenta and Nyamugari are in the vicinity of Magara in Burundi.
in Kigoma (Tanzania), Mpulungu and in Nsumbu (Zambia). During the rainy season, samples were caught from Mugere, Karonda, Kadjaga, Cimental, Nyamugari (Burundi, coded to Bujumbura in the graphs), Kigoma (Tanzania), Moliro (southern Zaïre, coded to Kipili) and from Mpulungu (Zambia). A total of 217 individuals were analysed.

### 2.2 DNA extraction

The DNA for each population was extracted on different days to prevent the possibility of contamination. DNA was extracted from a few $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ piece of muscle tissue cut out from the inner part of the preserved slice or individual. The use of toxic organic solvents was avoided by applying the method of Miller et al. (1988). The amount of DNA extracted varied between 15-150 micrograms per millilitre. The quality of the DNA was examined by the ratio of absorptions A260 / A280. All samples were diluted to a concentration of 5 nanograms of DNA / microliter for PCR.

### 2.3 RAPD protocol

For each species, sixty primers were tested with two individuals from different localities. A single, 10 base-pair long primer amplified sequencies, where the primer target sites on opposite DNA strands were less than $3,000 \mathrm{bp}$ away from each other (Park and Moran, 1994). The resultant presence or absence of amplification products gives a random sample of the total DNA of an individual, and the level of genetic similarity of individuals and populations can be estimated. The primers for
population analysis were selected by their clear and repeatable pattern.

DNA strands were polymerased by $P C R$ in a total volume of 25 plitres with 10 ng of template DNA, 4 pmol of a single primer, 1 unit of Tbr DNA polymerase (Thermus brockianus, Finnzymes, Finland) and 100 mM each of the four dNTPs (deoxynucleotide triphosphates, Promega or Finnzymes) in the buffer (10 mM Tris$\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.8$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,50 \mathrm{mK} \mathrm{KCl}, 0.1 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$ ). The temperature profile for the $P C R$ was 5 seconds $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35 \mathrm{~s}$. $36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $60 \mathrm{~s} .72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, repeated 35 times (Yu and Pauls, 1993). A negative control without template-DNA was present in all amplifications. One gel consisted 54 individuals from at least two populations. The copied parts of DNA were separated and visualised with ethidium bromide on $1.4 \%$ agarose gel with a molecular weight marker (Boehringer-Mannheim MWM VI). An electric current ( $8 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}, 4 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) organized DNA strands into bands according to their size. All utensils and liquids were autoclaved prior to the use.

### 2.4 Analysis of RAPD data

All fragments on gels were coded as absent (0) or present (1). Thus a binary matrix was created, in which each row contains the genetic information for each individual. Due to the lack of normality in this binary data, the independence of bands was estimated using the Spearmans rank correlation coefficient (Sokal and Rohlf, 1981).

The heterogenity among samples in one species was estimated by counting first pairwise, the binary squared Euclidean distances for all individuals and then counting the coefficient of variation CV among them. The effect of chance as a cause for heterogeneity was estimated from the original matrix by bootstrapping with 1000 randomizations using the program REAP (Roff and Bentzen, 1989, McElroy et al. 1991).

In order to determine the effect of band number to the estimates of genetic relationships, a bootstrap analysis was performed using data from Stolothrissa tanganicae. First, 200 new matrices were constructed by using randomly four to sixty bands. Then pairwise relationships for all individuals in each new matrix were counted using fraction of matches index M (Black 1994b). Finally, the mean coefficient of variation of similarities was plotted against the number of bands used (Thormann et al. 1994).

Observed bands were interpreted to allele frequency data with the program RAPDBIOS (Black 1993) after following assumptions: 1) comigrating bands arise from identical alleles, 2) absence of a band is caused by an identical ancestral mutation, 3) bands are independently segregting loci, 4) presence of a band represents a dominant homo- or heterozygote locus and 5) that the genotypes are in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The allele frequencies were used in the BIOSYS (Swofford, 1989) program to estimate levels of variation, and relationships between populations as Nei's genetic distances. With the same
assumptions the genetic differentiation and migration levels between geographic areas and sampling sites were estimated using the program RAPDFST (Black, 1994a). $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ is counted as the ratio of the observed variance in the frequency of an allele among subpopulations relative to its maximum variance in the total population, estimated by the formula $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}=$ variance (p) / (average $p$ (1- average $p$ )), where $p$ is the frequency of an allele at a RAPD locus and average $p$ is the weighted average frequency among all subpopulations.

Effective migration rate $N m=\left(1-F_{S T}\right) / 4 F_{\text {st }}$.
The great number of variants achieved with RAPD allows the use of statistical methods. Principal component analysis (Noru_is, 1995) was used to summarize the variables to a smaller number of underlying factors and nonparametric Kolmogorov-Smirnov 2-sample test was used to test the similarity of band frequencies in two populations. This test was used instead of $x^{2}$ due to the lack of observations in some classes of binary variables (no bands observed). The test is based on maximum absolute difference between the observed cumulative distribution functions for both samples, and it is sensitive to any differences in the two distributions: shape, location etc. Table-wide sequential Bonferroni test was used to estimate the accuracy of significance levels (Rice, 1989).

Differences between single individuals were calculated with the fraction of matches index $M$, recommended for intraspecific comparisons (Apostol et al. 1993, Black 1994b), when $M=N_{A B} /$ $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}$, where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{AB}}$ is the number of shared presence and absence of bands in individuals $A$ and $B$, and $N_{T}$ is the total number of bands detected in the species (Black 1994b). A hierarchical clustering of distances was drawn in the form of a UPGMA dendrogram using Neighbor program in PHYLIP package (Felsenstein, 1993)

To finally estimate the relevance of the detected variation, effective migration rate was counted using Slatkin's index $p$ (Slatkin, 1985). Slatkin's index $p$ is based on the frequencies of alleles found in only one deme, i.e. on private alleles, without other assumptions.

## 3. Results

The RAPD technique revealed rich variation in two pelagic clupeids and two nile perches apecies from Lake Tanganyika. When the DNAs of two individuals from different parts of the lake were amplified with three primers from Operon Technologies primer sets OPA, OPB and OPC, about 60\% of the primers gave visual bands with all species. Three primers producing a clear and reliably reproducing banding pattern were chosen for population analysis. The number of bands observed with three primers ranged from 61 in L. miodon, 60 in $S$. tanganicae, 58 in L. stappersii to 53 in L. mariae. Detected fragments and the primers used are shown in appendix 1. Primary data for each species is given in appendices 2 - 5.


Figure 2. The effect of band number to the estimates of genetic relationships in Stolothrissa tanganicae data. 200 new matrices were created by bootstrapping and the mean $C V$ of similarities was plotted against the number of bands used.

The presence of a band was to a large extent independent of other bands, since the Spearman correlations among the bands were mostly below 0,5. The following bands possessed correlations higher than 0.7: 4 correlations among bands of $L$. miodon (X17, X18, X19, X28, X39), 3 in S. tanganicae (X15, X17, $\mathrm{X} 19, \mathrm{X} 20, \mathrm{X} 55, \mathrm{X} 60), 25$ in $L$. stappersii (X12, X13, X15, X16, $\mathrm{X} 18, \mathrm{X} 23, \mathrm{X} 25, \mathrm{X} 34, \mathrm{X} 40, \mathrm{X} 45, \mathrm{X} 46$ ) and 13 in L. mariae (X4, X 6 , $\mathrm{X} 8, \mathrm{X} 9, \mathrm{X} 12, \mathrm{X} 44, \mathrm{X} 16, \mathrm{X} 20, \mathrm{X} 21, \mathrm{X} 26, \mathrm{X} 30, \mathrm{X} 32, \mathrm{X} 36, \mathrm{X} 37$ ). Exclusion of these bands did not affect the pattern of similarity.

The heterogeneity among species, when measured as a coefficient of variation among pairwise similarity values between individuals, was high in Nile perches; 0.60 in $L$. stappersii and 0,56 in L. mariae, and lower in clupeids; 0.28 in $S$. tanganicae and 0.29 in $L$. miodon.

The variation among species was not a result of chance alone, since in Monte Carlo simulations with 1000 randomizations of the original data of sampling, the probability of exceeding the original $?^{2}$ by chance was less than 0.000 in all studied species.

The observed number of bands seems sufficient for the estimation of genetic similarity. In the bootstrap test on the similarity values, the coefficient of variation decreases when more bands are used, and levels off when more than 50 bands are used (Fig. 2).

Table 2. Genetic variation as the percentage of loci polymorphic

| Location | L. miodon | S. tangan. | L.stapp. | L. mariae |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bujumbura | 67.2 | 75.0 | 56.9 | 61.5 |
| Kigoma | 52.5 | 60.0 | 56.9 | 55.8 |
| Kalemie | 41.0 | 77.0 | 66.7 | 50.0 |
| Kipili | 62.3 | 65.0 | 53.4 | 28.8 |
| Mpulungu | 63.9 | 70.0 | 71.2 |  |
| Nsumbu |  |  | 55.8 |  |

When samples taken on the same area are united to one local sample, and RAPD fragments are interpreted to allele frequencies after several assumptions, the relationships of populations can be presented in an UPGMA dendrogram utilizing Nei's distances (Fig. 3). Dendrograms illustrate the populations of L. miodon split to two groups, and $S$. tanganicae samples from dry east coast on their own branch. The dendrogram of $L$. stappersii strikingly separates the Kigoma population from the others. The L. mariae dendrogram separates samples from dry Mpulungu and rainy Kipili from others, and shows the remaining samples in groups of dry and rainy season. The level of genetic variation is high, as very many loci were polymorphic in all species: 41$77 \%$ in $L$. miodon, 60-80\% in $S$. tanganicae, 39-56\% in $L$. stappersii and 28-71\% in L. mariae (Table 2). Due to the high variation observed among samples, the justification for uniting temporally different samples was not self-evident.
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Figure 3. Substructuring of geographic areas in UPGMA dendrograms based on Nei's identity.

After separating temporal samples, the dendrogram patterns changed slightly, since dry and rainy season samples from the same locality were not the most similar (Fig. 4). Treating each sampling occassion as a separate unit lowers the polymorphism of loci, but some are still quite high: L. miodon 29-60\%, $S$. tanganicae $38-66 \%$, L. stappersii $32-56 \%$ and L. mariae $28-56 \%$ (Table 3). In L. miodon the dry season samples from the north
end (Bujumbura) cluster with the southern end (Nsumbu and Mpulungu). Rainy season samples from the north-central waters form their own group. In $S$. tanganicae three groups branch off from the rest: samples from the dry east coast (Kigoma and Kipili), rainy south west (Nsumbu) and Malagarazi delta (Kigoma). Dry season samples from the north and south ends are similar. In the L. stappersii dendrogram the dry season sample from Kigoma remains distant from the others, and the dry season samples from north and south ends are similar. In the $L$. mariae dendrogram rainy Kipili and dry Mpulungu differ from the others. Also in $L$. mariae the dry season samples from the north end are similar to samples from dry Nsumbu (south west).

Table 3. Genetic variation at all sampling sites

| Sampling sites | L. miodon | S. tangan. | L.stapp. | L. mariae |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dry Bujumbura | 45.9 | 63.3 | 56.9 | 28.8 |
| rainy <br> Bujumbura | 55.7 | 65.0 | 53.4 | 50.0 |
| dry Kigoma | 29.5 | 38.3 | 56.9 | 38.5 |
| rainy Kigoma | 27.9 | 46.7 |  | 36.5 |
| rainy Kalemie | 41.0 | 66.7 |  |  |
| dry Kipili | 52.5 | 65.0 | 32.8 |  |
| rainy Kipili | 60.7 | 58.3 | 39.7 | 28.8 |
| dry Mpulungu | 32.8 | 50.0 | 43.1 | 48.1 |
| rainy Mpulungu | 47.5 | 50.0 | 48.3 | 30.8 |
| dry Nsumbu | 54.1 | 61.7 | 39.7 | 55.8 |
| rainy Nsumbu | 42.6 | 50.0 | 43.1 |  |

Migration levels estimated with RAPDFST (Black, 1994a) between different geographic areas were not very high, but sufficient to suppress genetic differentiation: for $L$. miodon Nm is 1.6, for S. tanganicae 1.7 and for L. stappersii 1.1. For L. mariae the migration level is so low, 0.8, that differentiation is possible. If samplings from different seasons are treated separately, migration rates decrease: (Nm for L. miodon 0.8, S. tanganicae 0.6, L. stappersii 0.6 and $L$. mariae 0.4) thus increasing the change of genetic differentiation.


Figure 4. Relationships of all sampling occasions in UPGMA dendrograms based on Nei's identity.

The great number of RAPD bands allowd the use of statistical methods. In a principal component analysis for $L$. miodon, samples from the rainy south end are separate from the rest (eigenvalues 6.3; 5.3; 4.3)(Fig. 5). This analysis was not very well suited for clupeids, since eigenvalues decreased slowly also in S. tanganicae (6.4; 5.2; 5.0). In a three dimensional figure (Appendix 6) samples from rainy Nsumbu are separated from the others. The second group differentiating in PCA is formed from individuals from Bujumbura, Kigoma and Kipili caught during the dry season. In the principal component analysis of L. stappersii (Fig. 6) eigenvalues for the two first factors were high (13.56 and 6.30) and resulted in a clear separation between 25 samples from Kigoma and all the others. PCA of $L$. mariae showed clearly the separation of most populations with high eigenvalues (9.4 and 7.3).


Figure 5. Principal component analysis on Limnothrissa miodon
The individuals were clustered also based on the similarity of their banding patterns. UPGMA dendrograms based on percentile similarity values $M$ between single individuals are shown in Figs. 16 -19. In several cases samples from the same locality split into several clusters. Levels of heterozygosity, genetic
distance and migration are smaller for this alternative grouping, but this is self evident, since groups are based on to similarities. In the $L$. stappersii samples this alternative grouping confirmed the difference between the main population and the Kigoma samples. Five individuals sampled in Kigoma during the dry season differ from other local samples. This difference was statistically significant in 9,3 of bands after the table-wide sequential Bonferroni test. These five individuals in Kigoma appear to be members of the main population, as sequential Bonferroni test proved their band frequencies to be similar. On the contrary the band frequencies of the remaining 25 individuals from Kigoma are different from the main population in the two-way Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Correlating bands were excluded from these tests.
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Figure 6. Principal component analysis on Nile perch
The private alleles method gives very similar estimates of gene flow as the $F_{S T}$ (Slatkin \& Barton, 1989), but without assumptions required by Black (1994a). According to Slatkin (1985) the low number of private alleles found in all studied species reflects a high migration rate (lowest $N m=92$ for $L$. stappersii ), which prevents differentiation by drift in studied
demes. According to this, the relevance of observed variation is small. Only 1 private allele was detected in $L$. miodon and $L$. mariae, 3 in L. stappersii and 0 in $S$. tanganicae. Groups based on the similarity of single individuals (Appendix 7.) result in same numbers of private alleles. Based on Slatkin's index p, the level of effective migration (Nm) in L. stappersii is 92, and more in other species.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1 Biology of species

Endemic clupeids of Lake Tanganyika share many of the features of their marine relatives: thay are small, short-lived, fecund planktivores, who are attracted by light and having fluctuations in abundance (Coulter, 1991). Annual vertical mixing of lake water nourishes the plankton blooms and gives rise to the cohort of S. tanganicae (Coulter, 1991). Eggs and larvae of Stolothrissa are pelagic, and from early on follow the adults in daily vertical migrations from surface to 100-200 depth (Coulter, 1991). Limnothrissa is more common in shores with a shelf area, like in Zambian waters. It hatches near the shores, and moves to pelagic zone in one years age. There it feeds also on Stolothrissa, and takes part in vertical movements (Coulter, 1991).

Lates stappersii is a mackerel-shaped endemic predator of the pelagic zone of Lake Tanganyika. It is the smallest (48 cm) of the endemic Nile perches in Lake Tanganyika (Coulter 1991). It preys on Stolothrissa and seems to be truly pelagic, as the eggs contain an oil droplet (Coulter 1991). L. stappersii has dence schools and seasonal high catches (Coulter, 1991). It feeds on the sardine-like endemic clupeids when they are abundant, and switches to shrimps when they are not (Coulter, 1991). Since the decline of larger endemic Lates species (Coulter, 1991) its importance in fisheries has increased, and the genetic structure of the stock has become an important issue for sustainable use of the stock. The big eyed $L$. mariae is the top predator of the benthic zone. It has a juvenile inshore phase, and grows very large $(90 \mathrm{~cm})$. It lives very deep, near the anoxic water layer, up to 215 m , but also follows at night the clupeids to the surface. The catches of large endemic Lates-species, including L. mariae, have drastically declined since the invasion of modern fishery (Coulter, 1991).

### 4.2 Reliability of results

Difficulty in interpreting the results originates from the method, since although RAPD bands are genetically defined, they do not represent any known part of the genome, but reveal information on the divergence of the genome as a whole (Stammers et al. 1995). RAPDs are unlikely to be strongly linked to coding DNA (Isabel et al. 1995) or loci that are under selection pressure (Stammers et al. 1995). They are difficult to compare with methods, where the genetics of a known functional system represents the whole genome. The detected high variation among pelagic species of Lake Tanganyika is typical for RAPD as it
reveals much higher variation then electrophoresis, RFLP or mtDNA (Liu and Furnier 1993, Halldén et al. 1994).

The problem of achieving reproducing results is solved with rigorous attention to detail (Heun and Helentjaris 1991, Hadrys et al. 1992, Coffroth and Mulawka 1995, Skroch and Nienhuis 1995) and by keeping the critical steps constant: enzyme source and concentration, thermocycler, Mg-ion concentration and amount of DNA template (Carlson et al. 1991).

RAPD fragments are phenotypic markers inherited in a Mendelian fashion (Williams et al. 1990). When a fragment does not appear, the reason may be a point mutation on the primer annealing site, change in the distance between the primer annealing sites or absence of the amplified fragment. Fragments appear to be homologous sequences within species (Thormann et al. 1994) allowing the comparison of populations.

The primers were selected purely by the amount of variability they produced. As no strong presumptions were made on the population borders for these high mobile fish, it is possible, that primers with resolution between populations (Hadrys et al. 1992, Bardacki and Skibinski 1994, Haymer and McInnis 1994) were neglected. Selecting the primers by their variability lowers Nei's identity values. Primers amplified many rare bands, which still decreased the values of Nei's identity (Wright, 1978). Another reason is the dominant nature of RAPD markers, which leads to underestimates of recessive alleles. Each RAPD fragment corresponds to one locus with two alleles: dominant presence or recessive absence of the fragment (Chalmers et al., 1992, Hadrys et al., 1992, Thormann et al., 1994). Monomorphic recessives cannot be detected at all, if no dominants are present in the sample (Liu and Furnier 1993). The dominant nature (95\% of bands by Williams et al. 1990) of fragments was impossible to verify, since no haploid tissue was available for comparison. With verification from haploid tissue, heterozygosities and population fixation indices based on RAPD, are in complete agreement with isoenzyme results (Isabel et al. 1995). Strong assumptions in the interpretation of the RAPD band profiles to allele frequency data include that genotypes are in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in each population. These assumptions make the values of Nei's identity and $F_{S T}$ (Black, 1994a) as being only suggestive and very sensitive to the probable deviations. The following conclusions are based on presumptions that the detected RAPD variation implies a realistic picture of population differentiation, and the sampling has given an accurate view of the stock.

### 4.3 Genetic diversity in pelagic populations of Lake Tanganyika

In this study RAPD revealed no significant differentiation among populations of pelagic fish in Lake Tanganyika, although it has been very efficient in the identification of species and subspecies of tilapia, Drosophila, nematode, molluscs, poplars and mosquitos (Ballinger-Crabtree et al. 1992, Caswell-Chen et al. 1992, Casiglione et al. 1993, Crossland et al. 1993, Bardakci and Skibinski 1994, Zande and Bijlsma 1995). The
geological history of Lake Tanganyika shows, that several opportunities for allopatry and genetic divergence of fish have taken place (Tiercelin and Mondeguer, 1991). The first low synrift during Miocene (24 MY ago) consisted of three large basins: one in the north at the mouth of Rusizi, one central basin around the mouth of Malagarasi, and the southern basin. During Pliocene (4.6 MY ago) the central and southern basins were more strongly divided by the rising Kalemie block. The southern basin was divided into two main parts in the Middle Pleistocene, 200000 years ago (Tiercelin and Mondeguer, 1991).

When individuals were grouped by the similarity of their RAPD profile, the groups formed came from many sampling sites. The pattern of cluster analysis joining samples from different areas and seasons is familiar also in northern anchovy, where the genetic structure is nonrandom, but not identifiable (Hedgecock et al., 1994). Also this species is mobile, and sampled in the pelagic, not in the breeding area. The value of the similarity index within clusters of clupeids (Appendix 7) is very close to those separating plant and animal strains and cultivars (Apostol et al. 1993, Dweikat et al. 1993, Puterka et al. 1993, Rus-Kortekaas et al. 1994, Dawson et al. 1995), as well as to the theoretical value of $85 \%$ for siblings (Apostol et al. 1993). Thus it is possible, that these alternative groups represent relatives. Based on high level of differences in band frequencies among groups, the members of these groups can be identified according to the presence or absence of fragments.

If these differencies have a long history, the populations should be genetically differentiated, and possess some private alleles. As this was not observed, it seems most probable, that shoals are temporal structures. The genetic combinations in each new generation are unpredictable, resulting from stochastic sampling of parents. The uneven breeding success of pelagic fish with a high number of eggs, combined with haphazard recruitment of larvae can quickly alter the gene frequencies of a population (Johnson and Black, 1982, Hedgecock et al., 1994). This phenomenon may be responsible also for results from mtDNA studies on Limnothrissa miodon, where the highest differences were detected from samples within a very close geographical vicinity (Hauser et al. 1998). There is some evidence for individuals beeing related within schools in anchovy Engraulis encrasicolus based on multilocus DNA fingerprinting (Carvalho et al., 1994), but this phenomenon was not detected in minnow Phoxinus phoxinus (Naish, 1993).

According to Slatkin's index, migration between strains is sufficient to prevent genetic separation. Roughly speaking, one individual, exchanged every other generation between local populations is enough to eliminate the effect of alienating genetic drift (Slatkin, 1985). Perhaps some conditions on the lake favour migration, since a clear coherence in dry season samples from opposite ends of the lake was detected among all species studied. This seems to imply lively migration (more than one individual per generation) between areas as far as 600-700 km apart. If this finding is confirmed by other methods, it proves of effective gene exchange over large areas. An
interesting question is, at what time of the life cycle migration takes place.

According to the RAPD variation detected in $L$. miodon, populations of this less pelagic clupeid practise enough gene exchange to avoid local differentiation. Only samples caught during the rainy season from the southwest end differ from the main stock. Differences in band frequencies are statistically significant, but the absence of private alleles denies genetic differentiation. In $L$. miodon breeding activity has a locally different timing: In northern end of the lake August - October, December, January and March, in Kigoma September and Mpulungu January - June (Aro and Mannini, 1995). In the study of Hauser et al. 1998 the genetic structure of $L$. miodon was studied utilising morphometrics, allozyme electrophoresis and RFLP on mtDNA. Their conclusion was, that $L$. miodon has nonrandom associations of individuals in temporally stable schools, but no genetic differentiation in larger geographic scale. And as now, the existence of self-recruiting populations cannot be discounted. School consisted of fish of similar size and shape, but the high variation in maternally inherited mitochondrial DNA denied their close relatedness. Differences among schools may reflect their origin on different nursing areas.

The observed variation in $S$. tanganicae may imply a slight differentiation of two strains from the main stock: one caught in Nsumbu and the other from Kigoma, Kipili and Bujumbura during the rainy season (Appendix 6.). The clupeids seem to breed all year round, since ripe individuals have been caught during every month (Aro \& Mannini 1995). The actual breeding sites of $S$. tanganicae are unknown, but individuals near maturity are caught at different times in different parts of the lake (Aro and Mannini, 1995). The number of ripe females is high twice a year in the north and south ends, so it may be possible that generations from the early and late rainy season are slightly different. The differentiation of temporal samples of clupeids may reflect the fact, that small clupeids born in the rainy season may be too small to be caught during the dry season. All studied strains are genetically combined by migration.

Many methods differentiated Lates stappersii stock of Kigoma from the main population: bandsharing values, principal component analysis, dendrogram and differencies in band frequencies. The overall level of migration among L. stappersii sampling sites is high, but when this 25 individual sample from Kigoma is compared with combined samples of the main stock, the level of migration is very low ( $\mathrm{Nm}=0.43$ ).

The restricted gene flow between Kigoma and other populations does not affect Slatkin's index when all sampling sites are compared, due to the high variability among the main stock and to the lack of several alleles (23\%) from the Kigoma sample. But combining variable samples in to a 'main stock' is probably not justified, as a real isolation of Kigoma population should have produced more than one private allele. Thus the stock from Kigoma is not isolated from the main stock, but with the observed high level of differencies in band frequencies, the members of Kigoma population can be identified with a high
probability according to the presence or absence of fragments. Among other pelagic fish genetic separation of oceanic and local coastal stocks has been observed in herring (Jørstad et al. 1991). The breeding biology may reflect this differentiation, since the time for breeding is different in the central and southern areas (Aro and Mannini, 1995). Highest number of spawners was observed in Mpulungu in March and in Kigoma in August (Aro and Mannini, 1995). Maturity is reached in the north at a length of 290 mm (Roest, 1985), and in the south at 210 mm (Ellis, 1978). Fishing pressure is highest in the northern part of the lake, and the catches are very much influenced by the recruiting cohorts (Aro and Mannini, 1995).

Based on RAPD variation $L$. mariae seems to have fairly diversified populations, especially in the south, at Mpulungu and in Kipili. The stock seems to consist of dry and rainy season lineages, but the number of private alleles in each population was very low, and thus the migration between populations is sufficient to prevent genetic differentiation.

The stocks of studied pelagic species of Lake Tanganyika are not genetically random, but the amount of migration is enough to prevent genetic differentiation. Similar results with some genetic differentiation but no isolation has been observed in several marine pelagic fish: jackass morwong Cheilodactylus macropterus (Richardson, 1982), South African anchovy Engraulis capensis (Grant, 1985), skipjack tuna Katsuwonus pelamis (Ward, 1995), and northern anchovy Engraulis mordax (Hedgecock et al. 1994). However, migration which is sufficient to prevent genetic differentiation, is not necessery enough to assure sustainable fisheries.
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Appendix 1. Primers used and RAPD fragments detected

## Primers used and fragments detected

## Limnothrissa miodon

OPB-01 5' -GTTTCGCTCC-3' : 2200, 1800, 1700, 1650, 1400, 1300, 1200, 1150, 1070, 1000, 940, 880, 830, 800, 780, 640, 530, 490, 460, 430.
OPA-09 5' -GGGTAACGCC-3' : $1600,1550,1400,1350,1300,1170,1100,1050,1000,940$, 880, 860, 780, 760, 680, 640, 580, 520, 490, 430.
OPA-18 5' -AGGTGACCGT-3' : 1750, 1550, 1400, 1350, 1270, 1200, 1100, 1050, 980, 940, 920, $900,880,840,800,740,700,660,620,580,560,500$.

## Stolothrissa tanganicae

OPA-18 5' -AGGTGACCGT-3' : 2100, 1750, 1400, 1350, 1200, 1150, 1060, 940, 860, 840, 780, 670, 640, 600, 560, 490, 440, 420, 340, 300.
OPC-02 5' -GTGAGGCGTC-3' : 1550, 1450, 1250, 1150, 1100, 1020, 920, 900, 850, 820, 760, $720,680,650,600,580,520,480,460,350$.
OPC-08 5' -TGGACCGGTG-3' : 1750, 1400, 1350, 1250, 1150, 1100, 1050, 960, 900, 840, 820, 800, 750, 720, 660, 640, 610, 520, 460, 370.

## Lates stappersi

OPC-08 5'-TGGACCGGTG-3' : 1030, 900, 850, 760, 700, 640, 600, 520, 480, 450, 400, 350, 340, 260.
OPC-13 5' -AAGCCTCGTC-3' : 2000, 1900, 1700, 1650, 1500, 1400, 1300, 1250, 1130, 940 , $920,900,860,820,760,730,700,630,600,580,530,480,460,400$.
OPC-19 5' -GTTGCCAGCC-3' : 2000, 1750, 1650, 1500, 1300, 1150, 1050, 1000, 940, 860, 810, $760,700,650,600,540,510,440,400,300$.

## Lates mariae

OPA-07 5' -GAAACGGGTG-3' : 1300, 1250, 1150, 1100, 1000, $960,900,860,840,700,680$, 640, 620, 600, 560, 520, 470, 440, 420, 370, 310.
OPC-05 5' -GATGACCGCC-3' : 2000, 1450, 1300, 1150, 1100, 960, 840, 740, 660, 630, 580, $560,450,400,350,280$.
OPC-08 5'-TGGACCGGTG-3' : 1550, 1500, 1250, 1150, 1050, 950, 850, 800, 750, 700, 600, 560, 470, 440, 420.

Appendix 2. Primary data of RAPD band profiles in sampling occassions of Limnothrissa miodon.

|  | n | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | X6 | $\times 7$ | X8 | X9 | $\times 10$ | X11 | $\times 12$ | X 13 | X14 | X15 | $\times 16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dry Bujumbura | 20 | 0 | 12 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 20 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 11 |
| rainy Bujumbura | 29 | 1 | 28 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 19 | 29 | 10 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 28 | 25 | 27 | 0 |
| dry Kigoma | 19 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| rainy Kigoma | 30 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 30 | 28 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 18 | 23 | 14 | 30 | 1 |
| rainy Kalemie | 17 | 0 | 15 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| dry Kipili | 20 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| rainy Kipili | 29 | 0 | 29 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 28 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 23 | 18 | 29 | 1 |
| dry Mpulungu | 20 | 0 | 18 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| rainy Mpulungu | 30 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 29 | 16 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 29 |
| dry Nsumbu | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| rainy Nsumbu | 29 | 0 | 29 | 10 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 29 | 15 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 27 |
| Total | 262 | 1 | 220 | 95 | 63 | 14 | 89 | 174 | 178 | 56 | 113 | 28 | 62 | 153 | 65 | 153 | 94 |
|  | X17 | X18 | $\times 19$ | X20 | $\times 21$ | X22 | $\times 23$ | X24 | X25 | $\times 26$ | $\times 27$ | $\times 28$ | X29 | X30 | X31 | X32 | $\times 33$ |
|  | 1 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 6 | 0 |
|  | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 18 | 12 | 14 | 18 | 8 | 28 | 5 | 8 | 25 | 22 | 6 |
|  | 19 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 18 |
|  | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 30 | 26 |
|  | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 15 |
|  | 4 | 2 | 16 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 7 | 3 |
|  | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 29 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 27 | 17 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 30 | 3 | 1 | 22 | 26 | 10 |
|  | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 4 |
|  | 0 | 2 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 22 | 27 | 8 |
|  | 134 | 153 | 95 | 34 | 30 | 81 | 52 | 46 | 21 | 98 | 16 | 239 | 16 | 23 | 151 | 202 | 107 |
|  | X34 | X35 | X36 | X37 | X38 | X39 | X40 | X41 | X42 | X43 | X44 | X45 | X46 | X47 | X48 | X49 | X50 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 14 | 18 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 8 |
|  | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 12 | 29 | 27 | 28 | 1 | 29 | 18 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 1 | 19 | 27 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 19 | 14 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 1 |
|  | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 29 | 30 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 15 | 17 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 15 | 18 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 0 | 8 | 16 |
|  | 4 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 8 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 10 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 2 | 12 | 28 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 17 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 30 | 26 | 30 | 0 | 20 | 13 | 29 | 26 | 29 | 0 | 19 | 18 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 9 | 19 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 1 | 19 | 4 |
|  | 2 | 15 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 29 | 0 | 25 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 1 | 16 | 29 |
|  | 11 | 31 | 18 | 58 | 64 | 237 | 203 | 253 | 7 | 241 | 70 | 246 | 252 | 261 | 5 | 191 | 161 |
|  | X51 | X52 | $\times 53$ | X54 | X55 | $\times 56$ | X57 | $\times 58$ | $\times 59$ | $\times 60$ | X61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 19 | 12 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 2 | 28 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 19 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 30 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 30 | 30 | 16 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 7 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 13 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | 0 | 15 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 8 | 15 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 22 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 25 | 9 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 18 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 30 | 4 | 30 | 10 | 14 | 16 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 18 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 5 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 29 | 4 | 29 | 1 | 21 | 23 | 29 | 29 | 11 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 242 | 13 | 260 | 21 | 76 | 135 | 244 | 181 | 79 | 93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 3. Primary data of RAPD band profiles in sampling occassions of Stolothrissa tanganicae.

|  | n | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | $\times 6$ | X7 | X8 | X9 | $\times 10$ | X11 | $\times 12$ | $\times 13$ | X14 | X15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d. Bujumbura | 19 | 2 | 18 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 1 | 19 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| d. Kigoma | 20 | 2 | 19 | 20 | 9 | 16 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 20 | 1 | 20 |
| d. Kipili | 18 | 0 | 14 | 18 | 8 | 18 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 18 | 1 | 18 |
| d. Mpulungu | 20 | 12 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 6 | 16 | 19 | 5 | 2 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 20 |
| d. Nsumbu | 19 | 7 | 19 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 11 | 1 | 17 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 19 | 2 | 19 |
| r. Bujumbura | 23 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 14 | 10 | 1 | 17 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 21 | 0 | 22 |
| r. Kigoma | 30 | 19 | 30 | 30 | 26 | 29 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 4 | 3 | 29 | 29 | 0 | 30 |
| r. Kalemie | 29 | 0 | 20 | 24 | 14 | 14 | 24 | 12 | 29 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 27 |
| r. Kipili | 30 | 1 | 29 | 29 | 18 | 25 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 29 | 9 | 0 | 25 | 30 | 0 | 29 |
| r. Mpulungu | 22 | 0 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 20 | 12 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 19 | 0 | 22 |
| r. Nsumbu | 30 | 8 | 28 | 22 | 10 | 21 | 28 | 9 | 30 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 20 | 0 | 26 |
|  | 260 | 51 | 221 | 229 | 159 | 203 | 228 | 42 | 242 | 231 | 35 | 9 | 178 | 244 | 6 | 252 |
|  | $\times 16$ | X17 | $\times 18$ | $\times 19$ | $\times 20$ | $\times 21$ | $\times 22$ | X23 | X24 | $\times 25$ | $\times 26$ | $\times 27$ | $\times 28$ | X29 | $\times 30$ | $\times 31$ |
|  | 1 | 19 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 19 |
|  | 1 | 20 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 19 | 20 | 0 | 18 |
|  | 1 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 17 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 15 |
|  | 2 | 20 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 3 | 11 | 18 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 19 |
|  | 2 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 18 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 19 |
|  | 5 | 23 | 4 | 22 | 22 | 1 | 11 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 14 | 2 | 4 | 18 |
|  | 10 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 27 | 6 | 3 | 25 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 29 |
|  | 9 | 29 | 8 | 25 | 27 | 1 | 19 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 22 |
|  | 0 | 29 | 6 | 18 | 18 | 12 | 30 | 30 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 12 |
|  | 1 | 22 | 2 | 16 | 17 | 10 | 19 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 |
|  | 11 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 30 | 30 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
|  | 43 | 256 | 67 | 102 | 108 | 108 | 198 | 224 | 83 | 77 | 12 | 136 | 63 | 83 | 15 | 215 |


| X32 | X33 | X34 | X35 | $\times 36$ | $\times 37$ | X38 | X39 | $\times 40$ | $\times 41$ | $\times 42$ | $\times 43$ | X44 | X45 | X46 | X47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 20 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 13 | 18 | 0 | 20 | $\theta$ | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 18 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 15 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 20 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 19 | 4 | 10 | 10 |
| 19 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 1 | 18 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 15 | 17 |
| 22 | 11 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 22 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 4 |  |
| 30 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 30 | 2 | 21 | 14 |
| 22 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 25 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 5 | 8 |  |
| 30 | 20 | 14 | 14 | 1 | 30 | 18 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 17 |
| 22 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 5 |  |
| 28 | 2 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 30 | 6 | 12 | 29 |
| 250 | 65 | 103 | 33 | 63 | 236 | 85 | 180 | 222 | 4 | 48 | 14 | 249 | 21 | 78 | 121 |


| X48 | X49 | X50 | $\times 51$ | X52 | X53 | X54 | X55 | X56 | X57 | X58 | X59 | X60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 15 | 19 | 15 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| 12 | 20 | 3 | 11 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 1 | 20 | 19 |
| 6 | 16 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 1 | 6 | 17 | 16 |
| 20 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 19 | 20 | 16 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 |
| 19 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 13 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 1 | 5 | 19 | 19 |
| 22 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 21 | 16 | 23 | 22 | 17 | 3 | 23 | 23 |
| 30 | 4 | 9 | 25 | 28 | 24 | 30 | 27 | 0 | 30 | 16 | 30 | 30 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 20 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 2 | 6 | 28 | 29 |
| 30 | 12 | 1 | 16 | 11 | 25 | 25 | 28 | 29 | 14 | 1 | 30 | 30 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 22 | 6 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 17 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 29 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| 234 | 89 | 25 | 120 | 157 | 233 | 226 | 203 | 203 | 128 | 38 | 258 | 22 |

Appendix 4. Primary data of RAPD band profiles in sampling occassions of Lates stappersi.

|  | n | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | X6 | X7 | X8 | X9 | X10 | X11 | X12 | X13 | X14 | X15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d.Bujumbura | 30 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 21 | 23 | 4 | 3 | 22 | 20 | 8 | 2 | 27 | 12 | 5 | 5 |
| r.Bujumbura | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 17 | 21 | 13 | 1 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| dry Kigoma | 30 | 10 | 8 | 17 | 28 | 25 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 25 |
| dry Kipili | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 25 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 29 | 0 |
| rainy Kipili | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 30 | 27 | 18 | 9 | 30 | 0 | 10 | 1 |
| dry Nsumbu | 30 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 20 | 17 | 0 |
| r. Nsumbu | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 25 | 22 | 11 | 22 | 13 | 30 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| d. Mpulungu | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 12 | 0 | 17 | 12 | 15 | 0 |
| r. Mpulungu | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 24 | 29 | 10 | 1 | 30 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| total | 270 | 15 | 9 | 35 | 62 | 77 | 55 | 88 | 185 | 161 | 119 | 26 | 167 | 123 | 100 | 32 |


| X16 | X17 | X18 | X19 | X20 | X21 | X22 | X23 | X24 | X25 | X26 | X27 | X28 | X29 | X30 | X31 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 5 | 12 |
| 19 | 7 | 22 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 19 | 24 | 30 | 4 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 30 | 2 | 5 | 30 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 11 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 17 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 29 | 7 | 1 | 30 | 5 | 13 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 1 | 29 | 1 | 25 | 3 | 13 | 22 | 9 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 11 | 27 | 91 | 5 | 191 | 3 | 42 | 137 | 37 | 88 | 52 | 50 | 134 | 26 | 61 |


| X32 | X33 | X34 | X35 | X36 | X37 | X38 | X39 | X40 | X41 | X42 | X43 | X44 | X45 | X46 | X47 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 22 | 2 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 15 | 7 |
| 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 21 | 10 | 10 | 29 | 2 | 30 | 30 | 19 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 29 | 2 |
| 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 29 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 4 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 25 | 26 | 1 | 31 | 16 | 9 | 7 | 21 | 10 | 14 | 33 | 3 | 201 | 196 | 42 |


| X48 | X49 | X50 | X51 | X52 | X53 | X54 | X55 | X56 | X57 | X58 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 9 | 21 | 17 | 18 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 26 | 21 | 0 |
| 4 | 12 | 11 | 24 | 22 | 0 | 13 | 27 | 21 | 15 | 4 |
| 18 | 7 | 30 | 28 | 30 | 22 | 30 | 14 | 30 | 22 | 1 |
| 14 | 1 | 28 | 21 | 30 | 1 | 12 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 12 |
| 12 | 4 | 27 | 26 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 6 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 21 | 14 | 0 |
| 15 | 13 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 7 | 3 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 0 |

Appendix 5. Primary data of RAPD band profiles in sampling occassions of Lates mariae.

|  | n | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | X6 | X7 | X8 | X9 | X10 | X11 | X12 | X 13 | X14 | X15 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d. Bujumbure | 23 | 1 | 22 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| d. Kigoma | 19 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| d.Nsumbu | 29 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 0 |
| d.Mpulungu | 30 | 3 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 12 | 29 | 11 | 21 | 5 |
| r.Bujumbura | 29 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 20 | 7 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 24 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 26 | 3 |
| r.Kigoma | 30 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| r.Kipili | 25 | 21 | 24 | 0 | 25 | 5 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| r.Mpulungu | 30 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Total | 215 | 37 | 107 | 75 | 95 | 59 | 96 | 3 | 62 | 80 | 15 | 43 | 43 | 38 | 98 | 73 | 92 | 59 | 44 |

X19 X20 X21 X22 X23 X24 X25 X26 X27 X28 X29 X30 X31 X32 X33 X34 X35 X36 X37

| 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 23 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 17 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 18 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 3 |
| 8 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 29 | 3 | 1 | 28 | 12 | 29 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 22 | 29 |
| 6 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 12 | 18 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 1 | 12 | 30 | 1 | 30 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 30 | 30 |
| 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 29 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 26 | 29 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 80 | 61 | 6 | 36 | 26 | 3 | 67 | 152 | 12 | 17 | 75 | 74 | 100 | 63 | 89 | 25 | 54 | 79 |

X38 X39 X40 X41 X42 X43 X44 X45 X46 X47 X48 X49 X50 X51 X52

| 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 10 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 8 | 13 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 18 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 29 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 21 | 11 | 0 |
| 21 | 30 | 5 | 27 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 12 | 30 | 2 | 2 | 21 | 12 | 17 | 4 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 19 | 26 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 24 | 1 | 17 | 23 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 16 |
| 16 | 16 | 4 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 23 | 28 | 30 | 1 | 30 | 5 | 8 | 29 | 26 | 18 | 6 |
| 39 | 81 | 20 | 97 | 154 | 154 | 198 | 37 | 184 | 43 | 13 | 85 | 85 | 58 | 26 |

Appendix 6. Principal component analysis
Stolothrissa tanganicae




| : Kigoma |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\circ$ | Kipili |
| 号 | Magara |
| $\circ$ | Kalemie |
| $\Delta$ | Mpulungu |
| $\Delta$ | Nsumbu |

Appendix 7. Grouping of individuals based on percentual similarity of banding pattern (index M).

Lates stappersi

Limnothrissa miodon


Stolothrissa tanganicae



Lates mariae



[^0]:    The conclusions and recommendations given in this and other reports in the Research for the Management of the Fisheries on the Lake Tanganyika Project series are those considered appropriate at the time of preparation. They may be modified in the light of further knowledge gained at subsequent stages of the Project. The designations employed and the presentation of material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion on the part of FAO or FINNIDA concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or concerning the determination of its frontiers or boundaries.

