



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



THE WORLD BANK
IBRD · IDA

Conclusions and the Way Forward
Stakeholder Consultation on Progressive Management Pathway (PMP) to
Improve Aquaculture Biosecurity

World Bank Headquarters, Washington, D.C. 10-12 April 2018

presented by
Rohana Subasinghe (on behalf of the Team)

Objectives and Achievement

Objectives	Expected outcomes and achievement
take stock of the current aquatic animal health and biosecurity situation in aquaculture with a view to identify the bottlenecks and root causes	Better understanding of the bottlenecks and root causes of aquatic disease emergence in aquaculture: YES
introduce a new concept to address aquatic disease problems - Aquaculture Biosecurity Progressive Management Pathway (PMP) .	Better understanding on PMP and how this tool might be used to address aquaculture biosecurity and aquatic animal health: YES
to build consensus on the PMP approach with the aim of developing a global Plan of Action .	Build consensus on this new approach – PMP to improve aquaculture biosecurity: YES Develop a Global Plan of Action: NOT QUITE! BUT some follow-up work

Conclusions

- General consensus/broad acceptance and usefulness of the tool
- PMP works for moving countries forward in a guidance context.
- Should be applied to improve biosecurity for all forms of aquaculture production scope and objectives – small to large; local to international traders.
- Development of PMP implementation plans should be developed between industry stakeholders and governance authorities to ensure buy-in, best-fit for country, but a template that provides a degree of consistency between participating countries or regions.

Conclusions

- Stages can be considered as an elevator – you get on at your floor (including basement!) and stop at the floor which has the needs for your aquaculture industry – but everyone is in the same biosecure building, which will help global communication & share experiences as everyones' aquatic biosecurity progresses...
- PMP provides an opportunity to help countries assess which stage they are at, research resource materials that can help, and provide confidence for a self-assessment start for biosecurity improvement towards a system that would be useful for outside assessment (PVS – for further improvement for veterinary services or aquatic animal competent authority for the country), and from there, if necessary, be prepared for 3rd party/trade partner audit.

Conclusions

- Strong complementarity with some countries established plans and aligned with progression towards international standards.
- Last, but not least, opens an essential opportunity to engage non-aquaculture stakeholders in aquaculture growth; i.e., fisheries and environmental/conservationists.
- Biosecurity progress at all levels is a good news story! Disease prevention is an aquaculture strength that benefits the sector itself, wild resources and environment.
- ❖ Needs further technical work to adapt the PMP FRAMEWORK to aquaculture (PMP-AB)!

Evidence Base

- ❖ Evidence base is inadequate!
- ❖ Business case!
- ❖ Knowledge on the socio-economic impacts of aquatic animal diseases must be improved!
- ❖ WB and FAO (and other interested partners) to explore opportunities!

Further Work

- ❖ Technical aspects of the PMP-AB FRAMEWORK
- ❖ Wider consensus building
- ❖ Initial application
- ❖ Resource mobilization

Further Work

- ❖ Technical aspects of the PMP-AB FRAMEWORK
 - ❖ Vision, goals and objectives
 - ❖ Sectoral approach
 - ❖ Indicators
 - ❖ Assessment criteria and procedure
 - ❖ Linkages with OIE
 - ❖ Second joint consultative workshop

Further Work

- ❖ Wider consensus building and promotion/advocacy
 - ❖ Presentation to FAO COFI SubCommittee on Aquaculture 10th Session for endorsement (August 2019)
 - ❖ Stakeholders to make their respective quarters aware of PMP initiative
 - ❖ WB to consider incorporating PMP FRAMEWORK into its portfolio of investments with client countries
 - ❖ OIE General Assembly (May 2019) – resolution or other appropriate mechanism?;
 - ❖ Member Governments represented in this consultation;
 - ❖ relevant governing council meetings of participating delegates from regional and international intergovernmental organizations;
 - ❖ institutions represented in this event
 - ❖ Regional approach
 - ❖ Pre-stage 1 advocacy

Further Work

- ❖ Initial application

- ❖ WB and other development partners to try applying the concept in their relevant existing and pipeline projects/programmes

Further Work

❖ Resource mobilization

- ❖ Based on COFI-SCA recommendation, create a Global Strategy for assisting developing countries to apply and implement the PMP-AB
- ❖ WB to continue exploring the possibilities of a establishing a global financial architecture to support the initiative and partnership

What is Next?

- ❖ Workshop Report (capture all discussion points)
- ❖ Revision of the PMP-AB FRAMEWORK
- ❖ Second consultation (any voluntary host?)
- ❖ Initial application
- ❖ Melba (FAO), Franck (WB) and Mark (MSU) to keep the GROUP informed of the development regularly.

Time Frame

- ❖ Draft final Workshop Report – July 2018
- ❖ Revised PMP-AB document – March 2019
- ❖ Second Consultation – October 2018 (??)
- ❖ COFI-AQ Working Document – May 2019
- ❖ Initial applications – As convenient
- ❖ Resource mobilization – ASAP!





**FAO/MSU/WB Stakeholder Consultation on
Progressive Management Pathway (PMP) to Improve Aquaculture Biosecurity**
World Bank Headquarters, Washington, D.C. 10-12 April 2018