

| 联 合 国 <br> 粮 食 及 <br> 农 业 组 织 | － 0000 जne Sichicullure OH0んM\＆atunt \％ Who UWinsd Nintions |
| :---: | :---: |


| Organisation | Organización <br> de las <br> des <br> Nations <br> Naciones <br> Unies <br> pour <br> Unidas <br> l＇alimentation <br> et <br> para la <br> Agricultura <br> l＇agriculture la <br> Alimentación |
| :--- | :--- |

## Council

## Hundred and Twenty－fourth Session

Rome， 23 － 28 June 2003

## Methodology for the Determination of Equitable Geographic Distribution

## I．Introduction

1．The Finance Committee at its $102^{\text {nd }}$ session in May 2003 considered the document CL 124／15，Methodology for the Determination of Equitable Geographic Distribution with a view to providing its comments on the matter to the Council．The Committee requested the secretariat to study the matter further including clarifications of the methodology used by the UN and the outcome of the WHA deliberations on this issue，considering the importance of consistency with other UN organizations．

2．The Committee requested the secretariat to submit a revised proposal that would indicate as well the advantages and disadvantages of each option．The report should also provide a table showing the impact of each option on the representation of all the member states．This addendum to the main document has been prepared to address these requests．

## II．Specific Issues

3．As noted above，the Finance Committee requested additional information on a number of specific issues in order to facilitate the understanding and comparison of the presented options．

## A．THE UN METHODOLOGY

4．The main document（ref．CL 124／15，para．24）indicated that some organizations，notably the UN and UNESCO，＂applied＂a post weighting system based on the salary scale for staff in the
professional and higher catgories. With regard to the United Nations, however, it is noted that two geographic distribution calculations are reported to the General Assembly in the SecretaryGeneral's annual report on the Composition of the Secretariat, one with post weighting and one without. It is the latter that is actually used to determine the representation status of each member country, while the former is provided for information only.
5. In the light of this clarification, the secretariat has developed an additional option for the consideration of Council based on a calculation without post weighting (see paras. 19-22 below).

## B. DEVELOPMENTS IN OTHER UN AGENCIES

6. As indicated in the main document (ref. CL 124/15, para. 34), the UN General Assembly (UNGA) at its Fifty-seventh session in the latter part of 2002 considered the ramifications of changing the relative weights of the population, membership and contribution factors (ref. A/57/414, paras. 38-59). Detailed discussions of this matter took place at a resumed session in the period March-May 2003.
7. In its resolution A/RES/57/305 (ref. Art. IX), the UNGA decided to revert to this subject at its fifty-ninth session and requested the Secretary-General, inter alia, to conduct a study which included a comprehensive assessment of the system of geographical distribution and an assessment of the issues relating to possible changes in the number of posts subject to the system of geographical distribution, bearing in mind Article 101 of the Charter and the efficiency and effectiveness of the Organization.
8. With regard to the outcome of the discussions at the World Health Assembly (WHA) (ref. CL 124/15, para. 35), the WHA adopted its resolution WHA56.35, which approved certain changes to the WHO geographic distribution methdology, in particular:

- The weighting of the three factors in the WHO calculation was changed to $45 \%$ for membership, $45 \%$ for contribution and $10 \%$ for population; and
- The introduction of a variable upper limit of the desirable range based on population.

9. Lastly in this regard it is noted that the Executive Board of the World Food Programme (WFP) has also recently reviewed the WFP Policy on Staff Recruitment and Geographic Representation of Member States (ref. WFP/EB.A/2003/8-B). After considerable discussion, the WFP Executive Board decided to return to the subject in February 2004, at which time the WFP secretariat would present an updated paper that took into account the questions raised.

## III. Proposed Options

10. As requested by the Finance Committee, the options proposed in the main document are summarized below with an indications of advantages and disadvantages.

## A. OPTION \#1: ONE FACTOR (CONTRIBUTION)

11. Option \#1 for a new geographic distribution methodology in FAO would be to retain the current system based on the assessed contributions of member nations, applying the adjustments discussed in CL 124/15, paras. $15-24$ regarding the coverage of posts and the weighting pattern. Accordingly, Option \#1 would have the following features:

- all posts included in the PWB regardless of whether they are filled would constitute the base figure for the calculations (currently 1 362); and
- posts weights by grade would be determined on the basis of the salary scale for the professional and higher categories; and
- the existing ranges above and below the contribution precentage (ref. CL 124/15, para. 14) would be retained.

12. Under such a system the representation status of member nations would be distributed as follows:

| Not represented | 26 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Under represented | 23 |
| Equitably represented | 58 |
| Over represented | 76 |
| Total | 183 |

Representation Status under Option \#1

$\square$ Not represented
■ Under represented
$\square$ Equitably represented
$\square$ Over represented
13. Option \# 1 provides greater stability and post coverage in the geographic distribution methodology than the current system. It does not, however, result in any significant change in the overall breakdown among the four categories of representation status.

## B. OPTION \#2: THREE FACTORS (CONTRIBUTION, MEMBERSHIP \& POPULATION)

14. Some organizations (e.g. UN, WHO and UNIDO) have added a further refinement to their systems and base their geographic distribution on three factors: (1) the membership factor, i.e. the fact of membership in the organization, (2) the contribution factor and (3) the population factor. Such a system can also include a post weighting mechanism that assigns points to each post based on its grade level. It is recalled that the UN Joint Inspection Unit has recommended that a system of grade-weighting merits consideration.
15. Under such a methodology, a proportion of the total of grade-weight points in the base figure is assigned to the membership factor and equally divided among all member nations. Each member nation is allocated a share of the points assigned to the population factor, in accordance with the proportion of its population to the total population of the member nations. The remaining points are divided in accordance with the percentage of assessed contribution. The sum of the three amounts is the mid-point of each member nation's desirable equitable range, which is defined as a fixed percentage above and below the calculated mid-point. In addition, certain absolute minimum and maximum criteria for the range are also defined.
16. Accordingly, Option \#2 would have the following features:

- As in Option \#1, the base figure for the calculations is established as 1362 posts and posts are weighted on the basis of the salary scale;
- The weight of the membership factor is 40 per cent of the base figure;
- The population factor, which is allotted a weight of 5 per cent, is directly related to the total population of all the member nations and distributed among member nations in proportion to their population;
- The contribution factor is based on the distribution of the remaining points among member nations in proportion to the scale of assessments;
- The mid-point for each member nation is calculated by adding the three factors;
- The upper and lower limits of the equitable range are based on a flexibility of 15 per cent upwards and downwards from the mid-point, but not less than 6.3 points up and down, the upper limit being not less than 15.7 points.

17. Under such a system the representation status of member nations would be distributed as follows:

| Not represented | 26 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Under represented | 15 |
| Equitably represented | 130 |
| Over represented | 12 |
| Total | 183 |

## Representation status under Option\#2


$\square$ Not represented
$\square$ Under represented
$\square$ Equitably represented
$\square$ Over represented
18. This methodology is considerably more complex than Option \#1 with a large number of variables, the values of which would have to be determined and agreed among member states. Its adoption would, however, result in a significantly larger number of member countries in the category of equitable geographical representation.

## C. OPTION \#3: THREE FACTORS (WITHOUT GRADE WEIGHTING)

19. This methodology is essentially similar to Option \#2, however, there is no gradeweighting system, i.e. all posts regardless of grade level are considered to be of equal "value." Under such a methodology, a proportion of the total posts in the base figure is assigned to the membership factor and equally divided among all member nations. Each member nation is allocated a share of the posts assigned to the population factor, in accordance with the proportion of its population to the total population of the member nations. The remaining posts are divided in accordance with the percentage of assessed contribution. The sum of the three amounts is the midpoint of each member nation's desirable equitable range, which is defined as a fixed percentage above and below the calculated mid-point. In addition, certain absolute minimum and maximum criteria for the range are also defined.
20. Accordingly, Option \#3 would have the following features:

- As in Options \#1 and 2, the base figure for the calculations is established as 1362 posts, however, no grade weighting is applied;
- The weight of the membership factor is 40 per cent of the base figure;
- The population factor, which is allotted a weight of 5 per cent, is directly related to the total population of all the member nations and distributed among member nations in proportion to their population;
- The contribution factor is based on the distribution of the remaining posts among member nations in proportion to the scale of assessments;
- The mid-point for each member nation is calculated by adding the three factors;
- The upper and lower limits of the equitable range are based on a flexibility of 15 per cent upwards and downwards from the mid-point, but not less than 2.0 posts up and down, the upper limit being not less than 7.4 posts.

21. Under such a system the representation status of member nations would be distributed as follows:

| Not represented | 26 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Under represented | 22 |
| Equitably represented | 127 |
| Over represented | 8 |
| Total | 183 |

## Representation Status under Option \#3


22. This methodology is somewhat less complex than Option \#2, however, there is still a number of variables, the values of which would have to be determined and agreed among member states. It is noted that the overall distribution of countries among the representation categories is similar to that of Option \#2.

## IV. Conclusion

23. The impact of the three options discussed in this paper, as compared to the methodology currently in use in FAO is summarized in the following chart:

Comparison between Current Method and Presented Options


A table showing the impact of each option on the representation of each member state is provided in Annex I.
24. In light of the foregoing, the Council may wish to consider whether it would be appropriate to amend the geographic distribution methodology and, if so, to provide guidance to the secretariat regarding which elements of the options outlined above should be included in the development of a new geographic distribution formula. The Council may also wish to consider the consultative mechanism through which agreement on the various factors might be achieved.

Comparison table of the representational status of member states
Current methodology and three proposed options for calculating the geographic distribution of member countries

## As at 31/12/2002

|  |  |  | Current formula |  |  |  |  | Option 1 |  |  |  |  | Option 2 |  |  |  | Option 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Staff |  | Desirable range |  | Stat | Desirable range |  |  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  | Stat | Desirable range |  |  |  |
| Country | Population ('000) | Cntrb. \% |  | Repr. \% | Min. \% | Max. \% |  | Staff | Repr. \% | Min. \% | Max. \% | Stat | Grd sal pnts | Min. Pts | Max. Pts |  | Staff | Min. <br> Pos. | Max. Pos. | Stat |
| AFGHANISTAN | 21,765 | 0.007 | 2 | 0.204 | 0.005 | 0.011 | 0 | 2 | 0.158 | 0.005 | 0.011 | 0 | 4.5 | 0.65 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 1 | 7 | E |
| ALBANIA | 3,134 | 0.003 | 1 | 0.058 | 0.002 | 0.005 | E | 1 | 0.055 | 0.002 | 0.005 | E | 1.6 | 0.12 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| ALGERIA | 30,291 | 0.071 | 5 | 0.583 | 0.053 | 0.107 | 0 | 5 | 0.448 | 0.053 | 0.107 | 0 | 12.9 | 1.87 | 15.7 | E | 5 | 2 | 7 | E |
| ANGOLA | 13,134 | 0.002 | 2 | 0.146 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0 | 2 | 0.129 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0 | 3.7 | 0.36 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 1 | 7 | E |
| ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA | 65 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.003 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.003 | N | 0.0 | 0.03 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| ARGENTINA | 37,032 | 1.166 | 14 | 1.663 | 0.875 | 1.749 | E | 14 | 1.218 | 0.875 | 1.749 | E | 35.0 | 19.33 | 31.9 | O | 14 | 10 | 14 | E |
| ARMENIA | 3,787 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.003 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.003 | N | 0.0 | 0.13 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| AUSTRALIA | 19,138 | 1.649 | 24 | 2.508 | 1.237 | 2.474 | 0 | 24 | 1.884 | 1.237 | 2.474 | E | 54.1 | 26.51 | 39.1 | 0 | 24 | 13 | 18 | 0 |
| AUSTRIA | 8,080 | 0.959 | 3 | 0.233 | 0.719 | 1.439 | U | 5 | 0.348 | 0.719 | 1.439 | U | 10.0 | 15.34 | 27.9 | U | 5 | 8 | 12 | U |
| AZERBAIJAN | 8,041 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.058 | 0.003 | 0.006 | E | 1 | 0.055 | 0.003 | 0.006 | E | 1.6 | 0.26 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| BAHAMAS | 304 | 0.012 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.009 | 0.018 | E | 1 | 0.084 | 0.009 | 0.018 | E | 2.4 | 0.20 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| BAHRAIN | 640 | 0.018 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.027 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.027 | N | 0.0 | 0.30 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| BANGLADESH | 137,439 | 0.010 | 3 | 0.292 | 0.008 | 0.015 | 0 | 3 | 0.232 | 0.008 | 0.015 | 0 | 6.7 | 3.56 | 16.1 | E | 3 | 3 | 7 | E |
| BARBADOS | 267 | 0.009 | 1 | 0.146 | 0.007 | 0.014 | E | 1 | 0.103 | 0.007 | 0.014 | E | 3.0 | 0.15 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| BELGIUM | 10,249 | 1.145 | 23 | 2.363 | 0.859 | 1.718 | 0 | 27 | 2.055 | 0.859 | 1.718 | 0 | 59.0 | 18.33 | 30.9 | 0 | 27 | 10 | 14 | 0 |
| BELIZE | 226 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.088 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 1 | 0.068 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 2.0 | 0.02 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| BENIN | 6,272 | 0.002 | 3 | 0.350 | 0.002 | 0.003 | O | 3 | 0.253 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0 | 7.3 | 0.19 | 15.7 | E | 3 | 1 | 7 | E |
| BHUTAN | 2,085 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.088 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 1 | 0.068 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 2.0 | 0.07 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| BOLIVIA | 8,329 | 0.008 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.012 | N | 1 | 0.055 | 0.006 | 0.012 | E | 1.6 | 0.33 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| BOSNIA/HERZEGOVINA | 3,977 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.058 | 0.003 | 0.006 | E | 1 | 0.055 | 0.003 | 0.006 | E | 1.6 | 0.16 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| BOTSWANA | 1,541 | 0.010 | 2 | 0.204 | 0.008 | 0.015 | O | 2 | 0.153 | 0.008 | 0.015 | 0 | 4.4 | 0.20 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 1 | 7 | E |
| BRAZIL | 170,406 | 2.105 | 16 | 1.721 | 1.579 | 3.158 | E | 18 | 1.397 | 1.579 | 3.158 | U | 40.1 | 37.17 | 50.3 | E | 18 | 18 | 24 | E |
| BULGARIA | 7,949 | 0.013 | 2 | 0.117 | 0.010 | 0.020 | 0 | 2 | 0.111 | 0.010 | 0.020 | 0 | 3.2 | 0.40 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 1 | 7 | E |
| BURKINA FASO | 11,535 | 0.002 | 3 | 0.350 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0 | 4 | 0.324 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0 | 9.3 | 0.32 | 15.7 | E | 4 | 1 | 7 | E |
| BURUNDI | 6,356 | 0.001 | 3 | 0.175 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 3 | 0.166 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 4.8 | 0.17 | 15.7 | E | 3 | 1 | 7 | E |
| CAMBODIA | 13,104 | 0.002 | 3 | 0.350 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0 | 3 | 0.261 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0 | 7.5 | 0.36 | 15.7 | E | 3 | 1 | 7 | E |
| CAMEROON | 14,876 | 0.009 | 5 | 0.583 | 0.007 | 0.014 | 0 | 5 | 0.427 | 0.007 | 0.014 | 0 | 12.3 | 0.51 | 15.7 | E | 5 | 1 | 7 | E |
| CANADA | 30,757 | 2.594 | 42 | 4.025 | 1.946 | 3.891 | 0 | 44 | 3.298 | 1.946 | 3.891 | E | 94.7 | 40.80 | 55.2 | 0 | 44 | 19 | 26 | 0 |

Comparison table of the representational status of member states
Current methodology and three proposed options for calculating the geographic distribution of member countries


Comparison table of the representational status of member states
Current methodology and three proposed options for calculating the geographic distribution of member countries

## As at 31/12/2002

|  |  |  | Current formula |  |  |  | Option 1 |  |  |  |  |  | Option 2 |  |  |  | Option 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  |
| Country | Population ('000) | Cntrb. \% | Staff | Repr. \% | Min. \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Stat | Staff | Repr. \% | Min. \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Stat | Grd sal pnts | Min. Pts | Max. Pts | Stat | Staff | Min. Pos. | Max. Pos. | Stat |
| ETHIOPIA | 62,908 | 0.004 | 5 | 0.438 | 0.003 | 0.006 | O | 5 | 0.356 | 0.003 | 0.006 | O | 10.2 | 1.62 | 15.7 | E | 5 | 2 | 7 | E |
| FIJI | 814 | 0.004 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.003 | 0.006 | E | 1 | 0.084 | 0.003 | 0.006 | E | 2.4 | 0.08 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| FINLAND | 5,172 | 0.529 | 4 | 0.467 | 0.397 | 0.794 | E | 5 | 0.430 | 0.397 | 0.794 | E | 12.3 | 8.48 | 21.0 | E | 5 | 5 | 9 | E |
| FRANCE | 59,238 | 6.553 | 74 | 7.583 | 4.915 | 9.830 | E | 81 | 6.247 | 4.915 | 9.830 | E | 179.3 | 94.54 | 127.9 | O | 81 | 45 | 61 | O |
| GABON | 1,230 | 0.014 | 1 | 0.088 | 0.011 | 0.021 | E | 1 | 0.068 | 0.011 | 0.021 | E | 2.0 | 0.25 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| GAMBIA | 1,303 | 0.001 | 2 | 0.233 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 2 | 0.169 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 4.8 | 0.05 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 1 | 7 | E |
| GEORGIA | 5,262 | 0.005 | 1 | 0.058 | 0.004 | 0.008 | E | 1 | 0.055 | 0.004 | 0.008 | E | 1.6 | 0.21 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| GERMANY | 82,017 | 9.901 | 71 | 6.315 | 7.426 | 14.852 | U | 75 | 5.329 | 7.426 | 14.852 | U | 153.0 | 139.95 | 189.4 | E | 75 | 66 | 90 | E |
| GHANA | 19,306 | 0.005 | 1 | 0.088 | 0.004 | 0.008 | E | 1 | 0.068 | 0.004 | 0.008 | E | 2.0 | 0.56 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| GREECE | 10,610 | 0.546 | 5 | 0.525 | 0.410 | 0.819 | E | 6 | 0.480 | 0.410 | 0.819 | E | 13.8 | 8.88 | 21.4 | E | 6 | 5 | 9 | E |
| GRENADA | 94 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 1 | 0.084 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 2.4 | 0.02 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| GUATEMALA | 11,385 | 0.027 | 3 | 0.233 | 0.020 | 0.041 | 0 | 3 | 0.192 | 0.020 | 0.041 | 0 | 5.5 | 0.71 | 15.7 | E | 3 | 1 | 7 | E |
| GUINEA | 8,154 | 0.003 | 1 | 0.058 | 0.002 | 0.005 | E | 1 | 0.055 | 0.002 | 0.005 | E | 1.6 | 0.25 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| GUINEA-BISSAU | 1,199 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 1 | 0.084 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 2.4 | 0.05 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| GUYANA | 761 | 0.001 | 4 | 0.438 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 5 | 0.406 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 11.7 | 0.03 | 15.7 | E | 5 | 1 | 7 | E |
| HAITI | 8,142 | 0.002 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.002 | 0.003 | E | 1 | 0.084 | 0.002 | 0.003 | E | 2.4 | 0.23 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| HONDURAS | 6,417 | 0.004 | 2 | 0.175 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0 | 2 | 0.137 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0 | 3.9 | 0.22 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 1 | 7 | E |
| HUNGARY | 9,968 | 0.122 | 3 | 0.350 | 0.092 | 0.183 | 0 | 3 | 0.256 | 0.092 | 0.183 | 0 | 7.3 | 2.17 | 15.7 | E | 3 | 2 | 7 | E |
| ICELAND | 279 | 0.033 | 1 | 0.146 | 0.025 | 0.050 | E | 1 | 0.113 | 0.025 | 0.050 | E | 3.2 | 0.53 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| INDIA | 1,008,937 | 0.346 | 9 | 1.108 | 0.260 | 0.519 | 0 | 9 | 0.809 | 0.260 | 0.519 | 0 | 23.2 | 30.41 | 43.0 | U | 9 | 15 | 20 | U |
| INDONESIA | 212,092 | 0.202 | 2 | 0.175 | 0.152 | 0.303 | E | 2 | 0.137 | 0.152 | 0.303 | U | 3.9 | 8.43 | 21.0 | U | 2 | 5 | 9 | U |
| IRAN | 70,330 | 0.237 | 2 | 0.233 | 0.178 | 0.356 | E | 2 | 0.171 | 0.178 | 0.356 | U | 4.9 | 5.48 | 18.0 | U | 2 | 4 | 8 | U |
| IRAQ | 22,946 | 0.103 | 3 | 0.204 | 0.077 | 0.155 | 0 | 3 | 0.179 | 0.077 | 0.155 | 0 | 5.1 | 2.19 | 15.7 | E | 3 | 2 | 7 | E |
| IRELAND | 3,803 | 0.299 | 6 | 0.554 | 0.224 | 0.449 | 0 | 7 | 0.490 | 0.224 | 0.449 | 0 | 14.1 | 4.82 | 17.4 | E | 7 | 3 | 7 | E |
| ISRAEL | 6,040 | 0.420 | 1 | 0.088 | 0.315 | 0.630 | U | 1 | 0.068 | 0.315 | 0.630 | U | 2.0 | 6.78 | 19.3 | U | 1 | 4 | 8 | U |
| ITALY | 57,530 | 5.133 | 94 | 6.956 | 3.850 | 7.700 | E | 107 | 6.906 | 3.850 | 7.700 | E | 198.3 | 75.44 | 102.1 | 0 | 107 | 36 | 48 | 0 |
| JAMAICA | 2,576 | 0.004 | 3 | 0.452 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0 | 3 | 0.309 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0 | 8.9 | 0.13 | 15.7 | E | 3 | 1 | 7 | E |
| JAPAN | 127,096 | 19.780 | 19 | 1.969 | 14.835 | 24.725 | U | 19 | 1.507 | 14.835 | 24.725 | U | 43.3 | 273.50 | 370.0 | U | 19 | 130 | 176 | U |
| JORDAN | 4,913 | 0.008 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.006 | 0.012 | E | 2 | 0.153 | 0.006 | 0.012 | O | 4.4 | 0.25 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 1 | 7 | E |

## Comparison table of the representational status of member states

## Current methodology and three proposed options for calculating the geographic distribution of member countries

| As at 31/12/2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Current formula |  |  |  | Option 1 |  |  |  |  |  | Option 2 |  |  |  | Option 3 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  |
| Country | Population ('000) | Cntrb. \% | Staff | Repr. \% | Min. \% | Max. \% | Stat | Staff | Repr. \% | Min. \% | Max. \% | Stat | Grd sal pnts | Min. Pts | Max. Pts | Stat | Staff | Min. Pos. | Max. Pos. | Stat |
| KAZAKHSTAN | 16,172 | 0.029 | 1 | 0.058 | 0.022 | 0.044 | E | 1 | 0.055 | 0.022 | 0.044 | E | 1.6 | 0.86 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| KENYA | 30,669 | 0.008 | 3 | 0.233 | 0.006 | 0.012 | O | 4 | 0.316 | 0.006 | 0.012 | 0 | 9.1 | 0.88 | 15.7 | E | 4 | 1 | 7 | E |
| KIRIBATI | 83 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0.0 | 0.02 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| KOREA REPUBLIC OF | 46,740 | 1.877 | 3 | 0.263 | 1.408 | 2.816 | U | 3 | 0.216 | 1.408 | 2.816 | U | 6.2 | 30.80 | 43.3 | U | 3 | 15 | 20 | U |
| KUWAIT | 1,914 | 0.149 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.112 | 0.224 | E | 1 | 0.084 | 0.112 | 0.224 | U | 2.4 | 2.40 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 2 | 7 | U |
| KYRGYZSTAN | 4,921 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0.0 | 0.14 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| LAOS | 5,279 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0.0 | 0.15 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| LATVIA | 2,421 | 0.010 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.015 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.015 | N | 0.0 | 0.22 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| LEBANON | 3,496 | 0.012 | 4 | 0.408 | 0.009 | 0.018 | 0 | 4 | 0.318 | 0.009 | 0.018 | 0 | 9.1 | 0.28 | 15.7 | E | 4 | 1 | 7 | E |
| LESOTHO | 2,035 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 1 | 0.084 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 2.4 | 0.07 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| LIBERIA | 2,913 | 0.001 | 4 | 0.408 | 0.001 | 0.002 | O | 4 | 0.329 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 9.4 | 0.09 | 15.7 | E | 4 | 1 | 7 | E |
| LIBYAN ARAB JAMAHIR. | 5,290 | 0.067 | 4 | 0.408 | 0.050 | 0.101 | 0 | 4 | 0.311 | 0.050 | 0.101 | 0 | 8.9 | 1.19 | 15.7 | E | 4 | 2 | 7 | E |
| LITHUANIA | 3,696 | 0.017 | 1 | 0.058 | 0.013 | 0.026 | E | 1 | 0.055 | 0.013 | 0.026 | E | 1.6 | 0.36 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| LUXEMBOURG | 437 | 0.081 | 1 | 0.058 | 0.061 | 0.122 | U | 1 | 0.055 | 0.061 | 0.122 | U | 1.6 | 1.29 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 2 | 7 | U |
| MADAGASCAR | 15,970 | 0.003 | 3 | 0.233 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0 | 3 | 0.203 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0 | 5.8 | 0.44 | 15.7 | E | 3 | 1 | 7 | E |
| MALAWI | 11,308 | 0.002 | 3 | 0.321 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0 | 3 | 0.240 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0 | 6.9 | 0.31 | 15.7 | E | 3 | 1 | 7 | E |
| MALAYSIA | 22,218 | 0.238 | 3 | 0.350 | 0.179 | 0.357 | E | 3 | 0.256 | 0.179 | 0.357 | E | 7.3 | 4.31 | 16.9 | E | 3 | 3 | 7 | E |
| MALDIVES | 291 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0.0 | 0.02 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| MALI | 11,351 | 0.002 | 6 | 0.583 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0 | 6 | 0.446 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0 | 12.8 | 0.31 | 15.7 | E | 6 | 1 | 7 | E |
| MALTA | 390 | 0.015 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.023 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.023 | N | 0.0 | 0.25 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| MARSHALL ISLANDS | 51 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0.0 | 0.02 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| MAURITANIA | 2,665 | 0.001 | 2 | 0.175 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 2 | 0.140 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 4.0 | 0.08 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 1 | 7 | E |
| MAURITIUS | 1,161 | 0.011 | 2 | 0.117 | 0.008 | 0.017 | 0 | 2 | 0.111 | 0.008 | 0.017 | 0 | 3.2 | 0.20 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 1 | 7 | E |
| MEXICO | 98,872 | 1.101 | 14 | 1.531 | 0.826 | 1.652 | E | 14 | 1.129 | 0.826 | 1.652 | E | 32.4 | 19.83 | 32.4 | 0 | 14 | 10 | 14 | E |
| MOLDOVA | 4,295 | 0.002 | 1 | 0.058 | 0.002 | 0.003 | E | 1 | 0.055 | 0.002 | 0.003 | E | 1.6 | 0.14 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| MONACO | 33 | 0.004 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.006 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.006 | N | 0.0 | 0.06 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| MONGOLIA | 2,533 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0.0 | 0.08 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| MOROCCO | 29,878 | 0.045 | 8 | 1.021 | 0.034 | 0.068 | O | 8 | 0.733 | 0.034 | 0.068 | O | 21.0 | 1.45 | 15.7 | O | 8 | 2 | 7 | O |
| MOZAMBIQUE | 18,292 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 1 | 0.084 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 2.4 | 0.47 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |

Comparison table of the representational status of member states
Current methodology and three proposed options for calculating the geographic distribution of member countries

## As at 31/12/2002

|  |  |  | Current formula |  |  |  |  | Option 1 |  |  |  |  | Option 2 |  |  |  | Option 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  |
| Country | Population ('000) | Cntrb. \% | Staff | Repr. \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Min. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Max. \% | Stat | Staff | Repr. \% | Min. \% | Max. \% | Stat | Grd sal pnts | Min. Pts | Max. Pts | Stat | Staff | Min. Pos. | Max. Pos. | Stat |
| MYANMAR | 47,749 | 0.010 | 1 | 0.146 | 0.008 | 0.015 | E | 1 | 0.103 | 0.008 | 0.015 | E | 3.0 | 1.34 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 2 | 7 | U |
| NAMIBIA | 1,757 | 0.007 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.011 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.011 | N | 0.0 | 0.15 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| NAURU | 12 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0.0 | 0.02 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| NEPAL | 23,043 | 0.004 | 2 | 0.204 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0 | 2 | 0.153 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0 | 4.4 | 0.63 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 1 | 7 | E |
| NETHERLANDS | 15,864 | 1.761 | 28 | 2.785 | 1.321 | 2.642 | 0 | 33 | 2.462 | 1.321 | 2.642 | E | 70.7 | 28.20 | 40.8 | 0 | 33 | 14 | 19 | 0 |
| NEW ZEALAND | 3,778 | 0.244 | 2 | 0.204 | 0.183 | 0.366 | E | 2 | 0.153 | 0.183 | 0.366 | U | 4.4 | 3.95 | 16.5 | E | 2 | 3 | 7 | U |
| NICARAGUA | 5,071 | 0.001 | 2 | 0.117 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 3 | 0.166 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 4.8 | 0.14 | 15.7 | E | 3 | 1 | 7 | E |
| NIGER | 10,832 | 0.001 | 3 | 0.321 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 4 | 0.298 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 8.6 | 0.28 | 15.7 | E | 4 | 1 | 7 | E |
| NIGERIA | 113,862 | 0.056 | 3 | 0.321 | 0.042 | 0.084 | 0 | 4 | 0.293 | 0.042 | 0.084 | 0 | 8.4 | 3.70 | 16.3 | E | 4 | 3 | 7 | E |
| NIUE ISLAND | 2 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0.0 | 0.02 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| NORWAY | 4,469 | 0.656 | 4 | 0.292 | 0.492 | 0.984 | U | 4 | 0.268 | 0.492 | 0.984 | U | 7.7 | 10.47 | 23.0 | U | 4 | 6 | 10 | U |
| OMAN | 2,538 | 0.062 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.047 | 0.093 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.047 | 0.093 | N | 0.0 | 1.04 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| PAKISTAN | 141,256 | 0.061 | 4 | 0.627 | 0.046 | 0.092 | 0 | 4 | 0.427 | 0.046 | 0.092 | 0 | 12.3 | 4.46 | 17.0 | E | 4 | 3 | 7 | E |
| PALAU | 19 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0.0 | 0.02 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| PANAMA | 2,856 | 0.018 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.014 | 0.027 | E | 1 | 0.084 | 0.014 | 0.027 | E | 2.4 | 0.35 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| PAPUA NEW GUINEA | 4,809 | 0.006 | 2 | 0.146 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0 | 2 | 0.124 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0 | 3.6 | 0.21 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 1 | 7 | E |
| PARAGUAY | 5,496 | 0.016 | 1 | 0.029 | 0.012 | 0.024 | E | 1 | 0.045 | 0.012 | 0.024 | E | 1.3 | 0.39 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| PERU | 25,662 | 0.120 | 5 | 0.467 | 0.090 | 0.180 | 0 | 5 | 0.369 | 0.090 | 0.180 | 0 | 10.6 | 2.53 | 15.7 | E | 5 | 2 | 7 | E |
| PHILIPPINES | 75,653 | 0.102 | 4 | 0.379 | 0.077 | 0.153 | 0 | 5 | 0.358 | 0.077 | 0.153 | 0 | 10.3 | 3.48 | 16.0 | E | 5 | 3 | 7 | E |
| POLAND | 38,605 | 0.321 | 3 | 0.263 | 0.241 | 0.482 | E | 3 | 0.208 | 0.241 | 0.482 | U | 6.0 | 6.02 | 18.6 | U | 3 | 4 | 8 | U |
| PORTUGAL | 10,016 | 0.469 | 6 | 0.554 | 0.352 | 0.704 | E | 6 | 0.430 | 0.352 | 0.704 | E | 12.3 | 7.65 | 20.2 | E | 6 | 5 | 9 | E |
| QATAR | 565 | 0.034 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.026 | 0.051 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.026 | 0.051 | N | 0.0 | 0.55 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| ROMANIA | 22,438 | 0.059 | 2 | 0.146 | 0.044 | 0.089 | 0 | 2 | 0.124 | 0.044 | 0.089 | 0 | 3.6 | 1.49 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 2 | 7 | E |
| RWANDA | 7,609 | 0.001 | 2 | 0.204 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 3 | 0.221 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 6.4 | 0.20 | 15.7 | E | 3 | 1 | 7 | E |
| SAINT KITTS \& NEVIS | 38 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.058 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 1 | 0.055 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 1.6 | 0.02 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| SAINT LUCIA | 148 | 0.002 | 1 | 0.058 | 0.002 | 0.003 | E | 1 | 0.055 | 0.002 | 0.003 | E | 1.6 | 0.04 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| SAINT VINC. \& GRENA. | 113 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.088 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 1 | 0.068 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 2.0 | 0.02 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| SAMOA | 159 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 1 | 0.084 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 2.4 | 0.02 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| SAN MARINO | 27 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.003 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.003 | N | 0.0 | 0.03 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |

## Comparison table of the representational status of member states

Current methodology and three proposed options for calculating the geographic distribution of member countries

| As at 31/12/2002 |  |  | Current formula |  |  |  |  | Option 1 |  |  |  |  | Option 2 |  |  |  | Option 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  | Desirable range |  |  |  |
| Country | Population ('000) | Cntrb. \% | Staff | Repr. \% | Min. \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Stat | Staff | Repr. \% | Min. \% | Max. \% | Stat | Grd sal pnts | Min. Pts | Max. Pts | Stat | Staff | Min. <br> Pos. | Max. Pos. | Stat |
| SAO TOME \& PRINCIPE | 138 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 1 | 0.084 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 2.4 | 0.02 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| SAUDI ARABIA | 20,346 | 0.562 | 2 | 0.233 | 0.422 | 0.843 | U | 3 | 0.227 | 0.422 | 0.843 | U | 6.5 | 9.38 | 21.9 | U | 3 | 5 | 9 | U |
| SENEGAL | 9,421 | 0.005 | 7 | 0.875 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0 | 7 | 0.638 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0 | 18.3 | 0.31 | 15.7 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 7 | E |
| SEYCHELLES | 80 | 0.002 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.002 | 0.003 | E | 1 | 0.084 | 0.002 | 0.003 | E | 2.4 | 0.03 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| SIERRA LEONE | 4,405 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.088 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 2 | 0.153 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 4.4 | 0.12 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 1 | 7 | E |
| SLOVAKIA | 5,399 | 0.043 | 3 | 0.233 | 0.032 | 0.065 | 0 | 3 | 0.203 | 0.032 | 0.065 | 0 | 5.8 | 0.81 | 15.7 | E | 3 | 1 | 7 | E |
| SLOVENIA | 1,988 | 0.082 | 1 | 0.058 | 0.062 | 0.123 | U | 1 | 0.055 | 0.062 | 0.123 | U | 1.6 | 1.34 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 2 | 7 | U |
| SOLOMON ISLANDS | 447 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0.0 | 0.03 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| SOMALIA | 8,778 | 0.001 | 2 | 0.204 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 2 | 0.153 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0 | 4.4 | 0.23 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 1 | 7 | E |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 43,309 | 0.413 | 2 | 0.204 | 0.310 | 0.620 | U | 2 | 0.153 | 0.310 | 0.620 | U | 4.4 | 7.59 | 20.1 | U | 2 | 5 | 9 | U |
| SPAIN | 39,910 | 2.553 | 21 | 1.954 | 1.915 | 3.830 | E | 21 | 1.548 | 1.915 | 3.830 | U | 44.4 | 40.44 | 54.7 | E | 21 | 19 | 26 | E |
| SRI LANKA | 18,924 | 0.016 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.012 | 0.024 | E | 1 | 0.084 | 0.012 | 0.024 | E | 2.4 | 0.72 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| SUDAN | 31,095 | 0.006 | 6 | 0.700 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0 | 6 | 0.509 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0 | 14.6 | 0.86 | 15.7 | E | 6 | 1 | 7 | E |
| SURINAME | 417 | 0.002 | 1 | 0.088 | 0.002 | 0.003 | E | 1 | 0.068 | 0.002 | 0.003 | E | 2.0 | 0.04 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| SWAZILAND | 925 | 0.002 | 2 | 0.204 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0 | 2 | 0.168 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0 | 4.8 | 0.05 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 1 | 7 | E |
| SWEDEN | 8,842 | 1.041 | 8 | 0.875 | 0.781 | 1.562 | E | 10 | 0.785 | 0.781 | 1.562 | E | 22.5 | 16.66 | 29.2 | E | 10 | 9 | 13 | E |
| SWITZERLAND | 7,170 | 1.281 | 10 | 1.021 | 0.961 | 1.922 | E | 10 | 0.782 | 0.961 | 1.922 | U | 22.5 | 20.41 | 33.0 | E | 10 | 11 | 15 | U |
| SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC | 16,189 | 0.082 | 2 | 0.175 | 0.062 | 0.123 | 0 | 2 | 0.140 | 0.062 | 0.123 | 0 | 4.0 | 1.70 | 15.7 | E | 2 | 2 | 7 | E |
| TAJIKISTAN | 6,087 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.002 | N | 0.0 | 0.17 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| TANZANIA UNITED REP. | 35,119 | 0.004 | 4 | 0.467 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0 | 4 | 0.355 | 0.003 | 0.006 | 0 | 10.2 | 0.93 | 15.7 | E | 4 | 1 | 7 | E |
| THAILAND | 62,806 | 0.256 | 2 | 0.263 | 0.192 | 0.384 | E | 2 | 0.197 | 0.192 | 0.384 | E | 5.7 | 5.60 | 18.1 | E | 2 | 4 | 8 | U |
| THE FYR.OF MACEDONIA | 2,034 | 0.006 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.009 | N | 0 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.009 | N | 0.0 | 0.15 | 15.7 | N | 0 | 1 | 7 | N |
| TOGO | 4,527 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.117 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 1 | 0.084 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 2.4 | 0.13 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| TONGA | 99 | 0.001 | 1 | 0.146 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 1 | 0.103 | 0.001 | 0.002 | E | 3.0 | 0.02 | 15.7 | E | 1 | 1 | 7 | E |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRINIDAD AND } \\ & \text { TOBAGO } \end{aligned}$ | 1,294 | 0.016 | 2 | 0.233 | 0.012 | 0.024 | 0 | 3 | 0.274 | 0.012 | 0.024 | O | 7.9 | 0.28 | 15.7 | E | 3 | 1 | 7 | E |
| TUNISIA | 9,459 | 0.031 | 7 | 0.758 | 0.023 | 0.047 | 0 | 7 | 0.575 | 0.023 | 0.047 | 0 | 16.5 | 0.72 | 15.7 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 7 | E |
| TURKEY | 66,668 | 0.447 | 3 | 0.408 | 0.335 | 0.671 | E | 3 | 0.300 | 0.335 | 0.671 | U | 8.6 | 8.71 | 21.3 | U | 3 | 5 | 9 | U |

Comparison table of the representational status of member states
Current methodology and three proposed options for calculating the geographic distribution of member countries
As at 31/12/2002


Summary:

| Not represented | N | 28 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Under represented | U | 13 |
| Equitably represented | E | 65 |
| Over represented | O | 77 |


| 26 | 26 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 15 | 22 |
| 58 | 130 | 127 |
| 76 | 12 | 8 |
| 183 | 183 | 183 |

